

24-6971

No. _____

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court U.S.
FILED

MAR 17 2025

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

D.R.

Applicant,

v.

T.R.

Respondent.

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari To

The Supreme Court of Hawai'i

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Daniel Scott Robinson
233 Punahele Street
Hilo, Hawaii 96720
(808) 498-7234
Daniel.robinsonrn@hotmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

Anne E. Lopez
Attorney General of Hawai'i
Department of Attorney General
State of Hawai'i
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
(808) 586-1500
(808) 586-1239 (Fax)
Email – anne.e.lopez@hawaii.gov
Attorney for State of Hawaii

Tamara Louise Robinson
16-2131 Sandalwood Drive #81989
Pahoa, Hawai'i 96778
(707) 683-9825
Tamararobinson1313@gmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS:

U.S. President Donald Trump
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW,
Washington, D.C. 20500
Phone – (202) 456-1414
Fax – (202) 456-2461
Email – president@whitehouse.gov

U.S. Attorney General Pam Bondi
Office of the Attorney General
Robert F. Kennedy Main Justice Building
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room 511
Washington D.C. 20530
Phone – (202) 514-2001
Fax – (202) 305-3003
Email – pam.bondi@usdoj.gov

Kashyap Pramod “Kash” Patel
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
J. Edgar Hoover Building
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW 20535
Phone – (202) 324-6500
Fax – Non-Existent
Email – patelkpp@gmail.com

Hon. Russell Vought
Director
Executive Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street, NW
Washington D.C. 20502
Phone – (202) 395-4840
Fax – (202) 395-3888
Email – Russell.vought@mail.house.gov

Robert F. Kennedy
Secretary, Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington D.C. 20201
Phone – (202) 690-7000
Fax – Non-Existent
Email – legal@teamkennedy.com

Elon Musk, Director, D.O.G.E.
Department of Government Efficiency
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington D.C. 20500
Phone – (202) 456-1414
Fax – (202) 456-2461
Email – doge@mail.house.gov

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

By the time you read this petition the Applicant who is a “Mentally Incompetent” man per 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(4) and is incapable of being criminally prosecuted, and a “Fit Father” per Hawaii Revised Statutes § 571-46 per Hawaii Family Court Judge Jeffrey W. Ng, plans to follow in the footsteps of the refugees he has cared for in third world countries and illegally cross the border into North Korea and ask North Korean Supreme Leader Kim Jong Un to contact President Trump as a final Act of Love for his children, and an act of protest against this U.S.

Supreme Court, the American Family Court System, and more specifically, the corruption of Hawaii "State Officers", and it's family court system. Why, because North Korean Supreme Leader Kim Jong Un has more mercy on his people than any of the above American judicial systems. And the applicant will appeal to him and beg for mercy for him to contact President Trump, and other federal officials made a party to this case on his behalf to fight for his family's civil, disability, federal an constitutional rights, expose the corruption of these courts since this court refuses to do its job and uphold their oaths of office to uphold the constitution of the United States of America, and launch F.B.I. Investigations against these courts. If this court does not uphold ALL civil, disability, federal, and Constitutional law, then the Hawaii State Supreme Court and the Applicant should, and have every right to challenge this court's authority and independence. Why, because this is America, and we the people, have that right. By the grace of God, my savior and Lord Jesus Christ, the time for this court to be judged has come. And this courts inaction will be directly responsible for any and all future actions that the applicant, a "mentally incompetent" "fit father" had to take to protect his family.

This is no longer a "civil" case. It is now a "criminal" case in which the applicant has proven that the appellee and the Hawaii state judiciary as well as others have committed multiple gross violations of criminal law that could lead to life imprisonment, and death sentences. And the applicant is requesting that this Court treat it as such and enforce federal criminal law. The applicant has spent more than two years and three thousand hours fighting this legal battle at all levels of the

state and federal government, with multiple court cases in the state court as well as this U.S. Supreme Court. And he has failed to find relief no matter what law he cites or what evidence he provides.

The applicant believes that the American judicial system has become corrupt and no longer follows the law unless it benefits special interests and that Hawaii "state officers" have purposely broken all laws including criminal, civil, disability, state, federal, and constitutional law in order to cover up the crimes of Hawaii "state officers" for what he believes is criminally violating the rights of its people in order to criminally defraud the U.S. Government out of more than twelve billion dollars in federal grant funding. The proof of the Hawaii State Judiciaries incompetence is in that it let a mentally incompetent man get to this Court two times in less than a year. And this court has done nothing but violate his rights just like Hawaii "State Officers" and allow Hawaii "State Officers" to violate criminal law without any recourse, repercussions, or oversight. So,

1. Under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2), do federal agencies have to follow executive orders from the President of the United States?
2. Under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) do states who receive federal grant funding have to follow federal and constitutional law per those laws and constitution?

3. Under *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, No. 15-1358, slip op. at 12 (U.S. June 19, 2017) can federal courts stop an executive order from the President of the United States.
4. Why Should This U.S. Supreme Court Remain Independent Without Oversight?
5. Why Should Judges Continue To Have Immunity If They Follow The Law and Therefore Have No Reason To Worry About Liability?
6. Under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) must a State or "State Officers" follow federal criminal law, federal, civil and disability law, federal rules and guidelines, federal policy and procedure, terms and conditions, code of federal regulations, federal law, constitutional law, and this U.S. Supreme Court's Precedents?
7. If the U.S. Constitution is the "Supreme Law of the Land" under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2), why can a state family court or "State Officers" remove our civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights?
8. If a state uses "parens patriae" or the public good as a reason to violate these civil, disability, federal and constitutional laws doesn't that same "parens patriae" include the good of the whole country and not just the good of a single state and it's "State Officers"?

PARTIES TO THE PETITION

Parties to the petition are as follows:

Applicant Daniel Scott Robinson is the applicant in the Hawaii State Supreme Court.

Respondents are the Hawaii State Supreme Court, and Tamara Louise Robinson.

Other parties include U.S. President Donald Trump, U.S. Attorney General Pam Bondi, F.B.I. Director Kash Patel, Director of Executive Office and Management and Budget Russell Vought, Director of Department of Government Efficiency Elon Musk. Secretary Robert F. Kennedy, Health and Human Services.

STATEMENT OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

- Hawaii State Supreme Court Case No. SCAP-24-0000592, judgement entered on December 12th, 2024.
- Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals Case No. CAAP-24-0000592.
Judgement not entered. Motion for Stay of Proceedings requested and then denied on February 7th, 2025.
- United States Supreme Court Case No. 24-5005, judgement entered on October 7th, 2024.
- Daniel Scott Robinson vs Tamara Louise Robinson, No. 3FDV-22-0000801, Hawaii 3rd Circuit Family Court, Judgement entered August 8th, 2024.
- In Re Daniel Scott Robinson No. SPCW-24-0000004, Hawaii State Supreme Court, Judgement entered February 2nd, 2024.
- DR V TR No. CAAP-24-0000066, Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals, Judgement entered February 7th, 2024.

