

23-7570  
No.

ORIGINAL

---

In the Supreme Court of the United States

Leonard Blackstock Jr.

v.

*Petitioner*

STATE OF TENNESSEE

*Respondent*

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
APR 15 2024  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
SUPREME COURT FOR THE REVIEW OF A RULING OF THE APPEALS COURT  
OF TENNESSEE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S SIXTH CIRCUIT.

---

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

---

JUSTICE SARAH K. CAMPBELL,  
JUSTICE JEFFERY S. BIVINS,  
CHIEF JUSTICE HOLLY KIRBY,  
JUSTICE ROGER A. PAGE,  
JUSTICE DWIGHT TARWATER  
SUPREME COURT  
401 SEVENTH AVENUE N.  
NASHVILLE, TN 37219-1407  
(615) 253-1470

LEONARD BLACKSTOCK JR.  
*Counsel of Record*  
LEONARD BLACKSTOCK JR.  
133 Carden Circle  
Springfield, TN 37217  
(423)-385-9727  
blackstock\_leonard@yahoo.com



## **I. QUESTION PRESENTED**

Whether a legal authority is required to help the escape from physical harm by Section 1 for 15 U.S. Code s. 1116 to provide equal protection of the Fourteenth Amendment.

## II. PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

Leonard Blackstock Jr. of the United States of America as a defendant in a circuit court and a plaintiff or appellant to the Appeal's Court as well as Supreme Court.

The United States of America was plaintiff in circuit court then a defendant or appellee in the Supreme Court and Appeal's Court.

---

Leonard Blackstock Jr. of Tennessee has articulated his position in litigation through four levels of government since 2011 although a terrorist has pled guilty to having him kidnapped the whole time. Since 2007 Mr. Blackstock has been formally known as Dep. Officer, pharmacy technician, lead investigator for the Rutherford County Sheriff's Office and lead investigator and host of a investigation online TV series in connection to the function to provide direction for the justices that deliberate over this litigation. Rule I.11, Rules of the House of Representatives 103<sup>rd</sup> Cong.(1993); Rule II.8, Rules of the House of Representatives, 112<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2011). While the group seeks consensus whenever possible, it, like the institution it represents, functions on a majoritarian basis when consensus cannot be achieved. Leonard Blackstock is currently leading investigations on human trafficking, covid-19, Cambridge Analytica, SCL Group, NSO Group and Paranormal Activity. The plaintiff has provided words of our honorable governor Bill Lee, the office of presidential correspondence, an appearance by investigator Mark Lindsey, Natalie Rayson Madzervi, and an appearance on Reddit with Slapped Ham fan Pure -Horror-5118. Sarah K. Campbell, Jeffery S. Bivins, Holly Kirby, Roger Page and Dwight E. Tarwater have declined to support Mr. Blackstock on this case to the merits of Section 1's constitutionality.

### III. TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. QUESTION PRESENTED</b> .....	i
<b>II. PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING</b> .....	ii
<b>III. TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	iii
<b>IV. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES</b> .....	v
<b>V. OPINIONS BELOW</b> .....	1
<b>VI. JURISDICTION</b> .....	1
<b>VII. CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED</b> .....	2
<b>VII. PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI</b> .....	2
<b>IX. STATEMENT OF THE CASE</b> .....	2
A. The plain language of Section 1447(d) authorizes full review and complete review accords with federal statutes.....	8
<b>X. REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT</b> .....	6
<b>XI. PUBLIC INTEREST IN WRIT</b> .....	8
I. Appellate procedure in similar contexts.....	11
II. Court may review the entirety of a Remand order in a case removed under Section 1442 or 1443.....	16
III. Complete review is important to the business community and accords with congressional policy.....	21
A. Paranormal societies of many districts or circuits have cognizance of the suit which recovered the contents of a large promissory for 250,000,000 victims.....	22
B. Tampering with internationally accepted cases of foreign exchange which the circuit courts shall also have Appellate jurisdiction from the district courts under regulations and restrictions .....	22
C. In the district where no suit is pending of or to be held therein to offer a good and sufficient surety we can enable the worth of the other provinces crime or civil violations.....	22
1. Latter cases shall have original but exclusively such jurisdiction of suits or proceedings against.....	23
2. original but not exclusive jurisdiction of all suits brought by ambassadors or other public ministers or in which a council shall be a party shall have higher courts authority.....	23

3. The Defendant here will have the Supreme Court and appellate jurisdiction from the courts and courts of several states in the case specially provided for and shall have power to issue writs of prohibition to the district court.....23

IV. If this Court address the validity of the remand order, the Court should hold this case belongs in federal court.....25

A. A nationwide majority would perceive this final judgement in Tennessee an erroneous ruling during the pendency of this case with our plaintiff being in a hostage situation.....26

B. Confirmed finalized resolution in the thereafter are adverse to the appeals court decision and can be costly for our disabled plaintiff to maintain.....26

C. The Fourth Amendment so far has completed the post-conviction process of a defendant who has claimed to have assisted with the kidnapping.....26

**XII. CONCLUSION.....29**

#### IV. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases.	Page(s)
Blumenthal v. United States 332 U.S. 529, 332 U.S. 557 (1947) .....	27
Bollenbach v. United States 326 U.S. 607 (1946) .....	12
Bruton v. United States, 391 U.S. 123 (1968) .....	17
Dalton v. People 68 Colo. 44, 189 P. 37 (1961) .....	21
Direct Sales Co. v. United States 319, U.S. 703, 319 U.S. 711 (1943) .....	27
Grunewald v. United States 353 U.S. 391 (1957) .....	3
Ingram v. United States 360 U.S. 672, 360 U.S. 678 (1959).....	11
Krulewitch v. United States 336 U.S. 440 (1949).....	5
Ladner v. United States 358 U.S. 169 (1958) .....	24
Luteal v United States 344 U.S. 604 (1953) .....	8
McDonald v. United States 89 F. 2d 128 (1937) .....	12
McNabb v. United States 418 U.S. 322 (1943) .....	10

