

24-7044  
No.

# ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

APR 09 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Norman Bowers Pro-se — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

U.S.A. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals For the Fourth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Norman Bowers  
(Your Name)

BUTNER2 F.C.I P.O.Box. 1,500

(Address)

Butner, North Carolina 27292

(City, State, Zip Code)

~~N/A BDP~~

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

#1: Petitioner Asks does the November 1, 2023 United States Sentencing Commission Retroactive Amendment 821 (Subpart A and B) to the U.S.S.G. have effect to lower or change Mr. Bowers Criminal History Category and or Guideline Range while leaving all other original sentencing application components of 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) in place?

#2: Petitioner Asks Under an Retroactive Amendment 821 change to the U.S.S.G. is Proper Calculation to Criminal History Category discretionary?

#3: Petitioner Asks In A Retroactive U.S.S.G. Amended change to Status points for being ON supervised release at the time of the instant offense that moves the Criminal History Category and or Guideline Range; Starting point for sentencing 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) Policy?

#4: Petitioner Asks does the original sentencing upward variance under 18 U.S.C 3553(a) Stop the now Retroactive Amended change to the Criminal History Category or Guideline Range?

#5: Did the United States District Court have Exclusive or partial Jurisdiction for the instant offense that was an Confidential Informant operational operation brought to the 403 Holt Street Lexington N.C. 27292 address by the Lexington Police Department to this private owned property and land which is not of United States accepted Jurisdiction? See Richard Philip Adams, John Walter Brodenave and Lawrence Mitchell,

vs.

United States OF America and John S. Ryan, Warden.  
(87 L Ed 1421) (319 US 312-315.)

Argued May 10, 1943. Decided May 24, 1943.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

United States District Court - 1:22-cr-00216-TDS-1

United States Court Of Appeals For The Fourth Circuit - No. 24-6602

United States District Court - 23-4488 (L) and case No. 23-4489

V

Norman Bowers

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	<i>Page 6 of 6</i>
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	<i>Pages 1 through 6</i>
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Judgement</i> USCA 4 Appeal: 24-6602 Doc: 6-2 Filed 01-13-2025 Page 1 of 1 - USCA 4 Appeal: 24-6602 Doc: 5 Filed 01-13-2025 page 1-2.
APPENDIX B	<i>Judgement</i> United States District Court v. Norman Seneca Bowers case 1:22-cr-00216-TDS Document 46 Filed 06-04-2024 Page 1 of 1
APPENDIX C	<i>Norman Seneca Bowers v. United States Of America 18 U.S.C. 3582(c)(2)</i> Motion for Retroactive Reduction in Sentence Page 1 of 1 Document 36 Filed 12-05-2023.
APPENDIX D	<i>U.S. P. O. Memorandum</i> Filed 01-12-2024 Document 38
APPENDIX E	<i>Norman Bowers v. U.S. No 24-6602 Informal Brief</i> Pages 1 through 10
APPENDIX F	<i>Writ of Certiorari Brief</i> pages 1 through 6
APPENDIX G	<i>Norman Bowers Post-Conviction Rehabilitation Efforts = Education</i> <i>Transcripts and Employment and certificates</i>

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES:

	PAGE NUMBER
Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817, 826, 130 S.Ct. 2683, 177 L.Ed.2d 271 (2010)	— 2 of 6
Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959, 201 L.Ed.2d 359 (2018)	— 4 of 6
United States v. Martin, 916 F.3d at 396	— 4 of 6
United States v. Legree, 205 F.3d 724, 729-30 (4th Cir. 2000)	— 4 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 103113; United States v. Broyles JUNE 5, 2024	— 5 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 35610; United States v. Miller February 9, 2024	— 5 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 116942; United States v. Mann July 1, 2024	— 5 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 202717; United States v. Tolliver November 6, 2024	— 5 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 101571; United States v. Bowles May 31, 2024	— 6 of 6
2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 25025; United States v. Blankenship February 13, 2024	— 6 of 6
Saul Molina-Martinez v. United States, 578 U.S. 189, 198, 136 S.Ct 1388, 194 L.Ed.2d 444 (2016)	— 6 of 6
United States vs. Maria Guadalupe 2024 U.S. Dist LEXIS 35645 3:20-cr-133-MDC-DCK February 29, 2024 Filed Granted	— 6 of 6
STATUTES AND RULES	6 of 6

