UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Meghan Kelly Plaintiff, v. Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, et.al Defendants.	))))))	Civil Action No.: 1:21-1490 (CFC)

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN KELLY'S 49th AFFIDAVIT UPDATE

Comes now Plaintiff Meghan Kelly, I declare and affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

1. I write in haste because I am scared, and do not have time.

2. I apologize for the typos. I am a bad typist and am scared as I am punished for my faith in Jesus and Christ, and for standing up for the Constitutional rule of law from government violations.

3. I am a bit stressed. I am not feeling well. I am very dehydrated.

4. Stamps are also going up to 66 cents from 63 cents on June 10, 2023.

5. Years ago I proposed the post office reduce the price of stamps to make them affordable so more people would purchase them so the Post Office may make more profit in bulk.

6. Decreasing the price of US postage shipments by boxes would also reduce inflation by making it cheaper than competitor. This would help the Post Office make more money in bulk by reducing the cost of shipment of products to stores and customers decreasing prices while increasing the amount of products shipped by lowering the price of competitors.

7. The attacks against the post office based on money are frivolous as the post office may reduce costs and increase profit by selling more in bulk. The attacks are by design to eliminate the freedom of speech without government invasion of privacy in a safer form than the

internet. The increased strain based on additional postage costs exacerbates the burden upon me based on government economic persecution, preventing me from buying and selling as a lawyer but for my religious belief in Jesus as God not money and material gain as God. *Matthew* 6:24.

8. I sought to decrease the economic burden of postage and paper from being so great as to prevent my access to the courts in the Eastern District Court of PA. On June 22, 2022, I called the Eastern District Court of PA to confirm it received *Motion Respondent Meghan M Kelly's Motion for permission to use electronic filing, and waiver of paper copies before this Honorable Court, and an exemption from PACER costs to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, and compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in my defense of the exercise of fundamental rights*, per the tracking number 9534615388663171428910 was received by the District Court at Philadelphia, PA 19106 on June 21, 2023, at 1:47 pm.

9. The District Court's staff Dan indicated it has not been processed yet. He forwarded me to Gail Olson's voice mail. No one picked up. So, I sent the attached email, attached herein in Exhibit A, wherein I indicated:

> "Re: 22-45 efiling request sent to docket/ Think about it/I am slammed in multiple matters
> From:Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)
> To:paed_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov
> Cc:gail_olson@paed.uscourts.gov;
> chambers_of_judge_paul_s_diamond@paed.uscourts.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
> Date:Thursday, June 22, 2023 at 10:49 AM EDT
> Good morning,
>
> Per your request in the email below, I mailed a Motion for ECF access on Tuesday June 21, 2023, which this court received Tuesday June 22, 2023, per the attached tracking and postal receipt with tracking number.

> For the convenience of the Court, I also emailed Gail Olson all of the papers included in the motion. However, I had to break down one exhibit as too large. In the paper copies I provided it to you with a large binder so it is easier to scan in. I have a hard time taking off the giant staples.
>
> This morning June 22, 2023, I called this District Court and spoke with Dan at the pro se desk. He indicated the Court has not yet docketed the motion. I alerted him to the fact this is on appeal with the Third Circuit 22-3372. So, I wanted to confirm this Court would docket the matter and not mistakenly not docket it in light of the appeal.
>
> I understand it may take a day or two docket. Could you please confirm receipt.
>
> Thank you,
> Meg
> Meghan Kelly
> 34012 Shawnee Dr.
> Dagsboro, DE 19939
> meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
>
> On Tuesday, May 16, 2023 at 08:17:16 AM EDT, PAED_ clerksoffice <paed_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov> wrote:
>
> Meghan you will need to request e-filing on your case. Just mail in your filing and ask for e-filing. Don't send anything else, just the one request for e-filing. The judge will do an order and then, you have to go on PACER like you did, but this time you need to ask as a party, not an attorney. While on this case you are a party (pro se), and I can not link up your account to your name if you select attorney.
>
> I have to reject your request, because you neither requested ECF on your case, and there is no order, and you selected attorney instead of party.

10. The Clerk's office responded promptly on June 22, 2023, "We have received your filing and it will be filed as of yesterday when it was received." (Exhibit B).