- TR v DR No. CAAP-23-0000617, Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals, No judgement entered.
- Daniel Scott Robinson v. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES Child Welfare Services Branch, State of Hawaii, Administrative Hearing August 6th, 2023, with hearing officer Lane Yoshida, and Hawaii Deputy Attorney General Lynn Youmans, Judgement Mailed October 23rd, 2023.
- TR v DR No. CAAP-23-0000525, Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals, No judgement entered.
- Daniel Scott Robinson vs Tamara Louise Robinson, No. 3FDA-23-0000660, Hawaii 3rd Circuit Family Court, Judgement entered November 1st, 2023.
- Tamara Robinson, OBOM vs Daniel S. Robinson, No. 3FDA-23-0000643, Hawaii 3rd Circuit Family Court, Judgement entered November 1st, 2023.
- Daniel Scott Robinson v. Department of Human Services, Child Welfare Services Branch, State of Hawaii, Department of Human Services Administrative Hearing, Judgement mailed October 23rd, 2023.
- Daniel Scott Robinson vs Tamara Louise Robinson, No. 3FDV-22-0000801, Hawaii 3rd Circuit Family Court.
- Tamara Louise Robinson vs Daniel Scott Robinson, No. 3FDV-22-0000786.
- State v. Cameron Stewart, No 3CPC-22-0000552

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	i-iv
PARTIES TO THE PETITION.....	iv

STATEMENT OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS.....	iv-vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vi
INDEX TO APPENDICES.....	vii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	vii-ix
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	1
OPINIONS AND ORDERS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	5
REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	13-31
CONCLUSION.....	31

INDEX TO APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A – Denial Hawaii State Supreme Court case No. SCAP-24-0000592.
- APPENDIX B – Orders From Family Court Case No. 3DFV-22-0000801.
- APPENDIX C – Emails to state and federal officials and world leaders.
- APPENDIX D – Statement from applicant regarding denial of this petition.
- APPENDIX E – Hawaii Third Circuit In Forma Pauperis.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

U.S. Const. amend I.....	19, 21, 24
U.S. Const. amend IV.....	21, 24, 28
U.S. Const. amend V.....	21, 24

U.S. Const. amend IX.....	3, 16, 17, 19, 21, 24
U.S. Const. amend X.....	10
U.S. Const. amend XIV.....	3, 9, 10, 17, 19, 21, 24
Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2)	
which states.....	1, 3, 16, 30
FEDERAL CASES	
Barber v. Barber :: 62 U.S. 582 (1858).....	17
Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, 597 U.S. 215 (2022).....	15
In re Burrus, 136 U.S. 586, 593-94 (1890).....	17
Parham v. J.R., 442 U.S. at 602 (1979).....	17, 18
Reno v. Flores, 507 U.S. at 303-05, (1993).....	18
Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973).....	15
Scheuer v. Rhodes 416 U.S. 232, 94 S. Ct. 1683, 1687 (1974).....	4, 14, 21, 25, 28
Solomon v. Solomon 516 F.2d 1018 (3d Cir. 1975).....	17
Sosna v. Iowa, 419 U.S. 393, 404 (1975).....	17
Stanley v. Illinois, 403 U.S., at 658 (1972).....	17
Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. at 57 (2000).....	17, 18, 21
United States v. Price 383 U.S. 787 (1966).....	5, 14, 21, 25, 28
Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 U.S. 702, 721 (1997).....	17
Ziglar v. Abbasi, No. 15-1358, slip op. at 12 (U.S. June 19, 2017).....	17
STATUTES	
18 U.S.C. § 96.....	12, 21, 24

18 U.S.C. § 241.....	21, 24, 25
18 U.S.C. § 242.....	21, 24
18 U.S.C. § 371.....	12, 21, 24
18 U.S.C. § 1510.....	12, 21, 24
18 U.S.C. § 1512.....	21, 24
18 U.S.C. § 1513.....	12, 21, 24
28 U.S.C. § 1254.....	2
28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).....	2
28 U.S.C. § 2101(f).....	2
28 U.S. Code § 453.....	5, 14
29 U.S.C. § 794.....	21, 24
31 U.S. Code § 6301-6309.....	17
42 U.S. Code § 12132.....	4
42 U.S.C. § 672.....	18
42 U.S.C. § 671.....	18
42 U.S.C §§ 5101-5106 (1976).....	18
42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134.....	21, 24
42 U.S.C. Chapter 7 Subchapter IV	24
Section 504 Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§	
12131-12134, and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 35, AND Section 504	
of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ("Section 504"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 794, AND	
its implementing regulation, 45 C.F.R. Part 84 of the 1973/4 Civil Rights Act..	
	21, 24

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

2 C.F.R. Title 2 Subtitle A Chapter II Part 200 Subpart D § 200.300.....3

2 C.F.R. Title 2 Subtitle A, Chapter II, Part 200, Subpart D, Section §

200.318(c)(1).....21, 23

28 C.F.R. Part 35.....21, 24

45 C.F.R Part 84.....21, 24

HAWAII STATE RULINGS

Stop Rail Now v. DeCosta, 120 Hawai i 238, 243, 203 P.3d 658, 663 (App. 2008),.....1

HAWAII STATE STATUTES

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 602-58.....1

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 703-309.....9, 10

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 350-1.....9

HAWAII FAMILY COURT RULES

Haw. Fam. Ct. R. 24 Intervention of Right.....10

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI:

Petitioner Daniel Scott Robinson is asking this court to follow its oath of office to uphold the constitution of the United States, follow federal criminal law and grant this petition from the Hawaii State Supreme Court and order the State of Hawaii to follow the law. All law. Especially federal, civil, disability, constitutional, and federal criminal law under the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2). The Hawaii State Supreme Court and Intermediate Court of Appeals now act like a "Family Court" and refuse to follow federal law unless it allows them to defraud the federal government out of federal grant funds and "abuse their discretion" and refuse to follow federal law. The state of Hawaii uses *Stop Rail Now v. DeCosta*, 120 Hawaii 238, 243, 203 P.3d 658, 663 (App. 2008), a state civil ruling on a state civil law, as "Parens Patria", or the good of the state as a reason to violate all of the above criminal and federal laws. However, the state of Hawaii has forgotten that "Parens Patria" is for the good of the whole nation and not just the state of Hawaii. Which is why federal criminal law, executive orders, rules, policy and procedure, code of federal regulations, terms and conditions, civil, disability, federal, or constitutional law or this U.S. Supreme Court's precedents exists

OPINIONS AND ORDERS BELOW:

The Hawaii State Supreme Court on December 12th, 2024, denied a "Notice of Unconstitutionality" in case number SCAP-24-0000592 APPENDIX A, filed per Hawaii Revised Statute § 602-58 and Hawaii Family Court Rules 24(d) in which the

applicant filed a "Notice of Unconstitutionality" due to the state of Hawaii violating criminal law, federal executive orders, rules, policy and procedure, terms and conditions, code of federal regulations, civil, disability, federal, or constitutional law or this U.S. Supreme Court's precedents as evidenced by the applicants emailed federal complaints to state and federal officials APPENDIX C.

The Hawaii Third Circuit Family Court on August 8th, 2024, handed down , "Final Orders" APPENDIX B, in which Judge Jeffrey W. NG violated criminal law as well as the civil, disability, federal, and constitutional laws and rights of the applicant, a "mentally incompetent" man and removed parental rights of the applicant even after he was found innocent of any wrongdoing and found to be a "fit parent" in two separate trials. Which the applicant believes was done as a criminal act of retaliation for the applicant exposing the criminally illegal acts of Judge Jeffrey W. NG, the State Judiciary, and Hawaii "State Officers". APPENDIX C.

