

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No. 1:21-1490 (CFC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY'S 235TH AFFIDAVIT

Plaintiff, Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. There is an attack to eliminate the rule of law by removing the power of those who enforce it the petitioners, including the state and Federal Attorney Generals, and the courts (by eliminating their own power by immunity or otherwise).

2. In the Robert Hunter Biden Case and the Florida case the motions to dismiss and dismissal under the appointments clause which requires senate confirmation and presidential signatures endangers special counsel like David Weiss and others who are subjected to being circus monkeys for biased gain by manipulated marketed mob rule. They endanger special counsel to be controlled and threatened by sworn testimony to be monkeys not free and independent counsel.

3. They endanger counsel and will choose counsel that makes government and themselves above the law in the legislative circus should the appointment clause be required to eliminate government conflicts through biased political forums creating manifest injustice.

4. Justice Thomas, Congressmen who presented the argument in No 939 Trump v US, Robert Biden, Trump and other misguided congressmen and congress ladies seek to require the appointment clause be used for special counsel to slow down and delay and control proceedings against their cronies to eliminate jurisdiction creating manifest injustice.

5. I am not okay when government incites persecution against petitioners to chill and burden fundamental rights of petition coupled with due process by unlawfully removing special counsel's first Amendment right to petition impartially upon the government or private persons. US Amend I, V, XIV.

6. I am not okay when judges are threatened by government incitement to interfere with and control the outcome of cases violating equal protections US Amend I, XIV, or V for instance when Musk threatens the profit streams of the Chancery court, the reputation and the business.

7. Threatening claimants, their counsel, their special counsel or judges as was done to me by threats against me as a party in a case Kelly v Trump violates Due process. When I seek to preserve equal protections without favoritism to rich like Musk or the public (government) it is to preserve the impartial rule of law. US Amend I, V, XIV. I am not okay for the government incited attacks against David Weiss that chills the freedom to petition by threats to party's counsel, even the government's counsel. I am not okay with the government incited power by congress and their government backed cronies or otherwise to interfere with the First Amendment right to petition fairly. David Weiss and other petitioners should be protected in the exercise of fundamental rights on behalf of others. In *Heritage Found. v. Dep't of Just.*, No. 23-CV-1854 (DLF), 2024 WL 3291783, at *1 (D.D.C. July 3, 2024), Heritage sought to gather information to use to influence the outcome of Robert Biden case before the DE District Court by bringing a "Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request to the Department of Justice seeking the following:

1. All documents and communications sent or received by David Weiss or any employee of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Delaware referring or relating to Special Counsel status for the investigation concerning Hunter Biden; and

2. All documents and communications between or among employees of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Delaware and employees of any other U.S. Attorney's Office with venue to bring charges against Hunter Biden or his associates in that jurisdiction.”

8. Similarly, Congress called US Attorney General David Weiss to testify before it to use any information to show bias against David Weiss to chill his capacity to exercise the 1st Amendment right to petition fairly on behalf of the government in accord with the 5th in the Robert Biden case, Criminal Action No. 1:23-cr-00061-MN.

9. Such manipulated congressional backed attacks eliminate the rule of law by government incited threats to chill fundamental rights and to control the outcome in cases unfairly.

10. Is the Court going to protect those who seek to protect and preserve it like special Counsel David Weiss.

11. David Weiss has authority to prosecute Robert Biden in his official capacity as US Attorney General even if somehow his capacity as special prosecutor is unlawfully and unfairly removed by errors of other courts.

12. It is the rule of law that founded, sustains and maintains these US, not the lie of devil and lawless misguided people that government is created by the consent of the people where there is no meeting of the minds by the people to willfully waive liberties or contract the souls of the people for the material gain of the government.

13. When the judges and the court's staff violate the law the means to improve the world is by the legal power of the petition to correct not destroy the courts to protect individual liberties.

14. When I petition the courts to assert my rights when it violates it, I do not seek to destroy the courts but to preserve the rule of law that maintains their positions and preserves these United States.

15. Attached, please find some emails. **Today July 23, 2024, I discovered new and additional misconduct by the DE Supreme Court**

16. Today I emailed the clerk of DE Supreme Court to request a copy of the docket 21-541 relating to the attached DE Supreme Court Order.

17. I am entitled to have a docket to appeal my motions that were denied.

18. Per the attached, there is an order with a case number, Number 21-541. If there is a court order with a case Number on it, I am entitled to documents in that case, but none were given. I am entitled to know if the documents I physically mailed were filed.

19. Today July 23, 2024, a Supreme Court staff member Berel indicated there is no docket available on file and serve for 21-541 or 22-541. If a judge can make an order on a docket number against a party, me, a claimant has a right to a docket to appeal from the order and to use in reciprocal cases to assert Due Process violations.

20. The DE supreme court conceals its own misconduct by sealing motions unethically and unlawfully without making note of it on the record, and for concealing its own unlawful rulings and failure to docket pleadings as to deny me additional rights not merely to be heard but to self- represent and to religious exercise of beliefs in Jesus where Jesus commands that we allow the holy spirit through God to be our advocate when we are wrongfully brought to court based on religious belief as was done in my case. ODC Petition at 7 indicates my religious beliefs were not proper when the religious beliefs I seek to defend are proper in a religious freedom restoration act lawsuit.

21. On July 21, 2024, I spoke with Tess a Lexus representative at length. She said there is no note on Kelly v Trump, 21-119 that the sealed motions were sealed or unsealed. I realize now that the court not only violated the law by not allowing me an opportunity to be heard, for concealing evidence in my favor in a case, for firing two material witnesses and not allowing me to call them, but also for concealing their errors of judgment for concealing their misconduct again by not documenting the sealing and unsealing of documents. This is not okay. It is not okay that the DE Supreme Court hides my petitions or their failure to docket the same in 21-541.

22. Today July 23, 2024 File serve indicated by phone today that there is no docket or evidence of the case relating to the attached order and case number 21-541 on file and serve.

23. The Court did not file all the documents I pled regarding no service to anyone on date Board alleged service in the original disciplinary case, motion for discovery, objection to counsel in Case No. 21-541 and has no documented preserved on a record on file and serve at all.

I sent the following emails:

“Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a transcript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 where I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,
Meg”

24. I sent a second email after reviewing the order DE Supreme Court issued with the knowledge and information I have now not available at the time of the order.

"Contrary to the Court's order, not all documents were sent via email including the Motions opposing lack of notice, lack of opportunity to prepare, perform research, or opposing the appointment of counsel on religious and self-representation grounds per US Amend I, VI, via the 14th Am.

Contrary to the Court's submission some pleadings were filed US mail in No 541.

I did not know the court would refuse to grant me copies for Number 541 and not upload or seal copies because the court denied me opportunity to discover court misconduct. It is not fair.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 02:01:05 PM EDT, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a transcript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 where I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:
Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed 20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.

Lisa A. Dolph
Clerk of Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4187

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 1:11 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I believe I am entitled to these documents even if they are not uploaded on the file and serve system, including testimony before the Board regarding appointment of counsel, if applicable.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 01:08:51 PM EST, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for talking with me. May I please have the documents for DE Supreme Court No. 541 relating to appointment of counsel and removal of counsel and all documents on that docket relating to me, and the board case.

Thank you. Justice Vaughn signed the Order on or about December 30, 2022. There is no need for me to copy opposing counsel since they were privy to the arguments whereas I was not.

Thank you,
Meg”

25. I was so upset. I sent the attached email to opposing counsel:

“?protect David Weiss appointment counsel endangers him/541 no record/ no way to appeal No docket exists on file and serve

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 04:46 PM EDT

I am entitled to have a docket to appeal my motions that were denied.

Per the attached, there is an order with a case number, Number 21-541. Today July 23, 2024, a Supreme Court staff member indicated there is no docket available on file and serve for 21-541 or 22-541. If a judge can make an order on a docket number against a party, me, a claimant has a right to a docket to appeal from the order.

The DE supreme court conceals its own misconduct by sealing motions unethically and unlawfully without making note of it, and for concealing its own unlawful rulings and failure to docket pleadings as to deny me additional rights not merely to be heard but to self represent and to religious exercise of beliefs in Jesus where Jesus commands that we allow the holy spirit through God to be our advocate when we are wrongfully brought to court based on religious belief as was done in my case. Petition at 7 indicates my religious beliefs were not proper when the religious beliefs I seek to defend are proper in a religious freedom restoration act lawsuit.

This is not okay.

File serve indicated by phone today, July 23, 2024, there is no docket or evidence of the case on file and serve. Berel and another new DE Supreme Court staff confirmed there is no docket for 21-541 on file and serve.

David Weis, we see the fruitless lawsuit kicked out in the 2nd circuit against you from heritage.

Heritage Found. v. Dep't of Just., No. 23-CV-1854 (DLF), 2024 WL 3291783, at *1 (D.D.C. July 3, 2024)

We see the Congress's calling you in to use you for their biased partial desires.

We see the danger they create towards all special prosecutors too. Yet you have authority as US AG of DE even without special appointment.

I tried to ask the court to protect you by preserving the arguments in my own case. I do not think anyone of us should let you fall to allow the rule of law to fall. We have to protect you and your position.

Ryan we have to protect David Weiss. I think I need to file something in the Eastern District Court case first. Ryan I am not sure if I can afford the stamps for both of our cases.

Thank you,
Meg”

26. I think I made good point I that US Attorney General David Weiss has authority as US Attorney General in his normal official position to prosecute him in DE in Criminal Action No. 1:23-cr-00061-MN, even if special appointment is removed. His official appointment grants him the same authority as Delaware’s appointed US Attorney General.

27. Since I last filed, President Biden allegedly contracted Covid 19 and dropped from the presidential race. The Democrats will choose to approve a candidate. Biden endorsed Vice President Harris. Trump selected Senator Vance as Vice President.

28. I seek to preserve the right to petition to sue a President no matter the party should one have standing. Both sides are being played in a scheme to overthrow the government. They need the Court’s guidance to preserve the rule of law that founded these United States.

29. This Country was not created at 1776. The Country was not born by the mere US Constitution either. Congress has no authority without the backing of the courts, and there is no rule of law to prevent lawless men who rule by economic, social or physical force without restraints upon the government and private people of the Constitution by the rule of law.

30. So, this Country was not born until the US Supreme Court was born to uphold the law not by money or might but by the impartial rule of law.

31. The Birth date of the nation is when all three branches were established created not by words but by deed on September 24, 1789 when President George Washington nominated John Jay the first Chief Justice of the United States on September 24, 1789. The Senate confirmed the appointment on September 26, 1789 Chief Justice. The nation was not created by vote of the people or by consent of the people but by the rule of law where the most important branch was filled in 1789.

32. The law came first, not the government which required the rule of law by courts to back and create the government's legal authority and limit authority of both government and private parties by rule of law. On an aside John Jay was wrong and violated freedom by forcing his false religious beliefs that somehow this country was created to give certain men authority and power over the many by Providence meaning God. See federalist letters 2.

33. What makes our nation more just and fairer is that the people and the public through attorney generals balance and restrain the courts, the President and congress through the petition coupled with due process fair and full opportunity to petition to correct the government when it is misguided and errs.

34. Without the courts, the people's most powerful legal Constitutional check is removed.

35. Without the courts there is no limit on government from lawlessly ruling by selfish wicked whimsical rule by might, mob representative rule, money and material gain unrestrained from sacrificing individual liberties and individuals for compelled collective conformity to the rulers' will be done. It makes men above the law by their own discretion which

immunity does too. Deference to government or to professionals and experts violates equal protections, due process and God's law against bias in courts by judges.

26. Without the rule of law there is no government. Without the courts we are not a nation.

27. The chicken becomes before the egg. You cannot have a government guide, govern and serve without authority based on the rule of law without a court to uphold the rule of law.

28. We need the courts to restrain those who use science as a religion to rule.

29. Science is used to control people by eliminating freedom in a forced subpar economy that creates and sustains pain to sustain power, unjust wicked research money, other profit streams, positions, and wicked job creation to keep people busy in contravention of Exodus 5 and the laws of my God and the Constitutional provisions of freedom, the environmental harm is caused by design to profit misguided people who purport to be saviors of the world or humanity if unrestrained by the courts.

30. Misguided people create busy work by creating problems to enslave the people to becoming indebted to fix. So, the masses are not free to seek truth amidst the deception mammon is God, savior of life when worship of it is the mark of the beast leading to eternal death without repentance. See Exodus Chapter 5 (Evil leaders try to control increased populations by busy slave work under the lie of caring for them by calling them lazy when they do not work. They teach job creation in a forced slave market is somehow good, when they do evil by business greed for power, positions or mammon, not to safeguard life and liberty of the people).

31. The 1972 book, Limits to Growth written by the club of Rome alludes to creating environmental harm to profit off of enslaving humanity to clean up the planned obsolescence made to repair, service or replace products polluting in production, replaced parts, and transportation to enrich the Club of Rome and others. They profit off of creating the problems. Then, they profit off of gaining research money the people are enslaved to pay for to throw noodles at the wall by wicked science to allow evil people to profit off of creating different problems under the guise of solutions to remain in power, positions and maintain profit streams in the name of science for infinity. There is no incentive to prevent the problems like Courts and petitioners may because then charitable and business positions, power and profit streams would end. A charity would not collect unjust gains through fundraising if the problem was resolved like the Gates Foundation.

32. I understand how my party avers to save the environment only to harm it. People are tricked and deceived into supporting the people who purport to be saviors of the environment or humanity but are by profiting off of harming people by sustaining environmental and other pain to sustain profit streams. The ignorance even in my own party does not remove the harm done towards others. Court correction prevents harm and prevents rewarding harming the environment to profit off of solutions that are sold by enslaving others under the carbon credit debit scheme.

33. The Green Revolution created environmental pain and pain toward human life and health under the pretty word science by making wheat less nutritious to make it last longer. I have read more than one article which stated the Green Revolution that used pesticides and herbicides that were made to kill people in WWII created new diseases and health problems like celiac's disease. A lot of people have allergic reactions which are not sensitivities making

something wrong with the person, but instead there is something right with the person. Allergic reactions are our bodies' protections from breathing, touching or consuming something harmful to our bodies.

34. Part of the overthrow is to create environmental harm. WED is focusing on causing water shortages to control not care for the people and the world by profiting off sustaining the harm with carbon credit.

25. The banking system and credit cards have changed to reflect the new beneficial entities created to profit in the new digital slave system under the carbon credit scheme. I am sorry I am sharing this now when I read about it more than a year ago.

26. The government has implemented government backed manipulation of weather which causes harm, and yet states maintain laws to cause harmful weather to pay for projects that cause global warming while blaming humanity to profit off of sustaining the pain to sustain slavery dent to eliminate liberty and sacrifice the lives and health of humanity to maintain power through exploiting the people.

27. I incorporate the attached articles and statutes showing the Federal government played God with the weather before I was born, and the First State colludes in causing harmful weather including droughts to control the water supplies and food production through private and foreign partners who will eliminate the government.

28. Case law refers to statutes making the UN above the law as it takes over 30 percent of our land, water and skies in the 30 30 agenda as there is a plan for them to control sewers, electricity, gas and water down the line to eliminate the rule of law that restrains the government to allow tyrants to rule for a time of lawlessness that will lead humanity to harm and

to hell if they give into temptation to bend their will towards those who control the necessities of life to lose their souls in the second death in hell if the courts do not save us.

29. This forced economy eliminates every freedom by economic, physical and social control if left unrestrained by the courts.

30. Per the attached Texas is modifying weather. They obviously do not care to eliminate pain as we see they create harmful environmental conditions to profit off of enslaving the people to pay for profiteers.

32. Texas's leaders are doing a bad job. Cruz left when people were freezing. The leaders reacted slowly to dangerous Texas outages during a heat wave.

32. The government officials who seek money, material gain, profit, positions, knowledge, avoidance of costs by violating the constitutional limits by enslaving or sacrificing the people they profess to serve unrestrained by the courts or love written in their hearts.

33. When I ran for office, I ran on a platform to care for the environment through drafting just laws not by enslaving or sacrificing human life, liberty or health for material gain.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

July 23, 2024

Respectfully submitted,
Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

Exhibit D

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER §
 OF THE BAR OF THE SUPREME § Misc. 541
 COURT OF DELAWARE § Board Case No. 115327-B
 § CONFIDENTIAL
 §
 MEGHAN M. KELLY, §
 Respondent. §

*filings
 right to be
 heard and
 access to
 filings
 denied*

Submitted: December 23, 2021
 Decided: December 30, 2021

ORDER

Having carefully considered the Motion to Remove Counsel filed by Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's appointed counsel and Ms. Kelly's wish to represent herself in Board Case No. 115327-B, the Motion is GRANTED. Appointed counsel David C. Hutt, Esquire is permitted to withdraw from the appointment. Ms. Kelly may represent herself in Board Case No. 115327-B

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ James T. Vaughn, Jr.
 Justice

I was sick with what I believed was the shingles exacerbated by allergies with fewer than 14 days to the hearing w/ defective notice, fewer than days allowed. Ignored motions to perform discovery never addressed.

Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov

Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; jgonzales@fileandserve.com

Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 02:01 PM EDT

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a transcript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 wheer I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed 20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green

Dover, DE 19901

(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 1:11 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I believe I am entitled to these documents even if they are not uploaded on the file and serve system, including testimony before the Board regarding appointment of counsel, if applicable.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 01:08:51 PM EST, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for talking with me. May I please have the documents for DE Supreme Court No. 541 relating to appointment of counsel and removal of counsel and all documents on that docket relating to me, and the board case.

Thank you. Justice Vaughn signed the Order on or about December 30, 2022. There is no need for me to copy opposing counsel since they were privy to the arguments whereas I was not.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302) 493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



58, 2022 Copies Order.pdf
142.6kB



58, 2022 Respondent's Table of Contents of Exhibits to Objection.pdf
894kB

Re: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov

Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; jgonzales@fileandserve.com; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 02:08 PM EDT

Contrary to the Court's order, not all documents were sent via email including the Motions opposing lack of notice, lack of opportunity to prepare, perform research, or opposing the appointment of counsel on religious and self-representation grounds per US Amend I, VI, via the 14th Am.

Contrary to the Court's submission some pleadings were filed US mail in No 541.

I did not know the court would refuse to grant me copies for Number 541 and not upload or seal copies because the court denied me opportunity to discover court misconduct. It is not fair.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 02:01:05 PM EDT, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a trascript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 wheer I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed

20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green

Dover, DE 19901

(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 1:11 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I believe I am entitled to these documents even if they are not uploaded on the file and serve system, including testimony before the Board regarding appointment of counsel, if applicable.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 01:08:51 PM EST, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for talking with me. May I please have the documents for DE Supreme Court No. 541 relating to appointment of counsel and removal of counsel and all documents on that docket relating to me, and the board case.

Thank you. Justice Vaughn signed the Order on or about December 30, 2022. There is no need for me to copy opposing counsel since they were privy to the arguments whereas I was not.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302) 493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

?protect David Weiss appointment counsel endangers him/541 no record/ no way to appeal No docket exists on file and serve

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 at 04:46 PM EDT

I am entitled to have a docket to appeal my motions that were denied.

Per the attached, there is an order with a case number, Number 21-541. Today July 23, 2024, a Supreme Court staff member indicated there is no docket available on file and serve for 21-541 or 22-541. If a judge can make an order on a docket number against a party, me, a claimant has a right to a docket to appeal from the order.

The DE supreme court conceals its own misconduct by sealing motions unethically and unlawfully without making note of it, and for concealing its own unlawful rulings and failure to docket pleadings as to deny me additional rights not merely to be heard but to self represent and to religious exercise of beliefs in Jesus where Jesus commands that we allow the holy spirit through God to be our advocate when we are wrongfully brought to court based on religious belief as was done in my case. Petition at 7 indicates my religious beliefs were not proper when the religious beliefs I seek to defend are proper in a religious freedom restoration act lawsuit.

This is not okay.

File serve indicated by phone today, July 23, 2024, there is no docket or evidence of the case on file and serve. Berel and another new DE Supreme Court staff confirmed there is no docket for 21-541 on file and serve.

David Weis, we see the fruitless lawsuit kicked out in the 2nd circuit against you from heritage.

Heritage Found. v. Dep't of Just., No. 23-CV-1854 (DLF), 2024 WL 3291783, at *1 (D.D.C. July 3, 2024)

We see the Congress's calling you in to use you for their biased partial desires.

We see the danger they create towards all special prosecutors too. Yet you have authority as US AG of DE even without special appointment.

I tried to ask the court to protect you by preserving the arguments in my own case. I do not think anyone of us should let you fall to allow the rule of law to fall. We have to protect you and your position.

Ryan we have to protect David Weiss. I think I need to file something in the Eastern District Court case first. Ryan I am not sure if I can afford the stamps for both of our cases.

Thank you,
Meg



Order 541.pdf
146.5kB



DI 440 Big.pdf
957.2kB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B.)	
Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY’S 236TH AFFIDAVIT

Plaintiff, Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. On July 24, 2024, DE Supreme Court Clerk Lisa Dolph sent me a paper copy of an improperly sealed proceeding in violation of due process and my 6th amendment asserted rights. In the email Lisa Dolph stated: “Good morning. I’ve attached the docket sheet for the miscellaneous case number 541 per your request. Our miscellaneous cases are **not in File & ServeXpress**. Thank you.”

2. The docket in matter Number 541 itself is prejudicial and is made to conceal the contents of my motions and assertions which prejudiced me. I fired and at no time accepted counsel. He did not have my full pleadings. Apparently, the court did not fully docket them and make them available in violation of 14th Amendment due process, my 6th Amendment right to self-represent no matter the duration of time which prejudiced me causing substantial burdens and the shingles in violation of my 1st Amendment right to religious belief because my Lord Jesus Christ teaches me in the Bible to allow the holy spirit to defend me when I am persecuted by the government based on my faith in Jesus Christ. See, Luke 12:11-12 (“Now when they bring you to the synagogues and magistrates and authorities, do not worry about how or what you should answer, or what you should say. For the Holy Spirit will teach you in that very hour what you ought to say.”)

3. The Court had no substantive legal argument submitted, no motion to defeat my assertion of fundamental rights to religious belief and 6th Amendment right to self-represent.

4. Since the Supreme Court Justices themselves colluded in interference with an active case before them Kelly v Trump by acting outside of the scope of their judicial authority to violate my right to petition, due process, punish me for my exercise of my religious belief, association, speech, and exercise of belief in violations of Us Amend I, VI, XIV applicable to the state via the 14th Am, they unlawfully violated my asserted rights of due process, right to self-represent and religious belief causing foreseeable irreparable harm in the loss of fundamental rights, right to self-represent for a time, due process and foreseeable emotional distress manifesting in the shingles.

5. Attached please find the prejudicial docket for the DE Board alleged proceeding where the documents did not accurately denote my motions including motion to call Arline Simmons a fired staff member as a witness in my defense for the exercise of fundamental rights and for my claims for violations of my right to petition, due process, religious belief, association, exercise of belief and other claims.

6. Moreover, comparing the two attached dockets you will see the Board served notice to no one on December 10, 2024 on my behalf not me. I did not receive notice in accordance with rule of 9 of the disciplinary procedural rules or due process.

7. No notice was sent to anyone on my behalf on December 10, 2021 since appointed counsel was not even appointed until allegedly December 13, 2021 in violation of my fundamental right to religious belief and 6th Am right to self-representation, 6th Am right to open proceeding and other claims applicable to the state via the 14th.

8. What is outrageous is that Defendant Paricia Swartz did not even draft a motion rebutting my assertion of my 1st and 6th Am rt to self-represent, petition, and due process applicable to the state via the 14th Amendment, only a simple letter I attach hereto and incorporate herein stating:

“ODC believes Ms. Kelly is not represented by counsel. Pursuant to Rule 19(c), the ODC respectfully requests the Court appoint counsel for Ms. Kelly in connection with the Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive. It is the ODC’s understanding such counsel will be provided by the Court without cost to the Ms. Kelly. Please advise if the Court requires any additional information.”

9. I am rightly insulting that the Court did not even consider my assertion of the fundamental right to due process, petition, religious belief and self-representation.

10. I told the court and David Weiss and appointed counsel he does not represent me ad I requested documents in the file. Lisa said there was nothing new per the attached email? What about the notice sent to no one. I did not get that. The appointed counsel did not receive my full information either. I do not believe the court filed it or considered it in deprivation of my due process, religious belief and 6th amendment rights applicable to the state via US Amend IX, I, VI.