**Cases—Continued**

Ocasio v. United States

578 US\_ (2016) .....2

Raffel v. United States

271 U.S. 494 (1926) .....6

Rettich v. United States

84 F. 2d 128 (1936) .....15

Schenck v. Pro Choice Network

519 U.S. 357 (1997) .....5

Screws v. United States

325 U.S. 91 (1945) .....19

Ullmann v. United States

350 U.S. 350 U.S. 557-558 (1956) .....8

United States v. Gottfried

165 F.2d 360, 367 (1948) .....14

United States v. Manton

107 F. 2d 834 (1938) .....15

United States v. Siebricht

59 F. 2d 976 (1932) .....18

Wyatt v. United States

362 U.S. 525 (1960) .....20

**Statues & Rules —Continued**

15 U.S. Code s. 26.....3  
15 U.S. Code s. 1116.....18  
18 U.S.C. 292.....9  
18 U.S.C. s. 962 .....6  
18 U.S.C. 1203.....4  
18 U.S.C. s. 1512.....18  
18 U.S.C. s. 3287.....14  
25 U.S.C. s. 201 .....3  
31 U.S.C. s. 3279.....18  
31 U.S.C. s. 3730 b(5) .....16  
42 U.S.C. s. 1320a-7b .....6  
Americans with Disabilities Act .....5  
Article 24 Organized Crime Convention.....8  
False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. s. 3729 et. Seq. ....23  
Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 2.....16  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23.....10  
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 60.....15  
Hobbs Act.....2  
Terrorism Prev. & Response Act  
    39-13-of 2002 s. 806-812.....29  
Title V 501 of the Patriot Act.....29  
Title VI 621 of the Patriot Act .....25  
Title VI 622 of the Patriot Act .....25  
Title VI 623 of the Patriot Act .....26  
Title VI 624 of the Patriot Act .....26



**Misalliances —Continued**

Collected Legal Papers, 187 (1920),  
reprinting the Path of Law, 10.Harv.L.Rev.457,469(1897) .....3

Blackstone, Commentaries on the  
Laws of England (1765), Bk. I, ch. 15, p. 433 .....5

1 Working Papers of the National  
Commission on Reform of Federal Criminal Laws 388-389 (1970) .....6

Jefferson's Parliamentary Writings,  
The Papers of Thomas Jefferson, Second Series 424 (w. Howell ed.  
1988).....9

E. May, The Law, Privileges, Proceedings and Usage of  
Parliament (17<sup>th</sup> ed. 1964.....7

Wright, The Law Criminal  
Conspiracies, p. 4908.....10

## V. OPINIONS BELOW

In the court of Appeals at Nashville Tennessee briefs were assigned with Leonard Blackstock Jr v. the State of Tennessee dismissed by the Tennessee Claims Commission in claim No. 0546-GL-13-0503078-001. The appeal No. M2023-00066-COA-R3-CV is the location of where the judgement of the Claims Commission was affirmed by the Appeal's Court by Tenn. R. App. P. 3. The judgment was affirmed as well as remanded and the judge delivered the opinion of the court. A refused Rule 11 application form the Supreme Court is located at case No. M2023-00066-SC-R11-CV. The de novo of this case was discovered at M2021-00822-COA-R3-CV.

## VI. JURISDICTION

The plaintiff on October 21, 2022 subsequent to the filing of the complaint had a show cause order entered and the appellant filed a "Formal Complaint" in response. Leonard was told that "the Tribunal has not located any claims in the complaint that can be reasonably interpreted viable under Tenn. Code Ann. § 9-8-307(a)(I)." Leonard Blackstock Jr. needed to comply with the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 27 that requires appellate briefs to include references to the technical record that wasn't available for the plaintiff when the brief was submitted. In the court of Appeals at Nashville Tennessee briefs were assigned September 1, 2023 with Leonard Blackstock Jr v. the State of Tennessee and dismissed by the Tennessee Claims Commission as well as affirmed by the Appeal's Court. Mr. Blackstock Rule 11 application for the Supreme Court December 21, 2024 is submitted on case No. M2023-00066-SC-R11-CV.

## **VII. CONSTITUTIONAL AND STAUATORY**

### **PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

The provisions of the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment of the constitution as well as the defense of The Patriot Act of the United States of America reproduced in this brief at appendix location 3a.

### **VIII. PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Leonard Blackstock Jr. would like to request this review of the act of restraining an individual classified under tort law as well as the intent to ignore the plaintiff is being held without consent. The defendant is responsible to create a barrier or locked door between the kidnapers and the appellant under 15 U.S.C. s. 1116 and become his attorney or provide one of his own. The plaintiff respectfully petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the judgement of the Tennessee Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in this case.