11. I also sent Judge Diamond the attached email labeled Exhibit C along with the attached *Petitioner Meghan Kelly motion for a caveat to her Motion for this Court to recuse Judge Scirica* in hopes he may use his brilliant mind to come up with ideas to prevent the slow schemed, intentionally planned elimination of our government where I stated:

> "Please see the attached. I believe judges will be replaced if the courts do not address this issue.

> Judge Scirica denied this same motion in the civil rights case. Yet, this motion is still pending above.
>
> If I could get the US Supreme Court to limit correction of judges to the purview of the constitution's limits without waiver under 1. cases and controversies and 2. impeachment, maybe the courts could prevent the planned overthrow of the government.
> Maybe this Court may come up with better ideas.
> Thank you for your kind consideration."

13. I also wrote the PA ODC Supreme Court counsel concerning possibly drafting a Supplemental brief to the US Supreme Court relating to safeguarding the institution of the Courts by preventing non-lawyers and non-judges from lawyering on behalf of others and judging without government authority. Exhibit D.

14. I have informed this court of my belief there is an attack through societal peer pressure and Congressional pressure to control a no longer independent but partial judiciary guaranteeing injustice that will incite the automation of the courts, to eventually eliminate the rule of law if left unstopped by the lawless lusts of those who control technology. Although the dependence on enslaving the court to lawless partial lusts, what I believe is the mark of the beast business greed, still remains by judge's misplaced concerns about productivity, costs, avoidance of costs at the exchange of selling other people's souls and freedoms creates inherent injustice should it not be weeded out. The way money is coined and distributed by governments, banks, the Federal Reserve and the government is the problem which is leading most of the world to harm and I believe certain damnation in the fires of hell the last day by slavery to money as God and savior. *Matthew* 6:24. The way money is coined enslaves judges to eliminate freedom by barter or exchange allowing the wealth, well connected, and powerful to essentially be above the law by allowing business not freedom to be the aim of the government. Thereby, the government protects profit, productivity, positions, not people, making the people slaves to a fixed slave system, where the judges are enslaved to the mark of the beast misleading man to hell too . I

proposed a way to coin money correctly by demanding it by Congress without enslaving the people and violating my religious belief and religious exercise in Jesus Christ's words.

15. Requiring the impartiality of the courts within the purview of the Constitution by cases like my own or through impeachment may preserve the institution of the rule of law from schemed overthrow until attorney advocates may argue for justice before the courts. Just decrees must be demanded so additional partiality and injustice may be weeded out by advocates in lawsuits by removal of unjust laws and precedents.

16. I believe there is a plan to eliminate the impartial rule of law which preserves freedom of the people from private and foreign economic, physical or social compelled slavery.

17. Our current rule of law is partial and bent towards injustice by the manner how money is coined by enslaving Americans to pay back money the government grants or contracts to give to private and foreign government partners. The people are enslaved to pay back the money the government grants to entities or contracts with entities plus interest in violation of the 13 Amendment. The money is created based on slavery by delegating the Article 1 Section 8 coining power from congress to private entities the federal Reserve, the banks, the World Bank, BIS, the global money changer and the IMF. These entities make money out of debt. It is debt slavery. The 16th Amendment was created to serve slavery not freedom by taxing the people to pay back the unjust gains to the governments' private and foreign partners. The government system of coining is a system based on collusion and legalized bribery. The government praises slavery by calling it job creation wherein it arguably violates the Equal Protections Clause by giving grants and contracts based on barter or exchange, business greed, which is the mark of lawlessness that damns people to hell in the scripture sacrificing individuals and individual liberty for material gain.. See the Bible *Romans* 4:4. US Amend I, V, XIII. Those who