JURISDICTION

The Hawaii State Supreme Court entered its denial in case number SCAP-24-0000592 on December 12th, 2024, APPENDIX A. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254, 28 U.S.C. 1257, and 28 U.S.C. 2101(c), (e), and (f).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

- 1) 9th amendment of the Constitution of the United States which states "The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, *shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.*"

- 2) Section 1 of the 14th amendment of the Constitution of the United States which states "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. *No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.*"
- 3) Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) which states " This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, *shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.*"
- 4) Code of Federal Regulations Title 2 Subtitle A Chapter II Part 200 Subpart D § 200.300 which states "The Federal agency or pass-through entity must manage and administer the Federal award in a manner so as to ensure that Federal funding is expended and associated programs are implemented *in full accordance with the U.S. Constitution, applicable Federal statutes and regulations—including provisions protecting free speech, religious liberty, public welfare, and the environment, and those prohibiting discrimination—*and the requirements of this part. The Federal agency or pass-through entity

must communicate to a recipient or subrecipient all relevant requirements, including those contained in general appropriations provisions, and incorporate them directly or by reference in the terms and conditions of the Federal award.”

- 5) 42 U.S. Code § 12132 which states “Subject to the provisions of this subchapter, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, *or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.*”
- 6) Scheuer v. Rhodes | 416 U.S. 232, 94 S. Ct. 1683, 1687 (1974) which states “By law, a judge is a state officer. The judge then acts not as a judge, but as a private individual (in his person). *When a judge acts as a trespasser of the law, when a judge does not follow the law, the judge loses subject-matter jurisdiction and the judges’ orders are not voidable, but VOID, and of no legal force or effect.* The U.S. Supreme Court stated that “*when a state officer acts under a state law in a manner violative of the Federal Constitution, he comes into conflict with the superior authority of that Constitution, and he is in that case stripped of his official or representative character and is subjected in his person to the consequences of his individual conduct. The State has no power to impart to him any immunity from responsibility to the supreme authority of the United States.*”

- 7) United States v. Price | 383 U.S. 787 (1966), Which states ""in the free exercise and enjoyment of the right and privilege secured to them by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States not to be deprived of life or liberty without due process of law by persons acting under color of the laws of Mississippi."
- 8) 28 U.S. Code § 453 which states "I, ____, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as ____ under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Introduction:

Due to the criminal actions of the Hawaii State Judiciary, the applicant performed more than three thousand hours of research and discovered that the state of Hawaii has committed more than twelve billion dollars in federal grant fraud by violating citizens' civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights and this Court's precedents. And due to the applicant trying to hold the state of Hawaii accountable they have done everything in their power to violate his rights and retaliate against him by violating both state and federal criminal laws and without any recourse, repercussions, or accountability.

Statement of Facts:

The applicant believes that the appellee in the originating case number 3FDV-22-0000801 was an accessory to manslaughter for which she was never questioned or held accountable in Hawaii State murder case number 3CPC-22-0000552 in which a retired pastor and missionary was murdered in cold blood by a mentally ill 33-year-old man with the mind of a nine year old, Cameron Stewart, who was under the supervised care of his wealthy real estate developer parents Hiedi and Reid Stewart. Hiedi and Reid Stewart were warned by Camerons mental health care team in Arizona that if he was taken on vacation to Hawaii that that would psychologically destabilize him and cause him to have a psychotic break in which he may hurt someone. Which he then did.

However, Heidi and Reid Stewart took legal supervision over Cameron and took Cameron on a vacation against medical advice because "We needed a vacation" according to the appellee, and then allowed Cameron to walk out of their vacation house with a bow and arrow to hunt cats alone, and instead he had a psychotic break as his medical team had warned and cut the throat of Charles Hacker who Cameron thought was "watching him", with one of the arrows. Mrs. Stewart admitted all this to the appellee Mrs. Robinson in an "excited utterance", who was the Stewarts Real estate agent and who picked up Heidi Stewart after the initial hearing, and who withheld the information from Police detectives and became an accessory to manslaughter.

The applicant refused to be part of the crime and so the appellee threatened the applicant, a "mentally incompetent" man just like Cameron Stewart, and stated

that if the applicant revealed the information, she would divorce him, file a falsified restraining order against him, and take away their children. Which she then did in Hawaii Family Court cases 3FDA-22-0000801 and 3FDV-23-0000643.

The appellee then proceeded to abuse their children, blackmail the applicant, commit federal and state tax fraud and welfare fraud, as well as Harassment, child abuse, and child neglect, and a host of other crimes, none of which she was held accountable for. The applicant tried to report all these crimes to Hawaii Family Court Judges Jeffrey W. NG and Judge Mahilani Hiatt who refused to hear the complaints and stated, "Go file your criminal complaints elsewhere". The applicant then filed all complaints with the Hilo Hawaii Prosecuting Attorney's Office who referred him to a Police detective who stated "That's just rich people doing what rich people do. Your ex-wife is your problem". This spawned multiple court cases including restraining order cases, intermediate court of appeals cases, Hawaii State Supreme Court cases, and even U.S. Supreme Court case SCPW-24-5005. All of which refused to give the applicant legal protection or relief. *And to this day, Cameron Stewart remains locked up in a State Psychiatric Hospital and neither Ms. Robinson, the appellee, nor Hiedi and Reid Stewart, have been questioned or held accountable for their crimes based on this evidence.*

The Hawaii Family Court then violated federal criminal law and retaliated against the applicant and violated his civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights and removed his parental rights after Hawaii Family Court Judge Jeffrey W. NG stated at a Halloween trick or treating event outside the courtroom that "I am

going to take that guys kids away and force him to pay child support”, a criminal act as an individual “In his Person”, to two of his friends on October 28th, 2023 referring to the applicant. He then followed through on that threat and did so on August 8th, 2024, as a judge in the applicant’s family court case. Which then became a crime, as a judge.

The applicant then found the personal email addresses of the most powerful government officials in the state of Hawaii, Washington D.C. and the world and sent out mass emails fighting for his and his children’s rights APPENDIX C. However, after over two years of petitioning the state and federal government for relief. The applicant’s rights have still been decimated by the government including this U.S. Supreme Court. And the applicant and his children are still being abused.

Procedural History:

1. On Wednesday June 29th, 2022, Cameron Stewart murdered Charles Hacker an 86-year-old retired pastor and missionary in Pahoa Hawaii in Hawaii Criminal Case 3CPC-22-0000552.
2. On Wednesday July 13th, 2022, appellee, Ms. Robinson, gave Hiedi Stewart a ride home from the Cameron Stewart hearing who made an excited utterance to the appellee stating “Cameron’s psychiatric team warned us that if we took him on vacation to Hawaii that he would have a psychotic break and hurt someone. But we needed a vacation and didn’t think it would actually happen.” After that, the appellee told the applicant that she would divorce him and take his children away if he ever told anyone.

