

2

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
No phone at this time

Clerk of Court
Third Circuit Court of Appeals
James A. Byrne United States Courthouse
601 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19106

RE: Judicial Complaint about both misconduct and congressional rules causing disability as applied to my case specifically and generally violating my rights to petition fairly in accord with US Amend I, V, without a biased forum tempting to comply with substantially burdensome congressional statutes that it was tempted to defraud the public and prejudice me regarding Eastern District Case Numbers 22-45,

September 16, 2024

Dear Clerk of Court:

Pursuant to Judicial conduct and disability Rule 7, enclosed please find an original complaint with one copy of a Judicial misconduct or disability pursuant to Judicial Council of the Third Circuit.

Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 351, “Upon receipt of a complaint filed under subsection (a), the clerk shall promptly transmit the complaint to the chief judge of the circuit... The clerk shall simultaneously transmit a copy of the complaint to the judge whose conduct is the subject of the complaint.”

Accordingly, please submit the original to the Chief Justice of the Third Circuit, Chief Judge Michael A. Chagares, and please provide a copy to Judge Paul Diamond of the Eastern District of PA.

Please be advised, a staff member of your court advised me to send a copy to the executive office. A member of the executive office advised the same. That violates the rules, and is expressly prohibited per the court’s official web site.

<https://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/judicial-conduct-disability/faqs-filing-judicial-conduct-or-disability-complaint#faq-Where-do-I-file-my-complaint?> provides in bold: “You must file your complaint with the appropriate court office, as described in the Rules and any applicable local rules. **The Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts will not accept or respond to judicial conduct or disability complaints.**”

Rule 7 provides:

Where to Initiate Complaint

- (a) Where to File. Except as provided in (b),
(1) a complaint against a judge of a United States court of appeals, a United States district court, a United States bankruptcy court, or a United States magistrate judge must be filed with the circuit clerk in the jurisdiction in which the

subject judge holds office.

Accordingly, I am required to serve you the papers. I was concerned the staff at the Third Circuit and the administrative office, the executive office were misleading me as to where to file to prevent service.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

September 16, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,



/s/Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Judicial Council of the Third Circuit
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS THIRD CIRCUIT
COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

Meghan M. Kelly, pro se, declares and avers as follows:

1. Pursuant to Rule 4, I write to report misconduct and other retaliatory behavior despite this forum, the Judicial council, having a conflict of interest that causes it to be biased toward business by tempting it to sacrifice Constitutional rights of lives and liberty of the private people the law requires the judges to uphold for business.

2. I write to report fraud and other retaliatory behavior for the whimsical desire of Judge Diamond to get out of the work of fairly and impartially upholding the rule of law in accord with Due Process under US Amend V by performing a fair proceeding to gain credit for unjust efficiency while unethically misrepresenting the work load to gain unjust credit for more cases than the Eastern District Court of Pennsylvania, Judge Diamond, John M. Gallagher, or Gerald J. Pappert actually partook in in violation of Rule 4.

3. I report specific misconduct herein by Judge Paul S. Diamond of the Eastern District Court of Pennsylvania. I provide evidence herein and I incorporate everything attached hereto to be incorporated herein. I also incorporate everything on the record for this case at 22-45 below and on appeal at the Third Circuit and US Supreme Court, even though not attached hereto. It is available on public record. I also attach incorporate everything on the record in the Delaware District Court Matter Kelly v Swartz, 21-1490, and the appellate courts for the case, even though it is not attached hereto.

4. I also write to report retaliatory misconduct and violation of my asserted rights.

5. This case relates to retaliation against me for the exercise of my 1st Amendment rights to petition, religious belief, exercise of religious belief, speech and association.

6. There is evidence Judge Diamond and the Eastern District Court of PA is defrauding congress out of additional money Judge Diamond's agent Gail Olson called "credit" by labeling 4 matters as one, so 3 judges would receive additional credit. I was also worried about judgment without opportunity to be heard on future disciplinary matters by the Court labeling.

7. It does not make sense to have 4 docket Numbers for one case. I err on the side of precaution to allow the court to correct mistakes or elaborate should the court have misled me through its agent.

8. I write this with angst since I oppose federal judicial disciplinary rules as opposed to allowing a party to present her petition in an Article III case and controversy to prevent violations of the rights to petition fairly in accord with due process (DP) and equal protections without favoritism towards the government with bias against me the accused in a criminal like proceeding. US Amend I, V

9. I also oppose ending life time tenure. Disciplinary rules and proceedings against Federal Judges, including this potential proceeding violate my right to a fair opportunity to be heard by a forum that is partial towards avoiding discipline and gaining congressional backing through the Article 1 Section 8 powers, which inhibits judges' capacity to uphold the impartial application of the Constitution to the rule of law in cases such as mine. Nevertheless, I write this complaint in a disciplinary forum to exhaust

administrative procedures while preserving my rights in accord with US Amend I, V, VII, XIII, and other rights I need not mention herein.

10. In 2018 I ran for office in the state of Delaware because non-lawyers were practicing law without a license. I discovered a real plan to standardized, to automate to eliminate people judges and the courts which includes people in the only branch that preserves the rule of law and constitutional freedoms, including my freedom to worship in accord with the dictates of my conscience, not the dictates of government religion by government incited private and public threats against my person, but for my exercise of Constitutional liberties. See attached evidence of an overthrow by eliminating people attorneys and judges. I seek to protect the courts by requiring the Courts and the government adhere to the rule of law because it is the rule of law that preserves the government and the United States from schemed overthrow.

11. I write this not to destroy the courts or the judges but to preserve their positions by requiring they uphold and not violate the Constitution as the rule of law that restrains members in all three branches. It is the Constitution as amended which founded, maintains and sustains this democratic republic from dissolution, not the lie of consent of the people. The people do not consent to be enslaved or sacrificed for public interests meaning government interests despite not invoking or asserting rights the Constitution guarantees. If the government's focus is on money and material gain as the goal, business, it will unconstitutionally sacrifice and enslave the people to profit by sustaining or creating problems, to sustain positions and profit streams into perpetuity by making the world a worse place under the facade of progress.

12. I am a Christian lawyer who was attacked by the state by the request of the DE Supreme Court members through its arms and agents to cause me to forgo my Establishment clause/Religious Freedom Restoration Act lawsuit against former President Donald J. Trump ("Trump") to punish me for my exercise of the private 1st Am rights applicable to the state via the 14th Am. to petition before its own Court, private-speech contained in the private-petitions, affiliation, private religious beliefs and exercise of religious beliefs and in retaliation for my 1/7/2021 and 2/5/2021 petitions to exempt bar dues for all attorneys facing economic hardship. The state has violated my asserted rights to petition and religious beliefs for more than 20 years culminating to the DE Supreme Courts own attacks against me, concealment of evidence in my favor and firing of its two staff to prevent evidence in my favor in Kelly v Trump and the cover up disciplinary proceeding where I was denied my asserted rights and the state violated its own rules, and recorded the hearing too errantly that it could not be corrected. People have talked about shooting me and actually placed two bullets in the home of a friend for the exercise of fundamental rights. I sought the courts protection not punishment in retaliation for the exercise of fundamental protected rights. See Exhibits.

13. DE Placed my license to practice law on inactive disabled deeming my religious belief in Jesus Christ and citations to the Bible to support the private beliefs I sought to protect a disability.

14. The Eastern District Court of PA opened up a case. I immediately moved the court to stay the case so as not to deprive me to access to courts to appeal the original order in DE and to file a civil rights case. The Court denied it. As a result, the denial created a burden so great due to poverty, religious objection to debt, and invocation of the 13th Amendment that I was actually denied the asserted right to petition fairly and at all the original case. See Exhibits. I am prevented from working in the occupation of my choice and am denied the license to work. So, I did not have the means to file in all cases, and one court should not cause me to forgo my asserted Constitutional right to petition and fair opportunity to be heard in other courts by its own court causing the obstacle with malice, meaning knowing the Constitutional injury of vitiating US Amend I, V rights.

15. In bad faith to defraud me of rights to petition and any opportunity to be heard Judge Diamond, the assigned judge rendered an order violating my 1st and 5th Amendment rights for relief I had not requested, ECF access. I did not move for ECF filing but Judge Diamond in bad faith denied me this under the lie I asked for it. The Court required I file via email, and I drafted a letter thanking them in part.

16. I drafted the attached motion I incorporate herein, Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed wherein I averred in part:

"I, Respondent Meghan M. Kelly pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and, in addition to or in the alternative of, FRCP 59 (e), move this Court for a rehearing on the Court Order found in Docket Item 7, ("Docket Item" is referred herein and hereafter as "D.I."), denying request for ECF access (D.I. 3), and denying my corrected motion to stay the proceeding until the conclusion of both the originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, (referred herein as "Order").

1. The Court's Order attached hereto as Exhibit A is based on clear error of law, error of the facts, and creates manifest injustice against me. The Order must be overturned.
2. I never moved the Court for permission to electronically file under ECF. It is not ripe for a determination. Per the attached email I sent to this Court's officer Gail Olson you may confirm I did not intend to file a motion for ECF filing. (Exhibit B)
3. Should this Court grant a stay I would likely file motions for permission to file by ECF and for an exemption to PACER fees to prevent costs from becoming an undue burden upon my access to the courts, given my poverty and my religious beliefs against indebtedness. I Case 2:22-mc-00045-PD Document 12 Filed 10/18/22 Page 2 of 19 incorporate herein by reference the Motion I files to waive costs, which outlines more detail on my religious beliefs against debt.
4. Granting me ECF access with an exemption of PACER fees would prevent additional work on this Honorable Court's staff. I would be assuming responsibility of uploading documents instead of this Court.
5. In any event, I never filed a motion for ECF access. Thus, the Court is in clear error based on a misunderstanding of the facts and an erroneous application of the law, which creates manifest injustice towards me, and the Court's staff should it not be vacated. I also see at DI 10 there seems to be duplicate uploads. I am unable to see the record clearly to draft a letter or motion to correct it because I do not have a PACER exemption and ECF access to this court. The exhibits in DI 11 also appear to be out of order.
6. Further, pursuant to FRCP R 52(a) "the court must find the facts specially and state its conclusions of law separately. The findings and conclusions may be stated on the record after the close of the evidence or may appear in an opinion or a memorandum of decision filed by the court."
7. This Court did not state its factual findings or legal grounds for rendering its Order on the record. FRCP 52(a)(6).
8. This Court denied me notice of its reasoning in rendering its Order. I must have fair notice and opportunity to contest the court's reasoning in conformity with due process protections. US Amend V.
9. This Court's holding without legal or factual support other than a conclusion in the form of an order is clearly erroneous as a matter of law, clearly erroneous as a matter of fact, creating manifest injustice against me. The decision must be set aside as clearly erroneous as a

matter of law and as a matter of fact for failing to provide the legal and factual grounds of its Order.

10. This Court abused its discretion in denying my Motion and amended motion for a stay and EFC access. A Court reviewing an abuse of discretion is primarily be concerned with the method by which the district court exercises its discretion, rather than its outcome. See *Rufo v. Inmates of Suffolk County Jail*, 502 U.S. 367, 393, 112 S.Ct. 748, 765, 116 L.Ed.2d 867 (1992) (O'Connor, J., concurring); *In re Bishop*, 361 F. App'x 200, 201 (2d Cir. 2010).(emphasis intended)

11. There is no method or analysis of 1. whether this proceeding overlaps with issues in the appeal of the original disciplinary proceeding and civil rights case to justify whether a stay should be granted to prevent conflicting orders and needless waste of Court and party resources should issues be decided and overturned.

12.This Court did not take into account all of the relevant circumstances

13.The reciprocal case is brought, but for the original disciplinary case. It is unreasonable and highly prejudicial towards me, the public and even the court's staff not to stay the case pending a determination on appeal or until time to appeal lapses for both the original disciplinary proceeding and the civil rights case concludes because the original order may be vacated. This proceeding may be deemed moot.

14. This Court did not reveal how it weighed or whether it weighed "the following factors: (1) the plaintiff's interests and the potential prejudice to plaintiff of a delay; (2) the prejudice to the defendant; (3) the interest of the Court; (4) the interests of third parties; and (5) the interest of the public" *Sec. & Exch. Comm'n v. Hvizdzak Capital Mgmt.*, Civil Action 1:20 154, at *1-2 (W.D. Pa. Aug. 11, 2021).

13. While review of abuse of discretion is limited, it cannot be based on mere speculation. Citing, *In re Gouiran*, 58 F.3d 54, 58 (2d Cir. 1995).

14. There is no plaintiff in my case with interests. Even if there was, there is no overriding interest in denying a stay in a proceeding to place my retired license to practice law before the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on inactive disability.

15. The Court notes no necessary reason to deny a stay until both my civil rights proceeding and the original Delaware Disciplinary proceeding are adjudicated on appeal to the US Supreme Court, or until the time has lapsed to appeal. There is no important government or public interest outweighing my interest in access to the courts to defend my exercise of fundamental rights. This court did not even consider the harm towards the public if a stay was denied. Should I not be granted meaningful opportunity to contest the original case on appeal to the US Supreme Court and in the civil rights case, then every citizen may be in danger of being adjudicated disabled, for merely believing differently than the state, or for standing up for their religious-political beliefs in Court.

16. There is no government interest in denying a stay somehow more important than preventing the compelled economic undue burden to my access to the courts to defend my property interest in my license to practice law, my First Amendment rights to speak, believe, associate, exercise of belief and right to petition.

17. Nor is there any government interest in denying a stay somehow more important than preventing the compelled choice upon me to violate my religious beliefs against potential indebtedness [in violation of my religious beliefs and asserted right from involuntary servitude US Amend I, XIII]or the loss of the exercise of my First Amendment rights, and my property interest in my license.

18. There does not even appear to be a rational or reasonable reason to deny me a stay, other than collusion with the courts to protect the mere deceptive appearance of justice, while committing great injustice against me.

19. The arbitrary Order substantially impairs my defense in this action as well as other cases. The denial of a stay gravely and unnecessarily unduly prejudices my ability to

defend my rights. The prejudice is so great it vitiates my constitutional rights or otherwise interferes with my ability to defend my Constitutional rights without justification by this court.

20. I seek to vacate the original disciplinary order on appeal and in the civil rights case. It prevents waste of judicial resources from fighting the same issues the US Supreme Court may control. This Court arguably has an interest in granting a stay until the state proceeding is complete. Granting a stay will likely narrow the issues before the court, and prevent both parties if applicable, and this Court from performing unnecessarily duplicative work, especially if the order is vacated in either the civil rights case or on appeal before the US Supreme Court.