18 U.S.C. 181.10	Page 1 of 6 — 2 of 6 — 4 of 6 — 6 of 6
18 U.S.C. 181.10. (a) (1)	Page 1 of 6
18 U.S.C. 181.10. (b) (1)	Page 1 of 6
18 U.S.C. 3553 (a)	Page 1 of 6
18 U.S.C. 3582 (c) (2)	Page 1 of 6 — 2 of 6 — 4 of 6 — 6 of 6
	Page 1 of 6 — 2 of 6 — 4 of 6 — 6 of 6

### OTHER

2021 U.S.S.G Chapter Four 4A1.1 (d) Deletion — Page 1 of 6  
Amendment 821 — Page 2 of 6 — 4 of 6

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JANUARY 13, 2025.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Retroactive Amendment 821 issued November 1, 2023 has the effect of lowering Mr. Norman Bowers Criminal History Category to Category III from Category IV and Guideline Range to 24-30 months from 30 to 37 months.

Mr. Bowers filed a Pro Se 3582(c)(2) Motion to reduce his term of imprisonment based on Retroactive Amendment 821 change to U.S.S.G. 4A1.1(d) Part A of Amendment 821 which has been assessed by U.S.P.O. Sentencing Guideline Specialist who assessed A New Criminal History Category and Guideline Range leaving the offense level the same and all other sentencing components in place.

United States District Court Denied this Appeal Due to Policy Statement Set forth at 1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a), to the extent they are applicable.

The United States Court Of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit Affirmed in its judgement of the District Court.

Neither the District Court or Appeals Court explained sufficiently with an explanation of factors from U.S.S.G. 1B1.10 or 3553(a). being that all sentencing factors of the original case 1:22-cr-216-1 were taken and considered under both U.S.S.G. 1B1.10 and 3553(a) but Not under 3582(c)(2) being that the U.S.S.G. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(v) Made Amendment 821 Retroactive to individuals who fit the criteria of having their Criminal History Category Changed and Guideline Range. The District Court or Appeals Court Did Not take the 3582(c)(2) Brief's into account or show that 1B1.10 Does have effect had Mr. Bowers been sentenced with the New Amended U.S.S.G. in place at the time of sentencing to place his Criminal History Category at A lower level.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner has accepted the ~~wrong~~ of his actions and learned of the harm it has caused to the community and time lost from his now teenage daughter. Sincerely apologizing to everyone he has affected by his actions not just in the instant offense but over the duration of time looking back from past wrong choices.

Currently Mr. Bowers is an active participant in the Residential-Drug Abuse Program the most intensive there is that last 9 months in which he is 3 months into it. He has recently graduated from the Non-Residential Drug Abuse Program all while working for F.P.I. Textile Unicorn and also as A Suicide Watch Inmate Observer Program. Mr. Bowers is eligible for First Step Act Federal Time Credit and has gotten his Recidivism as low as it could possibly ever go which will not allow it to drop below the one more point needed to bring him from Medium Risk level Recidivism to Low Risk level Recidivism he has taken every class & program that helped him to work on his thinking errors of his past criminal lifestyle and criminal thinking errors that led him to prison.

Mr Bowers has showed through his Post-Conviction Conduct the change he's made. The Retroactive Amendment 821 3582 (c) (2) will allow him to possibly get 7 months reduced from his term of imprisonment which is one month less than the F.T.C. he has earned through the F.S.A. that he will not be able to get applied to his term of prison. Mr Bowers has 38 months in of 51 months with an consecutive 18 month term of supervised release so granting the requested sentence reduction by 7 months would leave him 17 months to serve in prison plus 36 months supervised release.

Mr Bowers respectfully asks the court to either grant in whole or A proportional reduction. Such as only changing the Criminal History Category so that he can get the point needed to apply his F.S.A. Credits.

Thanks Norman Bowers