### **IX. STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Ocasio v. United States 578 US\_ (2016) had an officer receive money to refer people to a particular auto service rather than others. As he fought there was no victim involved, the courts ruled a need for a victim was not required by policy under the Hobbs Act. We don't have a problem finding our victim and we do have a policy it's 18 U.S.C. s. 1203. The plaintiff is seized as well as held confined by physical restraint and live on film for a lengthy amount of time with witnesses

reporting his confinement online and more. The plaintiff requires the use of physical force and movement of a legal authority that is currently required to help the plaintiff escape harm physically or financially though 15 U.S. Code s. 26 and 15 U.S. Code s. 1116. These threats of physical harm were sufficient enough to be reported by others within the plaintiff's submissions with chief in proof Tennessee carried out a invalid use of authority on October 25, 2023. The appellant being detained without warning from 2013 to 2024 within the plaintiff's evidence is depriving his personal liberty consequentially. Normal 24-hour processes for Investigation and Witness Security prove abuse as well as absence of Tennessee law enforcement and law authorities allows kidnapers to harass exhort and harm the plaintiff. *Grunewald v. United States* 353 U.S. 391 (1957) had three petitioners who were convicted in federal district court for conspiring to commit tax fraud and succeeded while receiving a "no prosecution", however they were eventually considered as guilty for the records remaining obtainable. *Collected Legal Papers*, 187 (1920), reprinting the *Path of Law*, 10 *Harv. L. Rev.* 457, 469 (1897) p. 77 said "of his master, yet the master shall be liable to an action for damages done to another by the fire; for it shall be intended, that the servant had authority from his master, it being for this master's benefit. Mr. Blackstock is owed the same respect, be it 2007- 2024 and 2009-2024 or 2013-2024 by 25 U.S.C. s. 201 under Tennessee's Code Annotated for crimes occurring on state property. The legal obligations in the 14th Amendment promise no citizen will be withheld from his or her freedom or liberties and this statute is designed against concealment of crime. State and

federal obligations incorporate a doctrine of an insurance of relief for all false processes of law. When the plaintiff filed for witness protection March 1, 2021 discussing the murder, kidnapping, assault of the nuclear weaponry being used now live on film, he qualified for 18 U.S.C. s. 1203, the Patriot Act 501 section V and Terrorism Prevention Response Act Article 39 parts 1-8. Intentionally leaving a man and his family kidnapped by another is negligent and a liability that our present matter surprises and harms others in range of being assaulted. The disruption of individuals using AR, MR, VR, (Metaverse) technology to access millions of witnesses to damage the issuance of relief is hazardous and poisonous violating 15 U.S. Code s. 26 and 15 U.S. Code s. 1116. The photography or video of the plaintiff as well as the criminals causing him harm seen with Mr. Blackstock, observes a legal intent to fail to protect. Negating these deadly to near deadly injuries to the government and unassuming parties has to change. The forensic evidence currently at use is powerful enough to shed light on the lifesaving video Mr. Blackstock has created that examines the physical crime scene in violation of 18 U.S.C. s. 1512. Patterns in the augmented reality exposes to public groups with a Class Action Exhibit including a group associated to using materials that are deemed hazardous and non-segregated to the sounds and sights Mr. Blackstock as well as his multiple witnesses or club members describe. All electronic weapons fit the description traced to the illegal technology described by Targeted Individual groups that are considered the most helpful in finding comradery amongst a group of special like-minded individuals with identical stories of participation as victims of

weapons attacks that can be found in the backdrop of the appellants videos.

Krulewitch v. United States 336 U.S. 440 held that hearsay is not admissible but in Mr. Blackstock's case there is no hearsay as a group of witnesses of his own gathering. Meanwhile, another cases defendants are involved as kidnapping accomplices with identical details to our plaintiff's. Every state consents the injury caused emotional distress and damage so high every state has people available for relief. Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England (1765), Bk. I, ch. 15, p. 433, spoke, "these are the chief legal effects of marriage during the coverture: upon which we may observe, that even the disabilities, which the wife lies under are for the most part intended for her protection and benefits. So great and favorable is the female sex by the laws of England" The Attorney General is side with a plaintiff with not even a reasonable suspicion existent when a terrorist attack is authentic. Our plaintiff in this case with the Americans with Disabilities Act and three-time award winning investigation series on Bigo, Tik Tok, Facebook and YouTube is in the public eye. The plaintiff while providing these videos endures rape, beatings, stabbing, gunshot penetration, spitting, burning, and explosive detonation. When Schenck v. Pro Choice Network, 519 U.S. 357 (1997) spoke over stealing time comparably our state has allowed to much time to pass knowingly providing time for the killers to murder the plaintiff. Furthermore, this is being done in such a way it would take trillions of dollars of equipment to support.

---

Ref^ Brief pg. 1 "Our plaintiff warns of being assaulted with a wide range of surprising activity reported by his roommate and him self, citing being kidnapped on their on property."

## X. REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

Tort law is organized to review cases or claims to bring peace across the nation. The significance that the Supreme Court of the United States hears about 100 to 150 Appeals of the more than 7,000 cases means each year only few claims heard by the lower courts even make an appearance outside of state and local courts. *Raffel v. United States*, 271 U.S. 494, distinguished if a plea of the fifth amendment was filed to still cross examine the litigant is wrong. 1 Working Papers of the National Commission on Reform of Federal Criminal Laws 388-389 (1970), worded "for conspiracy there has to be a "corrupt motive", yet it is hard to see any reason for this or why more proof should be necessary than that the parties had contemplation, all the elements of the crime are there they are charged with conspiracy to commit." However, Mr. Blackstock has a defendant who is available to help from California that has a team of lawyers that have nothing yet, but to be contacted. Here the government has been held responsible in similar violation to code 18 U.S.C. s. 962 or comparable to 42 U.S.C. s. 1320a-7b as Leonard is disable and experiencing acts of terrorism. The witnesses give testimony through briefs and a judge or jury decides guilty or not guilty, relief or not relief, liable or not liable. Appellate courts review the procedures and the decisions in the trail court to make sure that the proceedings were fair and that the proper law was applied correctly. The law was applied for the plaintiff incorrectly through those processes bring Mr. Blackstock all the way here to the U.S. Supreme Court on the grounds that litigants

