

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

NATIONAL REPUBLICAN SENATORIAL COMMITTEE, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION, ET AL.,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

**JOINT MOTION OF COURT-APPOINTED *AMICUS CURIAE* AND
INTERVENORS DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE, ET AL.
FOR DIVIDED ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 28.4, Court-Appointed *Amicus Curiae* (*Amicus*) and the Democratic National Committee, et al. (Intervenors), respectfully move for divided argument. *Amicus* and Intervenors propose that *Amicus* be allocated 15 minutes for oral argument, followed by 15 minutes allocated to Intervenors. This allocation of time will not require any enlargement of argument time.

This case involves a First Amendment challenge to 52 U.S.C. § 30116(d)'s limits on the amount of money “[t]he national committee of a political party” may spend in coordination with a candidate for federal office. On December 4, 2024, petitioners sought certiorari in this Court. After President Trump took office, federal respondents abandoned the position they had taken in the Sixth Circuit, agreed with

petitioners that Section 30116(d)'s limits are unconstitutional, and urged the Court to grant certiorari. On May 30, 2025, the Democratic National Committee, Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee, and Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee moved to intervene to defend the judgment. The Court granted the petition, appointed *Amicus* to defend the court of appeals' judgment, and granted Intervenor's motion to intervene.

On August 21, 2025, federal respondents moved for divided argument with petitioners and suggested that "the Court divide the remaining 30 minutes between the Court-appointed amicus curiae and intervenors in accordance with any motion" filed. Dividing argument between *Amicus* and Intervenor's would provide the Court with additional perspectives on the proper resolution of this case and the constitutionality of Section 30116(d). Among other things, *Amicus* has raised a number of distinct jurisdictional arguments that will be addressed at argument. As such, divided argument would materially assist the Court in its resolution of the questions presented.

October 6, 2025

MARC E. ELIAS

Counsel of Record

DAVID R. FOX

JACOB D. SHELLY

OMEED ALERASOOL

JULIANNA D. ASTARITA

ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP

250 Massachusetts Avenue, NW

Suite 400

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 968-4490

eliasm@elias.law

*Counsel for Intervenor-Respondents
DNC, DSCC, and DCCC*

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Roman Martinez", is positioned above a horizontal line.

ROMAN MARTINEZ

Counsel of Record

MARGARET A. UPSHAW

CHRISTINA R. GAY

TIMOTHY J. BORGERSON

NATHANIEL G. BERRY

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

555 11th Street, NW

Suite 1000

Washington, DC 20004

(202) 637-3377

roman.martinez@lw.com

Court-Appointed Amicus Curiae