3. In Tamara Louise Robinson vs Daniel Scott Robinson, No. 3FDV-22-0000786 the appellee informed the court that the applicant was a mentally ill man and then lied and stated that he was going to try and flee the country with their children.
4. On December 13th, 2022, in case No. 3FDV-22-0000801 the applicant and respondent divorced and agreed to a divorce agreement with 50/50 legal and physical custody which the applicant did under duress and afraid for his life due to the threats of the appellee. The appellee informed the court that the applicant was a mentally ill man, and the applicant tried to tell Judge Jeffrey W. NG about the crimes, but the judge refused to listen.
5. On April 26th the respondent Tamara Louise Robinson filed a Motion and Declaration for Post Decree Relief requesting the removal of some of the applicant's parental rights.
6. On July 6th, 2023, the applicant was forced to call the police after he was attacked by his son. Police report No. 23-064964 which stated that "No injuries were reported or observed".
7. On July 7th, 2023, the respondent Tamara Louise Robinson filed a complaint with Hawaii Department of Child Welfare Services. Those complaints and false allegations contained no violations of laws and even if true were the protected rights of the applicant under Hawai'i Revised Statute (HRS) § 703-309, HRS § 350-1, and the 14th amendment and contained no proof other than

hearsay and false allegations. In which the applicant was later found innocent "With Prejudice".

8. On July 13th, 2023, the applicant was contacted by PARENTS INC. case manager Debbie Wong who lied to the applicant and stated that if he did not speak with her his children would be removed from his custody.
9. On July 15th, 2023, the applicant contacted the Hawaii State Child Abuse hotline and informed them that his ex-wife was abusing his children, but it was ignored.
10. On approximately July 18th, 2023, the applicant tried to speak to police detective Blain Morishita and the prosecuting attorney's office about the Charles Hacker Murder and crimes of the appellee but was turned away because "That's just rich people doing what rich people do."
11. On July 24th, 2023, the applicant was contacted by Child Welfare Services Social Worker Cheryl De Lima who stated that he had less than 90 minutes to show up to the Child Welfare Services office and allow her to interview him and his children or she would write a determination letter stating that he was "Non-Compliant" and remove his children from his home.
12. On July 25th, 2023, the applicant filed a motion to dismiss case No. 3FDA-23-0000643 based on HRS § 703-309, HRS § 350, and the 14th amendment defending his right to discipline his children even if what he was accused of was true. And at a trial in case number 3FDA-23-0000643 on July 25th, 2023,

his motion to dismiss was ignored. CWS Supervisor Mark Morikawa then requested more time to investigate.

13. Between July 25th, 2023, and August 15th, 2023, the applicant and his Child Welfare Advocate Joshua Franklin sent multiple emails trying to defend the applicants' civil and constitutional rights and provided further evidence that his ex-wife was abusing his children. But it was ignored.
14. On August 15th, 2023, The applicant again tried to tell the court about the crimes of the respondent but instead Judge Mahilani Hiatt ordered the removal of the applicants son from his care as well as "therapeutic communication" after the Hawaii Island Department of Child Welfare Services submitted a report to the Hawaii 3rd Circuit Court in Case No. 3FDA-23-0000643 stating that the applicant was a "threat" of "abuse" and a "threat" of "neglect" with absolutely no evidence provided.
15. On September 12th, 2023, the applicant filed his first "Notice of Unconstitutionality" per Hawaii family court rules 24(d) to the Hawaii State Supreme Court within case No. 3FDA-23-0000643 which was wrongly filed by the Hawai'i state judiciary as a petition for Writ of Certiorari in case No. CAAP-23-0000525 to the Hawai'i Intermediate Court of Appeals.
16. On October 6th, 2023, the applicant tried to appeal the determination of abuse within the Hawai'i Department of Human Services citing his state, federal, and constitutional rights and had a DHS Administrative Hearing which was denied.

17. The applicant filed a complaint with the Hawai'i Commission on Judicial conduct showing that Judge Mahilani Hiatt who was later reprimanded by the Hawaii Commission of Judicial Conduct was a board member of a "service provider" which is a violation of multiple state and federal laws.
18. On October 27th, 2023, the applicant filed a second "Notice of Unconstitutionality" to the Hawaii State Supreme Court per Hawaii rules of family court 24(d) within the Hawai'i DHS hearing case No. CAAP-23-000067 and again it was turned into a petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals.
19. Also on October 27th, 2023 the appellee provided a "Firearm Denial Notice" from the State of California in case number 3FDA-23-0000643 proving that the applicant is a "Mentally Incompetent" man.
20. On November 1st, 2023, a trial happened in case No. 3FDA-23-0000643 in which the applicant was found innocent of all allegations of abuse with prejudice.
21. On November 16th, 2023, Judge NG then simply reordered the illegal orders ordered on August 15th, 2023, in case No. 3FDA-23-0000643 into case No. 3FDV-22-0000801.
22. On December 21st, 2023, the applicant filed a third Notice of Unconstitutionality with the Hawaii 3rd Circuit Court, and they refused to file it. They forced the applicant to send the application into the Hawaii State Supreme Court through the U.S.P.S. who received it on December 29th, 2023.

23. On January 21st, 2024, the applicant requested a Motion for Stay Pending Appeal in which he filed more than 700 pages of evidence in what he believes proves the state of Hawai'i is in violation of defrauding the government 18 U.S.C. § 371, racketeering 18 U.S.C. § 96, obstructing justice 18 U.S.C. § 1510, retaliating against witnesses 18 U.S.C. § 1512, and witness tampering 18 U.S.C. § 1513.
24. On February 2nd, 2024, The Hawaii State Supreme Court stated that they had "no jurisdiction" over his case due to the orders "appealed from" being "interlocutory orders" and remanded the case to the Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals case No. CAAP-24-0000066 which was opened the same day.
25. On February 7th, 2024, the Hawai'i Intermediate Court of Appeals also stated that they had "no jurisdiction" over the case and closed the case, however, since the orders "appealed from" were carried over from case 3FDA-23-0000643 into case No. 3FDV-22-0000801 they were not "interlocutory orders" and were in fact orders from case No 3FDA-23-0000643 in which a final judgment was rendered that the applicant was innocent of all charges.
26. On August 8th, 2024 "Final Orders were handed down from Judge Jeffrey W. NG removing the rights of the applicant Appendix B.
27. On September 6th, 2024, the applicant filed an appeal with the Hawaii State Intermediate Court of Appeals case number CAAP-24-0000592.

28. On November 22nd, 2024, the applicant filed a fourth "Notice of

Unconstitutionality" with the Hawaii State Supreme Court case number

SCAP-24-0000592 which was denied on December 12th, 2024, Appendix A.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

This Court has become corrupt and is now part of the "Deep State" controlled by special interest and billionaires. Proof of this is that this court has only allowed one Pro Se Litigant to argue a case once in the last two decades. And it has done so by violating the same federal and constitutional rights of "the people" that allow it to exist. I believe that this court is in violation of its own rulings in *Scheuer v.*

Rhodes | 416 U.S. 232 (1974) and *United States v. Price* | 383 U.S. 787 (1966), as well as both the 5th and 14th amendment and therefore needs to be investigated and "judged" by the F.B.I. the U.S. Attorney General, and the people. Proof of this is all the evidence against this court that I will now present in this case.

So many criminal laws have been broken in this case by the appellee and "state officers", they are now too many to count. Per U.S. Supreme Court case SCPW-24-5005 and Appendix C The applicant has fought for his rights and contacted every state and federal agency and court there is and yet nothing is done to protect the applicant, his children, the citizens of the state, and their civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights. This Courts denial in U.S. Supreme Court case No. SCPW-24-5005 directly led to the battery, abuse, harm, neglect, and violation of criminal, civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights of the applicant and his children. Therefore, the applicant believes this Court has become

corrupt and is no longer independent, impartial, or free from influence per its own code of conduct and refuses to uphold their oath of office per 28 U.S. Code § 453. This court has not allowed a Pro Se Litigant to argue a case in over a decade. So why Should this U.S. Supreme Court Remain Independent and Free from Oversight. Why lie, I already know that this court is going to deny this petition, obstruct justice, and violate my rights so let's ask some tough questions and be honest.

