

24-7140

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**ORIGINAL**

FILED

JAN 31 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

David Croteau — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Lawrence Joseph Wardo RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Second Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David Croteau

(Your Name)

P. O. Box 874

(Address)

ayec, MA 01432

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

Questions  
23-cv-6690

1. What relief is possible for mail that isn't properly delivered?
2. Can a habeas be used for denial to access the courts?
3. How general is Title 28 United States Code 2241?
4. Does text orders be suffion to inform the parties of the reasoning?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *24-411 socketly and 15*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

7 title 28 United States Code 2241

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 24-411.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Constitutional And Statutory Provisions Involved  
23-cv-6690

1. Title 28 United States Code 2241

Statement of Case  
23-cv-6690

This had arise out of a problem with the mail in which wasn't with case number 23-cv-318. The respondent in the habeas petition Lawrence Joseph Vilardo was in charge of the petitioner getting to the mail. Since there were problems with the mail. With a habeas petition there is no form of immunity defense in which the Judge Elisabeth A. Wolford claim it's a Bivens cause of action, which can only seek monetary damages in which a federal judge can claim "absolute Immunity: since it would be for monetary damages. Yet the relief isn't monetary its more of an injunction relief. Then there was an issue which the petitioner had tried to do a mandamus which the judge return because the court clerks had opened the mail when it wasn't address to them. The court clerk returned it back claiming it can't be filed when it wasn't to be filed but served.

After that the judge had issue a "Text order" because of an attempt to issue a writ of mandamus. Claims it is a bivens when if by bivens it be monetary and absolute immunity can be claimed which only an injunction relief is really sought.

Reason to grant writ  
23-cv-6690

Title 28 United States Code 2241 is a very general writ in which is suppose to be narrow since its to remove any unlawful restrains that the government had done. To make it a narrow consept makes it claim it was a Title 28 United States Code 2255.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John

Date: January 28, 2024