11. As an accused I have a right to a fair open proceeding. It is not okay to deny me asserted rights just because the state disagrees with my private religious beliefs I sought to protect in a Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit.

12. I went to the DE Courts to safeguard my religious belief not to be punished for petitioning for a fair opportunity to protect it based on its own incitement of attacks against me during a case before it to deprive me of the right to petition to cover up its own violations of the supreme law of the land.

13.

14. I talked to Lexis and Westlaw representatives and either of them could find Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump.

15. I contacted the law librarians to confirm and received the attached response I incorporate herein along with Westlaw and Lexis availability:

“Hello Meg,

Bethany and I searched on both Westlaw and Lexis, but could not find these documents. In fact, they were not available on CourtLink either. I am attaching a copy of the dockets from both CourtLink and Westlaw for your convenience.

However, I was able to find stamped copies of the documents on FSX via our Supreme Court public access computer. I am attaching those stamped copies as well. I think I got everything you requested, but let me know if I let anything out.

Galen.”

16. I do not have Westlaw or Lexis. I thought the court fixed this, but it is not fixed.

17. Westlaw said they need to get authority from the clerk of Court Lisa Dolph, and if for any reason the documents are not uploaded it is likely because the DE Supreme Court prevented it.

18. I requested both Lexis and Westlaw upload the missing documents and provide citations in the attached emails wherein I stated:

“Fw: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/

Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; source.acquisition@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com

Cc:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date:Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 11:47 AM EDT
Hi Sean,

Thank you for talking with me.

I am seeking to place a citation for two motions the DE Supreme Court previously sealed. Per file and serve they and per Clerk of Court's email the court indicated it unsealed it.

I write to please request Source acquisition please place a citation on the mere motions and upload the documents on CourtLink.

Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.
Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16

These documents were previously on Court Link. I do not understand why they are no longer available.

I am going to forward three emails.

I intend to cite these two motions in Kelly v Trump in other cases.

The court previously allegedly uploaded them on Court Link when I confronted them for wrongly sealing them without lawful reason, but the law librarians can no longer find them. The DE Supreme Court fired two court staff to cover up misconduct too to prevent me from calling them as witnesses in another case.

I am going forward the email from the law librarians, and the two emails I filed the documents.

I attach the docket in Kelly v Trump 21-119, that I attached to my appeal to the US Supreme Court 22-5522 Kelly v Trump. I seek to protect freedom of religion by separating religion from government forced worship through partnerships with the church, with my church, which causes a substantial burden upon my exercise of religious belief.

Below you will see proof of 4 documents wrongly concealed without notification on the docket and alleged corrections. I am going to call file and serve again.

I am trying to get this fixes and made public on both Lexis and Westlaw. So, I am copying source acquisition and Habib at Westlaw too.

Thank you,
Meg

19. I sorted through other cases and made other requests to Westlaw and Lexis.

Representatives from their teams are helping me.

20. On July 24, 2024, I replied to Lisa response with the word docket of 541:

Fw: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541
From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov;
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 06:20 PM EDT
Hi Lisa,

My apologies for the delay in response. I am in receipt of the attached.

I obviously object to informal docketing which is not available on public record especially in light of my motion to preserve my 6th Amendment right to an open quasi-criminal disciplinary proceeding brought to punish me for suing Trump under the religious freedom restoration act and 1st Amendment to dissolve the establishment of government religion based on the court's disdain for my religious beliefs and citations to the bible to support the same relating to this case where the probable cause hearing did not have access to the concealed items on the record or the documents I sent to opposing counsel in response to the request for a probable cause hearing, my complaint and all the exhibits thereto.

The law librarians gave me bad news today, July 24, 2024, neither of the two motions this Court sealed in Kelly v Trump, Case Number 2021-119 and allegedly unsealed are available on Westlaw or Lexis.

I have been corresponding the entire day with file and serve, and representatives of Westlaw and Lexis, and have not resolved the issue.

Have you prevented Lexis and Westlaw from gaining access on your side? No one has resolved the problem I thought we previously addressed. It was like pulling teeth to get information from file and serve. They indicated they allegedly unsealed it by case number 231-298, and yet Westlaw and Lexis do not have access to it. So, the public does not have access to it.

The two items missing for Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Case Number 2021, 119 are Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz/Transaction Number 66649842 docket numbers 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 filed May 28, 2021 but not docketed until June 2, 2024 (albeit hidden under seal at the time with no notification on the docket) and

Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just/Transaction Number 66649842 docket numbers 16,17, 18, 19, 20, filed May 25, 2021 but oddly docketed on a different date per the attached docket May 27, 2021 under seal with no notification on docket of sealing and unsealing.

I attach the docket this court gave me to appeal to the US Supreme Court in Kelly v Trump 21-5522.

It is not fair that there is no trial court and the court responded to a letter by ODC with no proof other than a couple of sentences requesting appointment of counsel given my assertion that the appointment violated my fundamental 1st and 6th amendment rights to represent myself in accord with my religious beliefs.

There is no trial court but only the Supreme Court the one who incited the discipline to cause me to forgo a case before it at the time which shows an unfair forum.

Due process requires a trial forum be separate from the appellate court. Interestingly that was not the case with regards to 21-541.

Please consider protecting the right of an accused to have a public accessible record instead of wrongfully protecting the government in violation of Equal protections by disparate sacrifice and elimination of Constitutional rights at the whims of the state, not limited to the 6th amendment right to a public proceeding by secretly concealing documents, proceedings, and documents from the public at large.

Attorney Robinson admitted as staff attorney of the DE Supreme Court of signing off on Arline Simmons departure forms. I did not want two court staff to lose their jobs. When I assert my rights, it is to protect

my claims and fundamental rights under the law, never ever to destroy people who make mistakes, but to correct and improve the world. :(

Thank you,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>
To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 10:43:55 AM EDT
Subject: RE: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Good morning. I've attached the docket sheet for the miscellaneous case number 541 per your request. Our miscellaneous cases are not in File & ServeXpress. Thank you.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green

Dover, DE 19901

(302) 739-4187

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:01 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; Costa, Ryan (DOJ) <Ryan.Costa@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Subject: transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a transcript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 where I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed 20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.”

21. Today July 30, 2024, I went through the documents the courts sent me in response to the order granting me stamped copies in Disciplinary case 22-58.

23. I have the Westlaw and lexis documents for this case I attach hereto.

24. The Court through Lisa did not send me court stamped documents for the entire DE Disciplinary case as required per a court order by email.

25 After I made requests for citations from Lexis and Westlaw who in turn require, I email them court stamped documents, I realized that the reason why I do not have any documents after May 2024 per the docket attached Lisa gave me was the court forgot to adhere to the order to provide court stamped documents after May 2024.

26. In order for Lexis and Westlaw to provide them citations they requested Court stamps.

27. I made a request for the documents after May 2022 in No 22-58 to Lisa Dolph. See the attached.

28. I did go through the painful experience of looking through the court stamped documents I have and I saw I drafted a letter request to file paper copies since I was denied access to Del tech’s scanner and did not have the means to scan easily. See Docket Number 55 to see my painful attempts and how the state turned me away because I ran for office. See DI 56 I am a democrat. Democrats are unpopular down here. Yet Equal protections of state funded entities protect even those who associate with unpopular groups.

29. I have not slept the past two days because I am so upset that I have to go through all of this painful paper work the courts said was public.

30. Drafting a supplemental brief is urgent. Asserting Constitutional protections of David Weis's right to enforce the law to safeguard the law, and the CA apparently recognizing David Weis's authority as a US AG is also important. I actually thought the order was from this court. I cried because the court did the right thing by protecting petitioners because the state courts have done the wrong thing for about 20 years against me to punish me because they think my faith in Jesus is repugnant. They misbehave because they want to do what they want not what is right. That is naughty. Please guide the misguided court to save life, liberty and even them courts. They need your brilliance. There really is a schemed overthrow. I have ideas to prevent it. If the courts deny me the petition, I cannot ask the court to save itself.

31. However, I am putting that aside for now to preserve the record of new arguments or fact about DE Supreme Court's unconstitutional unfair practices on miscellaneous matters and my matters.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

July 30, 2024

Respectfully submitted,
Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

RE: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

From: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) (lisa.dolph@delaware.gov)

To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 10:43 AM EDT

Good morning. I've attached the docket sheet for the miscellaneous case number 541 per your request. Our miscellaneous cases are not in File & ServeXpress. Thank you.

Lisa A. Dolph
Clerk of Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:01 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; Costa, Ryan (DOJ) <Ryan.Costa@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Subject: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a trascript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 wheer I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed 20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green

Dover, DE 19901

(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 1:11 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I believe I am entitled to these documents even if they are not uploaded on the file and serve system, including testimony before the Board regarding appointment of counsel, if applicable.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 01:08:51 PM EST, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for talking with me. May I please have the documents for DE Supreme Court No. 541 relating to appointment of counsel and removal of counsel and all documents on that docket relating to me, and the board case.

Thank you. Justice Vaughn signed the Order on or about December 30, 2022. There is no need for me to copy opposing counsel since they were privy to the arguments whereas I was not.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939


(302) 493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



DOCKET SHEET MISC 541IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE BAR OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE.docx

16.4kB

**BOARD CASE NO. 115327-B**

	<u>Date Filed/ Received</u>	<u>Document</u>	<u>Document</u> <small>(if different from file date)</small>
1	11/5/2021	ODC's Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive Status	
2	11/29/2021	Letter from Meghan Kelly to Clerk of Court	
3	11/30/2021	Respondent's Answer w/Exhibits 1-34, CD rom	
4	12/3/2021	Letter from Respondent w/Exhibits 1-7	
5	12/10/2021	Notice of Hearing	
6	12/13/2021	Order Appointing David Hutt, Esquire as Counsel	
7	12/21/2021	Email from Respondent to Mason Vannucci requesting docket	
8	12/21/2021	Letter from BPR Admin Assistant to Respondent with list of filings to date	
9	12/22/2021	Letter from Respondent to Clerk declining representation (email)	12/21/2021
10	12/22/2021	Letter from Respondent to BPR Admin Assist. re discovery, postponement, w/two Exhibits (cert. mail)	12/18/2021
11	12/23/2021	Respondent's motion for reconsideration of appointment of counsel, objection to notice of hearing, Exhibits 1-10, proposed order	12/21/2021
12	12/23/2021	Motion to remove counsel w/Exhibits A-C, filed by Mr. Hutt (email) - hard copy rec'd 12/28/2021	
13	12/27/2021	Respondent's answer to petition w/Exhibits 1-34	12/24/2021
14	12/29/2021	Letter from Respondent re 6th Amendment right to self-representation/postpone hearing w/Exhibits A, C-L	12/29/2021
15	12/30/2021	Order removing counsel, signed by Justice Vaughn	
16	1/4/2022	Respondent's objection to and motion to enjoin expert observation and analysis of respondent at hearings and discovery, etc., w/Exhibits 1-10	12/31/2021
17	1/10/2022	Email from Respondent resending info mailed on 12/18/21	
18	1/10/2022	Email from Respondent objecting to hearing w/Exhibits A-K	
19	1/11/2022	Respondent's emergency objection & motion to postpone hearing w/Exhibits A-B	
20	1/11/2022	Order of the Court denying Respondent's emergency motion to postpone	
21	1/11/2022	Order of the Board Panel Chair approving request to postpone. Hearing set for 1/21/2022	
22	1/12/2022	Respondent's motion to suspend hearing w/Exhibits A-M	
23	1/13/2022	ODC's response to Respondent's motion for postponement of hearing	
24	1/18/2022	Respondent's motion for immediate emergency relief, to suspend hearing, etc.	1/15/2022
25	1/18/2022	Order of the Court denying Respondent's appeal of 1/11/22 BPR order & decision not to rule on further motions or appeals before the BPR issues a final report to the Court	
26	1/19/2022	Email from Respondent objecting to proceedings	

	<u>Date Filed/ Received</u>	<u>Document</u>	<u>Document Date</u> <small>(if different from file date)</small>
27	1/19/2022	Email from Respondent containing Exhibits A-M	
28	1/22/2022	Respondent's Table of Exhibits and Exhibits 1-47	
29	1/23/2022	Respondent's Amended Table of Exhibits and Exhibit 48	
30	1/25/2022	Respondent's Motions to dismiss and proposed order to dismiss	
31	1/31/2022	Transcript of January 21, 2022 hearing	
32	1/31/2022	ODC Table of Exhibits and Exhibits 1-9	
33	1/31/2022	Respondent's Motion for Protective Order	
34	2/1/2022	Respondent's letter correcting Court Reporter's errors, list of typos, etc.	
35	2/17/2022	Board Panel's Report and Recommendation on Petition to Transfer to Disability Status	
36	2/18/2022	Respondent's email objecting to the Board's Report and Recommendation on Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive Status	

CONFIDENTIAL Board Case No. 115327-B

From: James, Angela D (Courts) (angela.james@delaware.gov)

To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Cc: Patricia.Schwartz@delaware.gov

Date: Thursday, November 18, 2021 at 10:02 AM EST

Dear Ms. Kelly:

Please see the attached letter from Patricia Bartley Schwartz in regards to the above-captioned case; which the original has been sent to you via U.S. First Class Mail.

Thank you.

Angela James

Paralegal
Office of Disciplinary Counsel
The Renaissance Centre
405 North King Street, Suite 420
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-3931
(302) 651-3939 (fax)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential or proprietary information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, immediately contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.



Kelly Meghan. Ltr to Supreme Ct Clerk. to Appoint Counsel. 11.18.21.pdf
66.7kB

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

The Renaissance Centre
405 N. King Street, Suite 420
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 651-3931
(302) 651-3939 (FAX)

<https://courts.de.us.gov/odc>

DAVID A. WHITE
Chief Disciplinary Counsel

PATRICIA BARTLEY SCHWARTZ
Disciplinary Counsel

KATHLEEN M. VAVALA
Disciplinary Counsel

November 18, 2021

CONFIDENTIAL

Clerk of the Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901

Re: ODC Board Case No. 115327-B (Meghan M. Kelly, Esquire)

Dear Clerk:

On November 4, 2021, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (“ODC”) filed with the Board on Professional Responsibility a petition to transfer Meghan Kelly to disability inactive. Rule 19(c) of the Delaware Lawyers’ Rules of Disciplinary Procedure state if a “respondent is not represented by counsel, the Court shall appoint counsel for the respondent.” ODC believes Ms. Kelly is not represented by counsel. Pursuant to Rule 19(c), the ODC respectfully requests the Court appoint counsel for Ms. Kelly in connection with the Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive. It is the ODC’s understanding such counsel will be provided by the Court without cost to the Ms. Kelly. Please advise if the Court requires any additional information.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

/s/ Patricia Bartley Schwartz
Patricia Bartley Schwartz

PBS:aj
cc: Meghan Kelly, Esq. (via U.S. Mail & Email)

Morris James LLP

David C. Hutt
302.856.0018
dhutt@morrisjames.com

December 17, 2021

VIA: U.S. 1st CLASS MAIL & EMAIL (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

Meghan M. Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

RE: *In the Matter of a Member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware vs.
Meghan M. Kelly*
Board Case No. 115327-B

Dear Ms. Kelly:

I am pleased to report that I have been appointed to represent you with regard to the above-referenced Supreme Court proceedings (Court Order enclosed). Please contact me immediately so that we can discuss the proceedings. Please provide my office with the best address for all correspondence and with a working phone number and email address.


There is a hearing scheduled in this matter on Thursday, January 13, 2022 (Notice of Hearing enclosed). Please note that I may need to request that this hearing be rescheduled due to existing commitments on my calendar. We can discuss the schedule in more detail when we review this matter together.

I look forward to working on this matter with you. Again, I ask you to please contact my assistant, Shelly Thomas at 302-752-3307 immediately, to provide (confirm) contact information and to schedule a meeting to discuss this matter.

Very Truly Yours,

MORRIS JAMES LLP

David C. Hutt



Enclosures

BOARD ON PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY
OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF A § CONFIDENTIAL
MEMBER OF THE BAR OF §
THE SUPREME COURT OF § Board Case No. 115327-B
DELAWARE: §
MEGHAN M. KELLY, §
RESPONDENT. §

To: Meghan M. Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19901

Patricia B. Schwartz, Esquire
Office of Disciplinary Counsel
405 N. King Street, Suite 420
Wilmington, DE 19801

NOTICE OF HEARING

The hearing in connection with the above-captioned case has been scheduled for:

Thursday, January 13, 2022 at 9:30 a.m. via Zoom before: Nicole M. Faries, Esquire, (Chair), Andrew A. Lundgren, Esquire and John D. Shevock. An email with the Zoom link will be sent separately.

By copy of this notice, I am forwarding to the Board panel the Petition for Discipline filed by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel and Respondent's Answer to the Petition for Discipline.

The respondent is entitled to be represented by a lawyer, who will be entitled to cross-examine witnesses and to present evidence on respondent's behalf. At respondent's written request, the Clerk of the Delaware Supreme Court will compel by subpoena the attendance of witnesses and the production of pertinent books, papers and documents.

/s/ Karlis Johnson
Karlis Johnson
Administrative Assistant to the Board on
Professional Responsibility
405 N. King Street, Suite 505
Wilmington, DE 19801

Date of Notice: December 10, 2021

cc: Michael A. Barlow, Esquire
Chair, Board on Professional Responsibility
Nicole M. Faries, Esquire (w/enclosure)
Andrew A. Lundgren, Esquire (w/enclosure)
John D. Shevock, MSM, FACHE, FACMPE (w/enclosure)
Lexitas

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER	§
OF THE BAR OF THE SUPREME	§ Misc. 541
COURT OF DELAWARE	§ Board Case No. 115327-B
	§ CONFIDENTIAL
MEGHAN M. KELLY,	§
Respondent.	§


Submitted: November 18, 2021

Decided: December 13, 2021

ORDER

Having considered the Office of Disciplinary Counsel's request for the appointment of counsel to represent Respondent Meghan M. Kelly pursuant to Rule 19(c) of the Delaware Lawyers' Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, the request is GRANTED. David C. Hutt, Esquire is appointed to represent Ms. Kelly at no cost to Ms. Kelly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


Justice

To Court and Board and Counsel David Rutt Fired never accepted/Fw: Reject Counsel Misc 541/115327-B9

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov
Cc: dhutt@morrisjames.com; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; patricia.schwartz@delaware.gov; mason.vannucci@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov
Date: Tuesday, December 21, 2021 at 10:23 PM EST

Good evening,

David Hutt does not represent me. I declined representation, per the attached.

Please direct all communications to me. Lisa, I asked you for a docket yesterday, and you indicated there was not anything new I needed to see. Please send me the docket, and I require a docket from the board too. I made a request with Mason yesterday evening. I also filed something with the Board to postpone any hearing until a determination is made concerning counsel. I want to see the documents on how he was appointed without my consent and despite my objection. I moved via letter to the Board. I needed to perform discovery, and the court is aware of my intent to file a motion to dismiss on subject matter grounds.

I am entitled for a fair opportunity to be heard regarding persecution against me by government agents relating to fundamental matters including my belief in Jesus Christ the Office of Disciplinary Counsel finds illogical.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Meg.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: David C. Hutt <dhutt@morrisjames.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2021, 09:17:43 PM EST
Subject: Reject Counsel Misc 541/115327-B9

David,

Attached please find the letter mailed out to the Board, ODC and the Supreme Court today. You do not represent me. Please accept my wishes by forwarding this to the DE Supreme Court should they wrongly reject the letter sent today.

Thank you,
Meg



Cert of serv sent Dec 21.pdf
38.5kB



Letter representation rejected.pdf
105kB

RE: Same request of different previously sealed document Fw: Lexis Fw: Meg v Trump/v Biden Case No 119, 2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede h

From: Wilson, Galen (Courts) (galen.wilson@delaware.gov)
To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; bethany.parker@delaware.gov
Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 08:51 AM EDT

Hello Meg,

Bethany and I searched on both Westlaw and Lexis, but could not find these documents. In fact, they were not available on CourtLink either. I am attaching a copy of the dockets from both CourtLink and Westlaw for your convenience.

However, I was able to find stamped copies of the documents on FSX via our Supreme Court public access computer. I am attaching those stamped copies as well. I think I got everything you requested, but let me know if I let anything out.

Galen

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 1:14 PM
To: Parker, Bethany (Courts) <Bethany.Parker@delaware.gov>; Wilson, Galen (Courts) <Galen.Wilson@delaware.gov>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Same request of different previously sealed document Fw: Lexis Fw: Meg v Trump/v Biden Case No 119, 2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede he...

Hello,

Could you please help me? I have three requests.

Could you please send me the attached document with the court stamp from Court connect or otherwise?

Could you please let me know if this is available on Lexis research in the briefs and motions with a citation ?

Could you please let me know if this is available on Westlaw research in the briefs and motions with a citation

Thank you,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: source.acquisition@lexis.com <source.acquisition@lexis.com>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 at 06:47:54 PM EDT

Subject: Lexis Fw: Meg v Trump/v Biden Case No 119, 2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just"

Good evening,

I apologize. I think I accidentally sent you the same document twice. I meant to send the attached document where I am a party in the case.

Could you please give this motion a citation and make it searchable via research of motions please.

I have number of cases and wanted to cite to it from the law library.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Very truly,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov <supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 at 08:40:05 PM EDT

Subject: Meg v Trump/v Biden Case No 119, 2021

Dear Honorable Supreme Court,

Thank you for trying to accept the letter.

Attached, please find "Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just"

My signed, dated declaration in lieu of an affidavit

Exhibit including letters to the court below and emails related to due process concerns.

Exhibit relating to DE-Lap interference in my case.

My Proposed Order.

I might try to show you the clip where I was on tv, but I do not believe it will upload as too large.

Thank you. I hope you have a good night.

Very truly,

Meg Kelly, Pro se, not an attorney advocate, a party in this case



Kelly Meghan v Donald Trump.pdf
96.5kB



1192021_ Kelly_ Meghan v. Donald Trump_ et al.pdf
23.7kB



119,2021 Appellant's Motion for relief.pdf
221.6kB



Appellant's Motion for recusal of Chief Justice Seitz.pdf
277.1kB



Declaration May 28 Appellants Motion for the Delaware Supreme to.pdf
80.2kB



Exhibit 1 Receipt Meg paid bar fees.pdf
85.3kB



Exhibit 2.pdf
265.9kB



Exhibit 3.pdf
72.5kB



Exhibit 4.pdf
384.8kB



Exhibit DELAP Misbehaving bothering me May 24.pdf
174.7kB



Exhibit F Exhibit 55-regarding due process concerns.pdf
686.5kB



May 25 proposed Order regarding Due process relief.pdf
147.2kB



May 28 Order regarding Recusal of Cheif Justice.pdf
182.3kB



Signed Declaration Motion May 25.pdf
83.2kB

This docket is current through 11/03/2021

Today's Date: 7/23/2024
Source: Supreme Court, Delaware

DISCLAIMER

This Data is provided for informational purposes only and it is not the official record. For copies of the official record (of an incarceration, conviction or court filing record) contact the source agency or court. In addition to any obligations under your Subscriber Agreement, your use of this data may be governed by the [Supplier Additional Terms \(see Footer\)](#).