21. I have additional issues to address in other courts too, which takes time. I am looking at the withdrawn amended complaint I attached to DI 10 for 21-1490 in the Delaware District Court. The damage page is incomplete. Attached, please find Exhibit H, a redline of the original complaint and the withdrawn amended complaint. You will see I requested damages in my initial complaint. The District Court overlooked the relief for damages in its orders. So, it is material to my case. My cases are complicated and require time beyond this one example....”

17. The Eastern District Court of PA misfiled over 1000 pages of documents containing material necessary evidence of DE’s unconstitutional misconduct and violations of my rights to petition, religious belief, causing 2 million dollars of expected income at RLF and other harm over the course of about 20 years.

18. I was denied a fair opportunity to petition. I filed a letter with the court concerning the misfilings. I spent days created lists and emailed Gail lists and documents per her request by spending hours going over 1000 plus items. I tried to make it easier to fix since the misfilings occurred when Gail was out per her own request.

19. I alerted the court it did not address motions and I motioned the Court requiring answers on those motions. Two motions appeared to be misfiled as exhibits behind other pleadings. The Court placed another claimants’ medical records on my case, per the attached. It was a mess, unacceptable. Instead of fixing the misfiled documents, the Court rendered an order for a hearing. I filed motions and required due process notice on what the hearing was about since I had a number of unaddressed motions.

20. I indicated via email that I reserved my right to call witnesses since I was denied any opportunity to prepare, and present my case and my asserted right to call witnesses in DE because the DE Court concealed the fact it fired two key witnesses to conceal evidence in my favor in the original proceeding where the transcript was faulty and too inaccurate and prejudicial to correct.

21. Instead of addressing my requirement of adequate notice or answers for outstanding motions, the Court asked me to draft a memorandum as to why I should still be barred in its court since I was retired from PA. Per the attached official record, I was retired from PA since 2018.

22. The Court disbarred me in bad faith and unexpectedly based on seeking to defraud me based on the lie a retired license back in 2018 would affect my license before its court, knowing I believed I was retired before its court in error, and did not have access to research.

23. In fact, the Court knew I was without transportation. I noted I collapsed after biking to the post office given health limitations due to our healthcare system that harms that caused me to be weak and in threat of death if others do not honor my limitations. I have sincere not fake religious objections to science, healthcare and mental healthcare and I assert and do not waive my religious right to live and not die or be harmed for the vanity of men under the guise of the common good, public good or welfare of the world.

24. The State of DE placed my active license to practice law on inactive disability in retaliation for filing a Religious Freedom Restoration Act law suit to alleviate a substantial burden Presidents Trump and Biden cause on my religious exercise of belief in Kelly v Trump based on my belief, per the petition against me. Nevertheless, the order is actually made to cover up about 20 years of the state's violations of my fundamental right to petition fairly and state compelled violations of my religious belief, speech, association, running for office and other matters too numerous and voluminous to discuss at this time. The State deems my religious belief in Jesus Christ a disability and prevents me from buying and selling but for my exercise of religious belief which has caused me to be impoverished.

25. Judge Paul S. Diamond unjustly disposed of the reciprocal disability case, No 22-45 in bad faith depriving me of the right to petition fairly or at all to get out of work of correcting the Court's staff's misfiling of documents in my case, which included another case's pleadings and health records and two of my pleadings attached as exhibits, while booby trapping me by deceit knowing I had no means to research why my 2018 PA retirement of a license would not cause retirement before the Eastern District Court of PA.

26. Judge Diamond knew my retired status in PA had no impact on my EDPA license before his court. My status was and remains accessible as a public record.

27. Judge Diamond entrapped me because he sought credit for his professional gain by unconstitutionally disposing of my case quickly for Congressional or collegial reward, recognition, praise, promotion or pay because of the pressures Congressional rules impose upon him which substantially burden his capacity to uphold the impartial application of the Constitution to the rule of law. I did not know this until I had limited access to research the issue. See the attached Petitions before the US Supreme Court I incorporate herein by reference where I averred:

“The District Court also knew due to lack of time, poverty and limited means of transportation, broken electronics and lack of resources I could not easily research. Despite that the Court ordered me to draft a memorandum of law as to why my retirement in PA would not retire my license in its Court. DI-21. The Court booby trapped me based on an error of fact, an error of law creating manifest injustice against me by using retirement as a reason to disbar me. In response to the Order for a memorandum, while acting under great duress, I fell into the misleading trap of the Court. I filed a letter asking to be placed on retirement, as not admitted in the Eastern Dist Court of PA Dist Court to practice because I was confused as to whether I was retired or not. I thought my assumption of retirement might be wrong, but then the Court asked why I should not be retired. DI-22. To my horror, the Court disbarred me instead of placing me on retirement. DI-23. I was surprised because I thought I would be retired. I immediately called Gail noting my confusion. I asked if this was punishment. I exclaimed my confusion as I thought I would be placed on retirement. She responded no, it was merely placing my license as disbarred due to retirement, not punishment. Gail Olsen said the Court was not disciplining me, per the letter confirming our conversation at DI-24. Having multiple law suits where Courts sought to discipline me for my faith in Jesus, I drafted a letter confirming our conversation, but remained confused. DI- 24. At the time, just like now, I was under water in other cases as I fought for my eternal soul from the pits of hell by defending my faith in Jesus Christ from government persecution but for the exercise of rel beliefs. I did not have easy access to research. During the case I could not even afford car insurance and gas and was compelled to hand in my tags since the DE Order and law suits arising from it preventing me from working in the occupation of my choice and I assert my 13th Am rights.

After researching I discovered I was not automatically retired since disbarred PA attorneys are not automatically disbarred and may have an office to practice before the Federal

courts.¹ Judge Diamond of Dist-Court booby trapped me by creating the assumption I was retired by asking me to draft a memorandum on why I should not be retired in its Court too. Judge Diamond sought to entrap me into requesting retirement in order to disbar me for conduct I would not have otherwise committed but for the trap he laid out to get out of work. I have limited time, resources and ability to research. The Court should not have placed me as disbarred instead of as retired. Moreover, it is clear error of law, of fact creating manifest injustice against me to place me on retirement too, even if the order should be changed. I did not have notice of disbarment, and the Dist-Court had reason to believe I did not understand the consequences of retirement. The Dist-Ct knew I was confused and exploited that confusion to get out of analyzing the voluminous amount of Constitutional issues in the underlying original disciplinary case the reciprocal case is based on. The Orders below violate my 5th Am rt to notice, and a fair proceeding.

The Court held in, *In re Ruffalo*, 390 U.S. 544, 551 (1968), “The charge must be known before the proceedings commence. They become a trap when, after they are underway, the charges are amended on the basis of testimony of the accused. He can then be given no opportunity to expunge the earlier statements and start afresh.” I was not given advance notice on the matter of the hearing or notice of disbarment based on retirement. I was without access to legal resources and under great duress. The Court took advantage of my hardship to vitiate my license to practice law in bad faith. The Court indicates in *Herring v. New York*, 422 U.S. 853, 866-867, “Due has long been recognized as assuring “fundamental fairness” the elements of fairness vary with the circumstances of particular proceedings... What is fair in one set of circumstances may be an act of tyranny in others.” As applied the Court acted unjustly creating irreparable injury to me in terms of punishing me even more harshly for the 1st Am rts to petition, rel belief, exercise of belief, association and speech and asserted other Constitutional rts including the 6th Am rt to cross examine my accusers which I alerted the Court I reserved my rt to do since I was deprived of the rt in the original DE Disciplinary proceeding. I asserted my 6th Am rt to call witnesses. The order violated 6th and 5th Am notice of charges. I did not know the Eastern Dist Court would disbar me when I did not draft a memorandum as to why retirement in PA would not retire my license in its Ct. I asked the Court be placed on retirement so as not to be barred as active, but I thought I might have been wrong on my assumption of retirement. I was confused without ability to research the issue due to lack of time and resources. My conduct was not done knowingly or voluntarily but under great duress. It was a boobytrap based on a misunderstanding similar to the entrapped lawyer relating to the disciplinary proceeding in *In re Ruffalo*, where I was denied fair notice and a fair and fair opportunity to be heard given my unique situation of facing 6 law suits, limited access to the cts given lack of time, health limitations and poverty creating a substantial burden to my access to the cts and rel belief against debt. The Order should be overturned, and my license should be placed on retirement either. While, I do not have easy access to resources, the Dist Court should have known retirement in state does not automatically retire my federal license unless specifically drafted in its rules. The rules do not require reciprocal retirement in my case. So, the Dist Court appears to have set me up to fall which is not fair or just. I gave the Court notice I lacked time and resources to investigate. DI-9. I was under duress having noticed the Dist Court of my collapse upon the floor of the post office due to lack of time to care for my health to sustain it. I noticed the Dist Court of my lack of resources to pay for car insurance, and my limited resources too. I did not have the means to research until later. I discovered and realized I must appeal the Eastern Dist of PA Order or potentially face 6 new law

¹ See, *Theard v. United States*, 354 U.S. 278, 282 (1957); *Selling v. Radford*, 243 U.S. 46, 49 (1917), *Frazier v. Heebe*, 482 U.S. 641, 648 n.7 (1987); also see, *In re Surrick*, 338 F.3d 224, 231 (3d Cir. 2003), (disbarment by the [s]tate does not result in automatic disbarment by the federal ct." *In re Ruffalo*, 390 U.S. 544, 547, 88 S.Ct. 1222, 20 L.Ed.2d 117 (1968)). *Surrick v. Killion*, 449 F.3d 520, 530 (3d Cir. 2006), (“The question in this case is whether a state may prohibit an attorney admitted to the bar of a federal Dist ct, but suspended from the state bar, from maintaining a legal office for the sole purpose of supporting a practice before the federal ct.”).

suits. That is important to prevent in order not to certainly vitiate my Constitutional rts. Costs of needless additional law suits are so great given my poverty and rel beliefs against debt as to effectively to deprive me of my ability to petition to defend the loss of Constitutional rts and claims including but not limited to my private 1st Am rts 1. to rel belief in Jesus as God not money as God, 2. Exercise of rel belief, petition, speech, association and other claims. US Am I, V, XIV, XIII. “The standard of due process is that no one may be deprived of liberty or property without such reasonable notice and hearing as fairness requires.” *Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee v. McGrath*, 341 U.S. 123, 124. I was deprived of procedural due process by the unfair trap the Court laid to entice me to eliminate work caused by its staff’s messing up on docketing when the case manager Gail was out and lack of notice and an opportunity to be heard fully and fairly as written on the docket. US Am. I was deprived of 6th Am rt to be “informed of the nature and cause of the accusation.” US Am I, VI, VI. The vitiation of my rights not to be deprived of my license but for the entrapment of the Court was not knowingly or voluntary given. “Due process must be respected in periods of calm and in times of trouble,” even during a global pandemic, war in Ukraine and Israel and global economic crisis. Id I was not predisposed to violating rules against retirement. “The entrapment defense prohibits the government from instigating a criminal like violation of the disciplinary rules by persons otherwise innocent in order to lure them to its commission and to punish them. I was not predisposed to place my license I thought was on retired by automatic rule of law with the 2018 retirement in PA onto retirement. Justice Diamond set me up with an evil selfish biased motive of person trying to get out of upholding Constitutional rights to get of work which is required to uphold justice for all, even me as a party of one with unique rel beliefs that no one is above the law nor below, even Presidents, judges and law makers. *United States v. Russell*, 411 U.S. 423, 424. I face irreparable injury before the partial forum to itself and its case law instead of the impartial application to the rule of law in violation of my rt to an impartial forum. I request acquittal and vacation of the order disbaring me due to retirement. App. I, J, K The Government through the court, “may not issue commands to its citizens, under criminal [or disciplinary] sanctions, in language so vague and undefined as to afford no fair warning of what conduct might transgress them.” *U.S. v. Levin*, 973 F.2d 463, 466 (6th Cir. 1992) *Citing Lanzetta v. State of New Jersey*, 306 U.S. 451 (1939). The government, the ct, lured me in bad faith by trickery to disbar me to get out of work its staff created by misfiling my pleadings. I did not knowingly nor voluntarily relinquish my license to practice law. The Court knew or should have known I was confused, and entrapped me in bad faith. Accordingly, the order below must be vacated.

28. I obviously sought to appeal the order to not only prevent irreparable injury by additional deprivations of my right to access to the courts and due process, but to prevent 6 more lawsuits and years of litigation since the order was a different order disbarment due to retirement.

29. I have religious objections to debt, and cannot afford to pay for PACER to look at the record at 22-45 to see if any changes were made on the misfiled documents. I desired access to the docket to effectively appeal the case.

30. DE District Court granted ECF access while I appealed in the 3rd Circuit in the civil rights case even though the case was on appeal. There’s no opposing counsel in the Eastern District and Nicholas Judge Diamond’s staff said I could apply for ECF access online, despite the errant order denying ECF access not ripe to deny since I did not previously ask.

31. Gail told me to apply for ECF via email too, despite the errant prior order. She said the court would grant it to me, but requested I did it as a party. I did so. The Court denied me access. Gail said the Court would grant me access like the DE District Court kindly did. So, I could see the

documents below and whether any changes were made. At painstaking expense in terms of time and resources I mailed in a motion, which Judge Diamond rejected. See emails.

32. I also filed a motion to exempt fees which the court never addressed, and a separate motion to file in forma pauperis for fees and costs like transcripts and dockets.

33. Judge Diamond granted the request for IFP regarding fees and costs, but the clerk of court and Gail indicated I did not have IFP relief on the docket, when I did, and Gail denied me a copy of the docket per attached emails I incorporate herein despite the attached grant of IFP for both fees and costs. So, I could not see if changes were made since I was denied ECF at the request I made per Gail Olson's instructions to file for it, and her promise of a grant after ECF was improvidently denied previously in response to no request, and the court wrongly denied me a copy of the docket.

34. The reason why it became prejudicial is the court no longer accepted filings per email, and the alternative filing system violates my religious belief because swearing in to a lie that I am not an attorney is something I cannot do to electronically file by exchanging my fundamental right to assert an accommodation to religious belief in order that I am not deprived of access to the courts under the circumstances of my case. I have religious objections to swearing in per the related documents unattached I incorporate herein. I believe those who pressure others by economic, social or physical means, aka scientific conditioning in a forced slave market or otherwise commit lawlessness by reflecting the image of the devil by seeking to control a no longer free but slave people under the lie of Lucifer of ordered liberty.

35. I have associated licenses in multiple other forums and was compelled to fight multiple cases simultaneously without income. I did not have means to consider all issues over a year ago, but I write this to prevent additional denials of my fundamental rights, my right to petition to defend my rights to petition fairly to remove infringements upon my religious belief based on a course of conduct that Trump and current President Biden that establishes government religion and incitement of violence and persecution against me for not adopting the beliefs of the government.