participate in charity and job creation collude in exploiting the needy to serve the greedy by tax breaks, marketing, for fun or other exchange, benefit, or gain in violation of Jesus's teachings leading to hell under the guide of good. In *Matthew* 6:1-4 Jesus teaches do not give alms seen. When you give, give in secret, not knowing your left hand from your right. This means do not give to get which is business not good or charity. Jesus teaches if you give to get, even connections, you will have no reward from your Father, meaning you will go to hell should you not repent of such evil abominations. I believe people sin leading to damnation in hell for fundraising, volunteering, for giving scholarships to students for tax breaks, and for organized charity. It drives out love for one another replaced with the love of money and material gain. *Matthew* 6:24. Organized charity, fundraising and charity teaches people to seek money and material worldly things as savior in place of God. They look at other people with eyes like the devil's to be destroyed in hell for even thinking people must contribute, give money or material gain, contribute to their controlled, collective, not free will to be worthy of life or to do good. They no longer look at people with clean eyes as worthy of respect and love unearned required. They look at others enslaving them to the sin of children of the devil making money and material gain savior leading to their certain damnation in the fires of hell. US Amend XIII. Little children are taught to reflect the image of the devil young. Little children will more likely be damned to hell should they die, which makes it a far more heinous tragedy when they are murdered. They are not innocent but misguided and bent towards evil unless born again, with fewer opportunities to be saved form the default of the majority, loss of eternal life. *John* 3. See *Ezekie*l Chapter 9 to confirm that even children will be destroyed in hell the past day. I am not going to go into the intricate details of how science based on scientific conditioning through behavioralist teaching theories like BF Skinner also known as temptations is used to damn many to the fires of hell.

18. Money is currently lawlessly coined based on slavery debt. Debt is against my religious beliefs. The Bible teaches owe nothing to anyone but to love them. I believe people go to hell by compelling people into debt to survive. I believe most people go to hell since that is what God through the holy spirit and Jesus teach. See *Matthew* 7:13-17 (few find life) , *Isaiah* 10:22 (only a remnant saved). Th unlawful manner money is created is a source of temptation misleading many to hell. Debt makes money God and savior teaching the people to seek money as God. I do not want people to go to hell because they look at others with lust for money and material gain, or profit or pleasure instead of with clean eyes of respect unearned required. Children of the devil look at moth and rust as the treasure. Children of God see people as the treasure not to enslave and sacrifice but to safeguard and protect freedom, free will required to escape the certain default damnation and loss of eternal life the last day. I proposed a manner to coin money lawfully in my complaint without violating Constitutional freedoms or the 13th Amendment. I am not asking the courts to draft laws. I asked the courts to keep the laws, to obey the laws by specifically obeying and upholding the Constitutional law from government violations in all three branches.

19. The way is coined tempts most humanity to go to hell by living based on making money convenience, avoidance of costs, and productivity God. I understand historically the manner money has been created has been based on slavery. It is not smart or just to continue in wrong just because evil has reigned the world throughout history. Money is not freedom. The way it is coined leads to death in hell by making money God. It is the same lawlessness feudalism, slavery, communism and other economic models create leading the majority to hell in different generations throughout history if left unrestrained by love written in the hearts of man or the just rule of law. The Courts may require Congress coin money lawfully.

20. The new economic model will eliminate the Constitutional laws safeguards to protect the people from slavery. The new economic model is schemed to be transferred to another model after 2050 at some unknown time that will eliminate governments and the rule of law that safeguards free will needed to escape the default death in hell.

21. The new system of creating money is a far more oppressive form of coining that will control a no longer free but scientifically controlled people, who are ruled over by temptations to survive or go without. There will be no private ownership of property, not even money earned. There will no free people. The system will convert to one where people will be rented out as if they are products equal to the lumber, meat, or vegetables sold. People will be looked at as mere property of those who control the resources, not people worthy of respect and free will needed to escape the default damnation in hell, freedom.

22. The video link alludes to the elimination of judges and lawyers at the World Government Summit to be replaced by technology. The aim of the transitions will be to eliminate the rule of law. There is an agenda to eliminate lawyers and judges and the rule of law. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NsdmPiBc9TI

23. We have bias and partiality in the courts by courts and advocates whose eyes and hearts are based on compromising evil for good by bartering away justice instead of discerning justice based on truth. Lawyers and parties' hands may be unclean too. We are the advocates whose duty is to shed light on truth, but our eyes are dark and evil when we care more about doing best for our own instead of what is right. Lawyers are to blame when standardized training dumbs them down tempting them not to think outside of the box of standards to uphold the freedoms of those whose exercise of rights do not conform to the standards adopted by the mob, or majority through the vote or otherwise.