have the right to an appellate court. As of now actions are being taken to ignore plaintiffs discriminatorily creating a barrier between the kidnapers holding the plaintiff hostage as well as the defendant's failure to create a locked door between the plaintiff under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment by 15 U.S. Code s. 26 or 15 U.S. Code s. 1116. Physical harm of the plaintiff is ongoing daily and the government has refused to rescue Mr. Blackstock or any of his family, fans and followers who have also been complaining about their simultaneous kidnapping involving this case. On October 25, 2023 Tennessee carried out a invalid use of authority maintained by Administrative Offices, for the purpose of rescuing hostages on American soil. With college degrees and former to current government employment statuses a Class Action exhibit is assisting to win the case filed timely before the first-to-file bar. Tennessee Court's from 2013 to 2024 as well as news media, family, friends and attorneys have been being killed and kidnapped by nuclear weaponry live on film on the plaintiff's television series pilot. E. May, The Law, Privileges, Proceedings and Usage of Parliament (17th ed.1964) read as follows: "When the contempt is committed in the actual view of either House, as, for example, where a witness prevaricates, gives false evidence or refuses to answer, the House proceeds at once without hearing the offender, unless by way of apology or to manifest his contrition, to punish him for his contempt." The Class Action lawsuit the plaintiff submitted as an exhibit on time for the first to file bar victory allows the courts to work with other attorneys to award the plaintiff a settlement of 25% of what the government recovered has gone ignored since 4/25/2023 under 31



U.S. 557-558 provides when you are given immunity from prosecution your right to not testify over the matter goes away. Furthermore, this means when the attorney general read the formularies or viewed the exhibits of the plaintiff with terrorism in plain sight with witnesses in agreement, he has a duty to pay the plaintiff relief for violations of 18 U.S.C. s. 292. "The practice is to swear the witnesses in a open house and then examine them there: or a committee may be named who shall examine them in committee...", reprinted in Jefferson's Parliamentary Writings, The Papers of Thomas Jefferson, Second Series 424 (w. Howell ed. 1988). The forensic evidence of the crime scene of the plaintiff's rehires Mr. Blackstock's contracted Attorney to him as well as the Attorney General qui tam since 2007 by the 4/25/23 exhibit. The targeted individuals report psychotronic weaponry and electronic weapons being used against the entire group. The plaintiff has joined group meetings improving his following to a near 40,000,000 to 120,000,000 fans and followers with the inclusion of his Christian ministry as well as online paranormal TV series pilot. The emotional distress from having all these people supporting you blocked from you by nuclear weaponry makes expeditious responsibilities that the judicial disciplinary counsel orders forthright administration concerning. The policy directives for judge's implementation has a nature to prohibit or report misconduct as well as suspicious behavior by reported and named terrorist groups especially when a class action exhibit of their work is available. Suspicion of terrorism in the Appellate jurisdiction has a procedure involving qui tam and suspicious activity reports to be filled as well as later worked

by the Attorney General's Office after the report has been inspected by the National Security Agency. The Attorney General's one year responsibility begins to take over as the attorney for our plaintiff as a process of resolution of federal law. The Judiciary Act of 1789 establishes three circuits which were groups of judicial districts in which the United States circuit courts were established. The original three circuits were given distinct names rather than number: the Eastern, the Middle, the Southern each circuit court consisted of two Supreme Court justices and the local district judge of the three circuits exist solely for the purpose of assigning the justices to a group of circuit courts amid districts. As new states were admitted to the union, Congress often did not create circuit courts for them for a number of years. Mr. Blackstock has a three-time award winning investigation show online with over one hundred episodes of investigating. We request are judgeships at the Supreme Court to help our plaintiff ride this circuit no longer. McNabb v. United States 418 U.S. 322 illustrates evidence permissible to obtain a conviction has to follow the rules of evidence which merits the plaintiff a reward as a conviction has been maintained over Leonard's evidence. This has come from civil injuries that are ongoing with connection to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23 enclosed. Wright, The Law of Criminal Conspiracies, p.4908, "insurrection, domestic violence, unlawful, combinations or conspiracies if any State so obstructs or hinders the execution of the laws thereof and of the United States as to not deprive any portion or class of the people of such State of any of the rights, privileges or immunities or protection named in the Constitution and secured by the laws for the protection of

such". Former circuit courts would be revived once the stir created from Mr. Blackstock's investigation's take effect. The Augmented Reality, Mixed Reality, Virtual Reality (Metaverse) used at the plaintiff's show proves he endures rape, beating, stabbing, gunshot penetration, spitting, burning and explosive detonation all live on camera. Ingram v. United States 360 U.S. 672, 360 U.S. 678 (1959) reports two individuals marking up prices to turn a profit. Comparably our case has a cartoonish fit as a well-known motive for the denial of the plaintiff that has been considered a waste of time and money since the mid-forties. Officers come and return no reports or start no investigations on the killings even when they report seeing the holograms or paranormal activity themselves in person. News investigation teams as well as NAACP members, attorneys or those who make appointments even setting times with completed paperwork and applications. Meanwhile, they never arrive to his location repeatedly in the 2/08/2023 exhibit of plaintiff's. Hostages throughout the country agree with the plaintiff providing identical accounts of events from every jurisdiction authorized to be compensated.

