

Holland & Knight

50 North Laura Street, Suite 3900 | Jacksonville, FL 32202 | T 904.353.2000 | F 904.358.1872
Holland & Knight LLP | www.hklaw.com

Timothy J. Conner
(904) 798-7362
timothy.conner@hklaw.com

August 18, 2025

Via Electronic Filing

Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Cambridge Christian Sch., Inc. v. Fla. High Sch. Athletic Ass’n, Inc.* (Docket No. 24-1261)—Request for Extension of Time

Dear Mr. Harris,

My firm represents Respondent, the Florida High School Athletic Association, Inc., in the above-referenced case. After an extension, the petition for writ of certiorari in this case was filed on June 6, 2025. Respondent did not file a response. On July 28, 2025, the Court requested a response. The current deadline for the response is August 27, 2025.

Respondent requests a 60-day extension of time to file its brief in opposition to the petition. As extended, the response would be due on Monday, October 27, 2025.¹ Counsel for petitioner has consented to an extension of 30 days.

Good cause exists for the requested extension because Respondent’s primary counsel, Judith M. Mercier and Daniel Mahfood, have faced and continue to face significant pre-existing court obligations. Specifically, Respondent’s counsel expects to be in trial before Florida’s Eleventh Judicial Circuit beginning in September and has faced numerous pre-trial obligations in connection with the upcoming trial. Counsel’s other pre-existing deadlines include class-certification briefing completed on August 14, 2025, in *Reed v. Costa Del Mar, Inc.*, No. 6:19-cv-1751 (M.D. Fla.), and appellate

¹ A 60-day extension would fall on a Sunday, so, pursuant to Rule 30.1, the deadline stated above is for the following Monday.

Hon. Scott S. Harris
August 18, 2025
Page 2

briefing to be completed on September 5, 2025, in *Sound Around, Inc. v. Hialeah Last Mile Fund VI*, No. 25-10754 (11th Cir.), among others.

Counsel further states that its preparation of Respondent's brief in opposition will require close coordination with Respondent, a state actor with limited staff. Additional time will therefore be necessary for Respondent to obtain the necessary review and approvals to file the response.

I note that I am filing this request on behalf of Respondent's primary counsel, who are in the process of obtaining admission to this Court's bar and electronic filing privileges. The time needed to obtain the materials necessary to apply for admission, have the Court act upon counsels' applications, and complete registration for the Court's filing system provides a further basis to extend the current deadline.

Accordingly, Respondent respectfully requests that the deadline for its brief in opposition to the petition be extended 60 days, up to and including Monday, October 27, 2025.

Sincerely,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP



Timothy J. Conner
50 North Laura Street, Suite 3900
Jacksonville, Florida 32207
(904) 798-7362
timothy.conner@hklaw.com

cc: Jesse Panuccio, counsel of record for Petitioner (via e-mail)