CASE INFORMATION

Case Title:	Kelly, Meghan v. Donald Trump
Court:	Supreme Court
Case Number:	119-2021
Filing ID Number:	7355151
Case Type:	Appeals
Case Subtype:	Civil-Other
Key Nature of Suit:	Appeals (030)
Description:	Civil Appeal
Date Filed:	04/21/2021
Judge:	Alternate Judge Karlis Johnson Alternate Judge ALL En Banc Alternate Judge Karen Valihura Alternate Judge James Vaughn Alternate Judge Collins J Seitz Jr. Alternate Judge Gary F Traynor Alternate Judge Tamika Montgomery-Reeves
Case Status:	Active
Miscellaneous:	Panel Details: CJS,KLV,JTV Panel 1; CJS,KLV,GFT Panel 2; CJS,KLV,TMR Panel 3; CJS,JTV,GFT Panel 4; CJS,JTV,TMR Panel 5; CJS,GFT,TMR Panel 6; KLV,JTV,GFT Panel 7; KLV,JTV,TMR Panel 8; KLV,GFT,TMR Panel 9; JTV,GFT,TMR Panel 10

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

Meghan Kelly

Type:	Appellant
Attorney:	Meghan Kelly
Attorney Status:	Pro Se

Donald J. Trump

Type:	Appellee
--------------	----------

Donald Trump

Type: Appellee

Meghan Kelley

Type: Appellee
Address: 34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

New Castle County, Sheriff

Type: Appellee
Attorney: Sheriff New Castle County
Attorney Phone: 302-395-8457
Attorney Fax: 302-395-8460

President Donald Trump

Type: Appellee

President of the United States

Type: Appellee

Supreme Court 30

Type: Filer
Address: 55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901

DOCKET PROCEEDINGS (42)

Date:	Entry #:	Description:	Date Docketed:	Party:
11/03/2021	88341011	Document Description: Letter dated 11-1-21 from Supreme Court of the United States to Clerk of Supreme Court of Delaware, advising that the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied. Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		



09/01/2021 88071686 **Document Description:**
Letter dated 8-30-21 from U.S. Supreme Court Clerk to Clerk, advising that the writ of certiorari was filed on 8-23-21 and placed on the docket 8-30-21 as No. 21-5522. (eaf) **Docket Entry Type:** US Supreme Court Notification **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 1 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/22/2021 87895282 **Document Description:** Letter dated 7-22-21 from Chief Deputy Clerk to appellant, advising her case is closed and the Court will take no further action with her letter. In addition, the Court will not docket or act on any future documents submitted in this matter. (dja) **Docket Entry Type:** Letter **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 1 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/21/2021 87891502 **Document Description:** Exhibit part 5. **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 33 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/21/2021 87891501 **Document Description:** Exhibit part 4 **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 34 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/21/2021 87891500 **Document Description:** Exhibit Part 3 **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 35 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/21/2021 87891499 **Document Description:** Exhibit Part 2 **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 34 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court



07/21/2021 87891498 **Document Description:** Exhibit part 1 **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted



07/21/2021	87891497	Number of Pages: 58 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Exhibit-Covid-19: The Great Reset. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 213 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
07/21/2021	87891496	Document Description: Exhibit to letter Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
07/21/2021	87891495	Document Description: Letter dated 7-21-21 from Appellant to Court, regarding elimination of the dollar-intentionally crashing the economy to get out of the biggest bill falling due, caring for the baby boomers. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
07/19/2021	87876839	Document Description: Mandate to Clerk of Court below. Case closed. (raw) Docket Entry Type: Mandate to Clerk of Court Below File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 11 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
07/19/2021	87875826	Document Description: Order dated 7-19-21 by Traynor, J. IT IS ORDERED that the motion for reargument is DENIED. (JTV, GFT, TMR) (raw) Docket Entry Type: Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
07/14/2021	87857416	Document Description: Appellant's Unopposed Motion for a Reargument Before the Delaware Supreme Court. (filed 7-12-21) (ead) Docket Entry Type: Motion for Reargument File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 16 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View

07/07/2021 87827184 **Document Description:** Order dated 7-7-21 by Traynor,J. IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED. The other pending motions are moot. (JTV, GFT, TMR). (EAF) **Docket Entry Type:** Order - Final **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 7 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708122 **Document Description:** Appendix A-5. (dja) **Docket Entry Type:** Appendix **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 34 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708121 **Document Description:** Appendix A-4. (dja) **Docket Entry Type:** Appendix **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 38 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708120 **Document Description:** Appendix A-3. (dja) **Docket Entry Type:** Appendix **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 8 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708119 **Document Description:** Appendix A-2 **Docket Entry Type:** Appendix **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 2 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708118 **Document Description:** Appendix A-1 **Docket Entry Type:** Appendix **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 2 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court

06/08/2021 87708117 **Document Description:** Court of Chancery docket. (dja) **Docket Entry Type:** Exhibit **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number of Pages:** 11 **Filer Organization:** DE Supreme Court


06/08/2021 87708116 **Document Description:** Certificate of Compliance with Typeface. **Docket Entry Type:** Certificate of Compliance with Typeface **File Type:** Main **Disposition:** Accepted **Number**



06/08/2021	87708115	of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Proposed order. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
06/08/2021	87708114	Document Description: Declaration filed by appellant. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
06/08/2021	87708113	Document Description: Appellant's opening brief. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Opening Brief File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 53 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
06/04/2021	87694091	Document Description: Order dated 6-4-21 by Traynor, J. Supreme Court Rule 14(d) (i) provides that an opening brief shall not exceed 10,000 words. [sic] The motion states that the appellant's word count is 9,300 words. Using 14-point font in the footnotes, as required by Rule 13(a)(i), will not increase the word count. Thus, it appears that no relief is required. Moreover, the motion does not state the number of additional words requested. (raw) Docket Entry Type: Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
06/04/2021	87693463	Document Description: Proposed Order of motion. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Draft Reviewer: Gary F Traynor Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
06/04/2021	87693462	Document Description: Appellant's declaration. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Reviewer: Gary F Traynor Number of Pages: 1 Filer	 View

06/04/2021	87693461	Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Motion under Rule 14(d) by appellant. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Motion under Rule 14(d) File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Reviewer: Gary F Traynor Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/25/2021	87649113	Document Description: Letter dated 5-25-21 from Clerk to appellant, striking the letter as a nonconforming filing. (ead) Docket Entry Type: Letter from Supreme Court Clerk File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/24/2021	87643005	Document Description: Transfer of Court of Chancery docket to Supreme Court on appeal constituting the record as ordered. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Record as Ordered File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Filer: Katrina Kruger Number of Pages: 7 Filer Organization: DE Court of Chancery Civil Action	 View
05/18/2021	87620777	Document Description: Letter dated 5-18-21 from Senior Court Clerk to the Chief Register in Chancery, advising the record is due 6-10-21. (raw) Docket Entry Type: Letter - Record Due from Supreme Court Clerk File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/14/2021	87607001	Document Description: Brief schedule issued. (Opening Brief is due 6-28-21)(raw) Docket Entry Type: Brief Schedule File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/14/2021	87603149	Document Description: Order dated 5-14-21 by Traynor, J., appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED, limited only to waiver of docketing fee. (ead) Docket Entry Type: Order File Type:	 View

		Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	
05/05/2021	87558753	Document Description: Certificate of service to statement in lieu. (served by mail and email on 5-4-21) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/04/2021	87555902	Document Description: Statement pursuant to Rule 9(e) in lieu of ordering of proceedings below. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Statement in lieu of ordering Transcript File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
05/03/2021	87549106	Document Description: Unsworn declaration filed by appellant. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
04/23/2021	87505687	Document Description: Letter dated 4-21-21 from Clerk to appellant, directing that the IFP must be notarized or an unsworn declaration be filed by 5-5-21. Advising that the many documents filed with the notice of appeal will not be docketed. (ead) Docket Entry Type: Letter from Supreme Court Clerk File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
04/21/2021	87494475	Document Description: Motion to proceed in forma pauperis by appellant. (not notarized) (dja) (filed on 4-20-21) Docket Entry Type: Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 13 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	Filing Party: Meghan Kelly  View
04/21/2021	87494474	Document Description: Exhibit-NOA Master's Final Report dated 11-2-20. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit	Filing Party: Meghan Kelly  View

04/21/2021	87494473	File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	Filing Party: Meghan Kelly	
04/21/2021	87494472	Document Description: Exhibit-NOA order dated 3-26-21 Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	Filing Party: Meghan Kelly	
		Document Description: Notice of appeal from the Order dated 3-26-21 in the Court of Chancery, by Vice Chancellor Fioravanti, in C.A. No. 2020-0809, with designation of no transcript. (no service shown) (dja) (filed on 4-20-21) Docket Entry Type: Notice of Appeal Pro Se File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		

End of Document

© 2024 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

1192021, Kelly, Meghan v. Donald Trump, et al

DE Supreme - New Castle

New Castle

This case was retrieved on **11/04/2021**

Header

Case Number: 1192021
Date Filed: 04/21/2021
Date Full Case Retrieved: 11/04/2021
Status: Open
Misc: (6) Civil-Other; Civil

Summary

Judge: Delaware, Supreme Court
Status: Active

Participants

Litigants

Meghan Kelly

Appellant

Donald J. Trump President

Appellee

Donald Trump

Appellee

Meghan Kelley

Appellee

New Castle County, Sheriff

Appellee

Attorneys

Pro Se Pro Se

Appellant

Sheriff New Castle County

Appellee

Fax : 302-395-8460

302-395-8457

President Donald Trump

Appellee

President of the United States

Appellee

DE Supreme Court

Other

Proceedings

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
04/21/2021	1	Notice of appeal from the Order dated 3-26-21 in the Court of Chancery, by Vice Chancellor Fioravanti, in C.A. No. 2020-0809, with designation of no transcript. (no service shown) (dja) (filed on 4-20-21)	Number of Pages: 8 Filer Name: Meghan Kelly
04/21/2021	2	Exhibit-NOA order dated 3-26-21	Number of Pages: 6 Filer Name:

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
			Meghan Kelly
04/21/2021	3	Exhibit-NOA Master's Final Report dated 11-2-20. (dja)	Number of Pages: 8 Filer Name: Meghan Kelly
04/21/2021	4	Motion to proceed in forma pauperis by appellant. (not notarized)(dja) (filed on 4-20-21)	Number of Pages: 13 Filer Name: Meghan Kelly
04/23/2021	5	Letter dated 4-21-21 from Clerk to appellant, directing that the IFP must be notarized or an unsworn declaration be filed by 5-5-21. Advising that the many documents filed with the notice of appeal will not be docketed. (ead)	Number of Pages: 3
05/03/2021	6	Unsworn declaration filed by appellant. (dja)	Number of Pages: 1
05/04/2021	7	Statement pursuant to Rule 9(e) in lieu of ordering of proceedings below. (dja)	Number of Pages: 2
05/05/2021	8	Certificate of service to statement in lieu. (served by mail and email on 5-4-21) (dja)	Number of Pages: 1
05/14/2021	9	Order dated 5-14-21 by Traynor, J., appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED, limited only to waiver of docketing fee. (ead)	Number of Pages: 1
05/14/2021	10	Brief schedule issued. (Opening Brief is due 6-28-21)(raw)	Number of Pages: 1
05/18/2021	11	Letter dated 5-18-21 from Senior Court Clerk to the Chief Register in Chancery, advising the record is due 6-10-21. (raw)	Number of Pages: 1
05/24/2021	12	Transfer of Court of Chancery docket to Supreme Court on appeal constituting the record as ordered. (dja)	Number of Pages: 7 Filer Name: Kruger, Katrina
05/25/2021	13	Letter dated 5-25-21 from Clerk to appellant, striking the letter as a nonconforming filing. (ead)	Number of Pages: 1
06/04/2021	14	Motion under Rule 14(d) by appellant. (dja)	Number of Pages: 8
06/04/2021	15	Appellant's declaration.	Number of Pages: 1
06/04/2021	16	Proposed Order of motion.	Number of Pages: 1
06/04/2021	17	Order dated 6-4-21 by Traynor, J. Supreme Court Rule 14(d)(i) provides that an opening brief shall not exceed 10,000 words. [sic] The motion states that the appellant's word count is 9,300 words. Using 14-point font in the footnotes, as required by Rule 13(a)(i), will not increase the word count. Thus, it appears that no relief is required. Moreover, the motion does not state the number of additional words requested. (raw)	Number of Pages: 2

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
06/08/2021	18	Appellant's opening brief. (dja)	Number of Pages: 53
06/08/2021	19	Declaration filed by appellant.	Number of Pages: 1
06/08/2021	20	Proposed order.	Number of Pages: 2
06/08/2021	21	Certificate of Compliance with Typeface.	Number of Pages: 1
06/08/2021	22	Court of Chancery docket. (dja)	Number of Pages: 11
06/08/2021	23	Appendix A-1	Number of Pages: 2
06/08/2021	24	Appendix A-2	Number of Pages: 2
06/08/2021	25	Appendix A-3. (dja)	Number of Pages: 8
06/08/2021	26	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Number of Pages: 38
06/08/2021	27	Appendix A-5. (dja)	Number of Pages: 34
07/07/2021	28	Order dated 7-7-21 by Traynor,J. IT IS ORDERED that the judgment of the Court of Chancery is AFFIRMED. The other pending motions are moot. (JTV, GFT, TMR). (EAF)	Number of Pages: 7
07/14/2021	29	Appellant's Unopposed Motion for a Reargument Before the Delaware Supreme Court. (filed 7-12-21) (ead)	Number of Pages: 16
07/19/2021	30	Order dated 7-19-21 by Traynor, J. IT IS ORDERED that the motion for reargument is DENIED. (JTV, GFT, TMR) (raw)	Number of Pages: 1
07/19/2021	31	Mandate to Clerk of Court below. Case closed. (raw)	Number of Pages: 11
07/21/2021	32	Letter dated 7-21-21 from Appellant to Court, regarding elimination of the dollar-intentionally crashing the economy to get out of the biggest bill falling due, caring for the baby boomers. (dja)	Number of Pages: 2
07/21/2021	33	Exhibit to letter	Number of Pages: 8
07/21/2021	34	Exhibit-Covid-19: The Great Reset.	Number of Pages: 213
07/21/2021	35	Exhibit part 1	Number of Pages: 58
07/21/2021	36	Exhibit Part 2	Number of Pages: 34
07/21/2021	37	Exhibit Part 3	Number of Pages: 35
07/21/2021	38	Exhibit part 4	Number of Pages: 34
07/21/2021	39	Exhibit part 5.	Number of Pages: 33
07/22/2021	40	Letter dated 7-22-21 from Chief Deputy Clerk to appellant, advising her case is closed and the Court will take no further action with her	Number of Pages: 1

1192021, Kelly, Meghan v. Donald Trump, et al

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
		letter. In addition, the Court will not docket or act on any future documents submitted in this matter. (dja)	
09/01/2021	41	Letter dated 8-30-21 from U.S. Supreme Court Clerk to Clerk, advising that the writ of certiorari was filed on 8-23-21 and placed on the docket 8-30-21 as No. 21-5522. (eaf)	Number of Pages: 1
11/03/2021	42	Letter dated 11-1-21 from Supreme Court of the United States to Clerk of Supreme Court of Delaware, advising that the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.	Number of Pages: 1

Copyright © LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. All Rights Reserved.
*** THIS DATA IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY ***

End of Document

Fw: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
 To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; source.acquisition@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com
 Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
 Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 11:47 AM EDT

Hi Sean,

Thank you for talking with me.

I am seeking to place a citation for two motions the DE Supreme Court previously sealed. Per file and serve they and per Clerk of Court's email the court indicated it unsealed it.

I write to please request Source acquisition please pace a citation on the mere motions and upload the documents on CourtLink.

Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.
 Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16

These documents were previously on Court Link. I do not understand why they are no longer available.

I am going to forward three emails.

I intend to cite these two motions in Kelly v Trump in other cases.

The court previously allegedly uploaded them on Court Link when I confronted them for wrongly sealing them without lawful reason, but the law librarians can no longer find them. The DE Supreme Court fired two court staff to cover up misconduct too to prevent me from calling them as witnesses in another case.

I am going forward the email from the law librarians, and the two emails I filed the documents.

I attach the docket in Kelly v Trump 21-119, that I attached to my appeal to the US Supreme Court 22-5522 Kelly v Trump. I seek to protect freedom of religion by separating religion from government forced worship through partnerships with the church, with my church, which causes a substantial burden upon my exercise of religious belief.

Below you will see proof of 4 documents wrongly concealed without notification on the docket and alleged corrections. I am going to call file and serve again.

I am trying to get this fixes and made public on both Lexis and Westlaw. So, I am copying source acquisition and Habib at Westlaw too.

Thank you,
 Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
 To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
 Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 at 03:27:07 PM EST
 Subject: RE: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hi Ms. Kelly,

I've gathered the information you requested. The Access Type reflects the security status of the transaction document and the Submit Date reflects the date the Access Type was updated.

CASE 119,2021

Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:21AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (283)(dja)	Public	\$0.00
Jun 3 2021 8:36AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (283)(dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 2 2021 11:55AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 2 2021 11:29AM EDT	Pending			Document created	Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	

Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:20AM EDT	Accepted (5/27/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (dja)	Public	\$0.00
May 27 2021 3:09PM EDT	Accepted (5/27/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
May 27 2021 2:52PM EDT	Pending			Document created	Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (UNDER SEAL)(dja)	Sealed, electronic	

Transaction item number 66667019, Docket Item 40, appendix A-4, Docket item 41 Appendix A-5.

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:22AM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Public	\$0.00
Jun 8 2021 4:10PM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00

Jun 8 2021 4:00PM EDT	Pending			Document created	Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	
-----------------------	---------	--	--	------------------	----------	---------------------	--------------------	--

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:22AM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-5. (dja)	Public	\$0.00
Jun 8 2021 4:10PM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-5. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 8 2021 4:00PM EDT	Pending			Document created	Appendix	Appendix A-5. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.0

Case 58,2022

Per the September 7, 2022 order in the first docket attached hereto, relating to Delaware Supreme Court matter IMO Meghan Kelly a member of the bar, the court ordered the case unsealed.

At the end of September, the Court contacted File & ServeXpress, where it was discovered that a configuration at the Case Class level was hindering the security setting in the case. Upon, File & ServeXpress's suggestion, the court updated the case type on September 29, 2022 which made most of the documents in the is case public on September 30, 2022.

Additionally, the court notified File & ServeXpress on October 5, 2022 that some case documents were not viewable at the Public Access Terminals and in CourtLink. It was discovered that some documents did not take to the case type update. The solution required intervention from our DEV OPS team who were able to resolve the issue. We delivered a resolution on October 6, 2022 that made all case document public.

I hope that helps.

Thank you.

Jason

Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, [File & ServeXpress](#)

972-893-6632 | jgonzales@fileandserve.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Jason Gonzales
Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2022 12:02 PM
To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Good afternoon Ms. Kelly,

I understand you have made phone calls to our Client Support team regarding sealing/unsealing docs in cases 119-2021 and 58-2022, respectively. I am diligently working to provide answers to your questions.

My goal is to have answers for you no later than EOD tomorrow, Friday, 11/4/2022.

I am your liaison for this matter. Please contact me should you have any questions.

Thank you for your patience while I work to provide answers to your questions.

Best regards,

Jason

Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, [File & ServeXpress](#)

972-893-6632 | jgonzales@fileandserve.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hi Jason,

I just spoke with Kelly and placed a ticket item for the issues below, 156496.

Thank you for your help. I also sent in another email today.

Have a good night.

Very truly,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302)493-6693

On Thursday, October 13, 2022 at 06:00:36 PM EDT, Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com> wrote:

My pleasure, Ms. Kelly.

Let me do some research on our side to see what information we can glean.

Thank you.

Jason

Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, [File](#)

& [ServeXpress](#)

[972-893-6632](tel:972-893-6632) 

jgonzales@fileandserve.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2022 5:41 PM
To: Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Cc: Support <support@fileandserve.com>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hi Jason,

Thank you so much. The court misbehaved by sealing and leaving documents unsealed to protect the court.

Are you able to provide dates documents were unsealed and sealed in both matters?

I have contacted the court. The court provided inaccurate information on the unsealing and sealing of documents in the two matter.

Thank you for the email indicating it is not file serve's fault or under your control.

Thank you,

Meg

Please forgive typos.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 12, 2022, at 4:52 PM, Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com> wrote:

Good afternoon Ms. Kelly,

Our Support team forwarded your email to me. I can confirm that our platform is integrated with the Delaware Courts. Our system automatically updates when the court takes action on or changes the status of a case/filing/documents. File & ServeXpress does not assume the authority to seal and unseal documents.

I encourage you to contact the court should you have any questions about the security status of a case and/or a document(s).

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Jason

Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, [File & ServeXpress](#)

<image011.png>

972-893-6632

<image018.png>

<image012.png>

jgonzales@fileandserve.com

<image013.png> <image014.png> <image015.png> <image016.png> <image017.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Support <support@fileandserve.com>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2022 1:19 PM
To: 'Meg Kelly' <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Cc: Support <support@fileandserve.com>
Subject: RE: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hello Ms. Kelly!

I have reached out to our team to provide some clarify for your inquires below, and will follow up with you before the end of the day with additional information.

<image001.gif>

Client Support JR, [File & ServeXpress](#)

<image002.png>

[888-529-7587](tel:888-529-7587)

<image009.png>

<image003.png>

support@fileandserve.com

<image004.png> <image005.png> <image006.png> <image007.png> <image008.png>

<image010.jpg>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2022 12:01 PM
To: Support <support@fileandserve.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Good morning,

Per the September 7, 2022 order in the first docket attached hereto, relating to Delaware Supreme Court matter IMO Meghan Kelly a member of the bar, the court ordered the case unsealed. Did the Court process the request on September 29, 2022, and did it go through on September 30, 2022?

In the second docket attached hereto, case number 119, 2021, Case name Meghan Kelly v Donald Trump, could you please provide the dates the following four documents were sealed and unsealed?

Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.

Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16

Transaction item number 66667019, Docket Item 40, appendix A-4, Docket item 41 Appendix A-5.

Could you please confirm that Delaware Courts are an integrated courts. By integrated Courts I mean the courts are in charge of what is sealed and unsealed. File and serve does not assume the authority to seal and unseal documents by request. It is physically entered by the attorneys and courts.

I am a party in both cases.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

 Appendix 1. c. DE Supreme Court Docket.pdf
152.9kB

RE: [EXT] Fw: Kelly v Trump/Kelly v Biden/Case No 119-2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz

From: Jamal, Habib (Operations & Technology) (habib.jamal@thomsonreuters.com)

To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 11:03 PM EDT

Hi Kelly!

I submitted the request to have your initial documents you mentioned added a while ago and I supplemented it today with information that you will require these additional documents added.

Please feel free to chat or call in and work with the reference attorney you contact to add additional documents as needed.

Thanks!

-habib

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 12:57 PM
To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; source.acquisition@lexis.com
<source.acquisition@lexisnexis.com>; Jamal, Habib (Operations & Technology)
<Habib.Jamal@thomsonreuters.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: [EXT] Fw: Kelly v Trump/Kelly v Biden/Case No 119-2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments.

Good afternoon,

File and serve conformed this is now unsealed.

Can you please give the attached motion a citation to make it researchable?

I previously sent the court stamped version.

Thank you for your help.

Very truly,

Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov <supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 at 03:35:15 PM EDT

Subject: Kelly v Trump/Kelly v Biden/Case No 119-2021/Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz

Good afternoon,

I hope you are safe and well.

Attached, please find

1. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz
2. Exhibit 1, Receipt Meg Kelly paid her bar fees
3. Exhibit 2, Emails between Meg Kelly and Mark Vavala
4. Exhibit 3, Feb. 2, letter from the Court in response to fee waiver request.
5. Exhibit 4, Meg Kelly's February 5, letter regarding Suspension of lawyer fees/Equal Protections concern/ New Request 2022, flat fee for all licensure fees not based on years which is not rationally related to a legitimate purpose, instead arguably may be age discrimination to weed out older lawyers
6. Declaration relating to Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz
7. and the proposed order relating to Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz

I hope you have a nice weekend.

Very truly,

Meg Kelly, pro se, party, not an attorney advocate in this case

Fw: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov

Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 06:20 PM EDT

Hi Lisa,

My apologies for the delay in response. I am in receipt of the attached.

I obviously object to informal docketing which is not available on public record especially in light of my motion to preserve my 6th Amendment right to an open quasi-criminal disciplinary proceeding brought to punish me for suing Trump under the religious freedom restoration act and 1st Amendment to dissolve the establishment of government religion based on the court's disdain for my religious beliefs and citations to the bible to support the same relating to this case where the probable cause hearing did not have access to the concealed items on the record or the documents I sent to opposing counsel in response to the request for a probable cause hearing, my complaint and all the exhibits thereto.

The law librarians gave me bad news today, July 24, 2024, neither of the two motions this Court sealed in Kelly v Trump, Case Number 2021-119 and allegedly unsealed are available on Westlaw or Lexis.

I have been corresponding the entire day with file and serve, and representatives of Westlaw and Lexis, and have not resolved the issue.

Have you prevented Lexis and Westlaw from gaining access on your side? No one has resolved the problem I thought we previously addressed. It was like pulling teeth to get information from file and serve. They indicated they allegedly unsealed it by case number 231-298, and yet Westlaw and Lexis do not have access to it. So, the public does not have access to it.