24. It is my religious belief the judiciary branch is not a business. It made me so upset to see a statutes calling justice a business to be bought and bartered allowing the wealthy to do as they please, pay a fine as the cost of doing business while murdering and enslaving people for money by the manner money is coined and our poisonous foods, harmful cancer causing productions of certain products are never corrected. The disparate favoritism towards those with something to buy their will be done arguably violates the Equal Protections Clause. Judges misbehave to get out of work. There is no justice for many unless parties especially pro se parties assert their rights in order not to waive them.

25. Jesus, God the father and the holy spirit teaches me about true justice, protecting people's free will while correcting them when they harm or oppress others to protect the freedom of others too.

26. It is my religious belief that judges sin when they blindly uphold laws that violate the Constitution. They commit lawlessness that remains unaddressed possibly based on ignorance which is guilt to God, not innocence. I believe people sin for using people as an example in violation of the equal protections clause to control a no longer free people of the dictates of the government or government backed private or foreign partners, even congress people and judges.

27. Fees and penalties are unjust and serve the mark of lawlessness leading to damnation in hell when they are used to employ people to be savior by unjust gains, making money through compelled involuntary servitude in violation of the 13th Amendment savior.. I believe the government enslaves the people in this life and tempts them to the way to hell by adding fees to combat crime on traffic violations because this is the mark of lawless lusts, human sacrifice for material gain by compelled involuntary servitude by making money God. US Amend XIII.

28. In *Kelly v Trump*, I lamented the government should do its own work. If the government funds it, it should run it. No tax payer funds should pay contractors to maintain streets. The more they crumble, the more future business not freedom is supported by the government. No judge should be worried about evil men placing them under the microscope saying faster, more productivity is better, when that is a lie leading to injustice Justice is a matter of truth, not a matter of business by barter or exchange. Money should be coined without slavery while safeguarding people's free will to buy and sell with the limits of just decrees that require safeguards to prevent made to break, repair or borrow, rent out, or replace products and subpar services. speed and amount is just more not just decrees. Just laws and justice in the courts is the answer with regards to correcting products and services that kill, harm, oppress or destroy human life to gain the world.

29. People mislead others to hell and exploitation of need to serve greed, to maintain the need to sustain the business gain by charities and businesses when they teach money and material gain to fund their business or studies is the answer. It funds inequity. Money is not the answer. The Courts are the answer. Justice in the courts is a command in the Bible. I believe judges may save not only lives but eternal lives by those who blind their eyes for a paycheck when they harm or oppress others to care for their own. I understand if the government coined money lawfully to fully fund the government without slavery and without taxes government employees' eyes would no longer by evil. There would be a reduced temptation to lawlessly seek to eat the sheep as opposed to tend and care for them. The just rule of law restrains people from human sacrifice and enslavement under the lie of the common good. Without the courts there is only injustice and reign by lusts and oppression leading to hell. It is not money that is savior of free will from temptations so great as to mislead most to hell, it is courts.

30. I understand that the stock market is a Ponzi scheme. There is no money to pay out for the baby boomers earned retirement. See the attached article labeled as Exhibit E to confirm government pensions will not be paid. The Bank of International Settlements indicates 80 Trillion in government pensions were written off for tax breaks or otherwise are not accounted for. They will not be paid which is very foreseeable and easy for lawyers and the courts to comprehend should we care to think things out.

31. The boomer's retirement would not be funded if more people made money by working. That is a lie leading to hell despite the people's ignorance or willful indifference teaching people to enslave others to care for their own, the sin against the holy spirit. More people working will not even care for their own. The more money created, the more debt due plus interest in the alchemy and the Ponzi scheme on how money is created if this court does not uphold the Constitutional freedoms of the people by cutting off the bondage to slavery and debt by requiring Congress coin lawfully. This Court's duty is not to dead men but to the people's Constitutionally protected interests.

31. With that said the new economic model is a far worse Ponzi scheme that will substantially burden mankind's free will at an extent that many will give into temptation to bend their will to the dictates of the world in place of God, damning most of humanity to hell if the courts do not stop it. When people talk of bubbles popping, they recognize there is nothing there. There are no funds despite the people earning money for retirement.