36. It appears the court retaliated against me, punished me, booby trapped me and disbarred me the most draconian of measures in a disability reciprocal proceeding but for not desiring to read the voluminous materials spanning about 20 years. Judge Diamond denied me of a fair right, or any right to petition or to be fairly be heard on the undocketed materials or misfiled items, and the motions the court seemed to ignore at its whimsical pleasures at the irreparable injuries I have suffered in terms of loss of fundamental rights not limited to first Amendment rights for capricious and malicious in terms of knowing it deprived me of Constitutional rights. US Amend I, V.

37. To worsen matters, the court assigned 4 case numbers to my 1 case, 3 dated the same date 10/26/2022 for 1 matter 22-45. The other numbers are noted "Other Docket: 2:22cv04280, 2:22cv50002, 2:22cv50003."

38. I spoke with Gail about this more than once. She said it was 1 matter despite 4 case dockets. Nevertheless, it still prejudices me, and appears to defraud the public. Gail consistently stated the other numbers were to grant judges' credit. I assume for the statutory reporting requirements to gain Congressional support and backing.

39. Judge Diamond permitted the labeling of one case against me as four to unjustly give judges and the District Court(s) of PA "credit" that congress, colleagues and the public may attribute to his professional efficiency for reward in terms of praise, pay or promotion and to evade ridicule and penalty by inter alias lack of increased pay or nominations to other appointments.

40. Although it is unclear whether the Pennsylvania District Court's all partake in unethically artificially inflating caseloads to unjustly "credit" judges and courts for a number of cases that exceeds the actual cases they worked on to defraud the public of money and prejudice and harm claimant's including me specifically by demeaning me as sued 4 times instead of 1, it is clear that Gail Olson of the PAED Court stated it was the custom of her court to assign more case numbers to only one case when panels are conducted for discipline.

41. It is wrong to misrepresent the numbers in my case. And it is wrong to do so as a matter of custom. I ask this court to please prevent future distortions of the case load, and please remove the three case numbers attributed to one case 22-45, so as not to malign my name into perpetuity.

42. Gail Olson indicated the Court was not disparately treating me on giving 3 more case numbers for my one case. Gail Olson indicated by other words the Pennsylvania Eastern District court as a whole appear to misrepresent caseloads by assigning more cases than judges actually worked on to receive "credit" for partaking on a panel. It is unclear whether all three Pennsylvania District Courts also unethically misrepresent the case load by artificial inflation of cases to include more cases than the courts and judges actually presided over.

43. I spoke with representatives within the New Jersey and Delaware District Courts. They stated they do not assign more than one case number for attorney discipline cases, even if there is a panel of 3 judges. So, unlike the Pennsylvania District Court(s), the other two district courts within this Third Circuit do not assign more than one case number to gain the praise, a potential promotion or a potential increase in pay by congressional reward through its spending power Art I, Section 8. The misrepresentation of numbers may defraud the public of money by unwarranted increases in pay or promotions of judges who cheat for selfish gain unjustly, while prejudicing me and others maligned as noted sued in 4 cases not 1.

44. In my case, Judge Diamond assigned 4 case numbers to one case, per the attached docket sheet the law librarians Peggy Naylor and Dr. Galen Wilson gave me from PACER and Court Link per the attached exhibits. In addition to the case number for my case 22-45, the following Eastern District of PA Case numbers were assigned to my one case:

1. 2:22-mc-00045 credited to Judge Paul Diamond,
2. 2:22cv04280 credited to Judge Paul Diamond
3. 2:22cv50002 credited to Judge Gerald J. Pappert,
4. 2:22cv50003 credited to John M. Gallagher.

45. Gail Olson explained I could find proof that she was not treating me disparately by pulling another claimants' docket, by the name of In Re: Francis C. Malofiy 14-mc-00139.

46. The law librarian Galen pulled his case, and indeed Malofiy had an associated case that had no pleadings in it, like the 3 empty cases associated with 2:22-mc-00045, despite it giving credit where there is only one case. Gail Olson said she promised it was only one case.

47. On August 28, 2024, I sent the attached email:

"22-45 M Kelly /Aug 28 2024 email to Gail Olson
From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: gail_olson@paed.uscourts.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 01:27 PM EDT

Hi Gail,

There is no opposing counsel to our case, but since the USSC asked that I serve the solicitor general and place the court as a party I copy her filing email here.

More than a year ago I contacted you when I discovered 4 case numbers connected to 22-45.

You indicated 3 case numbers were given to make sure judges received credit for a panel hearing, but it was all one case 22-45.

It is doesn't seem correct.

I attach evidence of all 4 case dockets, 22-45 noted "Other Docket: 2:22cv04280, 2:22cv50002, 2:22cv50003" And on the top of these 3 additional docket numbers I see "This case was retrieved on 10/26/2022,"

I updated the docket 22-45 on Lexis with a 2 day trial. I noticed the docketing errors appeared to remain where a motion was placed as an exhibit and other misfilings which prejudiced me as denying me a fair opportunity to be heard or any opportunity to petition on motions the Court's staff misfiled while you were out.

May I please have a copy of the docket for 22-45 to see whether changes were made after I emailed you per your request about the errors while you were out?

Another representative verbally indicated someone else's medical documents inadvertently placed on my file were removed, but I cannot see it because I cannot afford to pay to check PACER due to the State forbidding me to work as an attorney at this time, and my religious objections to debt.

Can you please let me know whether labeling 4 case numbers as one case is in error too or whether there is a reason other than what you told me for 4 case numbers please?

Thank you,
Meg"

48. I emailed David Weiss and others, per the attached emails:

Re: 22-45 M Kelly /Aug 28 2024 email to Gail Olson/worried unethical earnings 1 case 4 dockets to make judges numbers increased efficiency by injustice/Also concerned 3 more cases without fair oppty to be heard before findings made/Protect fair right to pet fairly by litigants who abuse process by other petitions to affect outcome of case they are not a party/getting around Art III by Art I and Art II backed agents and cohorts to violate parties rights from threats like you

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Cc: ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 01:54 PM EDT

Hello,

I was worried about the court defrauding congress out of additional money Gail called "credit" by labeling 4 matters as one. I was also worried about judgment without opportunity to be heard on future disciplinary matters.

It does not make sense to have 4 docket Numbers for one case. I err on the side of precaution to allow the court to correct mistakes or elaborate should the court have misled me through its agent.

Thank you,
Meg

PS David Weiss Saw the CA Court struck motion in Robert Biden case, and Jack Smith filed an appeal of US v Trump of Florida Judge Cannon's findings with the 11th Circuit and allegedly is seeking to prosecute on amended charges if I understand correctly.

I saw that heritage foundation sought to affect the outcome of US v Robert Hunter Biden by Freedom of Info Act case against you, threatening a party to gather information outside of the Art III to substantially burden your first amendment right to petition fairly in accord with the 5th, per the attached.

Without protecting the petition coupled with fair opportunity to be heard there is no equal protections under the law but unequal substantially burdened and diminished rights should the court not create Constitutional limits to protect public and private petitioners from gov incited threats through alleged conspiring cohorts.

It is important to protect the right to petition. Otherwise, there is no rule of law, just reign of a few who buy or barter access to the courts eliminating a freedom for business.

My filings are still not published in Kelly v Trump, and I am dealing with that. We are not forgetting on creating precedent to protect you, and all claimants right to petition fairly to preserve the rule of law from being eliminated by bribes by barter and exchanges of those with connections, power or profit to buy their will be done. Thank you.”

49. I sent another couple of emails:

“Called Judge Diamond's staff Lizzie on other matters Fw: Kelly v Trump Case No 1192021 video Meg's filings not accessible on Westlaw or lexis :(

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: ryan.costa@delaware.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 04:15 PM EDT

Called judge Diamond's staff and spoke with Lizzie to ask about the 3 additional case numbers. I asked her to please ask Judge Diamond what they were for since I am the accused. She said she will ask Judge Diamond.

I indicated I believe it was a mistake. Lizzie said she thought that was how judges in panels were notified by in house procedures. If so, that is prejudicial to my name, and even if it is a mistake which it does not appear to be, I do not want 4 more lawsuits tied to my name if there is in fact only 1 as prejudicial for future employment and my reputation too.

Thanks,
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Costa Ryan (DOJ) <ryan.costa@delaware.gov>; david.weiss@usdoj.gov
<david.weiss@usdoj.gov>; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov <supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov>

Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 02:16:18 PM EDT

Subject: Kelly v Trump Case No 1192021 video Meg's filings not accessible on Westlaw or lexis
:(

Hi,

I received a 2 day trial from Lexis AI. I show you the attached video.

DE Supreme Court refused to update the docket to make my filings public in a continuing conspiracy to conceal evidence in my favor to affect the outcome of past cases, current cases and future cases.

Lexis is really awesome and is trying to help me.

They are having a hard time connecting Kelly v Trump to Court Link docket and correct filings to make my pleadings and one finding unavailable for regular research by case search "Kelly v Trump."

If you look at my case Kelly v Trump see 44 filings on the top right corner. They do not relate to the case. There are 37 pleadings and the rest of the 44 are cases. All 44 should be filings in Kelly v Trump.

I have a ticket Lexis is working with me, the DE Supreme Court is not.

It is as if I am being thrown out in the dark and never existed when my petitions which are a bases of multiple law suits are hidden to hide evidence in my favor. The State has violated my right to petition and fundamental rights to exercise religious beliefs for years. I am genuine even if you think my religious beliefs are odd.

Even people who do not conform to the norm are protected and not deprived of fundamental rights based on religious belief or viewpoint in petitions. I am scared. I tried to prevent Jan 6, with Oct 2020 pleadings, but the court found my concerns unwarranted when time shows they were.

I do not want to be taken out by the state or their partners, or demeaned disabled or continuously compelled to violate my religious belief by physical, economic or social threats of the state. i am scared. My faith in Jesus and my right to live that faith are what I gave my life too. My life should not be taken away by the state. :(I am really scared. I have not been sleeping and I need to rest to function well. We are not forgetting about protecting others by petitioning on our own. David Weiss you are important. Ryan don't let anything happen to him please. People are weird talking about violence and that is naughty. Thank you
We use our words, not weapons, nor wealth, the mighty minds of people like you, not money or might. We use brains, not bullets or bucks.

You may be my potential opponents but you may be my hero and heroes in the eyes of God for doing what is right.”

50. The next day I followed up to see whether Lizzie spoke with Judge Diamond. I spoke with a young man who was not comfortable with giving his name. I indicated I had some ethical concerns with potentially defrauding the public and private people by misrepresentation of cases as 4 cases where each judge may gain credit for 2 cases, 1 being 22-45. It really prejudices me.

51. He was not interested in checking with Judge Diamond and refused to allow me to petition to address the concern with Judge Diamond. Further Gail said my request to have one case the 22-45 to have one not 4 numbers was “never going to happen.”

52. So, I ask this court for relief for retaliation and to fix the misrepresentation of caseloads in my case and the case of others per Congressional requirements under 28 U.S.C. § 604(a)(2), and The Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990. I also seek to resolve the fact the PA Districts are missing their annual reports and the Chief judges staff appear not to know where they are, nor does the clerk per a staff, per the attached emails.

53. The statutory requirements under 28 U.S.C. § 604(a)(2), and The Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 violate my right to a fair proceeding by tempting the Court to sacrifice my individual liberties and actually sacrificing them for business.

54. Per 28 U.S.C. § 604(a)(2), each year the Administrative Office of the United States Courts is required to provide a report of statistical information on the caseload of the federal courts for the 12-month period ending March 31.

55. Per the Federal Government’s site:

“The Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 (CJRA) requires the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO), under 28 U.S.C. § 476, to prepare a semiannual report showing, by U.S. district judge and U.S. magistrate judge, all motions pending more than six months, all bench trials that have remained undecided more than six months, and all civil cases pending more than three years. In accordance with the policy of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this report also presents data on bankruptcy appeals pending more than six months (Rpt. of Proc. of JCUS, Mar. 10, 1998, at 11) and Social Security appeals pending more than six months (Rpt. of Proc. of JCUS, Sept. 15, 1998, at 63). The reporting requirements under the CJRA are designed to help reduce both costs and delays in civil litigation in the district courts. The information also may be used to evaluate demands on the district courts’ resources. The CJRA report is prepared through the use”

56. An accused has the 6th Amendment right to a speedy trial, while in other important matters more time may be required for judges to do what is right, not what is popular or easy with unique questions without precedent or in cases such as mine evidence spanning the course of almost 20 years of the state’s religious persecution and violations of my asserted fundamental rights or claims. It is hard for judges to be charged with administrative paper work too.

57. The focus on speed and productivity is business which eliminates freedoms in contravention with the Constitutional amendments which safeguard them especially of due process. Thus, CJRA appears to violate the Constitution and preempting law to protect life and liberty not sacrifice it for material gain as God. It is my religious belief Jesus Christ teaches you cannot serve God and mammon, money and material gain as master. Those who make money and material; gain savior and master will sacrifice and enslave people to gain moth and rust which violates the Constitutional protections of the individual's, including my life and liberty.

58. CJRA and the purpose of Congressional regulations of the courts violate my right to a fair proceeding by tempting judges to cheat to win, to appear to look better by labeling one case as 4 and by needlessly overlooking lengthy exhibits and pleadings containing 20 years of evidence of the state's misconduct and violations of my rights to religious belief, association, petition, due process and equal protections culminating in this civil rights case.

59. CJRA also violates my right to a fair proceeding by rushing judges to quickly dispense of cases unfairly by threat or reward commandeering the court to violate Constitutional freedoms for business and partial whims of government agents. The control by congress over the judiciary eliminates my freedoms as applied and violates due process by a partial forum.

60. The checks and balances are meant to uphold the Constitution to protect freedom. Instead, Congress violates the Constitution to eliminate freedom for business.

61. Given the Third Circuit, and the District court is bound by the congressional acts that impede on my right to a fair and impartial trial without a judiciary partial towards self-serving interests in productivity to maintain their positions instead of the impartial application of the constitution to the rule of law, I contest the constitutionality of the productivity provisions so as not to substantially burden judges' ability to do what is right as opposed to what is profitable.

62. Equal Protections under the 5th Amendment when the government is biased and partial towards itself by the term public not the people's interests and Due process under the 5th Amendment right appear to be two good forms to challenge Congressional statutes that tempt courts to be partial towards productivity by sacrificing the petitioners and their liberties the Constitution protects. I imagine I can think of more legal arguments as the statutes also violate by substantially burdening my 1st Amendment right to petition fairly. I write in haste though to assert and not waive rights to contest rules that impede upon my right to a fair forum to petition even by this DE District Court, the Third Circuit and the US Supreme Court.

63. There is a plan to eliminate the courts, to wipe out savings and bank funds.

64. The corporate governance ESG model allows businesses to rule and eliminate every freedom as the government will be eliminated and the rule of law removed should courts not prevent it by hearing petitions instead of throwing them out because congress wants to gain puppet control over them.