### **I. Appellate procedure in similar context.**

Of over 670 district court judges nationwide a majority were injured by the same individuals who have injured Mr. Blackstock and the courts allowed the plaintiff to remain kidnapped on state property live on film with witnesses watching in agreement by two of the plaintiff's exhibits submitted on 2/7/2023 as well as 4/25/2023. Eighteen U.S.C. s. 1512 provides the supreme court refers the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment implies these crimes or civil

violations have occurred on state property to the merits. In Tennessee those who qualify for relief serve as a completion of warrants and arrest warrants, initial hearings, bail, and motions for issues inside the rules of federal civil procedure. *Bollenbach v. United States* 326 U.S. 607 shown lost or stolen evidence in transport is still good as long as it's been logged into another jurisdiction which issues the plaintiff's evidence in California, Parliament or on social media is stable and secure even if harm has come to it by terrorist exploitation. Decisions in federal courts remove the divide in this country so different regions such as the Fifth circuit or Sixth circuit have multiple confirmed finalized resolutions. The Fourth Amendment has the power to decide appeals on the premise that the government nor any other man may come into your home and take anything of yours or do anything that would take away your enjoyment of life. The plaintiff has multiple exhibits that show these rights and enjoyments are being removed by his submissions on 2/08/2023, 2/7/2023, 4/25/2023. The Fifth Amendment is to ensure that men and women in litigation are not tampered with harassed or blocked from a comfortable clean work environment for court. The Sixth Amendment sustains everyone is eligible for a quick and speedy trial but, the conflict involving the murder and kidnapping of attorneys and news media finds this Amendment in violation. The Eighth Amendment attest cruel and unusual punishment has been applied which occurs in plain sight by this plaintiff's submissions on social media as well as the confessions in Parliament concurring in the Class Action lawsuit. *McDonald v. United States* 89 F. 2d 128 does examine that a kidnapping can be an extended

process but to our plaintiff's merit the more extended the kidnapping the larger the trail of evidence. The Fourteenth Amendment enhances all those within America's borders are allowed equal protection of the law. However, to have one's messages, calls, emails, postal deliveries, apportionments vanish or associates kidnapped or killed live on film, equal protection of the law as well as an enhancement of 18 U.S.C. s. 3287 is required. The physical harm discussed by these Amendments of the constitution examine how the statutes in Tennessee are the same as the Supreme Court of the United States when 18 U.S.C. s. 1203 and 18 U.S.C. s. 1512 are concerned. Refusal to rescue multiple kidnapping victims involved with the plaintiff is acknowledged within the class action settlement exhibits online content and case in Parliament, as it pertains to the plaintiff's investigative television exhibit. The willingness to rescue these hostages involving the plaintiff is misapplied abuse of the law as well as a lack of discretion by the courts and law enforcement in 15 U.S. Code s. 26 and 15 U.S. Code s. 1116. The nuclear weaponry being used against the United States in case T20210745-1 removed travel and interviews so that news media as well as police could not play their primary roles to resolve conflicts. Advisory positions in Tennessee and abroad have not been able to acknowledge the rewards available for combating nuclear weapons attacks from overseas, qui tam. Not every case can be brought to court. The case must involve federal statutes or regulations, constitutional rights, suits between states as well as suits between citizens of different states. When cases involve constitutional issues such as the right of privacy brought into the federal courts, the plaintiff would sight

his paranormal activity and holograms appear to look or sound like a cyber-meeting between terrorist, government, fans as well as followers. However, the appellants family see demons and people when watching the plaintiff's videos of the terrorist living on his premises with him. A case filed in state court may be sent to federal court if substantial questions arise as the case proceeds. *United States v. Gottfried* 165 F.2d 360, 367 has a plaintiff fighting a defendant who is stealing time, money and services from who America wants to be involved with. This sounds familiar as the plaintiff holds evidence of the slaughter as well as kidnapping of thousands of millions who are in route to his location, qui tam. Investigation techniques in federal jurisdiction are geographically apportioned and are subject to review by higher authorities or courts. The motion picture film "The Great Hack" described these kidnappings in great detail and the government directly ordered the state of California to enforce Federal Regulatory agencies to fine and arrest those in America who assisted with this kidnapping. *United States v. Siebricht* 59 F. 2d 976 would apply the Attorney General has a contract with all those who endure or combat terrorism to leave court without adhering to this contract, Alike Siebricht there is an illegal amount of extra money to pass around negligently according to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 60. The courts conducted their reviews on the basis of a recorded proceeding with witnesses independently providing testimony and evidence. Their reviews are limited to points of law and a discretionary review in Parliament is still ongoing to review the exact crimes the plaintiff experienced throughout claim T 20210745-1 to no relief or reported procedural investigation.

August 22, 2023 the Appeal's Court entered the Plaintiff's Examination of Evidence which the Attorney General was contempt in response to not allowing state employees to rescue the kidnapped at gunpoint, knifepoint man and his family from a live on film hostage situation. United States v. Manton 107 F. 2d 834 would say the obstruction of justice of bribery to defraud America is parallel to nuclear weapons attacks to obstruct justice by not completing or producing a response to the plaintiff's cross examination, which is mandatory in nature. The original claims adjudication points out the Paranormal Activity or holograms look and sound like a cyber-meeting which is a Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment violation. Concealment of the plaintiff's social media has to include deadly nuclear weapons to harm, poison or kill who attempts to help Mr. Blackstock. During the lower courts Leonard reported murder and kidnapping to Stephanie Murphy-Boykins in 2013 as well as his attorney Mitchell Shannon. Based on the live film in over 100 evidence videos produced by the plaintiff and agreed upon by the public, judges with vaccines do not allow kidnapping and killing sprees to continue. Will you assist in this important role and help us conduct a fair trial normally organized for the relief of a plaintiff and rescue these hostages. Rettich v. United States 84 F. 2d 128 robbing the federal government was the plan and to carry out that plan violence had to occur, so when Mr. Blackstock reached out to the Tennessee Bureau and local news 2013 these cases became identical. The plaintiff has dispersed this video of these killings and kidnappings to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the United States Justice Department, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Tennessee

