

Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney Hydee Feldstein Soto

December 9, 2024

Hon. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: 45-Day Extension of Time to Oppose Certiorari GHP Management Corp. v. City of Los Angeles (No. 24-435)

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for respondent the City of Los Angeles. I write to request a 45-day extension of time for the City to file its brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari. The extension would move the filing deadline from Thursday, January 2, 2025 to Tuesday, February 18, 2025. The City has not previously requested an extension of time in this matter.

I make this request because I am presently occupied with other matters that will prevent me from giving the City's opposition the required attention. In addition to representing the City in this case, I represent the People of the State of California in *People v. Group IX BP Properties, LP* (Cal. Ct. App. No. B337891, appeal filed March 27, 2024) and in *People v. Rish Investments, Inc.* (9th Cir. No. 24-4283, appeal filed July 9, 2024). I am principally responsible for briefing and argument in both cases.

Hon. Scott S. Harris GHP Management Corp. v. City of L.A. (No. 24-435) Page 2 of 2

> The People's respondent's brief in the first appeal, *Group IX*, will be due in mid-December. (The precise date is set by the California Rules of Court, running 15 days from a notice that the California Court of Appeal can transmit at any time after December 2.) The deadlines in *Group IX* cannot be extended, absent "exceptional and extraordinary circumstances."

> The deadline for the People to file their answering brief in the second appeal, *Rish*, is January 3, 2025. The deadline has already been extended once to that date.

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 30.4, 29.3, and 29.7, a copy of this letter is being submitted electronically to the Court, and transmitted electronically and by Priority Mail to counsel for petitioners and counsel for the other respondents in this case.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Respectfully, Jonathan H. Eisenman Deputy City Attorney