United States Supreme Court Justice Sonia Sotomayer recently stated in an interview "If we as a court go so much further ahead of the people, our legitimacy is going to be questioned". "I think the immunity case is one of those situations. I don't think that Americans have accepted that anyone should be above the law in America. Our equality as people was the foundation of our society and of our constitution." In regard to President Trumps presidential immunity. However, this court and it's justices have refused to uphold its oath of office per 28 U.S. Code § 453 to uphold the constitution and I believe they are in violation of Scheuer v. Rhodes | 416 U.S. 232 (1974), and United States v. Price | 383 U.S. 787 (1966) for not upholding the constitution and conspiring with state family courts to violate citizens' above-named federal rights and constitutional law so that states and this court may defraud the federal government out of federal grant funding in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371 by violating citizens' rights in violation of 18 U.S.C. 241 and 242. So why should this court enjoy its immunity and independence?

United States Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts stated in his annual report on the federal judiciary "for the past several decades, the decisions of the courts, popular or not, have been followed, and the Nation has avoided the standoffs that plagued the 1950s and 1960s." and "Within the past few years, however, elected officials from across the political spectrum have raised the specter of open disregard for federal court rulings," However, this Court has not addressed the family court crises in over three decades and is getting ready to lead this nation into the standoffs that plagued the 1950's and 1960's due to not taking action to reign in rampant state family court rights abuses or the judges and states who purposely violate citizens' rights with impunity so they can defraud the federal government out of federal grant funds.

This Court through its inaction to protect civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights and conspiring with state family courts to defraud the federal government by violating citizens' rights has proven its loss of independence due to special interest of the states, this Courts justices, and its attorney's billionaire friends. And therefore, has no right to ask to remain independent. This court flip flops depending on politics of the nation as evidenced by *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) and *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, 597 U.S. 215 (2022). This has led to the recent terror attacks such as Matthew Livelsberger who shot himself and then blew up his truck outside of Trump International Hotel in Las Vegas on January 1st, 2025, and Shamsud-Din Jabbar who drove a truck through a crowded Bourbon Street in New Orleans on January 1st, 2025, who were both

fathers who had had their civil, disability, federal and constitutional rights decimated by state family court systems that this court has refused to hold accountable.

Further evidence of this courts corruption is in the case of "Tommy Supreme" or, Tom Goldstien "One of the most successful Supreme Court advocates of the age" who has argued 44 cases in front of this court and was just recently "hit with a 50-page, 22-count federal indictment accusing him of massive tax evasion and listing a panoply of wild stories, including one-on-one poker games played with billionaires, multiple women he allegedly had intimate personal relationships with on his company's payroll, and tens of millions of dollars won and, ultimately, lost."

Further evidence is of Federal Judge John McConnell who failed to disclose his role at Crossroads Rhode Island while ruling against President Trump's federal spending freeze. Judge McConnell's nonprofit, Crossroads Rhode Island, received one hundred and twenty-eight million dollars in federal grant funding during his eighteen year board tenure per I.R.S. form 990, including forty two million dollars from 2020 to 2023. And two point nine million dollars in 2025. Which was a conflict of interest for a judge who is receiving federal funding to rule President Trumps federal funding freeze, which is a violation of *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, No. 15-1358, slip op. at 12 (U.S. June 19, 2017).

Further evidence of State and Federal Corruption is the National Endowment for Democracy which is a "Vipers Nest" of current and former politicians who fraudulently legislate for federal grant funds funneled to 501 c3

nonprofit organizations they control and Hawaii Senate Bill 1150 and House Bill 1118 which are brought by "Special Interest" that are not voted on by "the people". Hawaii SB 1150 allows the state to outright, outlaw the laws of other states and become a "Sanctuary State" giving it "jurisdiction" over children and families from other states and violates multiple civil, disability, federal, and constitutional laws. And Hawaii House Bill 1118 which had over one thousand public testimonies against it and only one hundred testimonies for it and yet still passed through the Hawaii House Committee on Judiciary and Hawaiian Affairs and violates the 1st and 14th amendments. Or Hawaii's refusal to follow this court's 2nd amendment precedents or the 2nd amendment itself. All in violation of all the laws stated in this Petition for Writ of Certiorari to this Court.

And since this U.S. Supreme Court is lawless and refuses to uphold the law, why should the lower courts? If this court refuses to hold its members accountable why should the lower courts? If this court refuses to uphold its oath of office, why should the lower courts? If this court refuses to follow its own code of ethics, why should the lower courts? *And if the courts themselves refuse to follow the law, be accountable, uphold their oaths as citizens of this country, and follow any moral or ethical standards, why should the Hawaii State Judiciary and more importantly, why should we the people?*

This Court, and the federal and state governments have robbed the people of their civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights. The constitution is the Supreme Law of the Land per the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the

United States (Article VI, Clause 2), which states that "*This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.*" The 9th Amendment states, "The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people." Meaning, the 9th amendment protects the rights of the people to be parents and raise their children without government interference that are not explicitly stated in the Constitution.

Meaning that this Court and the State and Federal Government never had the right to delegate those rights because they are not enshrined in the constitution and therefore belonged to the people, not the government. The 14th amendment specifically states that "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law nor deny any person within it's jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws. And *Stanley v. Illinois*, 403 U.S., at 658 (1972), *Washington v. Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702 (1997), *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. at 57 (2000), and *Parham v. J.R.*, 442 U.S. at 602 (1979), reaffirm these constitutional rights.

However, this Court illegally transferred the rights of parents that belong solely to parents per the 1st, 4th, 9th and 14th amendment to the states in *Barber v.*

Barber :: 62 U.S. 582 (1858), In re Burrus 136 U.S. 586, 593-94 (1890), Sosna v. Iowa, 419 U.S. 393, 404 (1975) and Solomon v. Solomon 516 F.2d 1018 (3d Cir. 1975) when it stated that this Court and the federal government lacks jurisdiction in domestic relations and gave the states the right to determine those laws which did not belong to this Court or the federal government to hand to the states in violation of the 1st, 4th, 9th, and 14th, amendments.

The U.S. Legislature stole the rights of parents when it instituted the Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement of 1977 31 U.S. Code § 6301-6309 which stated that states only need to be "Mostly In Compliance" with federal law and the constitution. And again, in the Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) 42 U.S.C 67 §§ 5101-5106 (1976) which classified what is a parents constitutionally protected right to discipline a child as "abuse". And again, in Social Security Act Title IV-E 42 U.S.C. § 671, and 42 U.S.C. § 672 which redefined what is the "Best Interest" of children. And the states stole the rights of parents due to this Court's rulings, and the U.S. legislatures enactment of the above laws by using the above loopholes in those laws to enact State Family Court law that violates a parent's civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights and this Court's precedents in determining how a parent may raise or discipline their child.