Bureau of Investigation, the Treasury Department, the Appeal's Court, the Supreme Court and virtually every news channel or newspaper the world has to offer. With paper as well as video evidence of these timely reports from 2009 to now with extra assistance available by the lawyers within the Class Action lawsuit a nationwide to international error could have been averted before an underlying Class Action lawsuit exhibit. Based on a legal case the matter has by class action code taken responsibility for all the plaintiff's specialized reports published to resolve these dangerous and deadly matters. The False Claims Act provides that "no other person other than the Government may intervene or bring a related action based on the facts underlying the pending action." 31 U.S.C. s. 3730 (b)(5). This so called "first-to-file" rule bar is a later allegation of fraud if it states all the essential facts of a previously-filed whistleblower claim or the same elements of a fraud described in an earlier qui tam suit. The nuclear weapon is being used against every jurisdiction by the plaintiff's evidence from the filings on 2/08/2023 Appeal's Court records enacts Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 2 is in accord.

## **II. Courts may review the entirety of a Remand order in a case removed under Section 1442 or 1443.**

After Mr. Blackstock reported terrorism in 2013 to his attorney Mitchell Shannon, a suspicious activity report should have been made just as it should have been in August to December of the same year with the U.S. Justice Department in Washington D.C. I would like the United States Supreme Court judges to honor the



complaint of the petitioner solely to eliminate the situation with terrorist that arose in 2010-2013 in holographic form and that has returned 2018-2024. The plaintiff applied for Social Security Assistance in Tennessee to talk to someone about the strange events involving this case. In observation of today's crime scene location 133 Carden Ci Springfield TN, 37172 having holograms (paranormal activity) mobile constantly working, mirroring, surveillance of regulatory authorities constructed to attract people to view them like one would a circus attraction. The targeted individuals' reports have been no different from the plaintiff's as he gets to know them closer. The Paranormal societies also have a lot in common as well as UFO abduction groups who all give the plaintiff comfort online like a place he can call home. The NAACP's information about where the process begins and where your case is to be proceeded upon has been indecisive as if they two are kidnapped at gunpoint, knifepoint hostage similar to how Qanon and the Targeted Individuals claim to be. Most people are unaware that criminal misconduct typically violates both state and federal laws. An obvious example is drug possession, the holding of or distribution of drugs violates federal law and state law. Where you are protected usually depends on where the investigating law enforcement officer wants to submit your case. In some instances, you can be prosecuted in both state and federal court at the same time. Bruton v. United States, 391 U.S. 123 (1968) voted hearsay was not eligible to assist in a conviction but, the plaintiff with our court has a witness in the home as well as fans, followers and sworn testimony of kidnapping confessions involving 250,000,000 plus victims. They are "separate sovereigns" meaning that

they are both their own governmental system. Aggravated kidnapping provides identical accounts of events occurring generally speaking both to State and Federal systems on a daily basis. I spoke to three courts before your honorable jurisdiction allowed us this application to request your final authority. Controversy is ended when class action is applied, the trail court, the court of appeals and the supreme court who failed to acknowledge their duties related to class action litigation. The plaintiff's class action exhibit didn't convince the court to hear over the issues of an assistant to a well-known terrorist group who pled guilty to assisting the kidnapping of 87,000,000 Americans with Leonard included empowers 31 U.S.C. s. 3729 et. Seq. In Federal Courts once the trail court issues its final judgement which in a civil case with aggravated kidnapping provides no closure of the case to be enjoyed without the rescue of the plaintiff physically or financially by Tennessee's federal regulation within 18 U.S.C. s. 1512 and 1116. With assistance further enacted before the plaintiff and his attorney had an intermission a divided state would be called a committed district. United States v. Siebricht 59 F. 2d 976 (1932) held their defendant is responsible to pay court fees even after conviction and imprisonment. Nationally the middle district of Tennessee shall be held competent after each justice commences on the next respective session. During this calendar year fees should be ordered when the court announces "the court shall commence", to enhance the rights of the American people for another victim of injustice. Most appeals follow up a decision of a Treasury Department or Claims Commission decision, in order to uphold the power for special trail sessions a attorney or pro se

must refer to another cause of action and their discretion is on the basis of justice as well as human safety. *Screws v. United States* 325 U.S. 91 (1945) asks us what does Tennessee have going on so personal to allow the physical beating, raping, stabbing and shooting of this plaintiff to continue live on film and do nothing to stop it like the officers done in 1945. They continued beating a man until the man was no longer left alive. A summarization beginning by anyone who has adjourned from a day-to-day quotable convened hearing will recollect present convened district courts have an inability to attend a commencement of an application to appeal but, comprehend any reason one would appeal. A disabled plaintiff takes such said order in this case of the said process of appeals in the nature of whatsoever vacant case number and explained the proceedings of pending nature next to be stated, followed up with appointments as well as acceptance of the office's decision. Then he has decided to communicate with their successor of those government employees, thereafter a Clerk or justice for their respective jurisdiction will provide affirmation starting oaths that include "I do solemnly swear to affirm truthfully and record all the orders, decrees, judgements to the best of my will faithfully as well as impartially perform all the duties." Larger panels of a said office according to the best of my abilities and understanding work for "truth", to the oath of which the words so help me God shall be said in almost all cases with testimony. Instead of an oath the said clerks shall also give bond with sufficient sureties to be approved of by the Supreme Court respectively to the United States in the sum of dollars faithfully to discharge the duties of his office and seasonably to record the decrees judgements