The two items missing for Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Case Number 2021, 119 are Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz/ Transaction Number 66649842 docket numbers 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 filed May 28, 2021 but not docketed until June 2, 2024 (albeit hidden under seal at the time with no notification on the docket) and

Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just/Transaction Number 66649842 docket numbers 16,17, 18, 19, 20, filed May 25, 2021 but oddly docketed on a different date per the attached docket May 27, 2021 under seal with no notification on docket of sealing and unsealing.

I attach the docket this court gave me to appeal to the US Supreme Court in Kelly v Trump 21-5522.

It is not fair that there is no trial court and the court responded to a letter by ODC with no proof other than a couple of sentences requesting appointment of counsel given my assertion that the appointment violated my fundamental 1st and 6th amendment rights to represent myself in accord with my religious beliefs.

There is no trial court but only the Supreme Court the one who incited the discipline to cause me to forgo a case before it at the time which shows an unfair forum.

Due process requires a trial forum be separate from the appellate court. Interestingly that was not the case with regards to 21-541.

Please consider protecting the right of an accused to have a public accessible records instead of wrongfully protecting the government in violation of Equal protections by disparate sacrifice and elimination of Constitutional rights at the whims of the state, not limited to the 6th amendment right to a public proceeding by secretly concealing

documents, proceedings, and documents from the public at large.

Attorney Robinson admitted as staff attorney of the DE Supreme Court of signing off on Arline Simmons departure forms. I did not want two court staff to lose their jobs. When I assert my rights it is to protect my claims and fundamental rights under the law, never ever to destroy people who make mistakes, but to correct and improve the world. :(

Thank you,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>
To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2024 at 10:43:55 AM EDT
Subject: RE: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Good morning. I've attached the docket sheet for the miscellaneous case number 541 per your request. Our miscellaneous cases are not in File & ServeXpress. Thank you.

Lisa A. Dolph
Clerk of Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2024 2:01 PM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; Costa, Ryan (DOJ) <Ryan.Costa@delaware.gov>;

Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Subject: Transcript request Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I do not have an official court document denying my request of 21-541. May I please have a docket transcript for 21-541. IFP claimants are allegedly entitled to a copy of a trascript.

Jason, I am a party to a case Misc 541 wheer I was not allowed to self-represent. Notice was sent to no one not me or any person on my behalf at the inception of the case by the Board.

Could you please send me the file and serve docket too.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 04:35:57 PM EST, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Meg,

As set forth in the attached order dated May 18, 2022, the Court previously denied your request for a free copy of all filings in Misc. No. 541. In accordance with the May 18th order, I emailed you electronic copies of all File & ServeXpress filings that did not exceed 20 MB in *In re Kelly*, No. 58, 2022. Based on the attached table of contents for the exhibits to your objections in No. 58, 2022, you have the documents relating to the appointment of counsel in Misc. No. 541.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green**Dover, DE 19901****(302) 739-4187**

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>**Sent:** Tuesday, January 10, 2023 1:11 PM**To:** Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>**Cc:** david.weiss@usdoj.gov; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>**Subject:** Re: DE Supreme Court Case 541

Hi Lisa,

I believe I am entitled to these documents even if they are not uploaded on the file and serve system, including testimony before the Board regarding appointment of counsel, if applicable.

Thank you,

Meg

On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 01:08:51 PM EST, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

Thank you for talking with me. May I please have the documents for DE Supreme Court No. 541 relating to appointment of counsel and removal of counsel and all documents on that docket relating to me, and the board case.

Thank you. Justice Vaughn signed the Order on or about December 30, 2022. There is no need for me to copy opposing counsel since they were privy to the arguments whereas I was not.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302) 493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



DOCKET SHEET MISC 541IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE BAR OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE.docx

16.4kB



Docket circle previously hidden docs.pdf

2.1MB

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

DE Supreme - New Castle

New Castle

This case was retrieved on **12/05/2022**

Header

Case Number: 582022

Date Filed: 09/30/2022

Date Full Case Retrieved: 12/05/2022

Status: Open

Misc: (6) Civil-Other; Civil

Summary

Judge: Delaware, Supreme Court

Status: Active

Participants

Litigants

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Petitioner

Meghan Kelly

Respondent

DE Supreme Court

Other

Attorneys

Kathleen M Vavala

Petitioner

Fax : 302-651-3939

302-651-3931

Pro Se Pro Se

Respondent

Proceedings

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
08/10/2022	1	Order dated 8-10-22 Per Curiam, IT IS ORDERED Respondent is immediately transferred to disability inactive status. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead)	Number of Pages: 24
08/17/2022	2	Motion to unseal the record filed by Respondent. (received 8-15-22) 556)	Number of Pages: 12
08/17/2022	3	Declaration to motion.	Number of Pages: 1
08/17/2022	4	Exhibit A.	Number of Pages: 12
08/17/2022	5	Proposed order to motion.	Number of Pages: 2
08/17/2022	6	Motion to exceed the word limit on motion.	Number of Pages: 3
08/17/2022	7	Proposed order to motion to exceed word limit.	Number of Pages: 2

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
08/17/2022	8	Certificate of service. (served by mail) (eserved)	Number of Pages: 2
08/17/2022	9	Declaration to motion to exceed the word count.	Number of Pages: 1
08/18/2022	10	Document entitled "Motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12b, for good cause for an extension to file the motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record" filed by respondent. (filed 8-17-22) (556)(dja)	Number of Pages: 6
08/18/2022	11	Exhibit A (filed 8-17-22)	Number of Pages: 3
08/18/2022	12	Proposed order (filed 8-17-22)	Number of Pages: 1
08/18/2022	13	Declaration page(filed 8-17-22)	Number of Pages: 1
08/18/2022	14	Exhibit B(filed 8-17-22)	Number of Pages: 3
08/18/2022	15	Certificate of service. (served by mail and emailed)(filed 8-17-22)	Number of Pages: 2
08/18/2022	16	Corrected motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12 b for good cause for an extension to file her motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record. (556)(filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 6
08/18/2022	17	Declaration to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 1
08/18/2022	18	Exhibit A (filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 3
08/18/2022	19	Exhibit B (filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 3
08/18/2022	20	Proposed order. (filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 1
08/18/2022	21	Certificate of service to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22)	Number of Pages: 2
08/18/2022	22	Letter dated 8-18-22 from respondent to Clerk, stating that the corrected motion was filed with no differences other than changing the request for the amount of days. (filed 8-18-22) (eserved and by mail)(dja)	Number of Pages: 2
08/18/2022	23	Certificate of service. (served by mail and by email) (dja)	Number of Pages: 2
08/19/2022	24	Document entitled "Motion to declare the reporting requirements are unconstitutional" filed by respondent. (Filed 8-19-22) (served by mail and email) (556) (dja)	Number of Pages: 12
08/19/2022	25	Declaration to motion.	Number of Pages: 1
08/19/2022	26	Exhibit A to motion.	Number of Pages: 4
08/19/2022	27	Exhibit B to motion.	Number of Pages: 4

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
08/19/2022	28	Exhibit C to motion.	Number of Pages: 6
08/19/2022	29	Exhibit D to motion.	Number of Pages: 2
08/19/2022	30	Exhibit E to motion.	Number of Pages: 2
08/19/2022	31	Exhibit F to motion.	Number of Pages: 6
08/19/2022	32	Proposed Order to motion	Number of Pages: 2
08/19/2022	33	Motion for leave to exceed the page limitation.	Number of Pages: 4
08/19/2022	34	Proposed order for motion to exceed page limit.	Number of Pages: 1
08/19/2022	35	Certificate of Service.	Number of Pages: 2
08/22/2022	36	Motion for reargument filed by respondent. (filed 8-20-22) (served by mail and email) (556)(dja)	Number of Pages: 7
08/22/2022	37	Declaration	Number of Pages: 1
08/22/2022	38	Proposed Order to motion.	Number of Pages: 1
08/22/2022	39	Certificate of Service.	Number of Pages: 2
08/23/2022	40	Letter dated 8-23-22 from Respondent to Clerk, regarding update on case. (556) (dja)	Number of Pages: 18
08/23/2022	41	Exhibits A-E	Number of Pages: 20
08/23/2022	42	Exhibit F	Number of Pages: 13
08/23/2022	43	USPS receipt	Number of Pages: 1
08/23/2022	44	Certificate of Service. (served by mail and emailed)(dja)	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	45	Document entitled "Motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12(b), and, if applicable, other rule, to stay scheduling, and for good cause, an extension to file her letter concerning preventing an economic crash, and any reply to outstanding responses to any motions" filed by respondent. (556)(served by mail and eserved)(dja) (FILED 8-27-22)	Number of Pages: 7
08/29/2022	46	Declaration to motion.	Number of Pages: 1
08/29/2022	47	Exhibit A to motion.	Number of Pages: 4
08/29/2022	48	Exhibit B	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	49	Exhibit C	Number of Pages: 6
08/29/2022	50	Exhibit D	Number of Pages: 4

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
08/29/2022	51	Exhibit E	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	52	Proposed order to motion	Number of Pages: 1
08/29/2022	53	Certificate of Service.	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	54	Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, reporting 3 reciprocal matters. (556) (dja) (Filed 8-27-22)	Number of Pages: 3
08/29/2022	55	Exhibit to letter copies of orders.	Number of Pages: 3
08/29/2022	56	Certificate of service. (served by mail and eserved) (dja)	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	57	Letter dated 8-29-22 from Respondent to Court, regarding concerns about the Federal Reserve. (556) (eserved) (dja) (filed 8-29-22)	Number of Pages: 8
08/29/2022	58	Declaration to letter.	Number of Pages: 1
08/29/2022	59	Exhibit A.	Number of Pages: 2
08/29/2022	60	The Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Letter Response dated August 29, 2022 to Meghan M. Kelly's August 17, 2022 Motion to Unseal the Record. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Number of Pages: 3 Filer Name: Vavala, Kathleen M; Office of Disciplinary Counsel
08/30/2022	61	Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, requesting an opportunity to reply to ODC's response to respondent's 8-15-22 motion, not 8-17-22 motion. (556) (served by mail and eserved) (FILED 8-29-22)(dja)	Number of Pages: 5
08/30/2022	62	Exhibit A	Number of Pages: 3
08/30/2022	63	Exhibit B	Number of Pages: 3
08/30/2022	64	Certificate of Service.	Number of Pages: 2
08/30/2022	65	Email from respondent to Court, stating that the date of the letter should be 8-29-22 not 8-27-22. (dja)	Number of Pages: 1
09/06/2022	66	Document entitled "Respondent Motion pursuant Supreme Court Rule 8, for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees and court costs by the Clerk and this Court for the transfer and preparation of this Court's docket or record to other courts, under Rules 9 and 20, should other courts require tis court's record" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Number of Pages: 6
09/06/2022	67	Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Number of Pages: 1
09/06/2022	68	Exhibit A (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Number of Pages: 4
09/06/2022	69	Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Number of Pages: 2

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
09/06/2022	70	Proposed order. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Number of Pages: 1
09/06/2022	71	Document entitled "Respondent Rules 8 and 30(b) motion in response to the ODC's Reply to my Motions" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 9
09/06/2022	72	Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 1
09/06/2022	73	Exhibit A to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 2
09/06/2022	74	Exhibit B to motion (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 3
09/06/2022	75	Exhibit C to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 4
09/06/2022	76	Exhibit D to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 6
09/06/2022	77	Exhibit E. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 15
09/06/2022	78	Exhibit F (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 11
09/06/2022	79	Exhibit G (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 4
09/06/2022	80	Exhibit B (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 1
09/06/2022	81	Exhibit C (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 5
09/06/2022	82	Exhibit D (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 5
09/06/2022	83	Exhibit E (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 32
09/06/2022	84	Document entitled "Respondent's motion for an extension of time under Rule 15(b)(i) and (iii)" filed by respondent. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 84
09/06/2022	85	Appendix F Part 1 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 37
09/06/2022	86	Appendix F part 2 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 31
09/06/2022	87	Exhibit I (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 2
09/06/2022	88	Document entitled "Petitioner Motion to supplement the record with additional information" (captioned in Third Circuit Court of Appeals) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 8
09/06/2022	89	Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 2
09/06/2022	90	Exhibit A (Captioned in Chancery Court documents) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Number of Pages: 278
09/07/2022	91	Order dated 9-7-22 Per Curiam, motions to exceed word limits are GRANTED; Motion to Unseal is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; Motion for Extension is DENIED as moot; Motion to Declare Reporting Requirements Unconstitutional, Motion for	Number of Pages: 4

582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Details
		Reargument, Motion to Stay Scheduling and Letters are DENIED; Motion to Waive Fees and Costs is GRANTED. With except of petition of transfer to active status once a yea the Clerk is directed to refuse any further filings from Kelly in this matter. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead)	
09/07/2022	92	To note on the docket the case is closed. (record returned) (jkh)	Number of Pages: 1
12/05/2022	93	Letter dated 11-30-22 from US Supreme Court to Clerk. The application for an extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari has been presented to Justice Alito, who extended the time to February 4, 2023. (service shown) (jkh)	Number of Pages: 2

Copyright © LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. All Rights Reserved.
 *** THIS DATA IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY ***

End of Document

This docket is current through 10/05/2022

Today's Date: 7/23/2024

Source: Supreme Court, Delaware

DISCLAIMER

This Data is provided for informational purposes only and it is not the official record. For copies of the official record (of an incarceration, conviction or court filing record) contact the source agency or court. In addition to any obligations under your Subscriber Agreement, your use of this data may be governed by the [Supplier Additional Terms \(see Footer\)](#).

CASE INFORMATION

Case Title:	In Re: a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware
Court:	Supreme Court
Case Number:	58-2022
Filing ID Number:	7515504
Case Type:	Appeals
Case Subtype:	Civil-Other
Key Nature of Suit:	Appeals (030)
Description:	Civil Appeal
Date Filed:	08/10/2022
Case Status:	Active

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

Office of Disciplinary Counsel

Type:	Petitioner
Attorney:	Kathleen M Vavala
Attorney Address:	405 N King Street Ste 420 Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorney Phone:	302-651-3931
Attorney Fax:	302-651-3939
Bar Number:	DE:3444
Email Address:	kathleen.vavala@delaware.gov
Firm Name:	Disciplinary Counsel Office-Wilmington

Meghan Kelly

Type:	Respondent
Attorney:	Meghan Kelly
Attorney Status:	Pro Se

Supreme Court 28


Type: Filer
Address: 55 The Green
 Dover, DE 19901

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

Supreme Court Delaware

Attorney Address: 55 The Green
 Dover, DE 19901
Attorney Phone: 302-739-4155
Attorney Fax:
Email Address: noemail@b.c
Firm Name: DE Supreme Court






DOCKET PROCEEDINGS (96)

Date:	Entry #:	Description:	Date Docketed:	Party:	
09/07/2022	89710774	Document Description: To note on the docket the case is closed. (record returned) (jkh) Docket Entry Type: Notice (Other) File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court			 View
09/07/2022	89710298	Document Description: Order dated 9-7-22 Per Curiam, motions to exceed word limits are GRANTED; Motion to Unseal is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; Motion for Extension is DENIED as moot; Motion to Declare Reporting Requirements Unconstitutional, Motion for Reargument, Motion to Stay Scheduling and Letters are DENIED; Motion to Waive Fees and Costs is GRANTED. With except of petition of transfer to active status once a yea the Clerk is directed to refuse any further filings from Kelly in this matter. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead) Docket Entry Type: Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court			 View
09/06/2022	89696573	Document Description: Exhibit A (Captioned in Chancery Court documents) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted			 View

		Number of Pages: 278 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	
09/06/2022	89696572	Document Description: Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 [View]
09/06/2022	89696571	Document Description: Document entitled "Petitioner Motion to supplement the record with additional information" (captioned in Third Circuit Court of Appeals) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 [View]
09/06/2022	89696570	Document Description: Exhibit I (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 [View]
09/06/2022	89696569	Document Description: Appendix F part 2 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 31 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 [View]
09/06/2022	89696568	Document Description: Appendix F Part 1 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 37 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 [View]
09/06/2022	89696567	Document Description: Document entitled "Respondent's motion for an extension of time under Rule 15(b)(i) and (iii)" filed by respondent. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages:	 [View]








09/06/2022	89696566	84 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Exhibit G (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 76 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696565	Document Description: Exhibit F (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 53 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696564	Document Description: Exhibit E (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 32 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696563	Document Description: Exhibit D (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 5 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696562	Document Description: Exhibit C (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 5 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696561	Document Description: Exhibit B (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696560	Document Description: Exhibit G (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	
09/06/2022	89696559	Document Description: Exhibit F (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 11 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	

09/06/2022	89696558	Document Description: Exhibit E. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 15 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696557	Document Description: Exhibit D to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696556	Document Description: Exhibit C to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696555	Document Description: Exhibit B to motion (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696554	Document Description: Exhibit A to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696553	Document Description: Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696552	Document Description: Document entitled "Respondent Rules 8 and 30(b) motion in response to the ODC's Reply to my Motions" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-5-22) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 9 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696551	Document Description: Proposed order. (FILED ON 9-2-22) Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number	 View

09/06/2022	89696550	of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-2-22) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696549	Document Description: Exhibit A (FILED ON 9-2-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696548	Document Description: Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-2-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
09/06/2022	89696547	Document Description: Document entitled "Respondent Motion pursuant Supreme Court Rule 8 , for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees and court costs by the Clerk and this Court for the transfer and preparation of this Court's docket or record to other courts, under Rules 9 and 20, should other courts require tis court's record" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-2-22) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/30/2022	89664954	Document Description: Email from respondent to Court, stating that the date of the letter should be 8-29-22 not 8-27-22. (dja) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/30/2022	89664953	Document Description: Certificate of Service. Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View

08/30/2022	89664952	Document Description: Exhibit B Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View
08/30/2022	89664951	Document Description: Exhibit A Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View
08/30/2022	89664950	Document Description: Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, requesting an opportunity to reply to ODC's response to respondent's 8-15-22 motion, not 8-17-22 motion. (556) (served by mail and eserved) (FILED 8-29-22) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 5 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View
08/29/2022	89662997	Document Description: The Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Letter Response dated August 29, 2022 to Meghan M. Kelly's August 17, 2022 Motion to Unseal the Record. (service shown) (556) (jkh) Docket Entry Type: Response Attorney: Kathleen M Vavala File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Filer: Kathleen M Vavala Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: Disciplinary Counsel Office-Wilmington	Filing Party: Office of Disciplinary Counsel	 View
08/29/2022	89656877	Document Description: Certificate of Service. Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View
08/29/2022	89656876	Document Description: Exhibit B Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 20 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View
08/29/2022	89656875	Document Description: Exhibit A. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court		 View

08/29/2022	89656874	Document Description: Declaration to letter. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656873	Document Description: Letter dated 8-29-22 from Respondent to Court, regarding concerns about the Federal Reserve. (556) (eserved) (dja) (filed 8-29-22) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 8 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656841	Document Description: Certificate of service. (served by mail and eserved) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656840	Document Description: Exhibit to letter copies of orders. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656839	Document Description: Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, reporting 3 reciprocal matters. (556) (dja) (Filed 8-27-22) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656819	Document Description: Certificate of Service. Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656818	Document Description: Proposed order to motion Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656817	Document Description: Exhibit E Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition:	 View

08/29/2022	89656816	Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Exhibit D Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656815	Document Description: Exhibit C Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656814	Document Description: Exhibit B Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656813	Document Description: Exhibit A to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656812	Document Description: Declaration to motion. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/29/2022	89656811	Document Description: Document entitled "Motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12(b) , and, if applicable, other rule, to stay scheduling, and for good cause, an extension to file her letter concerning preventing an economic crash, and any reply to outstanding responses to any motions" filed by respondent. (556)(served by mail and eserved)(dja) (FILED 8-27-22) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 7 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/23/2022	89633445	Document Description: Certificate of Service. (served by mail and emailed)(dja) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number	 View

08/23/2022	89633444	of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: USPS receipt Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/23/2022	89633443	Document Description: Exhibit F Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 13 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/23/2022	89633442	Document Description: Exhibits A-E Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 20 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/23/2022	89633441	Document Description: Letter dated 8-23-22 from Respondent to Clerk, regarding update on case. (556) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 18 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/22/2022	89624526	Document Description: Certificate of Service. Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/22/2022	89624525	Document Description: Proposed Order to motion. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/22/2022	89624524	Document Description: Declaration Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/22/2022	89624523	Document Description: Motion for reargument filed by respondent. (filed 8-20-22) (served by mail and email) (556)(dja) Docket Entry Type: Motion for Reargument File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages:	 View

08/19/2022	89616464	7 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Certificate of Service. Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616463	Document Description: Proposed order for motion to exceed page limit. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616462	Document Description: Motion for leave to exceed the page limitation. Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616461	Document Description: Proposed Order to motion Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616460	Document Description: Exhibit F to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616459	Document Description: Exhibit E to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616458	Document Description: Exhibit D to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616457	Document Description: Exhibit C to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616456	Document Description: Exhibit B to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main	 View

08/19/2022	89616455	Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Exhibit A to motion. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 4 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616454	Document Description: Declaration to motion. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/19/2022	89616453	Document Description: Document entitled "Motion to declare the reporting requirements are unconstitutional" filed by respondent. (Filed 8-19-22) (served by mail and email) (556) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 12 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611912	Document Description: Certificate of service. (served by mail and by email) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611911	Document Description: Letter dated 8-18-22 from respondent to Clerk, stating that the corrected motion was filed with no differences other than changing the request for the amount of days. (filed 8-18-22) (eserved and by mail) (dja) Docket Entry Type: Letter File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611178	Document Description: Certificate of service to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View

08/18/2022	89611177	Document Description: Proposed order. (filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611176	Document Description: Exhibit B (filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611175	Document Description: Exhibit A (filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611174	Document Description: Declaration to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611173	Document Description: Corrected motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12 b for good cause for an extension to file her motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record. (556)(filed 8-18-22) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611172	Document Description: Certificate of service. (served by mail and emailed)(filed 8-17-22) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611171	Document Description: Exhibit B(filed 8-17-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611170	Document Description: Declaration page(filed 8-17-22) Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages:	 View

08/18/2022	89611169	1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Proposed order (filed 8-17-22) Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611168	Document Description: Exhibit A (filed 8-17-22) Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/18/2022	89611167	Document Description: Document entitled "Motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12b, for good cause for an extension to file the motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record" filed by respondent. (filed 8-17-22) (556)(dja) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 6 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605378	Document Description: Declaration to motion to exceed the word count. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605377	Document Description: Certificate of service. (served by mail) (eserved) Docket Entry Type: Certificate of Service File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605376	Document Description: Proposed order to motion to exceed word limit. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605375	Document Description: Motion to exceed the word limit on motion. Docket Entry Type: Motion under Rule 14(d) File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages:	 View

08/17/2022	89605374	3 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court Document Description: Proposed order to motion. Docket Entry Type: Proposed Order File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 2 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605373	Document Description: Exhibit A. Docket Entry Type: Exhibit File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 12 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605372	Document Description: Declaration to motion. Docket Entry Type: Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 1 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/17/2022	89605371	Document Description: Motion to unseal the record filed by Respondent. (received 8-15-22) 556) Docket Entry Type: Motion - Other File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 12 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View
08/10/2022	89576257	Document Description: Order dated 8-10-22 Per Curiam, IT IS ORDERED Respondent is immediately transferred to disability inactive status. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead) Docket Entry Type: Order - Final File Type: Main Disposition: Accepted Number of Pages: 24 Filer Organization: DE Supreme Court	 View

End of Document

© 2024 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.