This Court will argue that through "Parens Patria" that it, and the state and federal government, has a right to intervene in the parental decisions of, and the upbringing on children. However, per Troxel v. Granville | 530 U.S. 57 (2000), this court itself has ruled that a parent has the right to "direct the care, upbringing, and

education” of their children free from governmental interference. And this court has ruled in *Parham v. J.R.* | 442 U.S. 584 (1979) that ”The law's concept of the family rests on a presumption that parents possess what a child lacks in maturity, experience, and capacity for judgment required for making life's difficult decisions. More important, historically it has recognized that natural bonds of affection lead parents to act in the best interests of their children.”

It was only in *Reno v. Flores*, 507 U.S. at 303-05, (1993) in which a crime had been committed that this court decided that the government may act in the “Best Interests” of a child if that child is an unaccompanied minor who crosses the border into America without legal guardians. Unless a child or a parent violates criminal law which violates the rights of other citizens, or the state, which would then remove their right to direct the care, upbringing, and education of their children then this Court, or the state or federal government has no right to interfere in both the substantive, procedural, due process, and liberty interest that a parent has in raising their children that is a constitutional right under the 1st, 4th, 9th and 14th, amendment and protected by the 14th amendment right to due process which clearly states that “All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

Due to all the violations of the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 9th and 14th Amendments by this Court, and the state and federal governments, the family court system in America has now become the greatest civil, disability, and constitutional rights crisis of our time. Why, because this is America where there is a U.S. Supreme Court, and Department of Justice that should stop corruption from happening and yet it does not. This can be seen in the case presented here in which the applicant, a “mentally incompetent” yet “fit father” has had every single one of his and his children’s rights violated by an ex-wife and the State of Hawaii who filed falsified temporary restraining order against the applicant so they could cover up their numerous crimes which the applicant believes he proved included, but not limited to, defrauding the federal government, and being an accessory to manslaughter among many others including but not limited to federal and state tax fraud, federal and state welfare fraud, assault and battery, blackmailing, harassment, child abuse, child neglect and a whole host of other crimes. All of which were covered up by the state of Hawaii by corrupt police officers, judges, and “state officers” who refused to prosecute the applicant’s ex-wife by falsely labeling the applicant a “threat” of “abuse” and a “threat” of “neglect” so they could in turn hand the applicant’s children over to the appellee as retaliation for the applicant trying to expose hers and the states crimes.

This led to the applicant spending more than three thousand hours studying the inner workings of the state of Hawaii and it’s judiciary systems and uncovering countless crimes in which the applicant believes he has proven that Hawaii “state

officers” have defrauded the U.S. Federal Government out of more than twelve billion dollars in federal grant awards by violating citizens civil, disability, federal and constitutional rights after refusing to follow code of federal regulations and federal guidelines of the federal Health and Human Services, Administration for Child and Families, in which countless police officers, judges, social workers, politicians, and “service providers” all conspired to help defraud the U.S. Government out of those federal grant awards by violating citizens civil, disability, federal and constitutional rights as evidenced by appendix C.

In total, the applicant believes he has proven that the state of Hawaii and it’s “State Officers”, 501 c3 nonprofit service providers, and other citizens linked to these people have committed gross criminal violations of civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights including but not limited to 18 U.S.C. § 241, 18 U.S.C. § 242, 18 U.S.C. § 371, 18 U.S.C. § 96, 18 U.S.C. § 1510, 18 U.S.C. § 1512, 18 U.S.C. § 1513, as well as section 504 Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134, and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 35, AND Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (“Section 504”), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 794, AND its implementing regulation, 45 C.F.R. Part 84 of the 1973/4 Civil Rights Act, as well as Code of Federal Regulations Title 2, Subtitle A, Chapter II, Part 200, Subpart D, Section § 200.318(c)(1), *Scheuer v. Rhodes* | 416 U.S. 232 (1974), *United States v. Price* | 383 U.S. 787 (1966), *Troxel v. Granville* | 530 U.S. 57 (2000), and the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 9th, and 14th, amendments as evidenced by exhibit C.

And the applicant believes that all these "State officers", 501 c3 nonprofit service providers, and other citizens were influenced to do so by elite radical left wing billionaires such as Mark Zuckerberg, Larry Ellison, Marc Benioff, and George Soros, and others not yet named, who have all donated large sums of money to 501 c3 nonprofit organizations and other "special interests" controlled by Hawaii state lawyers, judges and politicians. And I believe that these billionaires are doing so in order to have Hawaii state lawyers, judges, politicians, and the 501 c3 nonprofit organizations they control to use the 10th amendment to implement illegal laws that are destroying business interest, families, the Hawaiian culture, and our constitution, who then use the 11th amendment to shield themselves from any liability.

I believe this can be proven by "following the money" that runs through the state of Hawaii and due to Mark Zuckerberg, who has donated countless millions to local nonprofits in Kauai where he is building a two hundred and fifty-million-dollar estate. Larry Ellison who owns the island of Lanai and has donated countless millions to local nonprofits throughout Hawaii. Marc Benioff, who has donated hundreds of millions of dollars to Hawaii Island nonprofit housing organizations, the Straub Benioff Medical Center, and the Hilo Benioff Medical Center of which Hawaii Governor Joshua Green is a former employee. And I believe George and Alex Soros of Soros Fund Management, Quantum Endowment Cayman Limited, and Open Society Institute which are companies run through the Caymen Islands

and Malta has helped implement countless laws that destroy families through his Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion initiatives.

All of these billionaires are now or at one point in time have been radical left-wing activist who until President Elect Trump was elected fought for anti-business, diversity, equity, inclusion ideologies that have become the cornerstone of the laws being implemented that have destroyed this states and our countries civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights. As well as led to the international currency manipulation and actual downfall of other countries in eastern Europe in the case of the Soros family by using foreign companies.

But then what can we expect from this court when "The public is now far more aware of the extent of the largesse certain justices have received and how these justices and their billionaire benefactors continue to act with impunity," Especially when there are "never-before-reported trips allegedly taken by Justice Clarence Thomas at the expense of real estate developer Harlan Crow, a prominent supporter of the Republican Party." And "left-leaning Justice Sonia Sotomayor initially failing to disclose travel and lodging from the University of Rhode Island while on a book tour." And "reports point out that Justice Thomas has failed to recuse himself in cases where his wife, conservative activist Ginni Thomas, had a stake in the outcome."

Why then would we expect a different outcome from the states in which a micro view of this can be seen in that I have found Hawaii "State Officers" with "conflicts of interest" that have implemented laws that violate the constitution per

federal grant funding Terms and Conditions, per Code of Federal Regulations Title 2, Subtitle A, Chapter II, Part 200, Subpart D, Section § 200.318(c)(1) on every single Hawaii State federal funding committee and every board of every single 501 c3 non-profit service provider who receives federal grants I have researched with my limited resources and knowledge. When all U.S. Health and Human Services Social Security Act Title IV-A, B, D, and E (42 U.S.C. Chapter 7 Subchapter IV) grants, and federal Medicaid funding are included that means that over eight hundred million dollars per year are being fraudulently collected by Hawaii "state officers" and their service providers in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371 to defraud the United States by violating citizens' rights in violation of 18 U.S.C. 241 and 18 U.S.C. 242. And over a 15-year period, as much as twelve billion dollars have been fraudulently gathered by my rudimentary estimates.