32. With regards to the Courts duty to uphold the Constitution. Their duty is not to men, judges in superior courts and their precedent. They have contracted for the government position at the bartered for exchange of upholding the preempting Constitutional laws that protect the people. Judges get it wrong sometimes. It takes great strength and humility to

improve and correct the judiciary by overturning superior courts precedent or generations of lawless application of the rule of law. That courageous act improves the world.

33. I read certain judges answers to questionnaires and believe some answers please Congressional persons to confirm their appointment, but violate the rule of law.

34. I am troubled judges do not use their own free will. They do not judge and discern truth as a matter of impartial application of the Constitutional rule of law. Instead they please the ears of those who confirm their nominations in congress by indicating their duty is to precedent, binding precedent as opposed to the preemptive Constitution application of the rule of law which safeguards precious people, not sacrificing them for the lie of gaining the world, material gain, position, profit or convenience, the type of lawlessness misleading people to hell. This lie of saving the world at the cost of sacrificing people's liberties and lives in stakeholder interests they did not consent to will be used in the new economic model. What if the Circuits know the US Supreme Court got it wrong, and they are stuck uniformly administrating injustice in violation of the Constitutional laws that protect people.

35. I am troubled by judges who state "I will fulfill my duty to observe and apply all binding Supreme Court precedent," even if it violates the Constitution. Maybe it is not the judges who err as greatly as people like me, claimants or attorneys who must act as advocates, not the courts. Maybe it is lawyers who are too blame when injustice reigns because professionals' eyes are on winning, business or money, not freedom or love for humanity.

36. This Court knows I believe organized charities and not for profits do not do good but give to get, even a paycheck, tax break, or connections. This Court knows I believe people go to hell for teaching the lie business giving out of one hand to get out of the other is charity or good or love because it drives out unconditional love from the hearts of man eliminating God

from their souls making everything and everyone a matter of barter or exchange leading to the exploitation of need to serve the mark of the beast business greed not good by freedom and unearned required respect for those the government serves and protects.

37. I previously indicated I believe judicial speaking engagements and judicial teaching gigs corrupt the courts by creating judge attorney advocates who advocate the beliefs they share and teach. These partial productions also tempt judges to support those who support their ideology including schools, associations and the Federalist Society.

38. Attached, please find an example where a party appeared to be prejudiced by a partial judge who spoke at a conference supporting the ideology of those who supported him as opposed to the claimant. (Exhibit G).

39. I oppose partiality by the Courts not only to preserve the right to a fair trial and procedural due process for Americans, but also because favoritism, partiality, and bias is against my religious belief in Jesus Christ. See the attached letter to the US Supreme Court where I outlined my religious beliefs.

40. I am a Christian, and I find guidance in the Bible. Please note, even if paper Bibles ceased to exist, my God still is. My belief in God the Father, the son and the holy spirit is not diminished should Bible's no longer be printed to allegedly save the trees. Nevertheless, God's word is revealed by those who are inspired by the Holy spirit in the Bible and in life now.

41. Pursuant to the Bible, Jesus says, "The greatest among you is your servant." (Citing, *Matthew* 23:11). Accordingly, living to serve self is not great. In fact, I believe the root of corruption in both business and government is serving those who serve you, thereby serving yourself, instead of the people the government is supposed to serve, all the American people.

42. God calls us to love those beyond our own even our opponents. I believe people sin against God when they merely serve their own children and families, and those who serve or affect them, instead of all the people they are appointed to serve in their position of life.. Jesus said even evil people care for their children. (See, *Matthew* 7:9-12, "Which of you, if your son asks for bread, will give him a stone? Or if he asks for a fish, will give him a snake? If you, then, though you are evil, know how to give good gifts to your children..."). Jesus said even those without God love those who love them, and greet those who great them. (See, *Luke* 6:32-35, "if you love those who love you, what credit is that to you? Even sinners love those who love them. And if you do good to those who are good to you, what credit is that to you? Even sinners do that. But love your enemies, do good to them, and lend to them, expecting nothing in return. Then your reward will be great, and you will be sons of the Most High; for He is kind to the ungrateful and wicked"); (See also, *Romans* 12:14); (See *Matthew* 5:44-45, "But I tell you, love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you, that you may be sons of your Father in heaven."); (*Matthew* 5:46-47, "If you love those who love you, what reward will you get?... And if you greet only your people, what are you doing more than others? Do not even the pagans do that?").

