

No. 24-6788

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SHANNON LAMON ANDERSON, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION

D. JOHN SAUER
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 24-6788

SHANNON LAMON ANDERSON, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES IN OPPOSITION

Petitioner contends (Pet. 10-27) that 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(1), the federal statute that prohibits a person from possessing a firearm if he has been convicted of “a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year,” ibid., violates the Second Amendment on its face. See Pet. 2, 10 (describing petitioner’s challenge as facial); Pet. App. 4 (discussing petitioner’s claim that Section 922(g)(1) “violates the Second Amendment on its face”). For the reasons set out in the government’s brief in opposition in French v. United States, No. 24-6623 (filed Apr. 11, 2025), that contention does not warrant this Court’s review. As the government explained in French, the

claim that Section 922(g)(1) violates the Second Amendment on its face plainly lacks merit, and every court of appeals to consider the issue since United States v. Rahimi, 602 U.S. 680 (2024), has determined that the statute has at least some valid applications.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.*

Respectfully submitted.

D. JOHN SAUER
Solicitor General

APRIL 2025

* A copy of the government's brief in opposition in French is being served on petitioner. The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.