65. I sent the attached email to the head Administrative Office because Pennsylvania's courts are not complying with the CJRA the same way as other courts do in the Third circuit. There is no data easily available whereas other Courts allow for their transmission online. It may be to cover up the PA District Court's unethical distortion of case loads and numbers for the beneficial unearned credit of judges including Judge Diamond:

"Re: Thank you/Data not found in web site/Eastern District of PA Court's yearly annual report/ and possibly two semi-annual reports regarding 6 months motions and otherRe: Incoming Call to Main line: Year End report request unavailable on web site? or am I

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: sheila_barnes-jones@ao.uscourts.gov

Cc: sheila_mccurry@paed.uscourts.gov; janel_rhinehart@pamd.uscourts.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; tmastro@gmail.com; iadams@sidley.com; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Thursday, September 5, 2024 at 03:59 AM EDT

Thank you Sheila,

I contacted the individual courts and emailed the Chief Judges staff in two districts. I copy Sheila and Janel hereto.

They do not know how to find them either.

Do you know who is in charge of preparing them? I have asked the PA District courts via email and phone.

I could not reach the Third Circuit's administrative office after a number of tries either.

I called the DE District Court and they said they contact your head DC office directly and file their reports with you. DE does have there year-end report on their web site. A staff from DE District Court gave me this number 202-502-2600, or a similar number ending in 2600. I called that number and the representative said she only handles news reporters even though its listed as the main number. She gave the number 202-502-3900.

Can you please let us know who to contact in the PA District Courts if the clerks and staff do not know. Every other court I looked up had the reports available on the web site. PA does not. This makes it difficult for the court to know too.

It is not what this administrative office publishes I am looking for. Rather it is the information it reviews and uses to create reports from the Eastern District Court of PA that I seek to retrieve. This office uses the district Courts information in making its statistical analysis.

I understand President Biden sponsored law passed in 1990 in his position as a congressman requiring judges and courts to adhere to certain reporting requirements. I saw additional laws require courts to file yearly reports. I am concerned that these Congressional pressures inhibit judge's capacity to impartially apply the Constitution to the rule of law fairly in cases that may require more time to consider than the 6 month penalty window. More cases in less time does not mean more effective courts. More cases done expeditiously may in fact mean more injustice. Sometimes courts may prevent additional law suits by granting time needed for judges to provide notice to the public to prevent violations of the law. Moreover more time may be required to fairly consider cases that require more time. For instance, if there is more than 20

years of evidence, like in my case, it may be unfair. There is allows due process arguments under the 5th Amendment claimants may invoke to expedite cases, or with regards to criminal proceedings the 6th Amendment right to a speedy trial.

In my case a judge used 4 case numbers to gain "credit" for 1 case. This conduct appears to defraud the public to receive congressional backing through pay instead of penalties by denial of raises or the denial of promotion to a higher court from a district Court to an appellate or a supreme Court position. Checks and balances to uphold the Constitution especially the people and public's check of the petition in accord with due process are valuable in upholding the law. US Amend I, V. However threats towards judges in terms of pay and position which tempt judges to be partial towards the partial whims of law makers or the lobbyists who support them tempt judges to violate the rights of claimants for a fair proceeding to safeguard life and liberty for mere business. Due process, FRCP and 6th Amendment should suffice to expedite cases open courts.

The rules relating to the reporting requirements caused a judge in my case to be tempted to place 1 case as 4 cases. This prejudices me by demeaning my character, and making it appear I was sued more than once in retaliation for exercise of my right to petition to alleviate a substantial burden former President Trump's course of conduct I argued established government religion in a religious freedom restoration act law suit, and for certain motions to preserve my right to petition, speech, association and religious belief without state interference to cause me to forgo my case or the removal of evidence in my favor by the DE Courts by secretly sealing and continuing to conceal motions and exhibits and firing two court staff to cover up violations committing by the courts exceeding their judicial authority in Kelly v Trump.

Do I want the court to be destroyed no.

I want to preserve my right to believe differently than others without government incited private and public threats including people threatening to shoot me and actually shooting two bullets in a friends home above his and his wives' heads but for the exercise of fundamental rights.

I have two appeals with the USSC. I argued Constitutional challenges against disciplinary rules against attorneys. I also argued against disciplinary rules for federal judges and specifically the USSC as violating my 1st and 5th Amendment rights to a fair opportunity to petition before an impartial court not partial towards adhering to regulations as opposed to the impartial application of the Constitution to the rule of law to preserve my fundamental rights.

I see the hypocritical attacks by Whitehouse where he threatened Alito and Thomas and their wives to affect the outcome on issues in my live cases without Article III standing violating my right to due process to affect the outcome of a threatened court.

I see Jim Jordan threatening a [NY not NJ] judge by subpoenaing his kid before congress with the sole purpose to affect the outcome of the case unfairly.

I saw congress called David Weiss to testify and heritage sued David Weiss under the FOIA with the sole purpose to affect the outcome of his case.

Congress's Article I powers to question and impeach should be restrained from impeding on my right to petition fairly US Amend I, V and other petitioners right to prevent threatened parties and threatened judges. If nothing is done every judge's family is up grabs to be used and exploited to make judges puppets to the lobbyists who control the misguided congressmen.

Thus, in my cases based on unique arguments I seek to limit congress within the purview of constitutional limits as not to impede upon my right to petition in accord with due process or exceed its Art I limits by acting as an Art III judge by biased self-serving threats not by impartial rule of law.

I would like to somehow call Whitehouse before the court for his extraneous violations of my right to due process as a witness, but it's unusual to do so at the USSC stage, and possibly unheard of. Perhaps I could do so at the DE District Court if it is remanded.

I have two live cases pending before the USSC. I am not allowed to work and cannot afford to research with the normal tools since DE placed my license on inactive disabled based on my religious beliefs in Jesus Christ.

People have threatened me, talked about shooting me and placed two bullets into a friend's home.

Judge Diamond would not fix errors in filing despite spending hours with Gail Olson. medical files from someone else's case were carelessly placed in mine. Motions were placed as exhibits. It was a mess.

I had outstanding motions and I asserted my right to call witnesses since I was denied that asserted right in DE by both the Supreme Court and Board to cover up the fact the Supreme Court fired two staff to conceal their testimony.

I am going to be taken out if the courts do not protect me by the DE courts. I am not safe. My faith in Jesus Christ should not be considered such a danger, and my asserted the right to defend the same by the establishment of government religion.

Judge Diamond ignored my pleading requesting answers on outstanding motions. I filed motions for him to draft orders, without answer. He appeared to fraudulently state my 2018 retirement in PA caused me to be retired before his court, which is incorrect. There were 2000 pages of misfiled documents. We are talking 20 years of DE state violations of my petitions and asserted religious beliefs culminating in Kelly v Trump.

I can't sleep since I discovered the DE Supreme Court continues to conceal evidence in my favor to deprive me of a fair proceeding in ongoing cases. I am prejudiced.

I am in danger. I intend to draft a complaint not to destroy Judge Diamond but to reduce the substantial burden congressional rules place upon the court tempting him and other judges to commit human sacrifice of life and certain liberties for their own government gain.

It would be preferable to contest the rules in an Art III court since it is doubtful a secret administrative proceeding could declare certain requirements unconstitutional as a deprivation of a fair proceeding by a threatened court.

When I ran for office in 2018 because non-lawyers practiced law without a license, I discovered evidence of a plan to eliminate the courts by transitions to eliminate the rule of law and governments to allow for control by those who control the channels of commerce and the goods needed for life unrestrained from human sacrifice or slavery.

See attached. My little cousins are copied. Our grandpop's brother was one of the head of the FBI before he died. Your pensions will be wiped out. An overthrow will occur if petitions by both public and private peoples are not protected. The USSC eliminated the US AGs power recently and that is not okay. The Court harms itself.

In Dec 2022 the Bank of International Settlements indicated 80 trillion dollars predominantly of gov pensions will not be paid. They were written off as tax breaks in tax swaps.

In my DE complaint I mentioned how to catch the boomers to prevent their fall and fully fund retirements even if it is a temporary fix.

These schemes were planned and I knew about them. How can I stand by and allow people to be harmed.

My opponents' counsel in the civil rights case Ryan is a federalist Chair. I disagree with Alexander Hamilton, Plato, John Jay, the enlightenment thinkers and others. In fact, I believe they will not go to heaven for reflecting lawlessness called sin. I can try to break down how they taught beliefs in theories based on false assumptions as true which I dispute but people get offended. Ryan is my opponent and it stinks he appears to have studied them in college and the USSC defers to misguided men's theories. I am not copying him since I do not trust him to support my cause herein. Nevertheless, he would assert the apparent beliefs of some of the US Supreme court's members we may be able to analyze in a case and controversy to preserve the rule of law that founded, sustains and maintains these United States from dissolution to preserve the Democratic Republic formed with the passage of the Bill of rights improving upon the unjust republic likened to Plato's model.

I hope you have a great day.

Thank you,
Meg

65. I wanted to research whether the district Court has made a pattern of giving 4 case numbers for 1 case, not to destroy the court, but to show that it is a problem harming accused and the public based on misrepresentations, but I do not have the resources, money or time. So, I right in haste to assert rights without willing waiver.

66. I think the Constitutionality of the statutes should be considered as violated claimants due process rights in an Article III forum. US Amend I, V. However, it appears through no fault of my own I did not create a record for appeal. I honestly was so freaked out by Judge Diamonds entrapment as noted in the appeal attached hereto, I did not trust Gail's assertion that the 4 Case numbers were one case and was concerned the court may be trying to sue me multiple times for other courts reciprocating proceedings.

67. This retaliation and exploitation of claimants for "credit" creates forums partial towards business at the cost of sacrificing claimants' rights and liberties.

68. It may be that Gail sought to hinder my access to the courts with intent, but Judge Diamond signs off of forums. So, there is collusion that must be addressed to prevent future deprivations in my case and other accused's proceedings.

70. Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 351 Judge Diamond has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective administration of the business of the courts of upholding the Constitution as preempting rule of law in my specific matter, or Judge Diamond is unable to discharge all the duties of office by reason of a disability caused by Congressional commandeering of the Court blinding Judge Diamond's eyes from seeing clearly by his focus on expeditiously business causes the loss of my Constitutional rights to life and liberty and property unfairly in violation of Due Process.

71. I am placed in an uncomfortable place where the Court is a party too. The disciplinary proceedings should be eliminated and the only way a petitioner may correct a court should be in 1. A case or controversy under Article III, and 2. By impeachment so long as Congress does not abuse this power or its investigatory power to violate US Amend I, V, Article 1 and III separation by impeding parties or judges in actual cases.

72. Personal jurisdiction flows not from Art. III, but from the DP Clause. US Amend XIV. The personal jurisdiction requirement recognizes and protects an individual liberty interest. It represents a restriction on judicial power not as a matter of sovereignty, but as a matter of individual liberty. Thus, the test for personal jurisdiction requires that "the maintenance of the suit . . . not offend 'traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.'" *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310, 316 (1945).

73. This proceeding is rather unfair to Judge Diamond too. This Court may choose to review my different legal theories opposing eliminating life time tenure and judicial disciplinary proceedings to prevent the schemed overthrow of these United States I allude to in the attached petition.

74. I believe the court is in trouble. When I petition it is to improve the world and protect my rights and the rights of others. I think Judge Diamond will get into trouble with God if he is not reprovved. I do not want him to lose eternal life per Isaiah 10:1-4, nor do I want to lose my freedom to worship Jesus Christ without government persecution or for others to be deprived of their right to a fair proceeding and asserted rights which should not be capriciously denied but for the subjective pleasure of the court.

75. I do not want the Court to destroy him, just guide misguided judges to help them be made clean please.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

September 16, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Under religious protests to oaths and affirmations, I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct

Dated: September 16, 2024

_____ (printed)

_____ (signed)

70. Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 351 Judge Diamond has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective administration of the business of the courts of upholding the Constitution as preempting rule of law in my specific matter, or Judge Diamond is unable to discharge all the duties of office by reason of a disability caused by Congressional commandeering of the Court blinding Judge Diamond's eyes from seeing clearly by his focus on expeditiously business causes the loss of my Constitutional rights to life and liberty and property unfairly in violation of Due Process.

71. I am placed in an uncomfortable place where the Court is a party too. The disciplinary proceedings should be eliminated and the only way a petitioner may correct a court should be in 1. A case or controversy under Article III, and 2. By impeachment so long as Congress does not abuse this power or its investigatory power to violate US Amend I, V, Article I and III separation by impeding parties or judges in actual cases.

72. Personal jurisdiction flows not from Art. III, but from the DP Clause. US Amend XIV. The personal jurisdiction requirement recognizes and protects an individual liberty interest. It represents a restriction on judicial power not as a matter of sovereignty, but as a matter of individual liberty. Thus, the test for personal jurisdiction requires that "the maintenance of the suit . . . not offend 'traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.'" *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310, 316 (1945).

73. This proceeding is rather unfair to Judge Diamond too. This Court may choose to review my different legal theories opposing eliminating life time tenure and judicial disciplinary proceedings to prevent the schemed overthrow of these United States I allude to in the attached petition.

74. I believe the court is in trouble. When I petition it is to improve the world and protect my rights and the rights of others. I think Judge Diamond will get into trouble with God if he is not reprovved. I do not want him to lose eternal life per Isaiah 10:1-4, nor do I want to lose my freedom to worship Jesus Christ without government persecution or for others to be deprived of their right to a fair proceeding and asserted rights which should not be capriciously denied but for the subjective pleasure of the court.