[Preferences](#) | [Sign Out](#)

42, Supreme Court | DE Supreme Court

HOME | **FILING & SERVICE** | **ALERTS** | **SEARCH**

[Case History](#) | [Cases Search](#) | [Daily Docket](#) | [Transaction Status](#) | [Advanced Search](#)

Home > Select Case > **Results**

Case History Search

[Printable Version](#)

Search Created:
5/16/2022 16:06:08 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)

Court: DE Supreme Court **Judge:** Delaware, Supreme Court **File & ServeXpress Live** 2/18/2022
Division: N/A **Case Number:** 58,2022 **Date:**
Case Type: Arms of Court (BPR/BBE) **Case Name:** IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware **Document(s) Filed:** 64
Date Range: All
 Choose an action: | Show records

Export 1-17 of 17 transactions <<Prev Page 1 of 1 Next>>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Transaction	▼Date/Time	Option	Case Number Case Name	Authorizer Organization	#	<input type="checkbox"/>	Document Type	Document Title	Size
<input type="checkbox"/>	67606365	5/10/2022 3:29 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	55	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 5-10-22 from Meghan Kelly, Esquire to Clerk, advising that she mailed a memory stick. (ead) (556) [view]	0.1MB
						56	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion - Other	Motion for a Free Copy of the Record of the Board and Before the Delaware Supreme Court Which is Required for Appeal by appellant. (556) (ead) [view]	8.5MB
						57	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits A-D. (556) (ead) [view]	0.2MB
						58	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed Order	Proposed Order (556) (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						59	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion - Other	Postage receipt. (556) (ead) [view]	0.1MB

						60	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of service of memory stick. (556) (ead) [view]	0.7MB
						61	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service of motion. (556) (ead) [view]	0.7MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67500472	4/22/2022 1:41 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Kathleen M Vavala, Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	54	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Cover Letter dated April 22, 2022 to Clerk of the Court regarding Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Respondent's Objections. (service shown) (556) (jkh) [view]	0.1MB
							<input type="checkbox"/>	Answer	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (service shown) (556) (jkh) [view]	1.5MB
							<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service to Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67498356	4/21/2022 5:06 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Kathleen M Vavala, Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	52	<input type="checkbox"/>	Answer	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (service shown) (556) (jkh) [view]	1.5MB
						53	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service to Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's	0.1MB

								Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. [view]		
<input type="checkbox"/>	67443187	3/31/2022 2:43 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	38	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Table of Contents of the Exhibits to the Respondent's Objections. (as filed on 3-21-22) (ead) [view]	0.8MB
						39	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit A. (ead) [view]	2.4MB
						40	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits B through J. (ead) [view]	3.9MB
						41	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit K. (ead) [view]	23.1MB
						42	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits L and M. (ead) [view]	1.0MB
						43	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits N and O. (ead) [view]	4.6MB
						44	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits P and Q. (ead) [view]	2.8MB
						45	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit R. (ead) [view]	0.9MB
						46	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit S. (ead) [view]	1.6MB
						47	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits T through Y. (ead) [view]	1.6MB
						48	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit Z. (ead) [view]	3.1MB
						49	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits AA through FF. (ead) [view]	1.2MB
						50	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits GG and HH. (ead) [view]	1.7MB
						51	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67435605	3/29/2022 3:10 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Tamika Montgomery- Reeves, DE Supreme Court	37	<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	Order dated 3-29-22 by Montgomery-Reeves, J. Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Proposed Briefing Schedule Order is GRANTED. ODC's	0.2MB

response to the objections is due 4-21-22 is limited to 10,000 words and the respondent's reply is due 5-23-22 and is limited to 5,000 words. (jkh)

[view]

- Linked to (1)

<input type="checkbox"/>	67411527	3/21/2022 4:50 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	25	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 3-14-2021 from Meghan Kelly, Esquire to Clerk and Justices, enclosing her objections. (ead) [view]	0.4MB
						26	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Respondent's Objections Part One. (page 1-50) (ead) [view]	2.3MB
						27	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Respondent's Objections Part Two. (page 51-100) (ead) [view]	2.3MB
						28	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Respondent's Objections Part Three. (page 101 to end) (ead) [view]	1.6MB
						29	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Respondent's addendum letter to Clerk. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						30	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed Order	Proposed Order [view]	0.1MB
						31	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Respondent's Memorandum of Law in Support of Objections. (ead) [view]	1.0MB
						32	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Respondent's Table of Contents of Exhibits to Objections. (ead) [view]	0.8MB
						33	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of service. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						34	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						35	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Post office receipt. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						36	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	Post office receipt.	0.1MB

								(ead) [view]	
<input type="checkbox"/>	67383179	3/10/2022 11:15 AM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Kathleen M Vavala, Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	24	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	Letter dated 3-10-22 from Office of Disciplinary Counsel to Clerk of the Court Waiving its Rights to File Objections. (service shown) (556) (jkh) [view] • Linked to (1)	0.1MB
							<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Order	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Proposed Briefing Schedule Order. [view] • Linked to (1) • Linked from (1)	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67375176	3/8/2022 12:09 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	23	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter from Supreme Court Clerk	Letter dated 3-8-22 from Clerk to Meg Kelly, Esquire, advising her to contact the Clerk's office if the law librarian is not available on 3-21-22. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67372088	3/7/2022 3:01 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	22	<input type="checkbox"/> Record w/ Transcript	Record with transcript. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67364151	3/3/2022 3:10 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	20	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	Letter dated 3-3-22 from Respondent to Clerk, requesting to have permission to drop off filings with the Delaware Supreme Court Sussex County Courthouse's bailiff in case the law librarian is out. (dja) [view]	0.1MB
						21	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Order	Proposed Order. [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67359794	3/2/2022 1:07 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	19	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter from Supreme Court Clerk	Letter dated 3-2-22 from Clerk to Meghan Kelly, Esquire, advising her of the correct case number and that all future filings should be sent to the Supreme_Courtfilings address. Any	0.1MB

								arguments concerning the report and recommendations are due by 3-21-22. (ead) [view]		
<input type="checkbox"/>	67355294	3/1/2022 11:45 AM EST	File Only	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Tamika Montgomery-Reeves, DE Supreme Court	18	<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	Order dated 3-1-22 by Montgomery-Reeves, J. Respondent's Unopposed Motion under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections is GRANTED. Objections are due by March 21, 2022. No further extensions will be granted. (jkh) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67355230	3/1/2022 11:27 AM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	17	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, advising that she received the paper copy of the Board's Report. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67354660	3/1/2022 9:39 AM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	15	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, regarding service of the Board's Report. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						16	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67352935	2/28/2022 4:38 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion under Rule 15(b)	Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's Unopposed Motion Under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections. (ead) [view]	3.3MB
						4	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate of Service	Respondent's Certificate of Service showing service by mail and email 2-28-22. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						5	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed Order	Proposed Order [view]	0.1MB
						6	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit B. (ead) [view]	0.2MB
						7	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit C. (ead) [view]	0.9MB

						8	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit D. (ead) [view]	5.0MB
						9	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit E. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						10	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit F. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						11	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit G. (ead) [view]	0.8MB
						12	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit H. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						13	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit I. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						14	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibit A. (ead) [view]	5.8MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67329506	2/18/2022 4:11 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 2-18-22 from Chief Deputy Clerk to parties, advising that objections, if any shall be filed on or before 3-10-22. (556) (dja) [view] • Linked from (2)	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67329295	2/18/2022 3:52 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Report of the Board	Report and Recommendation of Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive Status in Board Case No. 115327-B. (eserved and by mail) (556)(dja) [view]	1.7MB

1-17 of 17 transactions <<Prev Page 1 of 1 Next>>

[About File & ServeXpress](#) | [Resource Center](#) | [FAQs](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [Privacy](#)

© 2022 File & ServeXpress, LLC. All rights reserved

Client Support

☎ 1-866-293-3957

✉ support@fileandserve.com

💬 Chat Online

Re: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: galen.wilson@delaware.gov; lisa.dolph@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; bethany.parker@delaware.gov

Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 at 12:16 PM EDT

Hi Galen,

Thank you, but no this does not help or answer the questions. You already kindly sent this to me. I have paper copies of it the wetslaw by my side.

The attached docket is the docket Lisa gave me when she sent me court stamped copies as required by a court order.

I am missing important court stamped items that relate to the motions I am sending Lisa. I do not have access to westlaw or lexis and want to confirm the items on their dockets not file and serve match the documents I send or are missing because I have to make additional requests for citations.

Lisa, I am missing everything after the docket date on the attacked docket you sent me. Could you please send me all filings after the date and a complete docket.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, July 30, 2024 at 12:03:47 PM EDT, Wilson, Galen (Courts) <galen.wilson@delaware.gov> wrote:

Hello Meg,

I am not sure if this answers your question or not, but the docket for 582022 from Lexis CourtLink is attached. If you wanted something else, let me know.

Galen

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 11:50 AM

To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Wilson, Galen (Courts) <Galen.Wilson@delaware.gov>

Subject: Fw: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

Hi Lisa,

I just went through the series of emails you sent me in response to an order in 22-58. I could not find the below along with other documents with court stamps on it.

May I please have copies emailed to me.

Galen is this the same as on the lexis docket 24 through 35 on the Court Connect 582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware?

Thank you,

Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Supreme_CourtFilings (MailBox Resources) <supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov>; kathleen.vavala@delaware.gov <kathleen.vavala@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2022 at 12:48:03 AM EDT

Subject: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

Good evening,

Attached please find, Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion to 1. declare the Reporting Requirements unconstitutional, requiring by written rule I violate my 5th Amendment right not to testify against myself to the government in order that the government may have evidence to prosecute me, 2. Declare the Case and Controversy requirements are not met in the system of attorney self-regulation, and related documents.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302)493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

DEACTIVE No. 4968



58, 2022 docket sheet.pdf
1.6MB

Fw: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: ryan.costa@delaware.gov
Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; lisa.dolph@delaware.gov
Date: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 at 12:37 PM EDT

Hi Ryan,

The Court granted me court stamped copies, but after May 2022, the court forgot to give me court stamped documents after previous copies were sent.

I am sorry I am backed up in paper work instead of substance. I requested Lisa cure the defect to gain documents I am missing.

Thank you,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Wilson, Galen (Courts) <galen.wilson@delaware.gov>; Dolph Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Parker Bethany (Courts) <bethany.parker@delaware.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 at 12:16:41 PM EDT
Subject: Re: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

Hi Galen,

Thank you, but no this does not help or answer the questions. You already kindly sent this to me. I have paper copies of it the wetlaw by my side.

The attached docket is the docket Lisa gave me when she sent me court stamped copies as required by a court order.

I am missing important court stamped items that relate to the motions I am sending Lisa. I do not have access to wetlaw or lexis and want to confirm the items on their dockets not file and serve match the documents I send or are missing because I have to make additional requests for citations.

Lisa, I am missing everything after the docket date on the attacked docket you sent me. Could you please send me all filings after the date and a complete docket.

Thank you,
Meg

On Tuesday, July 30, 2024 at 12:03:47 PM EDT, Wilson, Galen (Courts) <galen.wilson@delaware.gov> wrote:

Hello Meg,

I am not sure if this answers your question or not, but the docket for 582022 from Lexis CourtLink is attached. If

you wanted something else, let me know.

Galen

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 11:50 AM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Wilson, Galen (Courts) <Galen.Wilson@delaware.gov>
Subject: Fw: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

Hi Lisa,

I just went through the series of emails you sent me in response to an order in 22-58. I could not find the below along with other documents with court stamps on it.

May I please have copies emailed to me.

Galen is this the same as on the lexis docket 24 through 35 on the Court Connect 582022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware?

Thank you,

Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Supreme_CourtFilings (MailBox Resources) <supreme_courtfilings@delaware.gov>; kathleen.vavala@delaware.gov <kathleen.vavala@delaware.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2022 at 12:48:03 AM EDT

Subject: 22-58/Motion Reporting requirements compel 5th Amendment incrimination/case and controversy not met in bar proceedings

Good evening,

Attached please find, Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion to 1. declare the Reporting Requirements unconstitutional, requiring by written rule I violate my 5th Amendment right not to testify against myself to the government in order that the government may have evidence to prosecute me, 2. Declare the Case and Controversy requirements are not met in the system of attorney self-regulation, and related documents.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302)493-6693

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

DEACTIVE No. 4968



58, 2022 docket sheet.pdf
1.6MB



MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

**Clerk of the Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901**

RE: Filing/permission to drop off at the Delaware Supreme Court in Sussex County with the bailiff/Matter of a Member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware, Case No. 58, 2022/ODC Board Case No. 115327-B (Meghan M. Kelly, Esquire)

March 3, 2022

Dear Clerk:

I am grateful the Court allows me to file by email. I may not be able to scan in the exhibits because they are voluminous. On March 2, 2022, I asked the librarian at Delaware Technical Community College for access to the scanner at their library, but was denied permission due to Covid restrictions.

The exhibits may be necessary, should either party appeals to the US Supreme Court.

May I please refer to the record by reference to be uploaded by the court?

In addition to or in the alternative of, may I please have permission to drop off my filings with the Delaware Supreme Court's Sussex County Court house's bailiff, in case the law librarian is out.

The Delaware Supreme Court's Sussex County office requires pro se filers to file with the law librarian. Without an order, a bailiff may not accept filings from me, on behalf of the Delaware Supreme Court.

The law librarian, Margaret Naylor in Sussex County is out sick with the shingles. She may not be in on March 21, 2022.

Thank you.

Very truly,

/s/Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(302) 493-6693

(word count 180)

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Bar Number 4968, Acting as a party, not as a professional attorney advocate on behalf of another

CC: Office of Disciplinary Counsel, c/o Kathleen Vavala, Esq. by mail and email



THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

In the Matter of a Member of the Bar of the) Board Case No. 115327-B
Supreme Court of the state of Delaware) Case No. 58, 2022
Meghan M. Kelly, respondent.)

**[PROPOSED ORDER] GRANTING RESPONDENT PERMISSION TO
DROP OFF PAPER DOCUMENTS WITH THE COURT’S SUSSEX
COUNTY LOCATION**

NOW THIS _____, 2022, HAVING CONSIDERED Respondent’s letter
Requesting for permission to drop off filings relating to the above referenced
matter with the bailiff at the Delaware Supreme Court’s Sussex County location,
should the Law librarian be out, dated March 3, 2022, it is HERERBY
GRANTED, AND ORDERED:

1. Meghan M. Kelly is permitted to drop off filings with the bailiff at the Delaware Supreme Court’s Sussex County location, should the law librarian be unavailable.

Dated:

Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B.)	
Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY’S 237TH AFFIDAVIT

Plaintiff, Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. Last week the law librarians Galen and Bethany said the previously sealed motions in Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Number 21-119,

A. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and

B. APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT TO REQUIRE THE RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JUNIOR IN THIS MATTER

were not available on either Westlaw or Lexis only the A-4 A-5 exhibits of the same which were attached for my motion to a rehearing.

2. Last week I made a request for both Westlaw and Lexis to not only include the above requested two motions on the dockets for cases but to give them cite-able citations on Westlaw and Lexis. So, people may cite them in legal pleadings.

3. I attach the court stamped documents Doctor Galen Wilson the law librarian kindly gave me which still remain unavailable to the public on Westlaw, Lexis and other legal providers.

4. I forwarded the proof of wrongful sealing to opposing counsel and David Weiss n

the attached email with a graph

Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter...

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Sunday, December 3, 2023 at 08:19 AM EST

After I discovered the missing documents I went to the state filing system, and requested confirmation of dates items were secretly sealed or removed.

This is not the system that will help you, put you may feel free to attach it to an affidavit.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: david.weiss@usdoj.gov <david.weiss@usdoj.gov>; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov <supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov>; Shen Zi-Xiang (DOJ) <zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2023 at 02:35:29 PM EDT

Subject: Fw: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hello,

Below please find proof the DE Supreme Court wrongly sealed 4 docket items to conceal evidence in my favor in Kelly v Trump, the disciplinary proceeding but for my religious beliefs contained in my protected speech in my petitions to defend the same. They sealed documents and fired two material witnesses in my defense during Kelly v Trump by compelling retirement while likely requiring them to sign nondisclosure forms to prevent wrongful termination law suits in Kelly v Trump or alleged days after words. The bailiff at the chancery court said they left at the end of October or the beginning of Nov. 2020 to prejudice my case in Kelly v Trump and the disciplinary case filed after I filed the civil rights case. See the April 26, 2022 letter regarding my discovery of the firing.

Please find a present sense impression or state of mind exception

I want to apologize to you for typos. I do not feel so well and require time to rest and drink water in order not to faint or die due to severe dehydration I permanently experience each month due to the bad health care I received in my youth. I have asserted my religious exercise in the right to live and not die for other people's sinful vanity such convenience, profit or such. Yet Courts keep rushing me and depriving me of health by depriving me of time.

I have sincere religious objections against healthcare examinations and mental health. I actually believe people sin for even accepting theories of many mental health professionals, actually economists too. I believe even thinking them, telling others to trust them, or deferring to them misleads people to sin, commit lawlessness in the eyes of God, by driving out unconditional love from the hearts of men replaced with the love of money and material gain even convenience.

I protect your freedom to disagree with my beliefs, but seek to hold government to the law that limits them to allow us to have individual liberty with the limit we may not enslave others under the facade of exercising freedom. Our freedoms are limited to protect the freedoms of others.

I know I sent this to some of you before, but collectively there are witnesses should anything ever happen to me.

Also attached, please find a present sense impression or state of mind exception regarding when people talked about shooting me.

Also find my previously submitted but rejected for filing application to preserve the 6th Amendment of the accused for a public proceeding to include electronically filed items I intended to draft something before the US Supreme Court rushed to deny me more pages.

The court indicated it would preemptively deny this through my case manager. Since I face potential 6 new lawsuits against me I thought it prudent to assert my rights as capable of rep yet evading review should the US Supreme Court continuously deny petitions. I apologize for the confusion with the email sent on Friday.

I am quite distraught about the shootings and religious attacks I sought to prevent. I think reversing Kelly v Trump would help eliminate the source of these religious targeted attacks the money in executive orders enforced to disparately treat religious organizations by buying and receiving favor, including Biden's valentines day 2021 order that allows foreign churches in other countries to receive government money to perform government business. I broke down and cried today when I read about school children being attacked based on religious beliefs.

You are more powerful than military might or money. You have the power to persuade the courts to dissolve the establishment of government religion which incites this terrible unrest.

My hope is with the courts and the lawyers who persuade them.
Thank you,
Meg

5. The DE supreme Court granted my request in an order for court stamped documents.
6. Lisa Dolph sent me all of the documents with the exception she said she could not fix documents that were scanned too big via email in May 2022 along with a docket.
7. She failed to remember to comply with the court order for 11 pages worth of documents sent after May 2022.
8. I was too busy noticing 6 other courts and filing documents to stay disciplinary proceedings to allow me to appeal the state case to take time to request each and every document the court forgot to provide to me at the time.
9. Due to the denial of stays in PA and other Courts including the highest Court in the land I was unable to afford the time and resources to appeal the original disciplinary order 22-58.

10. I was denied access to the courts in violation of my right to petition knowingly by PA Supreme Court, Third Circuit, United Supreme Court and possibly others where they knew I risked deprivation of my 1st amendment right to petition fairly in violation of 5th amendment due process applicable to PA ODC and PA Court via the 14th Amendment. It was worse that PA Supreme court refused to docket pleadings and refused ADA request given my health limitation, and my assertion of my religious exercise of belief to live and not die or profit professionals who sustain harm to sustain profit streams unrestrained by the courts who collude by government deference. No accommodation was made and poverty created a substantial burden so great with no relief requested I was denied access to the court in the DE appeal 22-58. My initial petition for 22-58 was wrongly rejected by the case manager of the US Supreme Court on the basis I sent my application for more pages first prior to sending the petition not simultaneously therewith. I learned the court does not review the petition with the page request but the page request first. So, it should have been docketed. Then by the second date to cure the denial, I ran out of resources. I just could not do everything at once and did not willingly waive any of my fundamental rights and the right to petition to assert them in any other court.

11. I note this court did what was right and I discovered the Third Circuit also did what was right in Richard Abbott's cases by allowing him time to appeal his original case before going forward. This court did the right thing and is teaching even the highest court what is right, just and fair. Thank you.

12. I sent Lisa Dolph an email to gain the missing court stamped documents in the disciplinary proceeding 22-58, and attached the recent docket and the May 2022 docket she sent me when she originally complied with the court order I attach and incorporate herein.

11. The email provides:

IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; ryan.costa@delaware.gov

Date: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32 PM EDT

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom by what I argued is the mark of the beast in Kelly v Trump. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also protect the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,
Meg

12. I sent Westlaw and Lexis the following attached email I incorporate herein:

“Just the docket 22-58 Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/ From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To:sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com;
source.acquisition@lexisnexis.com
Cc:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date:Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 09:08 PM EDT
Hi Habib and Sean,

Thank you for your help. I made a request for some missing documents in a DE Disciplinary case against me from Lisa Dolph the clerk of Court for the DE Supreme Court.

I attach the docket from the court and the Westlaw and Court connect dockets.

I am going to work with the law librarian and try to make requests to place citations on certain pleadings.

I hope that is okay.

Thank you and have a good night.

Very truly,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Dolph Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32:10 PM EDT
Subject: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also prevent the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,
Meg”

Thank you for your time and consideration.