43. I believe God calls us to love God foremost and to love others, even those outside of our own, even our enemies, as ourselves. (See, *Matthew* 22:36-40, The greatest command in the bible is to love God. Subordinately, Love others as yourself. All commands are weighted on these.).

44. Leaders who serve themselves and those who serve them are not good leaders. They are servers of self not public servants, even in Congress and Presidents unrestrained by love in their hearts or court correction to protect Equal Protections under the law. US Amend V,

XIV. The prophets in the Old testament, John the Baptist, Jesus and the apostles all bravely and courageously confronted leaders who did evil, by serving themselves instead of those they were charged with serving.

45. In *Ezekiel* Chapter 34:1-10, God scolds leaders, shepherd who take advantage of the sheep to serve themselves instead of caring for them. "Woe to you shepherd of Israel who only take care of yourselves! Should not shepherds take care of the flock? You eat the curds, clothe yourself with wool and slaughter the choice animals, but you do not take care of the flock...." Id. *Leviticus* 19:15 ""You must not pervert justice; you must not show partiality to the poor or favoritism to the rich; you are to judge your neighbor fairly"); (see, *Exodus* 23:6, "You shall not deny justice to the poor in their lawsuits."); (see, *Deuteronomy* 16:19, "Do not deny justice or show partiality"); (also see, *Deuteronomy* 1:17, "Show no partiality in judging; hear both small and great alike. Do not be intimidated by anyone, for judgment belongs to God. And bring to me any case too difficult for you, and I will hear it.").

46. I even shared my view on what using the name of God in vain means when I proposed a suggestion to Senator Tom Carper of Delaware. (See, Exhibit 9 at DI 3). I believe it means using the name of God, or religion or scripture for man's purpose instead of a true religious purpose, God's purpose.

47. I believe it would be wrong for Presidential candidates and congressional candidates to collect donations or signatures from lobbyists and people as it would create the appearance of influence and favoritism in violation of the bible's teachings as we are called to serve everyone's best interests, not merely those who support us, or pay us with lobbyists money. That is a sin against God. (See, *James* 2:, "do not show favoritism."); (*James* 2:9, "But if you show favoritism, you sin and are convicted by the law as transgressors."); (*Deuteronomy* 16:19,

"Do not deny justice or show partiality. Do not accept any bribes, for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise and twists the words of the righteous."); (*Proverbs* 18:5, "Showing partiality to the wicked is not good, nor is depriving the innocent of justice."); (*Proverbs* 24:23, "These also are sayings of the wise: To show partiality in judgment is not good."); (*Malachi* 2:9, "So I in turn have made you despised and humiliated before all the people, because you have not kept My ways, but have shown partiality in matters of the law."); (*Job* 34:19, "who shows no partiality to princes and does not favor the rich over the poor, for they are all the work of his hands?"); (Job 13:10, "Surely He would rebuke you if you secretly showed partiality.").

48. Similarly, I think it is wrong for judges to accept speaking engagement and teaching positions, by making them partial to those who support the judges' ideology they teach. There is a temptation to be partial to the judge's own interests.

49. My goal is to safeguard the rule of law from the schemed demise. Jesus teaches no one knows when the end will be. I do not. I do know the devil distorts God's word to mislead people to harm and damnation in hell throughout history. I also believe the courts can save lives and eternal lives. Judges are special even if they mess up and make mistakes, and humbly seek to correct them, after all they are not God.

50. Jesus Christ taught "justice, mercy and faithfulness are greater laws than laws dealing with material gain because Judges can prevent harm here and damnation in hell if they only choose to care to know in order to love those they serve, even protecting those they are correcting. Matthew 23:23.

51. Impartial justice is a command in the bible because it is God's will to guide the misguided to save eternal lives. Amos 5:15.