75. I do not want the Court to destroy him, just guide misguided judges to help them be made clean please.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

September 16, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,



/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Under religious protests to oaths and affirmations, I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct

Dated: September 16, 2024

Meghan Kelly (printed)

Meghan Kelly (signed)

Table of Exhibits

Exhibit 1 Proof 4 dockets as 1, Proof DE sealed petitions to conceal Due process violations, Pages from Kelly v Trump showing threat and fear of government incited violence by Trump's establishment of government religion

Exhibit 2 Order assigning Judge Paul Diamond the case dared 9/27/2022, at DI 5

Exhibit 3 Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access , and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed. Dated October 18, 2022, at DI 12

Exhibit 4 Letter dated October 18, 2022 regarding misfiled docket items

Exhibit 5 Someone else's medical files and documents on my case at 12-46, 12-45, 12-47

Exhibit 6 Meg retired PA May 16 2018

Email 7 Email Nathaniel at Judge Diamond said I could email Gail Olson to serve documents

Exhibit 8 Agenda to eliminate the rule of law

Exhibit 9 Evidence Trump incited violence towards perceived dissidents

Exhibit 10 Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Opening Brief moving the US Supreme Court to vacate the Third Circuit's Orders dismissing the case under Younger, denying recusals of Judge Scirica and Phipps and to remand the matter to the Delaware District Court for consideration, dated 3/8/2024

Exhibit 11 The In forma Pauperis for the Petition of Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Case Number 22-3372, the appeal for this case 22-45 Eastern District Court of PA, dated April 19, 2024

Exhibit 12 The Petition of Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Case Number 22-3372, the appeal for this case 22-45 Eastern District Court of PA, dated April 14, 2024

Exhibit 13 Email packet starting September 3, 2024

Exhibit 14 Email packet starting September 5, 2024

4 cases
1 case

United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:22-mc-00045-PD

KELLY
Assigned to: DISTRICT JUDGE PAUL S. DIAMOND
related Cases: 2:22-cv-50003-JMG

2:22-cv-04280-PD

2:22-cv-50002-GJP

Case in other court: USCA FOR THIRD CIRCUIT, 22-03372
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit,
23-02485

Cause: Attorney Discipline

IN RE:

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

represented by **MEGHAN MARIE KELLY**
34012 SHAWNEE DRIVE
DAGSBORO, DE 19939
Email: megkankellyesq@yahoo.com
PRO SE

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
10/17/2023	<u>36</u>	ORDER of USCA as to <u>34</u> Notice of Appeal filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Order that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. It is further ordered that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate. (fdc) (Entered: 10/17/2023)
08/24/2023	<u>35</u>	NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA re <u>34</u> Notice of Appeal filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. USCA Case Number 23-2485 (fdc) (Entered: 08/24/2023)
08/14/2023	<u>34</u>	Amended Notice of Appeal to include Notice of Appeal as to <u>33</u> Order on Motion for Order by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. No fee paid, no IFP filed. Copies to Judge, Clerk USCA, Appeals Clerk. (fdc) Modified on 8/15/2023 (lisad,). (Entered: 08/15/2023)
08/07/2023	<u>33</u>	ORDER THAT MEGHAN KELLY'S <u>31</u> MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO USE ELECTRONIC FILING IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS MOOT. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 8/7/23.8/7/23 ENTERED & E-MAILED.(fdc) (Entered: 08/07/2023)
06/30/2023	<u>32</u>	ORDER of USCA as to <u>25</u> Notice of Appeal, ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. Appellants brief and appendix was initially due to be filed on May 30, 2023. The deadline was extended until June 13, 2023. Appellants motions for further extensions of time were denied by Court order entered this date June 30, 2023. (bw) (Entered: 07/03/2023)

06/21/2023	<u>31</u>	MOTION for permission to use electronic filing, and waiver of paper copies, etc. filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Part 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Part 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Part 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Part 4, # <u>5</u> Envelope)(go) (Entered: 06/22/2023)
03/06/2023	<u>30</u>	Copy of Order from the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated February 28, 2023, upon consideration of the responses to a Notice and Order, Meghan Marie Kelly is transferred to disability inactive status in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Respondent shall comply with all of the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217. Respondent's Application to Dismiss and two subsequent Applications to amend that filing are denied. Respondent's November 15, 2022 Application for Reconsideration of this Court's Order dated November 8, 2022, and Motion to Compel the Acceptance of a Specific Motion dated December 23, 2022, are denied. Respondent's Motion to Supplement the Record dated November 19, 2022, Motion to Supplement Application for Reconsideration dated December 10, 2022, and Motion for Exemption to Serve Paper Copies are granted. (go) Modified on 3/23/2023 (go). (Entered: 03/23/2023)
02/08/2023	<u>29</u>	ORDER AND NOW, THIS 8TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2023, UPON MOTION AND STATEMENTS IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT MEGHAN KELLYS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS (DOC. NO. 26), IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT RESPONDENT IS UNABLE TO PAY THE FILING FEES AND COSTS, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT RESPONDENT IS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 2/8/23. 2/8/23 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 02/08/2023)
12/29/2022	<u>28</u>	TPO Form re <u>25</u> Notice of Appeal, : (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email)(go) (Entered: 12/29/2022)
12/23/2022	<u>27</u>	NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA re <u>25</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. USCA Case Number 22-3372 (rf,) (Entered: 12/27/2022)
12/15/2022	<u>26</u>	MOTION for Leave to Appeal in forma pauperis filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY.. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proof of EBT eligibility, # <u>2</u> Exhibit, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>5</u> Email)(go) (Entered: 12/15/2022)
12/14/2022	<u>25</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to <u>23</u> Order by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. IFP Filed. Copies to Judge, Clerk USCA, and Appeals Clerk. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certificate of Service, # <u>2</u> Letter, # <u>3</u> email 1, # <u>4</u> Exhibit A B & C, # <u>5</u> email 2)(go) Modified on 12/15/2022 (tjd). (Entered: 12/14/2022)
11/19/2022	<u>24</u>	Letter dated 11/19/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, letter confirming I am disbarred due to retirement, not misconduct. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email) (go) (Entered: 11/21/2022)
11/18/2022	<u>23</u>	ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2022, THAT RESPONDENT IS DISBARRED BECAUSE OF RETIREMENT. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO PLACE HER LICENSE ON RETIRED STATUS (DOC. NO. 22) AND HER MOTIONS RESPECTING RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS (DOC. NOS. 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20) ARE DISMISSED AS MOOT, AND THE JANUARY 9, 2023 DISCIPLINARY HEARING SCHEDULED IN THIS MATTER IS CANCELLED. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 11/18/2022. 11/18/2022 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 11/18/2022)
11/17/2022	<u>22</u>	MOTION for Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion to place her license on retired status with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Certification Motion, # <u>3</u> Proposed Order, # <u>4</u> Email) (go) (Entered: 11/17/2022)
11/17/2022	<u>21</u>	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT SHALL SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN WHICH SHE ADDRESSES HOW SHE CAN REMAIN A MEMBER OF THE BAR OF

		THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA WHEN SHE IS CURRENTLY RETIRED FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA BAR. RESPONDENT SHALL SUBMIT THE MEMORANDUM NO LATER THAN NOON, DECEMBER 1, 2022. THE COURT WILL ACT IN THE ABSENCE OF A MEMORANDUM IF RESPONDENT FAILS TO SUBMIT ONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS ORDER. THE PROCEEDINGS RESPECTING THE IMPOSITION OF RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE ARE STAYED PENDING FURTHER ORDER OF COURT. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 11/17/22. 11/17/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 11/17/2022)
11/05/2022	<u>20</u>	Motion for an Order on her Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certification, # <u>2</u> Proposed Order, # <u>3</u> email)(go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)
11/05/2022	<u>19</u>	Motion for an Order on her Motion for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees, filing fees and other court costs by the Clerk and this Court in order not to compel her to violate her religious beliefs against debt in exchange for access to the courts in defense of her First amendment rights, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Certification, # <u>3</u> Proposed Order, # <u>4</u> email) (go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)
11/04/2022	<u>16</u>	Letter dated 11/4/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (go) (Entered: 11/04/2022)
11/03/2022	<u>18</u>	MOTION for an Order on her Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Certification, # <u>2</u> Proposed Order, # <u>3</u> email) (go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)
11/03/2022	<u>17</u>	MOTION for an Order on her Motion for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees and other court costs by the Clerk and this Court in order not to compel her to violate her religious beliefs against debt in exchange for access to the courts in defense of her First amendment rights, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY..(Attachments: # <u>1</u> Motion for Appeals, # <u>2</u> Certification, # <u>3</u> Proposed Order) (go) (Additional attachment(s) added on 11/7/2022: # <u>4</u> Email) (tjd). (Entered: 11/07/2022)
11/03/2022	<u>15</u>	Letter dated 11/3/2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Email 1, # <u>2</u> Motion, # <u>3</u> Table of Contents, # <u>4</u> Email 2, # <u>5</u> Exhibit A, # <u>6</u> Exhibit B, # <u>7</u> Exhibit part 1, # <u>8</u> Exhibit B-2, # <u>9</u> Part 2 of 2, # <u>10</u> Exhibit 6, # <u>11</u> Exhibit D, # <u>12</u> Exhibit E, # <u>13</u> Exhibit F, # <u>14</u> Email 3, # <u>15</u> DI 98-1, # <u>16</u> DI 98-2, # <u>17</u> Exhibit G, # <u>18</u> Exhibit H, # <u>19</u> Exhibit I, # <u>20</u> Exhibit J, # <u>21</u> Email 4, # <u>22</u> Exhibit K, # <u>23</u> Exhibit L, # <u>24</u> Exhibit M, # <u>25</u> Exhibit N, # <u>26</u> Exhibit O, # <u>27</u> Email 5, # <u>28</u> Letter, # <u>29</u> Table of Contents, # <u>30</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>31</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>32</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>33</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>34</u> Exhibit 5, # <u>35</u> Exhibit 6, # <u>36</u> Exhibit 7, # <u>37</u> Exhibit 9, # <u>38</u> Exhibit 8, # <u>39</u> Email 6, # <u>40</u> Exhibit 10, # <u>41</u> Exhibit 11, # <u>42</u> Exhibit A 4, # <u>43</u> Exhibit A 5, # <u>44</u> Email 7, # <u>45</u> Exhibit P, # <u>46</u> Exhibit Q, # <u>47</u> Exhibit R, # <u>48</u> Exhibit S, # <u>49</u> Exhibit T, # <u>50</u> Exhibit U, # <u>51</u> Email 8, # <u>52</u> Motion, # <u>53</u> Exhibit Dec 8, # <u>54</u> Certification Motion, # <u>55</u> Proposed Order, # <u>56</u> Email 9, # <u>57</u> Motion for an order, # <u>58</u> Certification Motion, # <u>59</u> Propose Order, # <u>60</u> Email 10)(go) (Entered: 11/04/2022)
11/03/2022	<u>14</u>	NOTICE of Hearing: MISCELLANEOUS HEARING SET FOR 1/9/2023, 11:00 AM, THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE VIRTUALLY, BEFORE HONORABLE PAUL S.

		DIAMOND. YOU MAY HAVE COUNSEL REPRESENT YOU AT THE HEARING. (go) (Entered: 11/03/2022)
10/18/2022	<u>13</u>	Email Letter dated 10/18/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Filing Prejudice. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Exhibit, # <u>3</u> Exhibit, # <u>4</u> Exhibit, # <u>5</u> Exhibit)(fdc) (Entered: 10/18/2022)
10/18/2022	<u>12</u>	Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(g), and in addition to, or, in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order denying request for ECF access, and corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, Declaration. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> declmrearg, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>3</u> Email#1, # <u>4</u> ex.16, # <u>5</u> ex.17, # <u>6</u> exa, # <u>7</u> exaa, # <u>8</u> exbb, # <u>9</u> exdd, # <u>10</u> exff, # <u>11</u> exgg, # <u>12</u> exk, # <u>13</u> exk16, # <u>14</u> exk internal, # <u>15</u> exk table, # <u>16</u> exn1, # <u>17</u> exn3, # <u>18</u> ex l-n, # <u>19</u> ex n2, # <u>20</u> great narr., # <u>21</u> email2, # <u>22</u> email3, # <u>23</u> email4, # <u>24</u> email5, # <u>25</u> email6, # <u>26</u> email7, # <u>27</u> email8, # <u>28</u> email9, # <u>29</u> email10, # <u>30</u> exa order, # <u>31</u> exa, # <u>32</u> exb email, # <u>33</u> exb thru j, # <u>34</u> ex.c, # <u>35</u> ex.cc, # <u>36</u> ex.d, # <u>37</u> ex.e table, # <u>38</u> ex.f table, # <u>39</u> ex.g meg, # <u>40</u> exh, # <u>41</u> exk18, # <u>42</u> exk33, # <u>43</u> exk11-15, # <u>44</u> 31422 letter, # <u>45</u> email motion to extend (Uploaded in Error), # <u>46</u> motion to extend (Uploaded in Error), # <u>47</u> uc)(fdc) **(PDFs ATTACHMENTS #45 AND #46 UPLOADED IN ERROR)** Modified on 10/21/2022 (nd). (Additional attachment(s) added on 10/21/2022: # <u>45</u> Attachment 47 Uploaded in Error) (nd). Modified on 11/7/2022 (nd). (Entered: 10/18/2022)
10/17/2022	<u>11</u>	RESPONDENT MEGHAN KELLY'S MOTION FOR GOOD CAUSE, TO WAIVE RECORD, TRANSCRIPT FEES, FILING FEES AND OTHER COURT COSTS BY THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>3</u> Email#1, # <u>4</u> Appen2, # <u>5</u> Appen4, # <u>6</u> m to unseal, # <u>7</u> declmseal, # <u>8</u> Ex.A, # <u>9</u> part5, # <u>10</u> Exhibit, # <u>11</u> App1, # <u>12</u> App3, # <u>13</u> App6, # <u>14</u> App7, # <u>15</u> certification, # <u>16</u> Dec11 letter, # <u>17</u> decl, # <u>18</u> declm, # <u>19</u> email2, # <u>20</u> email3, # <u>21</u> email4, # <u>22</u> email5, # <u>23</u> email6, # <u>24</u> email7, # <u>25</u> email8, # <u>26</u> email9, # <u>27</u> email10, # <u>28</u> email11, # <u>29</u> email12, # <u>30</u> email13, # <u>31</u> email14, # <u>32</u> aug13, # <u>33</u> aug16, # <u>34</u> thecourtappeared, # <u>35</u> deemed disbelief, # <u>36</u> exf, # <u>37</u> ex a-d, # <u>38</u> mlvforwards, # <u>39</u> regswearing, # <u>40</u> declare, # <u>41</u> pet1, # <u>42</u> pet2, # <u>43</u> word limit)(fdc) (Entered: 10/17/2022)
10/12/2022	<u>10</u>	Letter dated October 12, 2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Letter, # <u>2</u> Petition 7, # <u>3</u> Email, # <u>4</u> Appellant's motion, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>6</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>7</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>8</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>9</u> Declaration, # <u>10</u> May 28 order, # <u>11</u> email 2, # <u>12</u> email 3, # <u>13</u> Appellant motion, # <u>14</u> signed declaration, # <u>15</u> Exhibit F, # <u>16</u> Exhibit DELAP, # <u>17</u> DE Proposed Order, # <u>18</u> email 4, # <u>19</u> Opinion, # <u>20</u> email 5, # <u>21</u> email 6, # <u>22</u> 582022 IMO, # <u>23</u> email 7, # <u>24</u> Final Motion, # <u>25</u> Declaration, # <u>26</u> Exhibit A email, # <u>27</u> Exhibit B email, # <u>28</u> Exhibit C email PA ODC, # <u>29</u> Exhibit D email, # <u>30</u> Exhibit E letter, # <u>31</u> Exhibit F email, # <u>32</u> Exhibit G email, # <u>33</u> email 8, # <u>34</u> 87-3 Exhibit B, # <u>35</u> 87-4 Exhibit C, # <u>36</u> 87-5 Exhibit D, # <u>37</u> 87-6 Exhibit E, # <u>38</u> email 9, # <u>39</u> 87-7 Exhibit F, # <u>40</u> email 10, # <u>41</u> 87-7 Exhibit F, # <u>42</u> email 11, # <u>43</u> 87-8 Exhibit G, # <u>44</u> 87-9 Motion, # <u>45</u> 87-9 Part 2, # <u>46</u> 87-9 Part 3, # <u>47</u> email 12, # <u>48</u> 87-8 Exhibit G, # <u>49</u> 87-9 Motion, # <u>50</u> 87-9 Part 2, # <u>51</u> 87-9 Part 3, # <u>52</u> email 13, # <u>53</u> 87-10 Exhibit I, # <u>54</u> 88-1 Motion, # <u>55</u> Certificate, # <u>56</u> email 14, # <u>57</u> 87-9 Motion, # <u>58</u> email 15, # <u>59</u> email 16)(go) (Entered: 10/13/2022)
10/10/2022	<u>9</u>	Letter dated 10/10/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Email to the Court) (go) (Entered: 10/11/2022)
10/06/2022	<u>8</u>	Letter dated 10/6/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Request & question. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Exhibit, # <u>3</u> Email)(fdc) (Entered: 10/07/2022)