July 30, 2024

Respectfully submitted,
Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

Under Religious objection I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct

Dated: July 31, 2024
Meghan Kelly (printed)
Meghan Kelly (signed)

IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov
Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; ryan.costa@delaware.gov
Date: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32 PM EDT

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom by what I argued is the mark of the beast in Kelly v Trump. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also protect the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,
Meg



Galen's docket thank u.pdf
9.5MB



Lisa's docket sent May 2022 missing everything after May 10, 2022.pdf

3MB

File & Serve Xpress

Docket received May 10
w/ Court stamped copies
Missing every thing
Preferences Sign Out
42, Supreme Court DE Supreme Court

HOME

FILING & SERVICE

ALERTS

SEARCH

Letter dated 5-10-22
DI SS
Not items

Home > Select Case > Results

Case History Search

Search Created:
5/16/2022 16:06:08 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time)

Printable Version

Court: DE Supreme Court Judge: Delaware, Supreme Court

Division: N/A

Case Number: 58,2022

Case Name: IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

File & Serve Xpress Live 2/18/2022

Date: Document(s) Filed: 64

Date Range: All

Case Type: Arms of Court (BPR/BBE)

Choose an action: -- Select -- [Go]

Show 1000 records

Export 1-17 of 17 transactions <<Prev Page 1 of 1 Next>>

<input type="checkbox"/>	Transaction	Date/Time	Option	Case Number	Case Name	Authorizer	Organization	#	<input type="checkbox"/>	Document Type	Document Title	Size
<input type="checkbox"/>	67606365	5/10/2022	3:29 PM	58,2022	IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	55	<input type="checkbox"/>	Letter	Letter dated 5-10-22 from Meghan Kelly, Esquire to Clerk, advising that she mailed a memory stick. (ead) (556) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>								56	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion - Other	Motion for a Free Copy of the Record of the Board and Before the Delaware Supreme Court Which is Required for Appeal by appellant. (556) (ead) [view]	8.5MB
<input type="checkbox"/>								57	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit	Exhibits A-D. (556) (ead) [view]	0.2MB
<input type="checkbox"/>								58	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed Order	Proposed Order (556) (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>								59	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion - Other	Postage receipt. (556) (ead) [view]	0.1MB

All ok
the items submitted
after D.I. SS
are missing
17 transactions

  Certificate of
Service

Certificate of service
of memory stick. 0.7MB
[view]

61 Certificate of
Service

Certificate of Service
of motion. (556) (ead) 0.7MB
[view]

Letter dated 0.1MB

67500472

4/22/2022
1:41 PM
EDT

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Kathleen M
Vavala
Disciplinary
Counsel
Office-
Wilmington

54

Clerk of the Court
regarding the Office of
Disciplinary Counsel's
Corrected Response

to Respondent's
Objections. (service
shown) (556) (jkh)
[view]

Answer

Office of Disciplinary
Counsel's Corrected
Response to Meghan
Kelly, Esquire's
Objections to the
Report and
Recommendation of
the Board on
Professional
Responsibility.
(service shown) (556)
(jkh)
[view]

1.5MB

Certificate of
Service

Certificate of Service
to Office of
Disciplinary Counsel's
Corrected Response
to Meghan Kelly,
Esquire's Objections
to the Report and
Recommendation of
the Board on
Professional
Responsibility
[view]

0.1MB

67498356

4/21/2022
5:06 PM
EDT

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Kathleen M
Vavala,
Disciplinary
Counsel
Office-
Wilmington

52

Answer

Office of Disciplinary
Counsel's Response
to Meghan Kelly,
Esquire's Objections
to the Report and
Recommendation of
the Board on
Professional
Responsibility.
(service shown) (556)
(jkh)
[view]

1.5MB

53

Certificate of
Service

Certificate of Service
to Office of
Disciplinary Counsel's
Response to Meghan
Kelly, Esquire's

0.1MB

Case 1:21-cv-01490-CFC

Document 443-17
50593

Filed 07/31/24

Page 4 of 10 PageID #:

*Objections to the
Report and
Recommendation of
the Board on*



67443187

3/31/2022
2:43 PM
EDT

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

38



Exhibit

Responsibility.
[view]

Table of Contents of
the Exhibits to the
Respondent's
Objections. (as filed
on 3-21-22) (ead)
[view]

0.8MB

Exhibit A (ead)

2.4MB

<input type="checkbox"/>	67435605	3/29/2022 3:10 PM EDT	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of <i>Delaware</i>	Tamika Montgomery- Reeves, DE Supreme Court	37	<input type="checkbox"/> Order	Order dated 3-29-22 by Montgomery- Reeves, J. Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Proposed Briefing Schedule Order is GRANTED. ODC's	0.2MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						40	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	[redacted] (ead) [view]	3.9MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						41	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit K. (ead) [view]	22.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						42	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits L and M. (ead) [view]	1.0MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						43	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits N and O. (ead) [view]	4.6MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						44	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits P and Q. (ead) [view]	2.8MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						45	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit R. (ead) [view]	0.9MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						46	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit S. (ead) [view]	1.6MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						47	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits T through Y. (ead) [view]	1.6MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						48	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit Z. (ead) [view]	3.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						49	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits AA through FF. (ead) [view]	1.2MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						50	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits GG and HH. (ead) [view]	1.7MB
<input type="checkbox"/>						51	<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Service	Certificate of Service. (ead) [view]	0.1MB

67411527

3/21/2022
4:50 PM
EDT

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

25 Letter

response to the
objections is due 4-
21-22 is limited to
10,000 words and the
respondent's reply is
due 5-23-22 and is
limited to 5,000
words. (jkh)
[view]
• Linked to (1)

Letter dated 3-14-
2021 from Meghan
Kelly, Esquire to Clerk
and Justices,
enclosing her
objections. (ead)
[view] 0.4MB

26 Other

Respondent's
Objections Part One.
(page 1-50) (ead)
[view] 2.3MB

27 Other

Respondent's
Objections Part Two.
(page 51-100) (ead)
[view] 2.3MB

28 Other

Respondent's
Objections Part
Three. (page 101 to
end) (ead)
[view] 1.6MB

29 Other

Respondent's
addendum letter to
Clerk. (ead)
[view] 0.1MB

30 Proposed Order

Proposed Order
[view] 0.1MB

31 Other

Respondent's
Memorandum of Law
in Support of
Objections. (ead)
[view] 1.0MB

32 Exhibit

Respondent's Table
of Contents of
Exhibits to
Objections. (ead)
[view] 0.8MB

33 Certificate of
Service

Certificate of service.
(ead)
[view] 0.1MB

34 Certificate of
Service

Certificate of Service.
(ead)
[view] 0.1MB

35 Other

Post office receipt.
(ead)
[view] 0.1MB

36 Other

Post office receipt. 0.1MB

(ead)
[view]

Letter dated 3-10-22 0.1MB
from Office of
Disciplinary Counsel
to Clerk of the Court
Waiving its Rights to
File Objections.
(service shown) (556)

(jkh)
[view]
• Linked to (1)

Proposed Order

Office of Disciplinary
Counsel's Proposed
Briefing Schedule
Order. 0.1MB

[view]
• Linked to (1)
• Linked from (1)

23 Letter from
Supreme Court
Clerk

Letter dated 3-8-22 0.1MB
from Clerk to Meg
Kelly, Esquire,
advising her to
contact the Clerk's
office if the law
librarian is not
available on 3-21-22.
(ead)

[view]

22 Record w/
Transcript

Record with
transcript. (ead) 0.1MB
[view]

20 Letter

Letter dated 3-3-22 0.1MB
from Respondent to
Clerk, requesting to
have permission to
drop off filings with
the Delaware
Supreme Court
Sussex County
Courthouse's bailiff
in case the law
librarian is out. (dja)
[view]

21 Proposed Order

Proposed Order. 0.1MB
[view]

19 Letter from
Supreme Court
Clerk

Letter dated 3-2-22 0.1MB
from Clerk to
Meghan Kelly,
Esquire, advising her
of the correct case
number and that all
future filings should
be sent to the
Supreme_Courtfilings
address. Any

67383179

3/10/2022
11:15 AM
EST

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Kathleen M
Vavala,
Disciplinary
Counsel
Office-
Wilmington

24 Letter

67375176

3/8/2022
12:09 PM
EST

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

23 Letter from
Supreme Court
Clerk

67372088

3/7/2022
3:01 PM
EST

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

22 Record w/
Transcript

67364151

3/3/2022
3:10 PM
EST

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

20 Letter

67359794

3/2/2022
1:07 PM
EST

File And
Serve

58,2022
IMO a member
of the Bar of
the Supreme
Court of
Delaware

Supreme
Court
Delaware,
DE Supreme
Court

19 Letter from
Supreme Court
Clerk

<input type="checkbox"/>	67355294	3/1/2022 11:45 AM EST	File Only	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Tamika Montgomery- Reeves, DE Supreme Court	18	<input type="checkbox"/> Order	arguments concerning the report and recommendations are due by 3-21-22. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67355230	3/1/2022 11:27 AM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	17	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	Order dated 3-1-22 by Montgomery- Reeves, J. Respondent's Unopposed Motion under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections is GRANTED. Objections are due by March 21, 2022. No further extensions will be granted. (jkh) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67354660	3/1/2022 9:39 AM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	15	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, advising that she received the paper copy of the Board's Report. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67352935	2/28/2022 4:38 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Motion under Rule 15(b)	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, regarding service of the Board's Report. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						16	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibits. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						4	<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Service	Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's Unopposed Motion Under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections. (ead) [view]	3.3MB
						5	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Order	Respondent's Certificate of Service showing service by mail and email 2-28- 22. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						6	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Proposed Order [view]	0.1MB
						7	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit B. (ead) [view]	0.2MB
								Exhibit C. (ead) [view]	0.9MB

<input type="checkbox"/>	67329506	2/18/2022 4:11 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	Letter dated 2-18-22 from Chief Deputy Clerk to parties, advising that objections, if any shall be filed on or before 3-10-22. (556) (dja) [view]	0.1MB
<input type="checkbox"/>	67329295	2/18/2022 3:52 PM EST	File And Serve	58,2022 IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware	Supreme Court Delaware, DE Supreme Court	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Report of the Board	Report and Recommendation of Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive Status in Board Case No. 115327-B. (eserved and by mail) (556)(dja) [view]	1.7MB
						8	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit D. (ead) [view]	5.0MB
						9	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit E. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						10	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit F. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						11	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit G. (ead) [view]	0.8MB
						12	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit H. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						13	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit I. (ead) [view]	0.1MB
						14	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	Exhibit A. (ead) [view]	5.8MB

1-17 of 17 transactions <<Prev Page 1 of 1 Next>>

File & Servexpress

Sign up now for your own account | File & ServeXpress Support

You selected case **58,2022**, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware.
 To view a document click the document title.

To view more information about a **transaction** or document, click the **Transaction ID**.

Megis
missing everything after 5/10/2024

Start a new search

Documents Submitted By Case Report
 Report Created: 7/31/2024, 2:52:01 PM

Back

along with docs the docket Lisa Dolph sent in response to an order.

Court: DE Supreme Court

Judge:

Supreme Delaware

Document(s) Submitted: 198

Division: N/A

Case Number: 58,2022

Date Range: All

Case Type: Civil-Other

Case Name: IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

File & ServeXpress Live Date: 7/18/2022 3:52:14 PM

1 through 50 of 198 More>>

Authorized Date	Authorizer	Organization	Document Title	Document Type	Transaction Option	Transaction ID	Judicial Action
12/5/2022 8:03:45 AM	Supreme Court 30	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 11-30-22 from US Supreme Court to Clerk. The application for an extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari has been presented to Justice Alito, who extended the time to February 4, 2023. (service shown) (jkh)	US Supreme Court Notification	File And Serve	68501462	N/A
9/7/2022 3:08:31 PM	Supreme Court 30	DE Supreme Court	To note on the docket the case is closed. (record returned) (jkh)	Notice (Other)	File And Serve	68055924	N/A
9/7/2022 2:38:03 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Order dated 9-7-22 Per Curiam, motions to exceed word limits are GRANTED; Motion to Unseal is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART; Motion for Extension is DENIED as moot; Motion to Declare Reporting Requirements Unconstitutional, Motion for Reargument, Motion to Stay Scheduling and Letters are DENIED; Motion to Waive Fees and Costs is GRANTED. With except of petition of transfer to active status once a yea the Clerk is directed to refuse any further filings from Kelly in this matter. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead)	Order	File And Serve	68055086	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A (Captioned in Chancery Court documents) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Petitioner Motion to supplement the record with additional information" (captioned in Third Circuit Court of Appeals) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit I (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A

1

9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Appendix F part 2 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (dja) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Appendix F Part 1 (captioned in Court of Chancery) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Respondent's motion for an extension of time under Rule 15(b)(i) and (iii)" filed by respondent. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit G (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit G (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B to motion (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Other	File And Serve	00032000	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Respondent Rules 8 and 30(b) motion in response to the ODC's Reply to my Motions" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-5-22)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022	Supreme Court	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Certificate	File And	68032608	N/A

2

Exhibit Page

				ot Service	Serve		
9:28:29 AM	Delaware	Court					
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to motion. (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
9/6/2022 9:28:29 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Respondent Motion pursuant Supreme Court Rule 8, for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees and court costs by the Clerk and this Court for the transfer and preparation of this Court's docket or record to other courts, under Rules 9 and 20, should other courts require tis court's record" filed by respondent. (556) (FILED ON 9-2-22)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	68032608	N/A
8/30/2022 8:49:19 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Email from respondent to Court, stating that the date of the letter should be 8-29-22 not 8-27-22. (dja)	Other	File And Serve	67991810	N/A
8/30/2022 8:49:19 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67991810	N/A
8/30/2022 8:49:19 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B	Exhibit	File And Serve	67991810	N/A
8/30/2022 8:49:19 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A	Exhibit	File And Serve	67991810	N/A
8/30/2022 8:49:19 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, requesting an opportunity to reply to ODC's response to respondent's 8-15-22 motion, not 8-17-22 motion. (556) (served by mail and eserved) (FILED 8-29-22)(dja)	Letter	File And Serve	67991810	N/A
8/29/2022 5:01:40 PM	Kathleen M Valava	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	The Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Letter Response dated August 29, 2022 to Meghan M. Kelly's August 17, 2022 Motion to Unseal the Record. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Response	File And Serve	67989980	N/A
8/29/2022 9:15:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67982892	N/A
8/29/2022 9:15:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982892	N/A
8/29/2022 9:15:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982892	N/A
8/29/2022 9:15:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to letter.	Other	File And Serve	67982892	N/A
8/29/2022 9:15:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 8-29-22 from Respondent to Court, regarding concerns about the Federal Reserve. (556) (eserved) (dja) (filed 8-29-22)	Letter	File And Serve	67982892	N/A
8/29/2022 9:08:09 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (served by mail and eserved) (dja)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67982817	N/A
8/29/2022 9:08:09 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit to letter copies of orders.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982817	N/A

(3)

Entire page missing

8/29/2022 9:08:09 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 8-27-22 from Respondent to Clerk, reporting 3 reciprocal matters. (556) (dja) (Filed 8-27-22)	Letter	File And Serve	67982817	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order to motion	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
8/29/2022 9:04:47 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B	Exhibit	File And Serve	67982750	N/A
1 through 50 of 198 More>>							

Back

[About File & ServeXpress](#) [Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#)
 © 2024 File & ServeXpress, LLC. All rights reserved.

Client Support

- ☎ 1-888-529-7587
- ✉ support@fileandserve.com
- 💬 Chat Online

(4)

Entire Page Mission

Sign up now for your own account | File & ServeXpress Support

File & ServeXpress

You selected case 58,2022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware.

Start a new search

To view a document click the document title.

To view more information about a transaction or document, click the Transaction ID.

Back

Documents Submitted By Case Report Report Created: 7/31/2024, 2:52:18 PM

Court: DE Supreme Court Judge: Supreme Delaware Division: N/A Case Number: 58,2022 Case Type: Civil-Other Case Name: IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware

File & ServeXpress Live Date: 2/18/2022 3:52:14 PM Document(s) Submitted: 198 Date Range: All

<<Prev 51 through 100 of 198 More>>

Table with columns: Authorized Date, Authorizer, Organization, Document Title, Document Type, Transaction Option, Transaction ID, Judicial Action. Contains 10 rows of document submission data.

51

60605

entire page Mrs. S

8:45:23 AM	Court Delaware	Court	Proposed Order to motion.	Order	Serve	67953342	N/A
8/22/2022 8:45:23 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration	Other	File And Serve	67953342	N/A
8/22/2022 8:45:23 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion for reargument filed by respondent. (filed 8-20-22) (served by mail and email) (556)(dja)	Motion for Reargument	File And Serve	67953342	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order for motion to exceed page limit.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion for leave to exceed the page limitation.	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order to motion	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to motion.	Other	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/19/2022 8:46:31 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Motion to declare the reporting requirements are unconstitutional" filed by respondent. (Filed 8-19-22) (served by mail and email) (556) (dja)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67947881	N/A
8/18/2022 11:09:30 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (served by mail and by email) (dja)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67944003	N/A
8/18/2022 11:09:30 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 8-18-22 from respondent to Clerk, stating that the corrected motion was filed with no differences other than changing the request for the amount of days. (filed 8-18-22) (eserved and by mail)(dja)	Letter	File And Serve	67944003	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order. (filed 8-18-22)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67943401	N/A

6

Entire Page Missing

8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B (filed 8-18-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A (filed 8-18-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to corrected motion. (filed 8-18-22)	Other	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Corrected motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12 b for good cause for an extension to file her motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record. (556)(filed 8-18-22)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (served by mail and emailed)(filed 8-17-22)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B(filed 8-17-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration page(filed 8-17-22)	Other	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order (filed 8-17-22)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A (filed 8-17-22)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/18/2022 9:20:16 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Motion pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 12b, for good cause for an extension to file the motion for reargument and correction of mistaken belief on the record" filed by respondent. (filed 8-17-22) (556)(dja)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67943401	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to motion to exceed the word count.	Other	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (served by mail) (eserved)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order to motion to exceed word limit.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion to exceed the word limit on motion.	Motion under Rule 14(d)	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order to motion.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to motion.	Other	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/17/2022 9:03:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion to unseal the record filed by Respondent. (received 8-15-22) 556)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67938882	N/A
8/10/2022	Supreme	DE Supreme	Order dated 8-10-22 Per Curiam, IT IS ORDERED Respondent is immediately		File And		

7

Entire Page Missing

11:36:59 AM	Court Delaware	Court	transferred to disability inactive status. (CJS KLV JTV) (ead)	Order - Final	Serve	6/91/584	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit H.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit G	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A

<<Prev 51 through 100 of 198 More>>

[About File & ServeXpress](#) [Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#)

© 2024 File & ServeXpress, LLC. All rights reserved.

Client Support

☎ 1-888-529-7587

✉ support@fileandserve.com

💬 Chat Online

CS

Entire Page Missing



Sign up now for your own account | File & ServeXpress Support

You selected case **58,2022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware.**

To view a document click the document title.

To view more information about a **transaction** or document, click the **Transaction ID.**

Start a new search

Documents Submitted By Case Report
Report Created: 7/31/2024, 2:52:26 PM

Back

Court: DE Supreme Court **Judge:** Supreme Delaware **File & ServeXpress Live Date:** 2/18/2022 3:52:14 PM
Division: N/A **Case Number:** 58,2022 **Document(s) Submitted:** 198
Case Type: Civil-Other **Case Name:** IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware **Date Range:** All

<<Prev 101 through 150 of 198 More>>

Authorized Date	Authorizer	Organization	Document Title	Document Type	Transaction Option	Transaction ID	Judicial Action
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Post office receipt.	Other	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service. (served by mail) (eserved)(dja)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67700719	N/A
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's reply to ODC Corrected Response to respondent's objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (part two) (556) (dja)	Response	File And Serve	67700719	Pending
6/7/2022 1:46:49 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's reply to ODC Corrected Response to respondent's objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (556) (Part one)	Response	File And Serve	67700719	Pending
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order to motion to be excused from the notary and affirming requirements in Delaware Court pleadings. (556) (dja)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67700243	N/A

(a)

Entire Page Missing
50609

6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service to motion to be excused from the notary and affirming requirements in Delaware Court proceedings. (dja)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits to motion to be excused from the notary and affirming requirements in Delaware Court pleadings. (556) (dja)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Document entitled "Respondent's motion to be excused from the notary and affirming requirements in Delaware Court pleadings" filed by respondent. (556) (dja)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Post office receipt	Other	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order to motion to exceed word count.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service of motion to exceed word count.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A to motion to exceed word limit.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
6/7/2022 1:20:36 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion to exceed the word limit of the reply to ODC Corrected response filed by respondent. (556)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67700243	N/A
5/18/2022 10:54:28 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Email dated 5-17-22 from appellant to Clerk, requesting a copy of the docket sheet. (sent in email) (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67632956	N/A
5/18/2022 9:37:49 AM	Karen Valihura	DE Supreme Court	Order dated 5-18-22 by Valihura, J. The Motion for Free Copies is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. The Rule 15(b) Motion is GRANTED. The reply is due on or before June 7, 2022. No further extensions will be granted. (jkh)	Order	File And Serve	67632284	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Post office receipt of mailing to petitioner. (dja)	Other	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (eserved)(dja)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed order to motion. (dja)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit I to motion. (dja)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit H to motion. (dja)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit G to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E to motion. (dja)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A

(10)

Mississippi

Handwritten bracket on the left side of the table, grouping rows from 5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM down to 5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM.

5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Delaware Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B to motion.	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A to motion. (dja)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Declaration to Motion. (dja)	Other	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/17/2022 8:12:10 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion under Rule 15(b) by respondent. (received 5-16-22) (dja)	Motion under Rule 15(b)	File And Serve	67625850	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service of motion. (556) (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service of memory stick. (556) (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Postage receipt. (556) (ead)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order (556) (ead)	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits A-D. (556) (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Motion for a Free Copy of the Record of the Board and Before the Delaware Supreme Court Which is Required for Appeal by appellant. (556) (ead)	Motion - Other	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
5/10/2022 3:29:01 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 5-10-22 from Meghan Kelly, Esquire to Clerk, advising that she mailed a memory stick. (ead) (556)	Letter	File And Serve	67606365	N/A
4/22/2022 1:41:40 PM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	Certificate of Service to Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67500472	N/A
4/22/2022 1:41:40 PM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Answer	File And Serve	67500472	Pending
4/22/2022 1:41:40 PM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	Cover Letter dated April 22, 2022 to Clerk of the Court regarding Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Corrected Response to Respondent's Objections. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Letter	File And Serve	67500472	Pending
			Certificate of Service to Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Response to				

(11)

*

Did

not check

I don't know what those are
does top big that Lisa
notes weren't sent.

4/21/2022 5:06:21 PM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility.	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67498356	N/A
4/21/2022 5:06:21 PM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office- Wilmington	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Response to Meghan Kelly, Esquire's Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Answer	File And Serve	67498356	Pending
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service. (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits GG and HH. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits AA through FF. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit Z. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A

<<Prev 101 through 150 of 198 More>>

Back

[About File & ServeXpress](#) [Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#)

© 2024 File & ServeXpress, LLC. All rights reserved.

Client Support

☎ 1-888-529-7587

✉ support@fileandserve.com

💬 Chat Online



Sign up now for your own account | File & ServeXpress Support

You selected case **58,2022, IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware.**

To view a document click the document title.

To view more information about a **transaction** or document, click the **Transaction ID.**

[Start a new search](#)

Documents Submitted By Case Report
Report Created: 7/31/2024, 2:52:36 PM

[Back](#)

Court: DE Supreme Court **Judge:** Supreme Delaware **File & ServeXpress Live Date:** 2/18/2022 3:52:14 PM
Division: N/A **Case Number:** 58,2022 **Document(s) Submitted:** 198
Case Type: Civil-Other **Case Name:** IMO a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of Delaware **Date Range:** All

<<Prev 151 through 198 of 198

Authorized Date	Authorizer	Organization	Document Title	Document Type	Transaction Option	Transaction ID	Judicial Action
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits T through Y. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit S. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit R. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits P and Q. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits N and O. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits L and M. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit K. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits B through J. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/31/2022 2:43:52 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Table of Contents of the Exhibits to the Respondent's Objections. (as filed on 3-21-22) (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67443187	N/A
3/29/2022	Tamika Montgomery	DE Supreme	Order dated 3-29-22 by Montgomery-Reeves, J. Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Proposed Briefing Schedule Order is GRANTED. ODC's response to the objections is due 4- Order	Order	File And	67435605	N/A

3:10:13 PM	Reeves	Court	21-22 is limited to 10,000 words and the respondent's reply is due 5-23-22 and is limited to 5,000 words. (jkh)		Serve		
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Post office receipt. (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Post office receipt. (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of Service. (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Certificate of service. (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Table of Contents of Exhibits to Objections. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Memorandum of Law in Support of Objections. (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's addendum letter to Clerk. (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Objections Part Three. (page 101 to end) (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Objections Part Two. (page 51-100) (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Objections Part One. (page 1-50) (ead)	Other	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/21/2022 4:50:00 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 3-14-2021 from Meghan Kelly, Esquire to Clerk and Justices, enclosing her objections. (ead)	Letter	File And Serve	67411527	N/A
3/10/2022 11:15:47 AM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office-Wilmington	Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Proposed Briefing Schedule Order.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67383179	N/A
3/10/2022 11:15:47 AM	Kathleen M Vavala	Disciplinary Counsel Office-Wilmington	Letter dated 3-10-22 from Office of Disciplinary Counsel to Clerk of the Court Waiving its Rights to File Objections. (service shown) (556) (jkh)	Letter	File And Serve	67383179	N/A
3/8/2022 12:09:14 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 3-8-22 from Clerk to Meg Kelly, Esquire, advising her to contact the Clerk's office if the law librarian is not available on 3-21-22. (ead)	Letter from Supreme Court Clerk	File And Serve	67375176	N/A
3/7/2022 3:01:04 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Record with transcript. (ead)	Record w/ Transcript	File And Serve	67372088	N/A
3/3/2022 3:10:50 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order.	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67364151	N/A
3/3/2022	Supreme	DE Supreme	Letter dated 3-3-22 from Respondent to Clerk, requesting to have permission to drop off filings with the		File And		

3:10:50 PM	Court Delaware	Court	Delaware Supreme Court Sussex County Courthouse's bailiff in case the law librarian is out. (dja)	Letter	Serve	67364151	N/A
3/2/2022 1:07:22 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 3-2-22 from Clerk to Meghan Kelly, Esquire, advising her of the correct case number and that all future filings should be sent to the Supreme_Courtfilings address. Any arguments concerning the report and recommendations are due by 3-21-22. (ead)	Letter from Supreme Court Clerk	File And Serve	67359794	N/A
3/1/2022 11:45:47 AM	Tamika Montgomery-Reeves	DE Supreme Court	Order dated 3-1-22 by Montgomery-Reeves, J. Respondent's Unopposed Motion under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections is GRANTED. Objections are due by March 21, 2022. No further extensions will be granted. (jkh)	Order	File Only	67355294	N/A
3/1/2022 11:27:51 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, advising that she received the paper copy of the Board's Report. (ead)	Letter	File And Serve	67355230	N/A
3/1/2022 9:39:26 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibits. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67354660	N/A
3/1/2022 9:39:26 AM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 3-1-22 from Meghan M. Kelly to Clerk, regarding service of the Board's Report. (ead)	Letter	File And Serve	67354660	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit A. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit I. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit H. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit G. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit F. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit E. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit D. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit C. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Exhibit B. (ead)	Exhibit	File And Serve	67352935	N/A
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Proposed Order	Proposed Order	File And Serve	67352935	Pending
2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent's Certificate of Service showing service by mail and email 2-28-22. (ead)	Certificate of Service	File And Serve	67352935	N/A

2/28/2022 4:38:29 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Respondent Megan M. Kelly's Unopposed Motion Under Rule 15(b) for a Ten-Day Extension to File Objections. (ead)	Motion under Rule 15(b)	File And Serve	67352935	Pending
2/18/2022 4:11:35 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Letter dated 2-18-22 from Chief Deputy Clerk to parties, advising that objections, if any shall be filed on or before 3-10-22. (556) (dja)	Letter	File And Serve	67329506	N/A
2/18/2022 3:52:13 PM	Supreme Court Delaware	DE Supreme Court	Report and Recommendation of Petition to Transfer to Disability Inactive Status in Board Case No. 115327-B. (eserved and by mail) (556) (dja)	Report of the Board	File And Serve	67329295	Pending


<<Prev 151 through 198 of 198

[Back](#)

[About File & ServeXpress](#) [Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#)

© 2024 File & ServeXpress, LLC. All rights reserved.