52. I became a lawyer to do God's will. The devil is called the lawless one in scripture. How do you combat the devil? One way is through the just rule of law to guide the misguided. Throughout the Bible ignorance is guilt, misunderstanding, confusion, believing a lie as truth misleads people to harm others to be damned to hell for their confusion.

53. The Courts contribute to the fires of hell when they defer to professional standards and business practices that kill, steal and destroy human life and liberty for material gain. This is the same as human sacrifice by throwing people into the volcanoes for better crops.

\ 54. We need the courts to judge the laws, judge the other two branches, judge themselves and their peers in cases and controversies, not disciplinary or regulatory proceedings. If the courts do not judge judges in cases and controversies others may seek to regulate the courts because the courts make judges above the law which plays into the real agenda to eliminate the rule of law down the line.

55. The Third Circuit on June 22, 2023 denied my motion for reargument of my appeal per the attached (Exhibit G)

56. I am stressed. Per the attached emails, Walmart prevented me from buying their cheaper ink. (Exhibit H).

57. I also am mourning the loss of loved ones. I lost 5 to 6 people on my street. Other streets our development lost more. I attended the funeral of Bill Jones last week. I have lost 1. 2. Tyson, Don Stevenson, 3. Richard York, 4. Heather Kaufman's live in grandmom, 5. Greg Tursline, and Bill Jones, all on one street since 2020. I imagine others have suffered similar losses.

58. I do not want to die. In the Bible death is labeled an enemy, but I do not feel well at all now. I am dangerously dehydrated and need time to recuperate.

59. I think I should try to draft a motion for a reagument on the denial for a recusal of Judge Scirca. I am just too worn out to work on it the next few days. I am not sure whether I should draft a motion for reagument on the denial of the recusal for Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica in the Eastern District Court of PA appeal, 22-3372.

60. I asked opposing counsel her position. She has not responded.

61. I am in awe to realize Danny Bickel at the US Supreme Court took time to talk with me. I did not know he was the motions clerk for the entire US Supreme Court for the entire country, not merely for assigned circuits. I asked him previously whether I could appeal the denial of the recusals prior to the Court's final decision. He said no. I have to do it at once.

62. I think he may have been mistaken. The answer may be a legal conclusion only a judge could render. The US Supreme Court previously denied a petition for cert relating to recusing a judge separately in the attached Martin v Knox, 112 S.Ct. 620 that is distinguished from my case in that the underlying recusal motion were allegedly without merit. This may arguably not create precedent that recusal motions may not be separately appealable given the split in the Circuits. The dissent indicated,

> "The petition is not frivolous because it raises a question on which the Courts of Appeals are in conflict. Compare In re Beard, 811 F.2d 818, 827 (CA4 1987) (district judge's failure to disqualify himself can be reviewed by a petition for writ of mandamus); Union Carbide Corp. v. U.S. Cutting Service, Inc., 782 F.2d 710, 713 (CA7 1986) (same), with Pittsburgh v. Simmons, 729 F.2d 953, 954 (CA3 1984) (judge's failure to recuse himself is reviewable only after final judgment); Cleveland v. Krupansky, 619 F.2d 576, 578 (CA6) (same), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 834, 101 S.Ct. 106, 66 L.Ed.2d 40 (1980). Accordingly, it would be inappropriate to invoke Rule 39.8 and deny Martin's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. I nevertheless agree that it is proper to deny the certiorari petition because it appears that the underlying recusal motion has no merit."

63. I am so disappointed in myself for not blindly deferring to what others say, but seeking to look up things myself. There appears to be a split in the circuit.

64. You know that I seek to separate government from religion to safeguard our freedoms to worship or not, despite the fact I outline why my religious beliefs require I seek to preserve the actual impartiality, not the mere appearance of the courts.

65. I am very dehydrated and I need time to recuperate.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated 6/26/23

Meghan M. Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(302) 493-6693, Not acting as a lawyer
Defending my First Amendment private right to believe in Jesus Christ as God, not money or mammon as God

Under Religious objection I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: June 26, 2023

Meghan Kelly (printed)

(signed)

Exhibit A

Re: 22-45 efiling request sent to docket/ Think about it/I am slammed in multiple matters

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: paed_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov

Cc: gail_olson@paed.uscourts.gov; chambers_of_judge_paul_s_diamond@paed.uscourts.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, June 22, 2023 at 10:49 AM EDT

Good morning,

Per your request in the email below, I mailed a Motion for ECF access on Tuesday June 21, 2023, which this court received Tuesday June 22, 2023, per the attached tracking and postal receipt with tracking number.

