

## STATE OF IDAHO

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

## RAÚL R. LABRADOR

September 3, 2025

Denise McNerney – Merits Cases Clerk Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: 14-day extension of time to respond to suggestion of mootness *Little v. Hecox*, No. 24-38

Dear Ms. McNerney,

I am counsel of record for the Petitioners in *Little v. Hecox*, No. 24-38. Late yesterday I received the Respondent's suggestion of mootness arguing that our petition for a writ of certiorari, granted in July, should be dismissed because the Respondent has voluntarily dismissed the complaint in the district court and no longer wishes to challenge the Idaho law at issue.

Petitioners intend to oppose the suggestion of mootness. Applying Rule 21.4, we understand our deadline to be ten days after we received the suggestion—September 12, the same as the deadline for our opening brief on the merits. Given the difficulty of researching, preparing, proofing, and printing an adequate response to the suggestion of mootness while we are already going through the same process for our merits brief and appendix, we request an additional 14 days to oppose the suggestion and a new deadline of September 26.

We have consulted with Chase Strangio, counsel for the Respondent, who informed us the Respondent does not oppose a 14-day extension.

Sincerely,

Alan M. Hurst Solicitor General

Counsel of Record for Petitioners

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Alan M. Hurst, Counsel of Record for Petitioners and a member of the Bar of this Court, certify that on this 3rd day of September, 2025, I caused the Motion for Extension of Time for Response to Mootness to be served by electronic means on the following:

Kathleen Roberta Hartnett Cooley LLP 3 Embarcadero Center 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 khartnett@cooley.com

I further certify that all parties required to be served have been served.

Executed on September 3, 2025

/s/ Alan M. Hurst Alan M. Hurst