They do this by violating the 1st, 4th, 9th and 14th amendments. As well as 18 U.S.C. § 241, 18 U.S.C. § 242, 18 U.S.C. § 371, 18 U.S.C. § 96, 18 U.S.C. § 1510, 18 U.S.C. § 1512, 18 U.S.C. § 1513, as well as section 504 Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134, and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 35, AND Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ("Section 504"), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 794, AND its implementing regulation, 45 C.F.R. Part 84 of the 1973/4 Civil Rights Act as well as the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 9th, and 14th amendments, and yet nothing is done to stop it by this Court, or the state or federal government.

In the case of the state judges who are on these federal funding committees and 501 c3 nonprofit organizations such as Judge Darrien Nagata, and judges who volunteer with, are directors of, or board members of 501 c3 nonprofit service providers such as Hawaii Family Court judge Mahilani Hiatt, Judge Jeffrey NG, Judge Jeniffer D.K. NG, Judge Joanna Sokolow, and current Hawaii State Senator and former family court judge Joy San Buenaventura who violated citizens' rights this becomes not only defrauding the U.S. Federal Government and its citizens but *criminal offenses* against the United States and its citizens.

And when it is factored in that these "State officers" violated all these citizens' rights in order to enrich themselves "in their person" in violation of Scheuer v. Rhodes | 416 U.S. 232 (1974) and United States v. Price | 383 U.S. 787 (1966) as private citizens who then used their official positions as judges to enrich themselves by laundering federal grant awards into 501 c3 nonprofit organizations they control, and when all the civil, disability, federal and constitutional rights and harm, neglect, abuse, starvation, rape, and murder of innocent citizens is factored in it becomes an aggravated offense under 18 U.S.C § 241 – Aggravated conspiracy against rights punishable by life in prison and possibly death. And yet nothing is done.

Judge Darrien Nagata was a member of the Hawaii Statewide Child and Family Services Program Improvement Program, (Social Security Act Title IV-B and E grant funding committee which brings in over \$100,000,000/year in federal grant funding). Which is a conflict of interest and a violation of the above laws for a

sitting judge to oversee the federal grant funding of the state agency (Hawaii DHS Child Welfare Services) who regularly removes the children from families who come before her and her judge coworkers in family court.

Judge Darrien Nagata was also a member of the Statewide Child Support Guidelines Committee, (Social Security Act Title IV-D grant funding committee which brings in over \$160,000,000/year in federal grant funding). Which again is a conflict of interest and a violation of the above laws for a sitting judge to oversee the federal grant funding of the state agency (Hawaii Child Support Enforcement Network) that involves orders for child support that her and her coworkers, other judges, hand down orders for.

Judge Darrien Nagata is also on the Court Improvement Program Advisory Committee, (Section 438 of Title IV-B, subpart 2 which brings in over \$263,671/year in federal grant funding). Which again is a conflict of interest and a violation of the above laws for a sitting judge to oversee the federal grant funding of the state agency (Hawaii Family Court) that she works for which administers this grant.

Judge Darrien Nagata is also on the Standing Committee on Children in Family Court, (Social Security Act Title IV-E federal grant funding through the Child Abuse And Prevention Treatment Act CAPTA). Which is again a conflict of interest and a violation of the above laws for a sitting judge to oversee the federal grant funding of the state agency (Hawaii Department of Human Services, Social Services Division, Child Welfare Services) who presents cases to her court where

she regularly removes children from parents' custody in violation of civil, disability, and constitutional rights.

All this does not include the federal grant funding of the 501 C3 nonprofit "service providers" of the Hawaii Family Court system that I named in the IRS Exempt Organization Tax Fraud Complaint email I sent out on October 21st, 2024, Appendix C, showing another \$200,000,000+ per year worth of fraudulently collected federal grants by Judge Darrien Nagata, Judge Jeffrey Ng, Judge Jeniffer D.K. NG, Judge Sokolow, Judge Hasegawa and the "service providers" they work with and for.

And if you look at former Hawaii State Department of Human Services Directors Cathy Betts 2024 Hawaii State Legislative Budget Briefing January 2024 to Donovan M. Dela Cruz, Hawaii Chair Senate Committee on Ways and Means and Kyle Yamashita, Hawaii Chair House Committee on Finance, you will see that the Hawaii DHS budget priority number four is "Ensuring our contracted providers and community-based partners are adequately compensated for the work they do alongside the department" after judges and "state officers" commit federal grant fraud to get them paid by violating citizens' rights.

This does not include the Hawaii Med-Quest Healthcare Advisory Committee with board members who have multiple conflicts of interest which oversees the federal grant funding of over three hundred million dollars per year worth of federal grant funding under section 1903(s) (42 U.S.C. 1396b) of the Social Security Act.

When all this is added up it is approximately \$820,000,000 per year or between \$8,200,000,000 to \$12,300,000,000 over a 10 to 15 year period in federal grant fraud per these Codes of Federal Regulations and laws. And yet this Court does nothing. So again, I ask, why should this Court remain independent and free from oversight. Why should judges be able to hide behind immunity when they are clearly breaking the law. And Why Can States Violate Citizens criminal, Civil, Disability, Federal, and Constitutional Rights And Code Of Federal Regulations and Not Follow The Law when these laws clearly state that a state must do so?

In the case of Judge Jeffrey W. Ng, a former Deputy Public Defender who spent his entire career defending people's rights and Judge Mahilani Hiatt who was the Hawaii State Trial Judges Association Vice-President or Judge Darrien Nagata who is a cross deputized U.S. Assistant Attorney. They knew exactly what they were doing. They knew exactly which laws they were breaking, and which rights they were violating. Why, because they spent entire careers defending those rights before they became judges. And due to their blatant lawlessness and violation of the appellants and his children's rights as well as the ten thousand plus families whose children they helped remove in violation of the 4th amendment, both the applicant, his children, and all the other citizens who have come before them have been irreparably harmed in what will take a lifetime of healing to be able to overcome the abuse, harm, neglect, and harassment they have endured due to these judges' criminal actions.

And due to the Hawaii State Felix Consent Decree, the current federal lawsuit by Eric Seitz against the Hawaii State Prison system, multiple federal lawsuits filed against the state of Hawaii for violations of the 4th amendment, and now this, there is no way for any of these "State Officers" who are lawyers and doctors who are professionally trained in these laws to deny that they did not know exactly what they were doing. So, per *Scheuer v. Rhodes* | 416 U.S. 232 (1974), every single one of them can be sued in civil court and prosecuted in federal court for their actions because they acted "in there person" outside of the scope of their duties to uphold the constitution of the United States Per their oaths of office per Hawaii Revised Statute 19. And outside their professional oaths as lawyers per Haw. R. Sup. Ct. Rule 1.5 . Yet they are still not held accountable. And per *United States v. Price* | 383 U.S. 787 (1966), every single one of them including those who supported them including but not limited to the law clerks in the courts, the citizens who run the 501 c3 nonprofit "service providers" who help them violate citizens criminal, civil, disability, federal, and constitutional rights, the politicians, and anyone else who has touched this and other cases can be sued in civil court and prosecuted in federal court. And yet nothing is done.

So again, I ask, why should this Court remain independent? Why should judges who purposely use their immunity to violate the law continue to receive immunity? Why are a state and "state officers" not forced to follow the law even when the state not following the law leads to the murder, rape, starvation, and death of innocent disabled men, women, and children? And when all matters of

recourse against a state and "state officers" fail, and a citizen is not a billionaire like President Trump who has the money to defend himself, what is a citizen supposed to do to find relief? Try and blow up the trump tower in Las Vegas? Run-down innocent citizens on Bourbon Street? Or run to leaders of other countries for help as the applicant is forced to do.

