

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

AUG 7 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. TAQUARIUS KAREAM FORD, AKA Cameron, Defendant-Appellant.	No. 22-35981 D.C. Nos. 3:22-cv-00519-HZ 3:14-cr-00045-HZ-1 District of Oregon, Portland ORDER
--	--

Before: TALLMAN and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied because the underlying 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion fails to state any federal constitutional claims debatable among jurists of reason. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2)-(3); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012) (“When ... the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the petitioner seeking a COA must show both ‘that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.’”) (quoting *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.