and determinations of the court of which he or she is clerk. The judges before they proceed to execute the duties of their respective offices shall take the following oath or affirmation without respect to persons and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, so that this will allow the promise to faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent on them as according to the best of their abilities. Distinctions of understanding agreeably to the constitution and laws of the United States exclusively of the court of the several states cognizant to all crimes and offences that shall be cognizable under the authority of the United States and are committed in their respective districts as well as upon the high seas saving to suitors in all cases the right of a common law remedy where the common law is competent. Wyatt v. United States 362 U.S. 525 recognized a prosecution ordered a wife to testify against her husband. We recognize that these kidnappers and terrorist are breaking a similar bond like the beloved bond the State of Tennessee has with our regional champion or state champion White House award winning plaintiff. The United States Supreme Court is to give exclusive original cognizance of all seizures on land or other waters than, as foresaid made and of all suits for penalties and forfeitures incurred under the laws of the United States. A kidnapping gunpoint hostage situation of a contracted victim then is in violation with a renewal of statutory limitations, when holding to such sureties to the merits. Merits concurrent with the courts of the several states of the courts provide this case may be of all causes where a citizen sues for a tort only on violation of the laws of National treaty with the United States. All seizures shall also have a cognizance

concurrent to the last mentioned of suits common to law the United States sued for the matter on dispute with amounts exclusive to costs that's a sum of value the courts previously have the jurisdiction over exclusively. Respective districts of the courts of several states against counsels or vice counsels except the offences above mentioned in class action with a description aforesaid. The Constitution in the trail of issues in fact in the federal courts on all causes accept civil causes of international jurisdiction. Impartial discharges here are seen as causes of error in a court who shall proceed therein in the same manner as the district court and writs of error shall lie from decisions in which our U.S. Supreme Court will make.

### **III. Complete review is important to the business community and accords with congressional policy.**

The exclusive cognizance under the authority of the United States where this act provides the laws of the United States otherwise directed and concurrent to the jurisdiction with the district courts subject to the crimes and offences are cognizable therein. Tennessee inspires us to believe no person shall be arrested in one district for trail in another. Dalton v. People 68 Colo. 44, 189 P. 37 unveiled a husband and wife has an importance to be remembered quality just like the relationship of Leonard in America, working over 35 occupations as well as saving the lives of thousands in the medical field. The Targeted Individual Groups Leonard is a part of assists the original process in other districts whereof an inhabitant can be found at the same time serving an extraordinary writ no one shall with cognizance deny by help of class action.

A. Paranormal societies of many districts or circuit courts have cognizance of the suit with recovered contents of a large promissory for 250,000,000 victims or more with factual recounted actions where choice of action in favor of an assignee is successful.

B. Tampering with international accepted cases of foreign exchange the circuit courts shall also have Appellate jurisdiction from the district courts under the regulations and restrictions herein after provided a suit has been commenced in any state court against a terrorist class citizen of a state or country in which the suit is brought against.

C. At Carden Circle in the district where no suit is pending of or to be held therein to offer a good and sufficient surety can value the worth of the other provinces crime or civil violations to our own.

The Cyber-meeting coined "paranormal activity" by the plaintiff or "nuclear warfare" (psychological warfare) by Cambridge Analytica is a exemplification where the use of public records shall put it in of his power that a plaintiff shall move that the adverse part of that thereof to inform the court whether a claim is a right or deserves a grant of relief from the state under the False Claims Act 31 U.S.C. s. 3729 et. Seq. for violation of the Fourth and Fifth Amendment. Suspicious Activity reports in which the suit is granted or given upon the evidence to a trail and if he

informs that he does claim under such grant the party claiming under the grant first mentioned then on motion removes the cause for trial to the next circuit court to be holden. In such district when relief is granted thereto before mention of the cause of the removal of the cause onto such court neither party removing the cause shall be allowed them plead or give evidence of any other evidence than that by him stated by him as fore said by him stated as afore said the ground of his claim and the trail of issues in fact in the courts shall in all suits be these words of equity submitted by Leonard Blackstock Jr. Exclusive jurisdiction of all controversies of a civil nature where a state is a party between a state and its citizens of other states shall have cognizant protection.

1. Latter cases shall have original but exclusively such jurisdiction of suits or proceedings against ambassadors, other public ministers, their domestics, domestic servants as a court of law can have or exercise consistently with the laws of nations.

2. The original but not exclusive jurisdiction of all suits brought by ambassadors or other public ministers or in which a council shall be a party and shall have higher courts authority.

3. The Defendant here will have the Supreme Court and appellate jurisdiction from the courts and courts of several states in the cases specially provided for and shall have power to issue writs of prohibition.

Ladner v. United States 358 U.S. 169 (1958) taught that an attack against one person can harm another and we are liable for who we hurt in connection to whom we are trying to harm. The Patriot Act VI 621 crime victims fund states any person compelled to appear with a certificate of the reasons as aforesaid of their being there with the notice if any given to the adversary party be by him said government would be injured by terrorism in or on the state of Tennessee or American property shall and will receive over 250,000 or 5,000,000 dollars by grant of the Attorney General or state Judicial programs. The Patriot Act VI 622 crime victims' compensation certifies any person compelled to appear with a certificate of the reasons as aforesaid of their being there with the notice if any given to the adversary party be by him the said terrorism directed to such court and remain under his possession opened in court will receive over 250,000 or 5,000,000 dollars by grant of the Attorney General or state Judicial programs. In his power to produce the witnesses there testifying before the court should an appeal be had and shall move that their testimony be taken down in writing, it be had such testimony may be used on the trail of the same if it shall appear to the satisfaction of the court which shall try the appeal that witnesses are then gone out of the United States or to the greater distance then as aforesaid from the place where the court is sitting or that by reason of risk of verdict saying bodily harm or injury has occurred will receive over 250,000 or 5,000,000 dollars by grant of the Attorney General or state Judicial programs.