Client Support

 1-888-529-7587

 support@fileandserve.com

 Chat Online

Just the docket 22-58 Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com
Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 09:08 PM EDT

Hi Habib and Sean,

Thank you for your help. I made a request for some missing documents in a DE Disciplinary case against me from Lisa Dolph the clerk of Court for the DE Supreme Court.

I attach the docket from the court and the Westlaw and Court connect dockets.

I am going to work with the law librarian and try to make requests to place citations on certain pleadings.

I hope that is okay.

Thank you and have a good night.

Very truly,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Dolph Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32:10 PM EDT
Subject: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does

not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also prevent the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,
Meg



Galen's docket thank u.pdf
9.5MB



Lisa's docket sent May 2022 missing everything after May 10, 2022.pdf
3MB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY’S 238TH AFFIDAVIT

Plaintiff, Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. Lisa Dolph sent me an email August 1, 2024 morning attached hereto and incorporated herein stating as follows:

“RE: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/
 From:Dolph, Lisa (Courts) (lisa.dolph@delaware.gov)
 To:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
 Date:Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 08:33 AM EDT

“Good morning Meg. I am working on this request. Please be patient as there are over 100 documents. Thank you. Lisa A. Dolph”

2. I sent a response to Lisa’s email in the morning of August 1, 2024 noting:

“Re: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/
 From:Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
 To:lisa.dolph@delaware.gov
 Cc:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; ryan.costa@delaware.gov
 Date:Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 11:54 AM EDT
 Hi Lisa,

Will do. Thank you for responding.

It appears some of the documents are not available publicly in 22-58 despite the court's order granting it public. It is just public on file and serve.

So, I have to send Lexis and Westlaw documents with court stamps to make them available to others on legal research forums. Both groups may contact the court and you like they did for A-4 and A-5 in Kelly v Trump again to make them accessible to legal searches for lawyers and petitioners who do not customarily search on filing systems as too expensive in time and money to find relevant legal backing.

With regards to Kelly v Trump 22-119 the two motions

1. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump. and

2. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump., Galen gave me two court stamped copies on his access to file and serve, but the problem is not fixed.

I requested Lexis and Westlaw place the two motions wrongfully sealed in Kelly v Trump on their system. We have been working on this for over a year, and I had concerns about it not being fixed. It is not fixed. The DE Supreme Court members sealed the motions to prevent me from appealing effectively on due process grounds before the US Supreme Court and to compromise the probable cause hearing the Supreme court incited to interfere with my Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit against Trump or in disregard of the great likelihood that would happen by inciting Judge Clark of the Court of Common Pleas to attack me in private in a store and other DE Supreme Court arms' attacks to cause me to forgo my case unfairly by Court incited government backed threats.

Westlaw submitted my request to their legal department. I think representatives are going to reach out to the court to get Court authorization to place them on their searchable dockets on Westlaw and Lexis.

A representative at Westlaw advised me that if they are not publicized it is because the court refused to grant them permission, meaning you. Albeit if one source publicizes it the other may do so without contacting you.

I am so distraught about this I have not slept the past three days.

On Monday, July 30, 2024, I called the DE Supreme Court to prevent confusion and work to help you understand the issues since they have not been resolved in Kelly v Trump for over a year too. Plus, I wanted to know if someone from Westlaw or Lexis has reached out to resolve the issue with you yet. JoAnne said you left for the day. I received no out of office email response.

The next day, Tuesday July 31, 2024, I called and Berrel. She indicated you were unavailable. I was concerned you were avoiding me.

I am grateful for your email to learn you seek to resolve the mishap. Thank you for looking at all documents after May 10, 2022 which were not sent to me in accordance with the Court's order granting me the same.

Thank you,
Meg"

3. I noted my gratitude since I noted I believed she may have been avoiding me since my attempts to contact her Monday and Tuesday were fruitless. I asked Berrel if Lisa was

okay on Tuesday because I was concerned if she was sick. She merely noted she was working on pulling documents.

4. Unfortunately, my noted concern was warranted. I received an out of office response to the email I sent to Lisa outlined in paragraph 2, I attach hereto which provides:

“----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>

To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 11:54:32 AM EDT

Subject: Automatic reply: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

I will be out of the office the rest of today Thursday, August 1, 2024 through Friday, August 9, 2024. If you need immediate assistance please call (302) 739-4155 or email danielle.drake@delaware.gov. Thank you.”

5. This prejudices me. It appears none of my requests will be fixed and that Lexis and Westlaw's representatives cannot resolve the issue until her return. I contacted both Lexis and Westlaw who indicated they must contact the Court apparently Lisa Dolph to add the documents the DE Supreme Cour wrongly sealed in bad faith in violation of my right to petition, association, speech, religious beliefs, due process and equal protections. Via US Amend I, XIV applicable to the state, including the Court, their arms and agents via US Amend XIV.

6. Lexis and Westlaw will not be able to reach her until Monday August 12, 2024, almost two weeks from today.

7. Westlaw and Lexis will not provide citations to the removed two motions to the cases I reserve the right to cite on appeal to the US Supreme Court as well as other cases.

8. More importantly my right to a fair opportunity to petition publicly was unlawfully removed to conceal court misconduct which remains concealed in Kelly v Trump 21-119 and Disciplinary case 22-58.

9. The problem was never resolved after petitioning the court through Lisa to resolve it for over a year possibly two years.

10. This is not fair. If you look at the docket the State forums including Board administrator and Lisa Dolph at the DE Supreme Court tend to take off time after I send responses or petitions about docketing.

11. This is done in bad faith to deny me access to research needed to petition which is unconscionable given I was denied access to the law library on multiple occasions and poverty creates a substantial burden to my access to the courts.

12. I wish I did not need to fight administrative violations of the petition and due process because I know the courts are in trouble and there was a host of news showing the rule of law is in danger which relates to my case.

13. My opponent is allegedly a genius. So what if he disagrees with me. Even if the Court rules in his favor, what is more important is we protect the 1st Amendment right to petition coupled with 5th and 14th Amendment Due Process for both private petitioners, including me, and state and US Attorney Generals. They have the Constitutional legal authority to petition to administer the rule of law. Without petitioners there is no need for courts. They will be eliminated and the rule of law that sustains governments will eliminate governments for reign by lawlessness, business greed leading to most of humanity to compromise what is right for what is profitable to lose their souls in hell unrestrained by the just rule of law that saves not only lives but I believe eternal lives too. Amos 5:15.

14. Besides if the courts get it wrong, the people's check of the petition improves the administration of justice by additional petitions to correct even errant courts.

15. I ask my opponent Federalist Chair Ryan Costa herein Please do not allow the DE Supreme Court to fire Lisa Dolph. When I petition to assert my rights and preserve the rule of law it is to protect not only my rights but those I correct. I seek to improve the world not destroy those who err.

16. I sent the attached email to Westlaw and Lexis indicating DE Supreme Court Clerk of Court Lisa Dolph is out until Monday August 12, 2024 and she cannot grant permission to release documents publicly until then. I hope they can somehow release it by talking to someone else at the DE Supreme Court given the irreparable injury I face in terms of the loss of 1st Amendment rights and the right to petition fairly as I continue to fight the good fight with words, never weapons and never wealth to buy my will be done by barter or exchange which is business that eliminates freedom by human sacrifice of it or life or health, the mark of the beast.

17. If it is for sale by government backed lies the contract clause or the vote is a contract to eliminate liberties by barter or exchange, then it is not free aka freedom, it is for sale allowing those who unjustly control the resources, channels of exchange, those with power, wealth or connections to rule like the lawless one Satan not serve, govern, guide. On an aside John Jay in Federalist paper 2 is wrong. He avers "whenever and however it [government] is instituted, the people must cede to it some of their natural rights in order to vest it with requisite powers." Instead it is the other way around. The government has more limited freedoms of speech and religious belief in order to uphold and not chill the exercise of Constitutional rights and other liberties of both the private and public peoples the government is charged to uphold not sacrifice to maintain their desires under absolute discretion like the Supreme Court wrongly ruled in Trump v US 939.

18. Remember the contract clause will be used to overthrow the government if the 14th, 13th and 1st Amendments and other Constitutional preempting laws and considerations do not prevent it.

19. There is a plan to eliminate the courts. I hope the court and opposing counsels consider preventing it by inter alias preserving the impartiality of the courts by preventing US Supreme Court disciplinary proceedings which deprive me of Due process and equal protections in an unfair, biased forum partial to preventing discipline to its members instead of the impartial rule of law.

20. A threatened court is neither fair nor independent. That argument applies to the DE Supreme court who wrongly was threatened by my two wrongly sealed petitions too which deprived me of due process not only in Kelly v Trump but also in IMO a member of the bar 22-58, the DE disciplinary proceeding brought to punish me for standing up for my faith in Jesus Christ.

21. I sent the out of message response to Westlaw and Lexis and stated in the attached email I incorporate herein:

“Clerk of Court DE Supreme Court allegedly out until Monday August 12, 2024 Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 02:27 PM EDT

Hi Sean and Habib,

The DE Supreme Court Clerk Lisa Dolph sent me an auto-reponse attached hereto showing she is out until August 12, 2024 in response to my email below.

I hope will not prevent the two previously wrongly sealed motions now unsealed per the Court and file and serve from being released in Kelly v Trump 21-119 before the DE Supreme Court where I am the prejudiced party.

Thank you so much for your help. I am sorry for this inconvenience. Have a great weekend.

Very truly,
Meg“


Thank you for your time and consideration.

August 1, 2024

Respectfully submitted,
Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

Under religious protest as declaring and swearing violates God's teachings in the Bible, I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

Dated: Aug 1, 2024
Meghan Kelly (printed)
 (signed)

Re: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: lisa.dolph@delaware.gov
Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; ryan.costa@delaware.gov
Date: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 11:54 AM EDT

Hi Lisa,

Will do. Thank you for responding.

It appears some of the documents are not available publicly in 22-58 despite the court's order granting it public. It is just public on file and serve.

So, I have to send Lexis and Westlaw documents with court stamps to make them available to others on legal research forums. Both groups may contact the court and you like they did for A-4 and A-5 in Kelly v Trump again to make them accessible to legal searches for lawyers and petitioners who do not customarily search on filing systems as too expensive in time and money to find relevant legal backing.

With regards to Kelly v Trump 22-119 the two motions

1. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump. and
2. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump., Galen gave me two court stamped copies on his access to file and serve, but the problem is not fixed.

I requested Lexis and Westlaw place the two motions wrongfully sealed in Kelly v Trump on their system. We have been working on this for over a year, and I had concerns about it not being fixed. It is not fixed. The DE Supreme Court members sealed the motions to prevent me from appealing effectively on due process grounds before the US Supreme Court and to compromise the probable cause hearing the Supreme court incited to interfere with my Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit against Trump or in disregard of the great likelihood that would happen by inciting Judge Clark of the Court of Common Pleas to attack me in private in a store and other DE Supreme Court arms' attacks to cause me to forgo my case unfairly by Court incited government backed threats.

Westlaw submitted my request to their legal department. I think representatives are going to reach out to the court to get Court authorization to place them on their searchable dockets on Westlaw and Lexis.

A representative at Westlaw advised me that if they are not publicized it is because the court refused to grant them permission, meaning you. Albeit if one source publicizes it the other may do so without contacting you.

I am so distraught about this I have not slept the past three days.

On Monday, July 30, 2024, I called the DE Supreme Court to prevent confusion and work to help you understand the issues since they have not been resolved in Kelly v Trump for over a year too. Plus, I wanted to know if someone from Westlaw or Lexis has reached out to resolve the issue with you yet. JoAnne said you left for the day. I received no out of office email response.

The next day, Tuesday July 31, 2024, I called and Berrel. She indicated you were unavailable. I was concerned you were avoiding me.

I am grateful for your email to learn you seek to resolve the mishap. Thank you for looking at all documents after May 10, 2022 which were not sent to me in accordance with the Court's order granting me the same.

Thank you,
Meg

On Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 08:33:55 AM EDT, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Good morning Meg. I am working on this request. Please be patient as there are over 100 documents. Thank you.

Lisa A. Dolph
Clerk of Supreme Court
55 The Green
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 12:53 AM
To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa, Ryan (DOJ) <Ryan.Costa@delaware.gov>
Subject: Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I am reattaching the dockets for your convenience since the one you provided to me in May 2022 did not scan well.

Thank you and good night.

Very truly yours,

Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Dolph Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32:10 PM EDT

Subject: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom by what I argued is the mark of the beast in Kelly v Trump. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also protect the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,

Meg

Fw: Automatic reply: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: ryan.costa@delaware.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 12:03 PM EDT

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>

To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 11:54:32 AM EDT

Subject: Automatic reply: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

I will be out of the office the rest of today Thursday, August 1, 2024 through Friday, August 9, 2024. If you need immediate assistance please call (302) 739-4155 or email danielle.drake@delaware.gov. Thank you.

Clerk of Court DE Supreme Court allegedly out until Monday August 12, 2024 Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com; habib.jamal@tr.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 02:27 PM EDT

Hi Sean and Habib,

The DE Supreme Court Clerk Lisa Dolph sent me an auto-reponse attached hereto showing she is out until August 12, 2024 in response to my email below.

I hope will not prevent the two previously wrongly sealed motions now unsealed per the Court and file and serve from being released in Kelly v Trump 21-119 before the DE Supreme Court where I am the prejudiced party.

Thank you so much for your help. I am sorry for this inconvenience. Have a great weekend.

Very truly,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 11:54:26 AM EDT

Subject: Re: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

Will do. Thank you for responding.

It appears some of the documents are not available publicly in 22-58 despite the court's order granting it public. It is just public on file and serve.

So, I have to send Lexis and Westlaw documents with court stamps to make them available to others on legal research forums. Both groups may contact the court and you like they did for A-4 and A-5 in Kelly v Trump again to make them accessible to legal searches for lawyers and petitioners who do not customarily search on filing systems as too expensive in time and money to find relevant legal backing.

With regards to Kelly v Trump 21-119 the two motions

1. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump. and
2. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme to require the recusal of

the Honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz in Kelly v Trump., Galen gave me two court stamped copies on his access to file and serve, but the problem is not fixed.

I requested Lexis and Westlaw place the two motions wrongfully sealed in Kelly v Trump on their system. We have been working on this for over a year, and I had concerns about it not being fixed. It is not fixed. The DE Supreme Court members sealed the motions to prevent me from appealing effectively on due process grounds before the US Supreme Court and to compromise the probable cause hearing the Supreme court incited to interfere with my Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit against Trump or in disregard of the great likelihood that would happen by inciting Judge Clark of the Court of Common Pleas to attack me in private in a store and other DE Supreme Court arms' attacks to cause me to forgo my case unfairly by Court incited government backed threats.

Westlaw submitted my request to their legal department. I think representatives are going to reach out to the court to get Court authorization to place them on their searchable dockets on Westlaw and Lexis.

A representative at Westlaw advised me that if they are not publicized it is because the court refused to grant them permission, meaning you. Albeit if one source publicizes it the other may do so without contacting you.

I am so distraught about this I have not slept the past three days.

On Monday, July 30, 2024, I called the DE Supreme Court to prevent confusion and work to help you understand the issues since they have not been resolved in Kelly v Trump for over a year too. Plus, I wanted to know if someone from Westlaw or Lexis has reached out to resolve the issue with you yet. JoAnne said you left for the day. I received no out of office email response.

The next day, Tuesday July 31, 2024, I called and Berrel. She indicated you were unavailable. I was concerned you were avoiding me.

I am grateful for your email to learn you seek to resolve the mishap. Thank you for looking at all documents after May 10, 2022 which were not sent to me in accordance with the Court's order granting me the same.

Thank you,
Meg

On Thursday, August 1, 2024 at 08:33:55 AM EDT, Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov> wrote:

Good morning Meg. I am working on this request. Please be patient as there are over 100 documents. Thank you.

Lisa A. Dolph

Clerk of Supreme Court

55 The Green

Dover, DE 19901

(302) 739-4187



From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 12:53 AM

To: Dolph, Lisa (Courts) <Lisa.Dolph@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa, Ryan (DOJ) <Ryan.Costa@delaware.gov>

Subject: Fw: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I am reattaching the dockets for your convenience since the one you provided to me in May 2022 did not scan well.

Thank you and good night.

Very truly yours,

Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Dolph Lisa (Courts) <lisa.dolph@delaware.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 at 05:32:10 PM EDT

Subject: IMO meg Docket items after 5/10/2022 were never sent to meg/Lisa sent attached May docket with the documents therein with her exception/ Forgot to send copies after May 10, 2022 per the Court order granting Meg's request/

Hi Lisa,

I called yesterday, and JoAnne said you left for the day.

I called today to alleviate any confusion since Berrel said you were unavailable working on the documents.

She requested I send you a list of documents.

Attached please find two dockets for matter Number 22-58. One is a docket you sent in May 2022 in response to the court order granting me court stamped copies of my file, along with documents contained on the May docket previously sent with the exception you noted.

You mentioned you did not send copies of documents too big to send me via email. I do not know what ones they may be.

I am missing everything on the other docket attached noted as missing which is everything after the filings on 5/10/2022 which are listed on the first 11 pages of Galen's current docket attached hereto.

Lexis and Westlaw both request court stamps which is troublesome with me because the US supreme Court does not stamp documents on the public docket. I am a little stuck in that court.

I note the order assumes my emailing the documents means I have copies, but I do not know if the judges understand that westlaw and Lexis instruct me to prove they were filed by court stamps.

These considerations are unusual, but the DE Supreme Court sealing my motions in Kelly v Trump 21-119 without due process, notice or an opportunity to be heard to conceal additional due process and violations of the right to petition fairly on appeal is also something unusual without precedent.

I was acting in my private capacity to protect my private religious belief in Jesus which is protected even if the entire world disagrees and finds my religious beliefs repugnant.

There is a plan to eliminate freedom of religion by making it a business to eliminate freedom by what I argued is the mark of the beast in Kelly v Trump. I should like to petition to defend my private belief which I believe will also protect the elimination of freedom to believe by compelled forced business practices in a fixed not fair or free system.

Thank you,

Meg



5 out of office response.pdf
112.6kB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly)	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B.)	
Swartz, et.al)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY’S 239TH AFFIDAVIT

Plaintiff, Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. On August 2, 2024 I sent the following email with attachments:

021-119 Kelly v Trump/docket shows items are not sealed/Follow up/JoAnne at DE Supreme Court said she would cooperate to help you docket 2 missing motions/Thank you
 From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
 To: habib.jamal@tr.com; habib.jamal@thomsonreuters.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com
 Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com
 Date: Friday, August 2, 2024 at 12:58 PM EDT
 Good afternoon,

Thank you so much for your help.

I previously made a request to place two missing motions on the docket for Kelly v Trump 21-119.

I also previously asked if I could please have a citation to these two pleadings so I and others could cite them in cases.

This morning I spoke with a kind Westlaw representative who confirmed the item in the attached two filings after the 5/25/2021 filing and before the 6/4/2021 filings are still missing for Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Number 21-119,

A. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just (E-filing Docket Item Numbers 16-20) and

B. APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT TO REQUIRE THE RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JUNIOR IN THIS MATTER (E-filing Docket item Numbers 21-17)

Since the Clerk of Court for the DE Supreme Court is out for almost 2 weeks, I contacted the DE Supreme Court at 302-739-4155 today.

DE Supreme Court staff JoAnne said they can confirm documents on the record missing on Westlaw and Lexis are accurate and unsealed on file and serve for the matter 2021-119 Kelly v Trump.

Tess at Lexis also had a brilliant point.

The attached official docket for the case Kelly v Trump does not indicate the two missing motions are sealed so maybe you do not need to contact the Court but JoAnne and other staff can confirm.

I am attaching the Westlaw and Lexis dockets marking exactly where the documents go, and I am attaching the missing documents again in order.

When I forwarded the law librarians court stamped documents they were out of order. Sorry about that. I hope this makes it easier. No 3-9 if the first motion and 10-15 is the second. These are efiled and court stamped.

Thank you so much for your help.

Very truly,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr

Dagsboro, DE 19939

021-119 Kelly v Trump/docket shows items are not sealed/Follow up/JoAnne at DE Supreme Court said she would cooperate to help you docket 2 missing motions/Thank you

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: habib.jamal@tr.com; habib.jamal@thomsonreuters.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com

Date: Friday, August 2, 2024 at 12:58 PM EDT

Good afternoon,

Thank you so much for your help.

I previously made a request to place two missing motions on the docket for Kelly v Trump 21-119.

I also previously asked if I could please have a citation to these two pleadings so I and others could cite them in cases.

This morning I spoke with a kind Westlaw representative who confirmed the item in the attached two filings after the 5/25/2021 filing and before the 6/4/2021 filings are still missing for Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Number 21-119,

A. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just (E-filing Docket Item Numbers 16-20) and

B. APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT TO REQUIRE THE RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JUNIOR IN THIS MATTER (E-filing Docket item Numbers 21-17)

Since the Clerk of Court for the DE Supreme Court is out for almost 2 weeks, I contacted the DE Supreme Court at 302-739-4155 today.

DE Supreme Court staff JoAnne said they can confirm documents on the record missing on Westlaw and Lexis are accurate and unsealed on file and serve for the matter 2021-119 Kelly v Trump.

Tess at Lexis also had a brilliant point.

The attached official docket for the case Kelly v Trump does not indicate the two missing motions are sealed so maybe you do not need to contact the Court but JoAnne and other staff can confirm.

I am attaching the Westlaw and Lexis dockets marking exactly where the documents go, and I am attaching the missing documents again in order.

When I forwarded the law librarians court stamped documents they were out of order. Sorry about that. I hope this makes it easier. No 3-9 if the first motion and 10-15 is the second. These are efiled and court stamped.

Thank you so much for your help.

Very truly,
Meg
Meghan Kelly
34012 Shawnee Dr
Dagsboro, DE 19939

2. On Monday, August 5, 2024, the US Supreme Court denied a bill of complaint in MISSOURI V. NEW YORK Docket No. where Missouri sued New York in violation of Article III, the right to petition fairly even on appeal in violation of due process US Amend V, applicable to the state via the XIV, as a non-party in a criminal case to act as a witness in

violation of the 6th Amendment applicable to the state via US Amend XIV, to change a judgment in a case, to stop a gag order and impending criminal sentence against Donald J. Trump in New York.

3. Such participation in interference with an active case against Former President Trump relating to a gag order by nonparty witnesses interferes with the most important Constitutional legal power in this nation the petition coupled with due process by a non-party state who seeks to fix its desired outcome in a case unfairly by cheating the law to win.

(violating US Amend I, V, XIV, applicable to Missouri via the XIV, even public petitioners (state and federal Attorney Generals) should be protected by government threats to infringe upon due process)

4. Allowing outside litigation to change orders regarding a gag order in a live case on appeal also **degrades a judges' impartiality by outside threats questioning a judge's authority in his own case.**

5. This would have been dangerous precedent to the integrity of the court. If the Supreme Court granted this no judge's judgment would mean anything if outsiders could sue to interfere in live cases, where petitioners in a case may appeal not non-parties who seek the power but not the threat of discipline in an active case. What is troubling is Justice Thomas and Justice Alito would grant the motion for leave. **Doesn't the threat to the integrity of the courts and the people and the public's check through the petition to balance it alarm you by litigants suing in outside cases to rule on live cases in another court where the judge's opinion may mean nothing, endangering even this DE District Court's order by outside state's attacks not in accord with comity?** Missouri through its attorney general is acting like a lawless child out of line cry babying to get its will be done unfairly like the devil as outlined in. Isaiah 14.