On a macro view this is happening in every state across the country. I love this country and my children more than life itself. And I have no ill will against this country. But billionaires like George Soros, the "Deep State", and rouge "State Officers" are purposely destroying our civil, disability, and constitutional rights in order to launder federal grant funds and destroy the constitution itself. And this court is allowing it to happen. A good man's heart is filled with both good and evil. And if you remove a good man's children, you steal his love, his sacrifice, his life's work, his future, and you release the evil within him. Three things worth fighting and dying for are faith, family, and freedom. And if the lawlessness of this court continues the next time "the people" march on the capitol as happened on January 6th, 2020, it won't be the capitol building "the people" are marching on, it will be this United States Supreme Court.

As far as the act of protest the applicant has performed. Kim Jong Un has had the power to destroy the world for the last decade. He could have easily nuked Tokyo or Soul. And he hasn't. Unlike the majority of the leaders of America who have spent the last century colonizing the world and destroying countries for profit to steal their resources. From my perspective, Kim Jong Un has performed

flawlessly. In the face of unrelenting economic sanctions, facing the awesome power of the American military, and being demonized by the radical left-wing billionaire controlled American media, just like President Trump, and myself, he has shown nothing but restraint. And done everything he could to protect his people, his religion, and his culture. Just like President Trump and the applicant.

There is an easy solution. North Korea has every right to nuclear arms as America or any other country does. In this case simply take the same approach as in Pakistan and India. Give nuclear weapons to South Korea so they both have them. Then perform a stepwise drawdown of the demilitarized zone which would save America billions of dollars and allow America to send those troops to the American border with Mexico and Canada, while decreasing economic sanctions and opening up trade. This would make a friend out of a foe. And America could have 3 aircraft carrier groups in that region in less than 24 hours if need be. For the record. A copy of this Petition will be mailed to and emailed to state and federal officials, world leaders, and press around the world. And the applicant has performed an interview with multiple youtubers explaining exactly what he is doing who are going to release those interviews when the applicant performs his act of protest so this Court cannot cover up its crimes. If this court refuses to hear this petition, then please see APPENDIX D and prepare for the coming civil war this court is going to create and any actions the applicant is forced to take that this court will be directly responsible for by not protecting his family and Americans civil, disability, federal and constitutional rights.

CONCLUSION

I'm begging this court and the federal government for relief. For the love of God, this Country, the constitution and everything they stand for. And to my children, if I do not survive my act of protest know that I will always be with you. And that I did everything I could in my legal power to protect you, love you, fight for you, and your futures so that way you do not have to suffer the same injustices as my father and I. Know that I will see you again, in this life or the next.

Prayer for Relief

Per U.S. Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Sonia Sotomayor statement, that "No man, (or woman) Should be above the law" the applicant request

- 1) If I am hopefully still alive after performing my act of protest that United States President Donald Trump and federal officials made a party to this case would intervene in this case and my many other court cases and honor the thousands of hours I have put into protecting my children, the people of Hawaii, and this country and use the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) and would:
 - a. Personally come pick me up from North Korea and start talks with North Korean Supreme Leader Kim Jong Un regarding my proposed plan concerning North and South Korea mentioned in this Petition.
 - b. I am officially and formally requesting that a Federal Receiver be appointed under Rule 66 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governed by 28 U.S.C. § 3103 per 28 U.S.C. 3101 through 3105 to

oversee any federal grant funding to the state of Hawaii to make sure federal criminal, civil, disability, federal and constitutional law are followed per the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2).

- 2) I, as an American citizen, per my 1st amendment right to petition the federal government, and my 5th and 14th amendment right to due process, am based on the evidence provided in the applicants Appendix C, is officially and formally requesting Federal Bureau of Investigation Director Kash Patel investigate these "state officers", judges, politicians, and 501 c3 nonprofit organizations.
- 3) I, as an American citizen, per my 1st amendment right to petition the federal government, and my 5th and 14th amendment right to due process, am based on the evidence provided in the applicants Appendix C requesting that U.S. Attorney General Pam Bondi file federal criminal charges against the appellee, Hawaii State Supreme Court and Intermediate Court of Appeals Justices, Hawaii state judges, "state officers", politicians, and 501 c3 nonprofit organizations named in the applicants Appendix "C" per Scheuer v. Rhodes | 416 U.S. 232 (1974), and United States v. Price | 383 U.S. 787 (1966) for violations of 18 U.S.C. 371, 18 U.S.C. 241, 18 U.S.C. 242 and other criminal civil, disability, federal and constitutional law violations named in this petition who committed these crimes, conspired to commit these crimes,

and failed to protect the rights of the applicant, his children, and the people of Hawaii.

- 4) I, as an American citizen, per my 1st amendment right to petition the federal government, and my 5th and 14th amendment right to due process, am based on the evidence provided in this petition and the applicants Appendix C, and other evidence collected and overseen by Federal Bureau of Investigation Director Kash Patel officially and formally requesting that U.S. Attorney General Pam Bondi appoint a "special counsel" from the Department of Justice Public Integrity Section to investigate and bring charges, sanctions, or other necessary disciplinary action against this U.S. Supreme Court and it's justices per U.S. Supreme Court Justice, Honorable Sonia Sotomayor statement, that "No man, (or woman) Should be above the law".
- 5) Am requesting Director Russell Vought of the Office of Management and Budget to initiate Suspension and Debarment proceeding of federal funding of federal grants named in this petition and the applicant's Appendix C, until the State of Hawaii and it's "state officers", judges, politicians, and 501 c3 nonprofit organizations follow the above laws and federal and constitutional protections.
- 6) Would request that Elon Musk and the Department of Government Efficiency would investigate the federal grant funds of the federal Health and Human Services and Administration of Child and Family Services that fund the American family court complex for fraud named in this petition.

7) Would request that Robert F. Kennedy Jr., Secretary for the Health and Human Services initiate an investigation into Health and Human Services, Administration for Child and Families federal grant funding to the state of Hawaii and initiate suspension and debarment of that federal funding until the State of Hawaii comes into compliance with all rules and terms and conditions of the Administration for Child and Families, and until all code of federal regulations, civil, disability, federal, and constitutional laws are followed.

8) That this Court would grant this Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

I declare under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated this 1st, of April 2025 Hilo, Hawaii

/s/ Daniel Scott Robinson

Daniel Scott Robinson Pro Se Litigant

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel Scott Robinson
233 Punahele Street
Hilo, Hawaii 96720
(808) 498-7234
Daniel.robinsonrn@hotmail.com
Pro Se Litigant

Anne E. Lopez, Attorney General
State of Hawai'i
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
(808) 586-1500
(808) 586-1239 (Fax)
Email - anne.e.lopez@hawaii.gov

Tamara Louise Robinson
16-2131 Sandalwood Drive #81989
Pahoa, Hawai'i 96778
Phone - (707) 683-9825
Email - Tamararobinson1313@gmail.com
Appellee and Pro Se Litigant

Office of The Appellate Clerk
Hawaii State Supreme Court
417 S. King Street
Honolulu, HI 96813
Phone (808) 539-4919
Fax (808) 539 4928
Appeals.COA@courts.hawaii.gov