10/06/2022	<u>7</u>	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR ECF ACCESS <u>3</u> AND MOTIONS TO STAY PROCEEDINGS (DOC. NOS. <u>4</u> AND <u>6</u>) ARE DENIED. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 10/6/22.10/6/22 ENTERED & E-MAILED.(fdc) (Entered: 10/06/2022)
09/28/2022	<u>6</u>	CORRECTED MOTION to Stay filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration, # <u>2</u> Letter, # <u>3</u> E-mail wording) (go) (Entered: 09/28/2022)
09/27/2022	<u>5</u>	ORDER THAT THIS MATTER IS REFERRED TO A COMMITTEE OF THIS COURT, TO BE CHAIRED BY JUDGE PAUL S. DIAMOND, IN ORDER FOR THE SAID COMMITTEE TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE COURT ON THIS MATTER. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 9/27/22. 9/27/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 09/27/2022)
09/23/2022	<u>4</u>	MOTION to Stay filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(go) (Entered: 09/23/2022)
08/27/2022	<u>3</u>	Letter dated August 27, 2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, reporting 3 reciprocal orders, and asking for ECF. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> copies of reciprocal orders) (go) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
08/25/2022	<u>2</u>	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT FILE WITH THIS COURT, WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF SERVICE OF THIS ORDER, AN ANSWER INFORMING THIS COURT OF ANY CLAIM BY THE RESPONDENT, PREDICATED UPON THE GROUNDS SET FORTH IN LOCAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 83.6 II D, THAT THE IMPOSITION OF IDENTICAL ACTION BY THIS COURT WOULD BE UNWARRANTED, AND THE REASONS THEREFORE. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 8/25/22. 8/25/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 08/25/2022)
08/23/2022	<u>1</u>	Certified Copy of Order from the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware, dated August 10, 2022, Order that a. Meghan Kelly is immediately transferred to disability inactive status under DLRDP 19 until such time that she demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that any disabilities have been removed. b. As set forth in DLRDP 19(e), this Court may take or direct any action upon the filing of a petition for transfer to active status that it deems necessary or proper, including a remand to the Board for a hearing and recommendation to determine whether the disability has been removed, and may order an examination by qualified medical experts. c. Kelly shall not practice law in this State. d. This Order shall be made public. Letter from Office of Disciplinary Counsel attached. (go) (Entered: 08/23/2022)

[Query](#) [Reports](#) [Utilities](#) [Help](#) [Log Out](#)

CLOSED,ATTY-DISCIPLINE

**United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:22-cv-04280-PD**

KELLY
Assigned to: HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND
related Case: [2:22-mc-00045-PD](#)
Cause: Attorney Discipline

Date Filed: 10/26/2022
Date Terminated: 10/26/2022
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutes: Other
Statutory Actions
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

IN RE:

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

represented by **MEGHAN MARIE KELLY**
34012 SHAWNEE DRIVE
DAGSBORO, DE 19939
PRO SE

Proceedings for case 2:22-cv-04280-PD are not available

[Query](#) [Reports](#) [Utilities](#) [Help](#) [Log Out](#)

CLOSED,ATTY-DISCIPLINE

**United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:22-cv-50003-JMG**

KELLY

Assigned to: HONORABLE JOHN M. GALLAGHER

related Case: [2:22-mc-00045-PD](#)

Cause: Disbarment Proceedings

Date Filed: 10/26/2022

Date Terminated: 10/26/2022

Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutes: Other

Statutory Actions

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

IN RE:

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

represented by **MEGHAN MARIE KELLY**

34012 SHAWNEE DRIVE

DAGSBORO, DE 19939

PRO SE

Proceedings for case 2:22-cv-50003-JMG are not available

[Query](#) [Reports](#) [Utilities](#) [Help](#) [Log Out](#)

CLOSED,ATTY-DISCIPLINE

**United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:22-cv-50002-GJP**

KELLY

Assigned to: HONORABLE GERALD J. PAPPERT
related Case: [2:22-mc-00045-PD](#)
Cause: Attorney Discipline

Date Filed: 10/26/2022
Date Terminated: 10/26/2022
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 890 Other Statutes: Other
Statutory Actions
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

IN RE:

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

represented by **MEGHAN MARIE KELLY**
34012 SHAWNEE DRIVE
DAGSBORO, DE 19939
PRO SE

Proceedings for case 2:22-cv-50002-GJP are not available



2:22mc45, Kelly

US District Court Docket
United States District Court, Pennsylvania Eastern
(Philadelphia)

This case was retrieved on **08/27/2024**

Header

Case Number: 2:22mc45
Date Filed: 08/23/2022
Assigned To: District Judge Paul S. Diamond
Nature of Suit: Miscellaneous (111)
Cause: Attorney Discipline
Lead Docket: None
Other Docket: [2:22cv04280](#), [2:22cv50002](#), [2:22cv50003](#), U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, 23-02485, USCA FOR THIRD CIRCUIT, 22-03372
Jurisdiction: Local Question

Class Code: Closed
Closed: 08/23/2022
Statute:
Jury Demand: None
Demand Amount: \$0
NOS Description: Miscellaneous

Participants

Litigants

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY
IN RE:

Attorneys

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY
PRO SE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
USA
Email: Meghankellyesq@yahoo.Com

Proceedings

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
1	08/23/2022	Certified Copy of Order from the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware, dated August 10, 2022. Order that a. Meghan Kelly is immediately transferred to disability inactive status under DLRDP 19 until such time that she demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence that any disabilities have been removed. b. As set forth in DLRDP 19(e), this Court may take or direct any action upon the filing of a petition for transfer to active status that it deems necessary or proper, including a remand to the Board for a hearing and recommendation to determine whether the disability has been removed, and may order an examination by qualified medical experts. c. Kelly	

2:22mc45, Kelly

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
		shall not practice law in this State. d. This Order shall be made public. Letter from Office of Disciplinary Counsel attached. (go) (Entered: 08/23/2022)	
2	08/25/2022	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT FILE WITH THIS COURT, WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. AN ANSWER INFORMING THIS COURT OF ANY CLAIM BY THE RESPONDENT, PREDICATED UPON THE GROUNDS SET FORTH IN LOCAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 83.6 II D, THAT THE IMPOSITION OF IDENTICAL ACTION BY THIS COURT WOULD BE UNWARRANTED. AND THE REASONS THEREFORE. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 8/25/22. 8/25/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 08/25/2022)	
3	08/27/2022	Letter dated August 27, 2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, reporting 3 reciprocal orders, and asking for ECF. (Attachments: # 1 copies of reciprocal orders) (go) (Entered: 08/31/2022)	
4	09/23/2022	MOTION to Stay filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration, # 2 Text of Proposed Order)(go) (Entered: 09/23/2022)	
5	09/27/2022	ORDER THAT THIS MATTER IS REFERRED TO A COMMITTEE OF THIS COURT, TO BE CHAIRED BY JUDGE PAUL S. DIAMOND, IN ORDER FOR THE SAID COMMITTEE TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE COURT ON THIS MATTER. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 9/27/22. 9/27/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 09/27/2022)	
6	09/28/2022	CORRECTED MOTION to Stay filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Declaration, # 2 Letter, # 3 E-mail wording) (go) (Entered: 09/28/2022)	
7	10/06/2022	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR ECF ACCESS 3 AND MOTIONS TO STAY PROCEEDINGS (DOC. NOS. 4 AND 6) ARE DENIED. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 10/6/22.10/6/22 ENTERED & E-MAILED.(fdc) (Entered: 10/06/2022)	
8	10/06/2022	Letter dated 10/6/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Request & question. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit, # 2 Exhibit, # 3 Email)(fdc) (Entered: 10/07/2022)	
9	10/10/2022	Letter dated 10/10/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E, # 6 Exhibit F, # 7 Email to the Court) (go) (Entered: 10/11/2022)	
10	10/12/2022	Letter dated October 12, 2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # 1 Letter, # 2 Petition 7, # 3 Email, # 4 Appellant's motion, # 5 Exhibit 1, # 6 Exhibit 2, # 7 Exhibit 3, # 8 Exhibit 4, # 9 Declaration, # 10 May 28 order, # 11 email 2, # 12 email 3, # 13 Appellant motion, # 14 signed declaration, # 15 Exhibit F, # 16 Exhibit DELAP, # 17 DE Proposed Order, # 18 email 4, # 19 Opinion, # 20 email 5, # 21 email 6, # 22 582022 IMO, # 23 email 7, # 24 Final Motion, # 25 Declaration, # 26 Exhibit A email, # 27 Exhibit B email, # 28 Exhibit C email PA ODC, # 29 Exhibit D email, # 30 Exhibit E letter, # 31 Exhibit F email, # 32 Exhibit G email, # 33 email 8, # 34 87-3 Exhibit B, # 35 87-4 Exhibit C, # 36 87-5 Exhibit D, # 37 87-6 Exhibit E, # 38 email 9, # 39 87-7 Exhibit F, # 40 email 10, # 41 87-7 Exhibit F, # 42 email 11, # 43 87-8 Exhibit G, # 44 87-9 Motion, # 45 87-9 Part 2, # 46 87-9 Part 3, # 47 email 12, # 48 87-8 Exhibit G, # 49 87-9 Motion, # 50 87-9 Part 2, # 51 87-9 Part 3, # 52 email 13, # 53 87-10 Exhibit I, # 54 88-1 Motion, # 55 Certificate, # 56 email 14, # 57 87-9 Motion, # 58 email 15, # 59 email 16)(go) (Entered: 10/13/2022)	
11	10/17/2022	RESPONDENT MEGHAN KELLY'S MOTION FOR GOOD CAUSE, TO WAIVE RECORD, TRANSCRIPT FEES, FILING FEES AND OTHER COURT COSTS BY THE CLERK OF THIS COURT. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit, # 2 Text of Proposed Order, # 3 Email#1, # 4	

2:22mc45, Kelly

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
		Appen2, # 5 Appen4, # 6 m to unseal, # 7 declmseal, # 8 Ex.A, # 9 part5, # 10 Exhibit, # 11 App1, # 12 App3, # 13 App6, # 14 App7, # 15 certification, # 16 Dec11 letter, # 17 decl, # 18 declm, # 19 email2, # 20 email3, # 21 email4, # 22 email5, # 23 email6, # 24 email7, # 25 email8, # 26 email9, # 27 email10, # 28 email11, # 29 email12, # 30 email13, # 31 email14, # 32 aug13, # 33 aug16, # 34 thecourtappeared, # 35 deemed disbelief, # 36 exf, # 37 ex a-d, # 38 mlvforwards, # 39 regswearing, # 40 declare, # 41 pet1, # 42 pet2, # 43 word limit)(fdc) (Entered: 10/17/2022)	
12	10/18/2022	Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(g), and in addition to, or, in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order denying request for ECF access, and corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed. Declaration. (Attachments: # 1 declmrearg, # 2 Text of Proposed Order, # 3 Email#1, # 4 ex.16, # 5 ex.17, # 6 exa, # 7 exaa, # 8 exbb, # 9 exdd, # 10 exff, # 11 exgg, # 12 exk, # 13 exk16, # 14 exk internal, # 15 exk table, # 16 exn1, # 17 exn3, # 18 ex 1-n, # 19 ex n2, # 20 great narr., # 21 email2, # 22 email3, # 23 email4, # 24 email5, # 25 email6, # 26 email7, # 27 email8, # 28 email9, # 29 email10, # 30 exa order, # 31 exa, # 32 exb email, # 33 exb thru j, # 34 ex.c, # 35 ex.cc, # 36 ex.d, # 37 ex.e table, # 38 ex.f table, # 39 ex.g meg, # 40 exh, # 41 exk18, # 42 exk33, # 43 exk11-15, # 44 31422 letter, # 45 email motion to extend (Uploaded in Error), # 46 motion to extend (Uploaded in Error), # 47 uc)(fdc) **(PDFs ATTACHMENTS #45 AND #46 UPLOADED IN ERROR)** Modified on 10/21/2022 (nd). (Additional attachment(s) added on 10/21/2022: # 45 Attachment 47 Uploaded in Error) (nd), Modified on 11/7/2022 (nd). (Entered: 10/18/2022)	
13	10/18/2022	Email Letter dated 10/18/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Filing Prejudice. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit, # 2 Exhibit, # 3 Exhibit, # 4 Exhibit, # 5 Exhibit)(fdc) (Entered: 10/18/2022)	
14	11/03/2022	NOTICE of Hearing: MISCELLANEOUS HEARING SET FOR 1/9/2023, 11:00 AM. THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE VIRTUALLY, BEFORE HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND. YOU MAY HAVE COUNSEL REPRESENT YOU AT THE HEARING. (go) (Entered: 11/03/2022)	
15	11/03/2022	Letter dated 11/3/2022 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (Attachments: # 1 Email 1, # 2 Motion, # 3 Table of Contents, # 4 Email 2, # 5 Exhibit A, # 6 Exhibit B, # 7 Exhibit part 1, # 8 Exhibit B-2, # 9 Part 2 of 2, # 10 Exhibit 6, # 11 Exhibit D, # 12 Exhibit E, # 13 Exhibit F, # 14 Email 3, # 15 DI 98-1, # 16 DI 98-2, # 17 Exhibit G, # 18 Exhibit H, # 19 Exhibit I, # 20 Exhibit J, # 21 Email 4, # 22 Exhibit K, # 23 Exhibit L, # 24 Exhibit M, # 25 Exhibit N, # 26 Exhibit O, # 27 Email 5, # 28 Letter, # 29 Table of Contents, # 30 Exhibit 1, # 31 Exhibit 2, # 32 Exhibit 3, # 33 Exhibit 4, # 34 Exhibit 5, # 35 Exhibit 6, # 36 Exhibit 7, # 37 Exhibit 9, # 38 Exhibit 8, # 39 Email 6, # 40 Exhibit 10, # 41 Exhibit 11, # 42 Exhibit A 4, # 43 Exhibit A 5, # 44 Email 7, # 45 Exhibit P, # 46 Exhibit Q, # 47 Exhibit R, # 48 Exhibit S, # 49 Exhibit T, # 50 Exhibit U, # 51 Email 8, # 52 Motion, # 53 Exhibit Dec 8, # 54 Certification Motion, # 55 Proposed Order, # 56 Email 9, # 57 Motion for an order, # 58 Certification Motion, # 59 Propose Order, # 60 Email 10)(go) (Entered: 11/04/2022)	
17	11/03/2022	MOTION for an Order on her Motion for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees and other court costs by the Clerk and this Court in order not to compel her to violate her religious beliefs against debt in exchange for access to the courts in defense of her First amendment rights, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY..(Attachments: # 1 Motion for Appeals, # 2 Certification, # 3 Proposed Order) (go) (Additional attachment(s) added on 11/7/2022: # 4 Email) (tjd). (Entered: 11/07/2022)	

