

24 No. 7106

ORIGINAL

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Feifei Gu,

Petitioner

v.

Letitia James, as NY attorney general

HANG CHEN, a real estate agent

Respondents

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE COURT OF APPEALS
OF STATE OF NEW YORK

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Fefei Gu

8705 19th Ave, Brooklyn, NY 11214

929-362-7312

fg1011@nyu.edu

Petitioner

FILED

MAR 04 2025

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

RECEIVED

MAY - 1 2025

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

QUESTION PRESENTED

1. Has Letitia James, as Attorney General of NY, neglected her duty when she refused to intervene in the fake criminal prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN *People v. Gu*, and conspired with Kings County district attorney Eric Gonzalez?
2. Is it a malfeasance when Letitia James advocated for and participated in the fake prosecution of *People v. Gu* by conspiring with multiple clerks of New York County Courts?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

RELATED PROCEEDINGS

1. Feifei Gu v. Letitia James, in her official capacity as attorney general of the State of NY, and HANG CHEN, Supreme Court of the State of NY, County of New York, 100848/2024,
2. Matter of Gu v. James, State of New York Court of Appeals, 2024-660,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	2
LIST OF PARTIES.....	3
RELATED PROCEEDINGS	3
OPINIONS BELOW.....	5
JURISDICTION.....	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	5-6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	7-8
Letitia James, as Attorney General of NY, neglected her duty when she refused to intervene in the fake criminal prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN <i>People v. Gu</i>	7
It is a malfeasance when Letitia James advocated for and participated in the fake prosecution of <i>People v. Gu</i> by conspiring with multiple clerks.....	8
HANG CHEN.....	9
CONCLUSION.....	10
APPENDIX Order from Court of Appeals of the State of NY	12

OPINIONS BELOW

See Appendix

JURISDICTION

Order from the Court of Appeals of the State of NY dated 12/12/24. The Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Procedural Background

Gu v. James and HANG CHEN was initiated in New York County Supreme Court with TRO compelling Letitia James to intervene and stop the fake criminal prosecution CR-001793-24/KN and to prosecute Complainant Hang Chen for reporting a fake crime with falsified evidence,

Lower Court denied TRO to stay the prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN, Petitioner filed a direct appeal to NY Court of Appeals, in which Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal, transferred it to NY Appellate Division, First Department. However, First Department clerk Daniel Ramos refused to issue an appeal number,

As the Court of Appeals of the State of NY dismissed the appeal and denied the TRO as moot, Petitioner hereby finds her way to the U.S. Supreme Court.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

People v. Gu CR-001793-24/KN is a fake case:

1. Petitioner was charged with CLASS A Misdemeanor for damaging a security camera in a private owned building, claimed to be owned by Complainant Hang Chen, a real estate agent,
2. Evidence has shown that camera was never damaged, Hang Chen provided falsified receipts to DA, and that Hang Chen is not the owner of the building,
3. Hang Chen further conspired with multiple 62 Precinct NYPD namely Michael Sher, Steven Vitelli, Daniel Siani, Oliver Muir, Joseph Robinson by falsifying police reports and falsely arresting Petitioner.
4. CR-001793-24/KN is being tried by ADA Cassidy Marriott and Joseb Gim, under the supervision of Kings County DA Eric Gonzalez.

Letitia James, being in full knowledge of above facts, explicitly expressed her refusal to intervene and stop the prosecution.

Reasons for Granting The Writ

I. Letitia James, as Attorney General of NY, neglected her duty when she refused to intervene in the fake criminal prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN *People v. Gu*

1. As had been demonstrated in State Court papers, in CR-001793-24/KN, the People presented patently fake evidence, Complaint and SSI, there was no probable cause to arrest nor probable cause to prosecute,

2. In CR-001793-24/KN, the State is prosecuting Petitioner, any person in charge of prosecution against Petitioner is acting in the color of State, in their official capacity as State law enforcement officers,

3. As head of the department of law, the Attorney General is both the People's lawyer and the state's chief legal officer, besides, the Attorney General also serves as the guardian of the legal rights of the people of New York, its organizations, and its natural resources,

4. The continuous prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN is a waste of taxpayers' precious resources,

5. As such, letitia james has resources, authority, and duty to intervene in the continued prosecution of this fake case,

II. It is a MALFEASANCE when Letitia James advocated for and participated in the fake prosecution of *People v. Gu* by conspiring with multiple clerks

Letitia James, during litigation in New York Supreme Court and NY Appellate Division First Department, engaged in ex parte communication with Court clerks and used her power as AG in exchange for the dismissal of the matter pending in New York County Supreme Court and Appellate Division:

1. Letitia James's Notice of Cross Motion was never filed with the Court on 09/25/24, however, she conspired with clerks and had a fake stamp on her papers,
2. During oral argument, the clerk for judge Alexander Tisch, whose name is Michelle Cruz, unreasonably delayed the entry of Court Orders and fillings, when Petitioner asked one clerk of New York County Supreme Court, the clerk answered: "There is a lot in Supreme Court that I cannot tell you."
3. Letitia James furthered her conspiracy with HANG CHEN by having ex parte communication with NY Appellate Division First Department Clerks Eric Dickman, Daniel Ramos, Emma Holmes, Kam Yuen by intentionally extending the deadline of filings by Letitia James and falsified reasons to avoid penalty.

As much, Letitia James made numerous attempts to cover for the fake nature of CR-001793-24/KN, in conspiracy with Complainant Hang Chen and multiple clerks.

CONCLUSION

Letitia James neglected her duty and grossly demonstrated malfeasance in office when she advocated for, covered for, and participated in the fake criminal prosecution of CR-001793-24/KN.

This Court should grant certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted,

Petitioner Feifei Gu

fg1011@nyu.edu