6. I have consistently averred there is a plan to eliminate the rule of law by eliminating the power of the petitioners to enforce the law in accord with due process to eliminate the rule of law that founded, sustains and maintains these United States.

7. There is a plan to eliminate judges. Justices Alito and Thomas are misguided to be entrapped by those who will allow them and their positions to be thrown away.

8. I ran for office in 2018 because non-lawyers were practicing law. There really is a plan to eliminate the rule of law that founded, maintain and sustains these United states.

9. The legal fiction that this country was founded by the consent of the people is a lie from the devil that damns those who exploit the people to serve it unrestrained by the just rule of law by being above the law like the devil, the lawless one.

10. I cited this lie, and write to correct myself for sinning against the court and God in my Motion. I am sorry for my mistake. I repent to be made clean of deception.

11. Our system is more fair and just in that it eliminates the lawless sin of satan Pride through humility that saves lives and eternal lives with mercy by making no entity God, no President immune from the law, not even the US Supreme Court which may be corrected by the petition coupled with due process, and sometimes is corrected when it overrules past cases. Eliminating te right to petition fairly in accord with US Amend I, V, and XIV, eliminates the only tool of the government and the citizen to uphold the Constitution as rule of law regardless as to whether the US Supreme Court errs by violating the same. Should they get it wrong petition to correct to preserve them instead of allowing the nation to dissolve since the rule of law that sustains it was dismantled by the courts who refuse to uphold it to make the subordinate Art II, III, or I powers to be above the Constitutional law's limits and the superseding purpose of the Constitution to protect life and liberty not to sacrifice and enslave humanity for the public's good

by material gain. Our system teaches humility in that we may all be checked in our legal system which creates humility to save souls from hell. See, James 4:6 (““God opposes the proud but gives grace to the humble.”). Pride damns people to hell. Allowing Trump to be unrestrained by the law damns him to hell and those who serve lawlessness with partiality to a man based on position. This eliminates freedom by making Trump above the law despite the misguided assertion by the US Supreme Court that “immunity is the law.” No that is lawlessness is the law based on bias and position in violation of Equal Protections.

12. The DE Supreme Court wrongly sealed APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT TO REQUIRE THE RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JUNIOR IN THIS MATTER. In this Motion I stated:

“27. “The Founders rejected the medieval myth that sovereign (rulers, even judges and Presidents) are anointed by God to rule over them. The Founders laid a new foundation for our country, **based not on the lie of divine right**, (but by free choice, the free will of the people based on the universal belief that) all people are created equal and all just powers are derived from the consent of the governed. They changed the very source and nature of Law. Law does not emerge from the mouth of a king but rather the votes of the freely chosen representations of the people,” with limited, not absolute power, limited by the Constitution by the Courts. Citing, Duquesne Lawyer, The Rule of Law, the Constitution and Democracy, by Wilson Huhn, Professor of Law, Spring 2021 Edition.

28. Partiality and the appearance of impartiality must be maintained in my case to protect the integrity of the courts.

29. I seek to protect the judiciary.

30. To maintain the integrity of the three branches of government.

31. In this United States, the Founders created checks and balances to prevent and fix branch overreach. The fact a check is made, means the system is focused on maintaining a more equal, fair, just union. Humans with hearts are in control and in charge of choosing to maintain, and service the checks on the executive, judicial and legislative branches, like a car in need of oil to run better. People must choose to use their brain to care to think, to care to know, to care to love humanity, instead of comfort, convenience and cost by avoiding tune ups in our system of government.

14

32. The fact I seek correction will not prevent me from seeking protection of the same system I am seeking to tune up through law suits, to prevent the engine of our nation from failing us, the impartial rule of law.

33. The Courts can uphold the integrity, encourage faith in the system by having the humility to understand it is run by imperfect people in need of guidance through checks and balances, the executive branch, the legislative branch and even the judiciary.

34. In addition, my little cousin, Ikey Adams, a family member, is a partner in Sidley Austin, in DC, where Chief Justice Seitz's daughter appears to work too.

34. These two issues, as to who instigating the government threats via the ODC and De-Lapp, and the familial relations, create the appearance of partiality, or bias that may blemish a rather historical case, should this Court allow me to go forward with arguments.

35. The President(s) need the court's heavy hand of loving guidance to reign in the Presidents' abuse and misuse of power and government funding by dissolving the establishment of government-religion. President Bush Junior, President Obama, President Trump, and President Biden all misbehaved, and misbehavior will continue well past their fleeting lives, should this court fail to declare the Presidents are not above the Constitution. Sovereign immunity seems

15
to be waived for RFRA claims per the Supreme Court against officials, including the President. *Tanzin v. Tanvir*, 141 S. Ct. 486, 208 L. Ed. 2d 295 (2020)

36. I respectfully request that the Honorable Chief Justice be recused from deciding this case."

13. It is a lie that "all just powers are derived from the consent of the governed." The Constitution was created by a bunch of lawless double talking scheming men the founders who **violated the liberties of the people for selfish gain** including but not necessarily limited to George Washinton, Benjamin Franklin, John Jay and Alexander Hamilton.

14. It is a lie from the devil all men are created free. We all are born damned to hell enslaved to sin in need of salvation from sin, also known as lawlessness, damning us to hell without repentance which may be permitted by court correction to save lives and eternal lives by shedding light on those in the dark. The type of equality the misguided founders speak of is slavery to lawlessness and death in hell not freedom. We need to overcome temptations and would not need God if we were born saved instead of born damned. The founders spew the lies of the devil as truth. They have been entrapped. Even believing lies as truth, thinking them

mislead people into lawlessness leading to loss of eternal life by hardness of heads and hardness of hearts.

15. Teaching the lie the people contracted their souls to the lawless lusts of the government officials who wield the power of the law by sword but are not limited by the shield protecting the people from lawless tyranny unrestrained by the courts is unjust unconstitutional backing by even the US Supreme Court.

15. I am very troubled by the US Supreme court's sentence in Trump immunity case simply stating Presidential immunity is the law. He is above the king, and above God like the devil desired in Isaiah 14 unrestrained by backing.

16. God may damn even judges to hell who act with favor by deference and absolute immunity to parties because of government position even the president, or professionals or experts should they not repent of such lawlessness by sinning no more, granting the required Equal Protections in accord with US Amend V applicable to the federal government and US Amend XIV applicable to the states.

17. Do judges want to lose eternal life in the fires of hell on judgment day should they not turn away from such abominable conduct causing manifest injustice? Even if they do not fear God. Deference and absolute discretion violate Equal Protections that make our country free. The Courts basically eliminate freedom by teaching the peasant people are price tags to buy, sell, and throw away instead of priceless free treasures that enrich the country.

18. The Bible really says provides laws governing judges: Leviticus 19:15 ""You must not pervert justice; you must not show partiality to the poor or favoritism to the rich; you are to judge your neighbor fairly"); (see, Exodus 23:6, "You shall not deny justice to the poor in their lawsuits."); (see, Deuteronomy 16:19, "Do not deny justice or show partiality"); (also see,

Deuteronomy 1:17, "Show no partiality in judging; hear both small and great alike. Do not be intimidated by anyone, for judgment belongs to God."); See, James 2:, "do not show favoritism."); (James 2:9, "But if you show favoritism, you sin and are convicted by the law as transgressors."); (Deuteronomy 16:19, "Do not deny justice or show partiality. Do not accept any bribes, for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise and twists the words of the righteous."); (Proverbs 18:5, "Showing partiality to the wicked is not good, nor is depriving the innocent of justice."); (Proverbs 24:23, "These also are sayings of the wise: To show partiality in judgment is not good."); (Malachi 2:9, "So I in turn have made you despised and humiliated before all the people, because you have not kept My ways, but have shown partiality in matters of the law."); (Job 34:19, "who shows no partiality to princes and does not favor the rich over the poor, for they are all the work of his hands?"); (Job 13:10, "Surely He would rebuke you if you secretly showed partiality."); Isaiah 10:1-4 (Woe to those who make unjust laws, to those who issue oppressive decrees, to deprive the poor of their rights and withhold justice from the oppressed of my people, making widows their prey and robbing the fatherless.)(Woe to those means damned to hell are those should they not repent. I sit up straight with God's scolding).

19. I do not want people to go to hell not even misguided judges.

20. I do not show love if I do not try to guide the misguided judges by shedding light on their darkened thinking. I know that Justice Thomas was naughty in his heart in the way that he thought when my professor, now President of Duquesne Gormely interviewed him years ago. He had a heart of bitterness. He alleged he had a more difficult time making money as a young lawyer with a wife to care for. He resented the fact he had fewer opportunities at the start of his career. That bitterness he shared and did not overcome is sin showing his heart was still dirty in that he focused on money and material things as the desire of his heart, not Godly things, such as

justice to guide the misguided to save lives and eternal lives as an eternal life saver. See Matthew 12:34-38 (showing words reveal what is in our hearts) God teaches this is the evil eye per Jesus by seeking to extract contributions from others, material gain driving out our love from others by the love of power, profit, or positions. in Matthew 6:19-24.

21. There is an overthrow schemed only the petitioners and the courts may stop to prevent a time of lawlessness by eliminating the rule of law and the governments that uphold the same. Yet, to God Justice Thomas's soul is more precious than all the money in the world, or all the governments. God's law still remains on the hearts of the saved, but the mark of beast we are all born with called sin is more prevalent. Court correction does save the blind and dumb to help them see, hear and know to turn away from eternally deadly lawlessness. Yet God always focuses on the individual and does not focus on the artificial entity without a heart.

22. So, like I said in the exhibit February 5, 2021 letter relating to suspension of lawyer fees/Equal Protections concern/New Request 2022, flat fee for all licensure fees not based on years which is not rationally related to a legitimate purpose, instead arguably may be age discrimination to weed out older lawyers in in my Motion to Recuse Justice Seitz I repeat here as my religious belief.

“The Supreme Court misbehaves too. Please see attached. I fear Justice Alito, Justice Kavanaugh and Justice Thomas are confused into believing in sacrificing human life to....serve business greed is serving the law. They are wrong. They love money not humanity, and will sacrifice those the Constitution protects to serve the almighty dollar under the guise of an almighty God or good. See, Matthew 6:24. I think those justices will go to hell if they are not corrected by our courts or otherwise. Confusion kills. See 2 Corinthians 4:4.

This Court has the power to save lives and eternal lives, even the lives of US Supreme Court Justices, via correction with mercy, to prevent condemnation by transforming wrong doers, by love for one another, not exploitation of one another for the love of money.”

23. My intent is not to be insulting. My intent is to do what is right. Saying nothing when even Presidents or judges are enslaved to lawlessness under the color of the law allowing them to go to the path to destruction is not love.

24. The former lovely law librarian Leah Chandler, the former King of Corporate law's daughter in law, now judge said Meg "You get more flies with honey than vinegar." I am not trying to catch flies. I am trying to guide the misguided to shed God's light through the rule of law to save lives and eternal lives and my own liberty to exercise my religious belief in Jesus Christ.

25. You think trump is saved from hell. Not yet, and not ever unless he repents and turns away from sin instead of relishing in it like a pig in the mud or a dog to his own vomit only to become unclean and sick with sin. See John 7:24 ("Do not judge based on appearance, but judge with right judgment."); Matthew 7 (Judging hypocritically is wrong); 1 Corinthians 2:15 ("But he that is spiritual judges all things, yet he himself is judged by no man.") There is no forgiveness of sins without repentance. See, Luke 13:3-5 (Jesus states, "I tell you; but unless you repent, you all likewise will perish [in hell, the second death and be no more without eternal life.]

26. I am still working out my own salvation by unhardening my heart and head.

27. I knew divorce is a sin. See, Malachi 2:16 ("God hates divorce, and accuses men of doing violence against the one who covenanted to protect"); See Hosea 4:14 (Although God damns men to hell for adultery should they not repent God says "I will not punish your daughters when they commit harlotry, nor brides when they commit adultery; For me themselves go apart with harlots").

28. I know that little boys and men go to hell for even sinning in their thought by sexualizing women because Jesus said “But I tell you that anyone who looks at a woman lustfully already committed adultery [a violation of law] with her in his heart. If your right eye causes you to sin, gouge it out and throw it away. It is better for you to lose one part of your body than for your whole body to go to hell” [loss of life Second death to be no more.]
Matthew 5:28-30

29. But I had unhardened my head and heart to really hear Jesus saying “anyone who marries a divorced woman commits adultery,” regardless that she divorced because her husband cheated on her. Matthew 5:32.

30. Sex is marriage until death do you part. Citing, Romans 7:2, see 1 Corinthians 7:39 stating the same (““ A wife is bound by law [God’s law] to remain married. But if her husband dies, she is free to remarry.)

31. I believe sex is marriage. It is one and done. My Grandpop Tom and Grandmom Cecelia Batten lived in sin because their first spouse’s left them. They did not take communion until their first spouses died.

32. Jesus teaches men go to hell for even thinking about sexing a woman yipes. He committed adultery by marrying his second and third wives. Yet divorce is a greater sin and the man is condemned for causing the woman to stumble too.

33. But what is shocking is that Trump while marrying Melania in adultery while his first and second wives were alive. Trump cheated on his current wife and cheated God by marrying and becoming one by paying porn stars to be married to him by sex, ewe gross, dirty and disgusting degrading on womankind sin. 1 Corinthians 6:17 (“Do you not know that he who unites himself with a prostitute is one with her in body. For it is said, “The two will become one

flesh.”). I believe staying married in adultery is more just than committing a greater injustice by divorcing Melania and throwing her away as disposable trash not a treasure people are. Divorce is sin.

34. Trump will get into trouble with God if he is not made clean of using woman as objects to look at, use, or do, to buy and sell if he does not repent before he dies. He will go to hell. He cannot be trusted to serve the people when he screwed his own wife, and screws over the porn star he paid to sex marriage. He will screw us all as reflecting the image of the devil higher than a king seeking to be higher than God like the devil desires in Isaiah 14 unless the courts guide his misguided heart with court correction to prevent his certain condemnation in hell, while he misleads misguided men to follow him on the wide path leading to destruction. Citing Matthew 7:13-15, Isaiah 14: 13-14 (“You said in your heart, ‘I will ascend to the heavens; I will raise my throne above the stars of God; I will sit enthroned on the mount of assembly, on the utmost heights of Mount Zaphon. I will ascend above the clouds: I will make myself like the most High”); Isaiah 9:16 (For the leaders of this people cause them to err; and those who are led by them are destroyed [in hell, losing eternal life]”)

35. I read about the attacks against the New York Judge the Honorable Judge Engoron by a naughty misbehaving lawyer Attorney Baily who wanted to get the porn star case kicked out by barraging him with his dirty mind, running his mouth in the news, endangering the courageous judge, and the just rule of law by inciting mob unrest.

36. Understand, an attack against one judge by non-parties like in my case well case includes the court itself too the DE Supreme Court, DE ODC, like congressional attacks against Justices to affect the outcome of issues in my case they wanted decided in their favor, in Trump

v US No. 929, in the naughty DE case relating to Musk, in the porn star case and other cases is an attack on the impartiality of the forum by outside threats.

37. These outside threats violate my right to a fair proceeding to the extent I may aver the Court should call law makers in my USSC appeal in the Eastern District Case.

38. Impeachment is not fair but is biased in an unfair horse and pony forum.

39. Case law upheld the elimination of immunity for judges who asserted court immunity in exchange for testimony. Testimony was still used to incriminate a judge before Congress. Immunity is not honored by impeachment proceedings. The rule of law is not honored, just the whimsical desires of selfish unrestrained rulers not servants.

40. The courts must put their foot down, before they lose their standing and fall, and allow for the very real overthrow sooner than schemed.

41. We have to protect judges and parties we disagree with, and the Constitutionally asserted right to petition coupled with due process of those who believe differently including me, or there is no law to protect individual liberties, just compelled conformity to the dictates of rulers who compel compliance by threat of social, physical or economic pressures.

42. There is a plan to eliminate the rule of law by eliminating the power of those who enforce it judges, petitioners of private people and petitioners of public people and entities, state and US Attorney Generals.

43. The US supreme Court is acting like a child trying to get out of his homework only to fail out because they make Presidents above the law. That is not okay. Do they want to get into trouble with God on judgment day for partiality towards government. I should hope not.

44. I pray this gives them the fear of God to stop committing lawlessness by violating Equal Protections to save lives and eternal lives, even their own.

45. God's wrath remains on liars who say money is freedom. Business is freedom. If it is for sale it ain't free. This is a lie of Lucifer misleading humanity to worship material gain to the extent it drives out the love for one another with the love of material gain extracted from others. This leads to hell. Matthew 6:24. It is lawless lusts not the just rule of law protecting life and liberty.

46. Government and private entities must be separate. So, the government governs and guides and does not collude. Those who focus on money and material gain serve the mark of lawlessness leading to hell business greed if unrestrained by love or the just rule of law to prevent oppressing, enslaving or sacrificing human life, liberty or health for material gain, not limited to knowledge, convenience, comfort, positions, power or profit.

47. Those who serve business greed eliminate freedom and do not uphold the Constitution which protects life and liberty arguably by both private and public infringements which cannot be contracted away. Freedom is not for sale. So, it cannot be bought and sold for this is a lie for the devil. A lie is untrue. If freedoms are for sale by government backed pressure, they were not freedom. If it is for sale by compromise, barter or exchange it ain't free.

48. I saw Justice Thomas equated freedoms with lawlessness in US v Trump. He confuses lawlessness with the rule of law. He is misguided. I know that my former constitutional law professor interviewed him on more than one occasion. I think they may be friends. I am not acting in love when I do nothing as he is misguided.

He states in Trump v US in Part with citations omitted in US SC No. 939

“JUSTICE THOMAS, concurring.

Few things would threaten our constitutional order more than criminally prosecuting a former President for his official acts. Fortunately, the Constitution does not permit us to chart such a dangerous course. As the Court forcefully explains, the Framers “deemed an energetic executive es-

sential to . . . the security of liberty,” and our “system of separated powers” accordingly insulates the President from prosecution for his official acts. Ante, at 10, 42 (internal quotation marks omitted)...

Respecting the protections that the Constitution provides for the Office of the Presidency secures liberty. In that same vein, the Constitution also secures liberty by separating the powers to create and fill offices.

We must respect the Constitution’s separation of powers in all its forms, else we risk rendering its protection of liberty a parchment guarantee.

49. Justice Thomas says freedom from the law is liberty when that is a lie. The liberty Justice Thomas asserts is slavery to sin and death in hell per my God. Those who live based on desires commit lawlessness. They do not lay down their desires to do what is right. Deference to discretion allows lawlessness and violates equal protections by making the Constitution a lie not restraining private people unless an exception occurs or the Government unless an exception occurs but immunity is a license to be like Lucifer the lawless one unrestrained by lawlessness leading to harm ad hell. They do Trump no favors. See, Proverbs 13:24 H(“e who spars the rod hates his son” [because he allows him to be misguided to die eternally in hell without correction, court correction or otherwise])

50. Justice Thomas is teaching the devil’s doctrine, do what you wilt absolute discretion, with no humility to eliminate lawless pride, no checks. Isaiah 14. Instead, Trump is granted a license for crime, a license to overthrow the nation, which is disturbing. Trump recently told an audience it would be the last time they would vote, which alludes to elimination of our government for a tyrant ruler. This is not the rule of law, but lawlessness that allows for the overthrow.

51. Justice Thomas is errant in his thinking. It is not okay because he is setting up the world to fall, and we need the courts to be our hero not misguided villains by lawlessness misleading humanity to harm and hell.

52. In the motion I tried to recuse Seitz before the DE Supreme Court I talked about persuading US AG Garland to close the back door to our devices that allow for digital reign eliminating freedom and the rule of law for a time of lawlessness misleading humanity to harm if the courts do not stop it.

53. I would like to try to ask the courts to be our hero, but judges are trying to get out of work only to harm themselves, jeopardizing their own positions and the rule of law by immunity, kicking out cases. The court cannot save itself unless a petitioner asks.

54. I should like to use my case and controversy to discuss different ways to prevent the overthrow even if it is merely preventing US Supreme Court disciplinary proceedings, the end of life time limits.

55. Without a petitioner the court is without authority.

56. told the court about threats toward it before it got worse. I was not pulling it out of thin air. There is a plan to eliminate you, your pensions, your bank accounts.

57. I hope the courts let me try to save you and my liberties and rights.

58. The Supreme Court is in trouble. So are the judges in this court with the very real threat of puppet judges by ending life time appointments by Constitutional amendment. **THIS THREAT IS REAL.** A threatened court is neither fair or free. Outside threats cannot be tolerated to affect issues in cases and controversy like in my case in state court and federal court with congressional interference in issues in my case to affect the outcome.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

August 5, 2024

Respectfully submitted,
Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

2021-119 Kelly v Trump/docket shows items are not sealed/Follow up/JoAnne at DE Supreme Court said she would cooperate to help you docket 2 missing motions/Thank you

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: habib.jamal@tr.com; habib.jamal@thomsonreuters.com; source.acquistition@lexisnexis.com

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; sean.lambdin@lexisnexis.com

Date: Friday, August 2, 2024 at 12:58 PM EDT

Good afternoon,

Thank you so much for your help.

I previously made a request to place two missing motions on the docket for Kelly v Trump 21-119.

I also previously asked if I could please have a citation to these two pleadings so I and others could cite them in cases.

This morning I spoke with a kind Westlaw representative who confirmed the item in the attached two filings after the 5/25/2021 filing and before the 6/4/2021 filings are still missing for Kelly v Trump DE Supreme Court Number 21-119,

- A. Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems just (E-filing Docket Item Numbers 16-20) and
- B. APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR THE DELAWARE SUPREME COURT TO REQUIRE THE RECUSAL OF THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE COLLINS J. SEITZ, JUNIOR IN THIS MATTER (E-filing Docket item Numbers 21-17)

Since the Clerk of Court for the DE Supreme Court is out for almost 2 weeks, I contacted the DE Supreme Court at 302-739-4155 today.

DE Supreme Court staff JoAnne said they can confirm documents on the record missing on Westlaw and Lexis are accurate and unsealed on file and serve for the matter 2021-119 Kelly v Trump.

Tess at Lexis also had a brilliant point.

The attached official docket for the case Kelly v Trump does not indicate the two missing motions are sealed so maybe you do not need to contact the Court but JoAnne and other staff can confirm.


I am attaching the Westlaw and Lexis dockets marking exactly where the documents go, and I am attaching the missing documents again in order.

When I forwarded the law librarians court stamped documents they were out of order. Sorry about that. I hope this makes it easier. No 3-9 if the first motion and 10-15 is the second. These are efiled and court stamped.

Thank you so much for your help.

Very truly,
Meg
Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr
Dagsboro, DE 19939

-  Missing documents Docket kelly v Trump 2021 No 119.pdf
1.9MB
-  Westlaw marked docket for clarification.pdf
1.8MB
-  Lexis marked docket for clarification.pdf
3MB
-  3 Appellant's Motion for recusal of Chief Justice Seitz.pdf
277.1kB
-  4 Declaration May 28 Appellants Motion for the Delaware Supreme to.pdf
80.2kB
-  5 Exhibit 1 Receipt Meg paid bar fees.pdf
85.3kB
-  6 Exhibit 2 emails.pdf
265.9kB
-  7 Exhibit 3 Lisa dolph Feb 2 2021 letter no relief for bar dues.pdf
72.5kB
-  8 Exhibit 4 Feb 5th Meg's request bar relief based on equal protections to help other attys too different request.pdf
384.8kB
-  9 May 28 Order regarding Recusal of Cheif Justice.pdf
182.3kB
-  10 MOTION rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of relief by relief it deems.pdf
221.6kB
-  11 1 of 2 email requests law librarian.pdf
173.6kB
-  12 Signed Declaration Motion May 25.pdf
83.2kB
-  13 Exhibit F Exhibit 55-regarding due process concerns.pdf
686.5kB
-  14 Exhibit DELAP Misbehaving bothering me May 24.pdf
174.7kB
-  15 May 25 proposed Order regarding Due process relief.pdf
147.2kB