2:22mc45, Kelly

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
18	11/03/2022	MOTION for an Order on her Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Certification, # 2 Proposed Order, # 3 email) (go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)	
16	11/04/2022	Letter dated 11/4/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY (go) (Entered: 11/04/2022)	
19	11/05/2022	Motion for an Order on her Motion for good cause, to waive record, transcript fees, filing fees and other court costs by the Clerk and this Court in order not to compel her to violate her religious beliefs against debt in exchange for access to the courts in defense of her First amendment rights, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit, # 2 Certification, # 3 Proposed Order, # 4 email) (go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)	
20	11/05/2022	Motion for an Order on her Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Certification, # 2 Proposed Order, # 3 email)(go) (Entered: 11/07/2022)	
21	11/17/2022	ORDER THAT RESPONDENT SHALL SUBMIT A MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN WHICH SHE ADDRESSES HOW SHE CAN REMAIN A MEMBER OF THE BAR OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA WHEN SHE IS CURRENTLY RETIRED FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA BAR. RESPONDENT SHALL SUBMIT THE MEMORANDUM NO LATER THAN NOON, DECEMBER 1, 2022. THE COURT WILL ACT IN THE ABSENCE OF A MEMORANDUM IF RESPONDENT FAILS TO SUBMIT ONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS ORDER. THE PROCEEDINGS RESPECTING THE IMPOSITION OF RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE ARE STAYED PENDING FURTHER ORDER OF COURT. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 11/17/22. 11/17/22 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 11/17/2022)	
22	11/17/2022	MOTION for Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion to place her license on retired status with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Certification Motion, # 3 Proposed Order, # 4 Email) (go) (Entered: 11/17/2022)	
23	11/18/2022	ORDER DATED NOVEMBER 18, 2022, THAT RESPONDENT IS DISBARRED BECAUSE OF RETIREMENT. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO PLACE HER LICENSE ON RETIRED STATUS (DOC. NO. 22) AND HER MOTIONS RESPECTING RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE PROCEEDINGS (DOC. NOS. 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20) ARE DISMISSED AS MOOT, AND THE JANUARY 9, 2023 DISCIPLINARY HEARING SCHEDULED IN THIS MATTER IS CANCELLED. SIGNED BY CHIEF JUDGE JUAN R. SANCHEZ ON 11/18/2022. 11/18/2022 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 11/18/2022)	
24	11/19/2022	Letter dated 11/19/22 by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, letter confirming I am disbarred due to retirement, not misconduct. (Attachments: # 1 Email) (go) (Entered: 11/21/2022)	

2:22mc45, Kelly

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
25	12/14/2022	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to 23 Order by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. IFP Filed. Copies to Judge, Clerk USCA. and Appeals Clerk. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service, # 2 Letter, # 3 email 1, # 4 Exhibit A B & C, # 5 email 2)(go) Modified on 12/15/2022 (tjd). (Entered: 12/14/2022)	
26	12/15/2022	MOTION for Leave to Appeal in forma pauperis filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY.. (Attachments: # 1 Proof of EBT eligibility, # 2 Exhibit, # 3 Exhibit 1, # 4 Exhibit 2, # 5 Email)(go) (Entered: 12/15/2022)	
27	12/23/2022	NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA re 25 Notice of Appeal, filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. USCA Case Number 22-3372 (rf.) (Entered: 12/27/2022)	
28	12/29/2022	TPO Form re 25 Notice of Appeal, : (Attachments: # 1 Email)(go) (Entered: 12/29/2022)	
29	02/08/2023	ORDER AND NOW, THIS 8TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2023, UPON MOTION AND STATEMENTS IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT MEGHAN KELLY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS (DOC. NO. 26), IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT RESPONDENT IS UNABLE TO PAY THE FILING FEES AND COSTS. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT RESPONDENT IS GRANTED LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 2/8/23. 2/8/23 ENTERED AND COPIES E-MAILED TO PRO SE. (go) (Entered: 02/08/2023)	
30	03/06/2023	Copy of Order from the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated February 28, 2023, upon consideration of the responses to a Notice and Order, Meghan Marie Kelly is transferred to disability inactive status in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Respondent shall comply with all of the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217. Respondent's Application to Dismiss and two subsequent Applications to amend that filing are denied. Respondent's November 15, 2022 Application for Reconsideration of this Court's Order dated November 8, 2022, and Motion to Compel the Acceptance of a Specific Motion dated December 23, 2022, are denied. Respondent's Motion to Supplement the Record dated November 19, 2022, Motion to Supplement Application for Reconsideration dated December 10, 2022, and Motion for Exemption to Serve Paper Copies are granted. (go) Modified on 3/23/2023 (go). (Entered: 03/23/2023)	
31	06/21/2023	MOTION for permission to use electronic filing, and waiver of paper copies, etc. filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Part 1, # 2 Exhibit Part 2, # 3 Exhibit Part 3, # 4 Exhibit Part 4, # 5 Envelope)(go) (Entered: 06/22/2023)	
32	06/30/2023	ORDER of USCA as to 25 Notice of Appeal. ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. Appellants brief and appendix was initially due to be filed on May 30, 2023. The deadline was extended until June 13, 2023. Appellants motions for further extensions of time were denied by Court order entered this date June 30, 2023. (bw) (Entered: 07/03/2023)	
33	08/07/2023	ORDER THAT MEGHAN KELLY'S 31 MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO USE ELECTRONIC FILING IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS MOOT. SIGNED BY HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND ON 8/7/23. 8/7/23 ENTERED & E-MAILED. (fdc) (Entered: 08/07/2023)	
34	08/14/2023	Amended Notice of Appeal to include Notice of Appeal as to 33 Order on Motion for Order by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. No fee paid, no IFP filed. Copies to Judge, Clerk USCA, Appeals Clerk. (fdc) Modified on 8/15/2023 (lisad.). (Entered: 08/15/2023)	
35	08/24/2023	NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA re 34 Notice of Appeal filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. USCA Case Number 23-2485 (fdc) (Entered: 08/24/2023)	

2:22mc45, Kelly

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
36	10/17/2023	ORDER of USCA as to 34 Notice of Appeal filed by MEGHAN MARIE KELLY. Re: Order that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. It is further ordered that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate. (fdc) (Entered: 10/17/2023)	

Copyright © LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

*** THIS DATA IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY ***

End of Document



Document: 2:22cv4280, Kelly

Actions ✓

Search in same court



Go to ✓

All terms 5 ✓



Search Document 🔍



2:22cv4280, Kelly

US District Court Docket

United States District Court, Pennsylvania Eastern

(Philadelphia)

Update Now

This case was retrieved on **10/26/2022**

▼Header

Case

Class Code: Open

Number: 2:22cv4280

Statute:

Date Filed: 10/26/2022

Jury Demand: None

Assigned To: Honorable
PAUL S. DIAMOND

Demand Amount: \$0

NOS Description: Other

Nature of Suit: Other
Statutory Actions (890)

Statutory Actions

Cause: Attorney

Discipline

Lead Docket: None

Other

Docket: 2:22mc00045

Jurisdiction: Federal

Question

▼ Participants

Litigants

Attorneys

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

IN RE:

PRO SE

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

USA

Copyright © LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

*** THIS DATA IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY ***

Document:

2:22cv50002, Kelly

Actions ▾

Search in same court

Go to ▾

All terms 5 ▾



Search Document 🔍



2:22cv50002, Kelly

US District Court Docket

United States District Court, Pennsylvania Eastern

(Philadelphia)

Update Now

This case was retrieved on **10/26/2022**

▼ Header

Case

Number: 2:22cv50002

Date Filed: 10/26/2022

Assigned To: Honorable

GERALD J. PAPPERT

Nature of Suit: Other

Statutory Actions (890)

Class Code: Open


Statute:

Jury Demand: None

Demand Amount: \$0

NOS Description: Other

Statutory Actions



Document: 2:22cv50002, Kelly

Docket: 2:22mc00045

Jurisdiction: Federal

Question

▼ Participants

Litigants

Attorneys

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY

IN RE:

PRO SE

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

USA

Copyright © LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

*** THIS DATA IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY ***

22-45 M Kelly /Aug 28 2024 email to Gail Olson

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: gail_olson@paed.uscourts.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov

Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 01:27 PM EDT

Hi Gail,

There is no opposing counsel to our case, but since the USSC asked that I serve the solicitor general and place the court as a party I copy her filing email here.

More than a year ago I contacted you when I discovered 4 case numbers connected to 22-45.

You indicated 3 case numbers were given to make sure judges received credit for a panel hearing, but it was all one case 22-45.

It is doesn't seem correct.

I attach evidence of all 4 case dockets, 22-45 noted "Other Docket: 2:22cv04280, 2:22cv50002, 2:22cv50003" And on the top of these 3 additional docket numbers I see "This case was retrieved on 10/26/2022,"

I updated the docket 22-45 on Lexis with a 2 day trial. I noticed the docketing errors appeared to remain where a motion was placed as an exhibit and other misfilings which prejudiced me as denying me a fair opportunity to be heard or any opportunity to petition on motions the Court's staff misfiled while you were out.

May I please have a copy of the docket for 22-45 to see whether changes were made after I emailed you per your request about the errors while you were out?

Another representative verbally indicated someone else's medical documents inadvertently placed on my file were removed, but I cannot see it because I cannot afford to pay to check PACER due to the State forbidding me to work as an attorney at this time, and my religious objections to debt.

Can you please let me know whether labeling 4 case numbers as one case is in error too or whether there is a reason other than what you told me for 4 case numbers please?

Thank you,
Meg



0 docket 2_22mc45, Kelly.pdf
2.3MB



2_22cv4280, Kelly Paul Diamond.pdf
118.5kB



2_22cv50002, Kelly Gerald.pdf
166.9kB



2_22cv50003, Kelly Gallergher.pdf
139.6kB

Kelly v Trump Case No 1192021 video Meg's filings not accessible on Westlaw or lexis :(

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: ryan.costa@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov
Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 02:16 PM EDT

Hi,

I received a 2 day trial from Lexis AI. I show you the attached video.

DE Supreme Court refused to update the docket to make my filings public in a continuing conspiracy to conceal evidence in my favor to affect the outcome of past cases, current cases and future cases.

Lexis is really awesome and is trying to help me.

They are having a hard time connecting Kelly v Trump to Court Link docket and correct filings to make my pleadings and one finding unavailable for regular research by case search "Kelly v Trump."

If you look at my case Kelly v Trump see 44 filings on the top right corner. They do not relate to the case. There are 37 pleadings and the rest of the 44 are cases. All 44 should be filings in Kelly v Trump.

I have a ticket Lexis is working with me, the DE Supreme Court is not.

It is as if I am being thrown out in the dark and never existed when my petitions which are a bases of multiple law suits are hidden to hide evidence in my favor. The State has violated my right to petition and fundamental rights to exercise religious beliefs for years. I am genuine even if you think my religious beliefs are odd. Even people who do not conform to the norm are protected and not deprived of fundamental rights based on religious belief or viewpoint in petitions. I am scared. I tried to prevent Jan 6, with Oct 2020 pleadings, but the court found my concerns unwarranted when time shows they were.

I do not want to be taken out by the state or their partners, or demeaned disabled or continuously compelled to violate my religious belief by physical, economic or social threats of the state. i am scared. My faith in Jesus and my right to live that faith are what I gave my life too. My life should not be taken away by the state. :(I am really scared. I have not been sleeping and I need to rest to function well. We are not forgetting about protecting others by petitioning on our own. David Weiss you are important. Ryan don't let anything happen to him please. People are weird talking about violence and that is naughty. Thank you
We use our words, not weapons, nor wealth, the mighty minds of people like you, not money or might. We use brains, not bullets or bucks.

You may be my potential opponents but you may be my hero and heroes in the eyes of God for doing what is right.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 02:01:00 PM EDT
Subject:

Sent from my iPhone



IMG_5832.MOV
2.4MB

Re: 22-45 M Kelly /Aug 28 2024 email to Gail Olson/worried unethical earnings 1 case 4 dockets to make judges numbers increased efficiency by injustice/Also concerned 3 more cases without fair oppty to be heard before findings made/Protect fair right to pet fairly by litigants who abuse process by other petitions to affect outcome of case they are not a party/getting around Art III by Art I and Art II backed agents and cohorts to violate parties rights from threats like you

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
To: david.weiss@usdoj.gov
Cc: ryan.costa@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov
Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 01:54 PM EDT

Hello,

I was worried about the court defrauding congress out of additional money Gail called "credit" by labeling 4 matters as one. I was also worried about judgment without opportunity to be heard on future disciplinary matters.

It does not make sense to have 4 docket Numbers for one case. I err on the side of precaution to allow the court to correct mistakes or elaborate should the court have misled me through its agent.

Thank you,
Meg

PS David Weiss Saw the CA Court struck motion in Robert Biden case, and Jack Smith filed an appeal of US v Trump of Florida Judge Cannon's findings with the 11th Circuit and allegedly is seeking to prosecute on amended charges if I understand correctly.

I saw that heritage foundation sought to affect the outcome of US v Robert Hunter Biden by Freedom of Info Act case against you, threatening a party to gather information outside of the Art III to substantially burden your first amendment right to petition fairly in accord with the 5th, per the attached.