**IV. If this Court address the validity of the remand order, the Court should hold this case belongs in federal court.**

They're unable to travel and appear at court is not the case with the 4/25/2023 exhibit. The government and lawyers are able to appear on trial of any cause with respect to witnesses where depositions may have been taken by the contract therein. Provided that nothing herein shall be construed to prevent any court of the United States from granting a *dedimus potestatem* to take deposition according to common usage when it may be necessary to prevent a failure or delay of justice which power they shall severally possess nor to extend to depositions taken that relate to matters cognizable already discussed in Parliament. The Patriot Act 623 crime victims' assistance shall before a final judgement that the executor or administrator of such preferred cause of action doth by law are hereby obliged to answer merit relief will receive over 250,000 or 5,000,000 dollars by grant of the Attorney General or state Judicial programs. The Patriot Act 624 victims of terrorism read if an executor or administrator having been duly served a *scire facias* from the office of the clerk of the court where such suit shall not neglect a court that may tender a judgement that Leonard Voluntarily made himself in submission will then receive over 250,000 or 5,000,000 dollars by grant of the Attorney General or state Judicial programs. Facts and merits are related to the sentence imposed in the class action lawsuit exhibit of the plaintiff that has been commenced to agree both to the plaintiffs kidnapping and assist in judgement and disposition with assistance to the court for there having been an exhibit of this caliber.

A. A nationwide majority would perceive this final judgement in Tennessee an erroneous ruling during the pendency of this case with our plaintiff being in a hostage situation. Civil violations on state property is a rare instance, most appeals win in the lower appellate court and Supreme Court.

B. Confirmed finalized resolution in the thereafter are adverse to the appeals court decision and can be costly for our disabled plaintiff to maintain. Enjoyment of life furthers a phase that also consists of writing arguments and presenting now to a larger panel of judges seems somewhat technical and even a bit difficult enduring hostage terrain but Leonard can't be embarrassed, most lawyers don't work daily within hostage conditions.

C. The Fourth Amendment so far has completed the post-conviction process of a defendant who has claimed to have assisted with the kidnapping of Mr. Blackstock since 2007. Systematically the matter can be mentioned in the proceeding to the United States Supreme Court, the highest court in the nation for relief.

On February 7, 2023 the state supreme court's decision to overlook filing errors by the Clerk's office can be challenged through federal post litigation in a federal district court and later appealed to a federal appeals court or higher as these filing errors can persist as a lapse in the en banc's decision making. Blocked from a

comfortable work environment once again despite having gone this alternative route, an application can still once again be made for review in the United States Supreme Court as the legal issue in the underlying case must involve or provoke the interpretation of the United States Constitution or other Federal law. *Direct Sales Co. v. United States* 319 U.S. 703 (1943) saw a physician over ordering supplies as complicit with his abettor for supplying more than they required for to long of an extended period of time finding them both guilty of the conspiracy. I see the errors here in Tennessee by the en banc in place as complicit in the same fashion with no realistic purpose for this type of behavior. The Sixth Amendment purposes if a litigant is fortunate enough to have his or her case reach the United States Supreme Court, he or she must accept that the ruling issued by the nine Justice is final and cannot be appealed any further. Again, deliveries and appointments are riddled with stabbing, raping and vehicle tampering in explosive bursts, neither a civil or criminal citizen of any kind would deserve. *Blumenthal v. United States* 332 U.S. 529, 332 U.S. 557 (1947) captured how marking up prices for your own personal gain against your commercial businesses policy is felonious. The Supreme Courts of the United States geography for all districts would opinion hear arguments on both civil and criminal judicial circuits aligned to one side to the discovery of Leonard's evidence exhibits. However, the State of Tennessee according to one or more counties are disrupted in these circuit courts who preside over civil and criminal cases from the perspective of Leonard's family members and fans of his live on film human trafficking and kidnapping. Investigator Natalie Rayson

Madzervic sighted “male and female faces” on one of the video exhibits titled the world’s most paranormal man. Symmetry in the Clouds quotes Mr. Blackstock “you have been abducted...”. Bill Bigsby said “the government was taken by aliens”. PSI’s Mark Lindsey has appeared in the plaintiff’s TV viewing experience on social media over his kidnapping and human trafficking experience. On “Slapped Ham” paranormal series where Mr. Blackstock is featured on Reddit Pure-Horror-5118 quoted the paranormal activity as connected to the “Mandela Effect” and “covid-19”. AR, MR, VR (Metaverse) can produce two or more of these things without reason to doubt. Misapplied abuse is higher in rank for a chance the case will be transferred by case requirements involving the interpretation of law to the highest court. When claim T20210745-1’s case number was decided, both a civil and criminal de novo occurred by Title VI 621-624 of the Patriot Act as well as Title V 501 of the Patriot Act and the Terrorism Prevention Response Act of 2002 Article 39 parts 1-9. Within the plaintiff’s submissions we have witnessed that from 2007-2024 like Attorney Mitchell Shannon, para- legal Stephanie Murphy-Boykins or the guards at the J. Edgar Hoover Building along with a Pentagon Official, America is under attack over Leonard Blackstock Jr.

## XII. CONCLUSION

The plaintiff requests your honorable Supreme Court of the United States to grant Mr. Blackstock's petition for writ of certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Leonard Blackstock Jr." with a stylized flourish at the end.

Leonard Blackstock Jr.

Pro se

MAY 21, 2024

Certificate of Service

I certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing document has been served upon the following

Parties of record:

Office of the Clerk

Supreme Court of the United States

Washington D.C., 20543

---

Leonard Blankstein  
Attorney at Power

This 26 of May 2024

Description: "Writ of Certiorari"