Without protecting the petition coupled with fair opportunity to be heard there is no equal protections under the law but unequal substantially burdened and diminished rights should the court not create Constitutional limits to protect public and private petitioners from gov incited threats through alleged conspiring cohorts.

It is important to protect the right to petition. Otherwise there is no rule of law, just reign of a few who buy or barter access to the courts eliminating a freedom for business.

My filings are still not published in Kelly v Trump, and I am dealing with that. We are not forgetting on creating precedent to protect you, and all claimants right to petition fairly to preserve the rule of law from being eliminated by bribes by barter and exchanges of those with connections, power or profit to buy their will be done. Thank you.

On Wednesday, August 28, 2024 at 01:27:20 PM EDT, Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com> wrote:

Hi Gail,

There is no opposing counsel to our case, but since the USSC asked that I serve the solicitor general and place the court as a party I copy her filing email here.

More than a year ago I contacted you when I discovered 4 case numbers connected to 22-45.

You indicated 3 case numbers were given to make sure judges received credit for a panel hearing, but it was all one case 22-45.

It is doesn't seem correct.

I attach evidence of all 4 case dockets, 22-45 noted "Other Docket: 2:22cv04280, 2:22cv50002, 2:22cv50003" And on the top of these 3 additional docket numbers I see "This case was retrieved on 10/26/2022,"

I updated the docket 22-45 on Lexis with a 2 day trial. I noticed the docketing errors appeared to remain where a motion was placed as an exhibit and other misfilings which prejudiced me as denying me a fair opportunity to be heard or any opportunity to petition on motions the Court's staff misfiled while you were out.

May I please have a copy of the docket for 22-45 to see whether changes were made after I emailed you per your request about the errors while you were out?

Another representative verbally indicated someone else's medical documents inadvertently placed on my file were removed, but I cannot see it because I cannot afford to pay to check PACER due to the State forbidding me to work as an attorney at this time, and my religious objections to debt.

Can you please let me know whether labeling 4 case numbers as one case is in error too or whether there is a reason other than what you told me for 4 case numbers please?

Thank you,
Meg



Jack Smith appeals Trump Mar-a-Lago case dismissal.pdf
386.4kB



Jack Smith's brief August 27 2024 11th circuit.pdf
489.3kB



previous scolding of J. cannon 11th Circuit Ends Trump Special Master in Mar-a-Lago Case.pdf
5.5MB



scolding by Circuit Ct of Judge cannon 11th-circuit-mar-a-lago-ruling.pdf
212.6kB



Heritage Foundation et al v. Weiss et al 1_2023cv01421 _ US District Court for the District of Delaware _ Justia.pdf
197.2kB



How the Federal Cases Against Trump Came Sputtering Back to Life.pdf
2MB

RE: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

From: Jason Gonzales (jgonzales@fileandserve.com)
To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 at 03:27 PM EST

Hi Ms. Kelly,

I've gathered the information you requested. The Access Type reflects the security status of the transaction document and the Submit Date reflects the date the Access Type was updated.

CASE 119-2021

Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:21AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (283)(dja)	Public	\$0.00
Jun 3 2021 8:36AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (283)(dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 2 2021 11:55AM EDT	Accepted (6/2/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 2 2021 11:29AM EDT	Pending			Document created	Motion - Other	Document entitled "Motion for recusal" filed by appellant. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	

Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:20AM EDT	Accepted (5/27/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (dja)	Public	\$0.00
May 27 2021 3:09PM EDT	Accepted (5/27/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
May 27 2021 2:52PM EDT	Pending			Document created	Motion - Other	Document entitled "appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to rein in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it seems just" (documents received by email 5-25-21) (556) (UNDER SEAL)(dja)	Sealed, electronic	

Transaction item number 66667019, Docket Item 40, appendix A-4, Docket item 41 Appendix A-5.

Submit Date	Review Status	Reviewer	Reason	Comment	Document Type	Document Title	Access Type	Statutory Fee
May 17 2022 8:22AM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Public	\$0.00
Jun 8 2021 4:10PM EDT	Accepted (6/8/2021)	28, Supreme Court			Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	\$0.00
Jun 8 2021 4:00PM EDT	Pending			Document created	Appendix	Appendix A-4. (dja)	Sealed, electronic	

Proof DE Supreme Court secretly sealed and had pleading necessary

Army appeal and other cases Behind here proof in Kelly v. Trump Acc Pursueth

I am your liaison for this matter. Please contact me should you have any questions.

Thank you for your patience while I work to provide answers to your questions.

Best regards,

Jason

Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, [File & Serve Express](#)

972-893-6632 ✉ jgonzales@fileandserve.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 1, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyes@yahoo.com>
Subject: (file and serve)(reach out to Jason on claim No)Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hi Jason,

I just spoke with Kelly and placed a ticket item for the issues below, 156496.

Thank you for your help. I also sent in another email today.

Have a good night.

Very truly,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyes@yahoo.com

8/29/24, 10:19 AM

Yahoo Mail (File & Serve) (Legal) Court of Delaware Case No. 418192012. Supreme Court Case No. 119-2021 and matter ...

(302)493-6693

On Thursday, October 13, 2022 at 06:00:36 PM EDT, Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com> wrote:

My pleasure, Ms. Kelly.

Let me do some research on our side to see what information we can glean.

Thank you.

Jason



Jason Gonzales

Manager, Account Management, File

& Serve Xpress

872-883-6632

jgonzales@fileandserve.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2022 5:41 PM

To: Jason Gonzales <jgonzales@fileandserve.com>

Cc: Support <support@fileandserve.com>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hi Jason,

about:blank

ignozales@fileandserve.com

[<image013.png>](#) [<image014.png>](#) [<image015.png>](#) [<image016.png>](#) [<image017.png>](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Support [<support@fileandserve.com>](mailto:support@fileandserve.com)
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2022 1:19 PM
To: Meg Kelly [<meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>](mailto:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
Cc: Support [<support@fileandserve.com>](mailto:support@fileandserve.com)
Subject: RE: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Hello Ms. Kelly!

I have reached out to our team to provide some clarity for your inquires below, and will follow up with you before the end of the day with additional information.

[<image001.gif>](#)

Client Support JR, File & ServeXpress

[<image002.png>](#)

888-529-758Z

[<image003.png>](#)

support@fileandserve.com

[<image004.png>](#) [<image005.png>](#) [<image006.png>](#) [<image007.png>](#) [<image008.png>](#)

[<image009.png>](#)

[<image010.jpg>](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyessq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2022 12:01 PM
To: Support <support@fileandserve.com>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyessq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Meghan Kelly/Delaware Supreme Court/ Question dates sealing and unsealing matters/o 119-2021 and matter No 58-2022

Good morning,

Per the September 7, 2022 order in the first docket attached hereto, relating to Delaware Supreme Court matter IMO Meghan Kelly a member of the bar, the court ordered the case unsealed. Did the Court process the request on September 29, 2022, and did it go through on September 30, 2022?

In the second docket attached hereto, case number 119, 2021, Case name Meghan Kelly v Donald Trump, could you please provide the dates the following four documents were sealed and unsealed?

- Transaction ID 66649842, docket item 21.
- Transaction ID 66639035, docket item 16
- Transaction item number 66667019, Docket item 40, appendix A-4, Docket item 41 Appendix A-5.

Could you please confirm that Delaware Courts are an integrated courts. By integrated Courts I mean the courts are in charge of what is sealed and unsealed. File and serve does not assume the authority to seal and unseal documents by request. It is physically entered by the attorneys and courts.

I am a party in both cases.

Thank you,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyessq@yahoo.com

EFiled: Jan 06 2021 01:30PM EST
Transaction ID 66181927
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly,)

Civil Action No.: 2020-0809 PWG

Plaintiff)

v.)

The President of the United States,)

Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J.)

Trump, a.k.a. President Trump)

a.k.a. President Donald Trump,)

in his official capacity as President of)

the United States)

Defendant.)

**PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF HER EXCEPTIONS TO SPECIAL
MASTER'S FINAL REPORT, DATED NOVEMBER 2, 2020**

Dated: December 5, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Bar Number 4968
Pro Se
(Word Count 14,995)

“The Establishment Clause of the First Amendment restricts government capacity to favor a religion, or religion in general, (in the form of limits).” *Brown v. Borough of Mahaffey*, 35 F.3d 846, 850, 1994 U.S. App. LEXIS 27407, *10-11.

“The Establishment Clause forbids ... the preference of a religious doctrine or the prohibition of theory which is deemed antagonistic to a particular dogma.” *Kalman v. Cortes*, 723 F. Supp. 2d 766, 769, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65171, *1, 39 Media L. Rep. 1039.

“The Establishment Clause prohibits government from establishing a religion in the sense of sponsorship, financial support, or active involvement of the sovereign in religious activity.” *Id.*

The Executive Order’s impact in fact tempts government leaders to support religious institutions in terms of bail outs, with the hope or expectation of loyalty in the form of verbal or financial support. See Exhibit E, Exhibits 38-39.

“The very adoption or passage of a policy that violates the Establishment Clause represents a constitutional injury.” *Kitzmiller v. Dover Area Sch. Dist.*, 400 F. Supp. 2d 707, 708, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33647, *1.

The Executive Order and Trump’s policy of persecution is a constitutional injury.



burdens my free exercise of religion, speech and affiliation, based on my diverse belief. My free exercise has been chilled, restrained, and not freely exercised, but unexercised, as a result of such deception of a God backed party and religion, based on excessive entanglement of religion and government.

By the collective behavior forming the unholy-union including but not limited to the behavior above, Trump also violates the Establishment Clause under the Endorsement test.

In *Kitzmiller v. Dover Area Sch. Dist.*, 400 F. Supp. 2d 707, 708, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33647, *1, the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania held:

“As the endorsement test has developed through application, it is now primarily a lens through which to view "effect," with purpose evidence being relevant to the inquiry derivatively. The United States Supreme Court has instructed that the word "endorsement" is not self-defining and further elaborated that it derives its meaning from other words that the court has found useful over the years in interpreting the Establishment Clause. The endorsement test emanates from the prohibition against government endorsement of religion and it precludes government from conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred. The test consists of the reviewing court determining what message a challenged governmental policy or enactment conveys to a reasonable, objective observer who knows the policy's language, origins, and legislative history, as well as the history of the community and the broader social and historical context in which the policy arose.”

But for such unholy propaganda accepted and or customarily proffered or sponsored or accepted by government agents and employees, I am not freely, but restrictively, able to exercise my religion through association, speech and conduct to serve God by shedding light on his word upon others to guide their steps from harm, under the threat of being called unpatriotic or unchristian or ignored or persecuted. My free exercise of religion is not free, but is restrained and unexercised because of Trump's deception serving Trump and the Republican party serves God.

My injuries are real, concrete, articulable, imminent, not speculative, conjectural or hypothetical.

I do not remember anyone ever telling me I was not a Christian until President Trump ran for office. But for President Trump's unholy-union, I would not be accused of not loving God. His burden has left a deep weight on my heart. While the Court cannot eliminate the scars of his piercing words and deeds, the court may lighten the burden on my heart and unable me to more freely exercise my religious beliefs. This Court can reduce the pain.¹³

¹³ I am a little scared about compromising my physical safety in exchange for exercising my religious belief via speech and conduct, seeking justice, mercy with faithfulness, via Matthew 23:11. See Exhibit A, 95, 286, Exhibit E, Exhibits 16, 23-25, relating to evidence of Trump increasing the temptation for people to become cowardly self-protective, defensive by use of violence, instead of

C. There is no compelling government interest to justify Defendant's legal burden upon my right to freely exercise my religion and association as a Christian Democrat.

Defendant's First Amendment rights are more limited by the Constitution, reduced in order not to chill the freedoms of those Defendant serves, including my free exercise of religion, speech and association to prevent the inherent threat of persecution, his insult against alleged antichrist liberal democrats causes towards me personally, granting those he deceives a license backed by his government authority to persecute me.

courageously loving enemies. Also see, Exhibit A 210-229, Exhibits E, Exhibits 17-19 and 40, demeaning women like me as something to look at, use or do, as things instead of as people to respect. At one time I had giant signs on my car that said "Serve your county, not your seat. Impeach" and "No one is above the law. No one is Below the Law. Impeach." But strangers made comments about shooting me. I received ugly notes under my windshield relating to my political stickers. Someone threw a white substance at my car, and I received so many middle fingers by cute white-haired old ladies in their cars, as I drove, that I became scared and took the stickers off. I took the stickers off months before I filed this complaint, I forget exactly when. I am concerned about being physically harmed based on my religious and political beliefs and seek the protection from danger by this Honorable Court. An order for injunctive and declaratory relief would alleviate my concern for government sponsored private violent persecution too, based on my belief in Jesus as a radical, liberal, Christian democrat. It would reduce the persecution of diverse religious groups stemmed in government officials, including Defendant, instigating or ignoring the unrest between diverse groups, instead of uniting them as Americans.



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly,)
)
)
 Plaintiff)
 v.)
 The President of the United States,)
 Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J.)
 Trump, a.k.a. President Trump)
 a.k.a. President Donald Trump,)
 in his official capacity as President of)
 the United States)
 Defendant.)

Case No 119, 2021

APPELLANT’S OPENING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THE HONORABLE VICE CHANCELLOR PAUL A. FIORAVANTI, JR.’S CHANCERY COURT ORDER OVERRULING EXCEPTIONS TO MASTER’S FINAL REPORT

Dated: June 8, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Meghan Kelly
 Meghan Kelly
 34012 Shawnee Drive
 Dagsboro, DE 19939
 Bar Number 4968
 Pro Se, not acting as an
 attorney, I am a party
 (Word Count 9,517)

I am in reasonable fear of my physical safety by third parties incited by the government-religion the Presidents' conduct created, as we have seen religious persecution arising from government-religious ideology by President Trump. *Id.*

A segment of our population appears to believe Trump is backed by God, or is anointed by God. They are willing to threaten harm and actually harm people who disagree with their government-religious beliefs. Dkt 59, 60.

Someone threw liquid substance on my car when I merely had "Serve your Country, not your seat, Impeach," and "No one is above the law, No one is below the Law Impeach" stickers on the side of my car. Dkt 59-60 at 51. A stranger at a stop sign also talked about shooting me concerning my stickers. *Id.* I took my stickers on the side of my car off. Last year, a Delawarean Greg Layton and his wife were shot at in their home allegedly because Greg speaks up on politics. See Exhibit A-1, Police release. A former Republican candidate in Delaware sued Greg Layton in the Superior Court, allegedly for speaking up on politics. See, Exhibit A-2, *Walker v Layton*, Case S21C-02-016, filed Feb. 12, 2021.

People are facing economic, social and physical threats based merely on their political and/or perceived religious beliefs across the country. But for Trump's words and deeds, I am also individually harmed, but for Trump's incitement against those, including me, who do not support Trump as president or