For the convenience of the Court, I also emailed Gail Olson all of the papers included in the motion. However, I had to break down one exhibit as too large. In the paper copies I provided it to you with a large binder so it is easier to scan in. I have a hard time taking off the giant staples.

This morning June 22, 2023, I called this District Court and spoke with Dan at the pro se desk. He indicated the Court has not yet docketed the motion. I alerted him to the fact this is on appeal with the Third Circuit 22-3372. So, I wanted to confirm this Court would docket the matter and not mistakenly not docket it in light of the appeal.

I understand it may take a day or two docket. Could you please confirm receipt.

Thank you,
Meg
Meghan Kelly
34012 Shawnee Dr.
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

On Tuesday, May 16, 2023 at 08:17:16 AM EDT, PAED_ clerksoffice <paed_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov> wrote:

Meghan you will need to request e-filing on your case. Just mail in your filing and ask for e-filing. Don't send anything else, just the one request for e-filing. The judge will do an order and then, you have to go on PACER like you did, but this time you need to ask as a party, not an attorney. While on this case you are a party (pro se), and I can not link up your account to your name if you select attorney.

I have to reject your request, because you neither requested ECF on your case, and there is no order, and you selected attorney instead of party.

Clerk's Office

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2023 6:06 PM

To: Gail Olson <Gail_Olson@paed.uscourts.gov>; Chambers of Judge Paul S Diamond <Chambers_of_Judge_Paul_S_Diamond@paed.uscourts.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: 22-45 efiling request sent to docket/ Think about it/I am slammed in multiple matters

CAUTION - EXTERNAL:

Hi Gail,

I applied for e-filing registration only. I am showing you the picture attached which gives me the choice

1. Attorneys admissions E-file or
2. Efiling registrations only.

I applied for e-filing registration only.

I do waive my right for the items I emailed you to be docketed should you deny this request. I merely thought it may be a way to accommodate you should you not desire to docket my case as the court has done in the same fashion throughout the proceeding. I have served this court by email since the inception of the case and reserve my right to do the same throughout the proceeding to prevent manifest injustice as applied.

Regards,

Meg

Meghan Kelly

34012 Shawnee Dr.

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302) 493-6693

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

To: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2023 at 05:55:35 PM EDT

Subject:

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

11 Tracking received June 21.pdf
1.2MB

Exhibit B

RE: 22-45 efiling request sent to docket/ Think about it/I am slammed in multiple matters

From: PAED_ clerksoffice (paed_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov)

To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, June 22, 2023 at 12:13 PM EDT

We have received your filing and it will be filed as of yesterday when it was received.

Clerk's Office

From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 10:49 AM
To: PAED_ clerksoffice <PAED_clerksoffice@paed.uscourts.gov>
Cc: Gail Olson <Gail_Olson@paed.uscourts.gov>; Chambers of Judge Paul S Diamond <Chambers_of_Judge_Paul_S_Diamond@paed.uscourts.gov>; Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: 22-45 efiling request sent to docket/ Think about it/I am slammed in multiple matters

CAUTION - EXTERNAL:

Good morning,

Per your request in the email below, I mailed a Motion for ECF access on Tuesday June 21, 2023, which this court received Tuesday June 22, 2023, per the attached tracking and postal receipt with tracking number.

For the convenience of the Court, I also emailed Gail Olson all of the papers included in the motion. However, I had to break down one exhibit as too large. In the paper copies I provided it to you with a large binder so it is easier to scan in. I have a hard time taking off the giant staples.

This morning June 22, 2023, I called this District Court and spoke with Dan at the pro se desk. He indicated the Court has not yet docketed the motion. I alerted him to the fact this is on appeal with the Third Circuit 22-3372. So, I wanted to confirm this Court would docket the matter and not mistakenly not docket it in light of the appeal.

I understand it may take a day or two docket. Could you please confirm receipt.

Thank you,

Exhibit C

Not for filing 22-45 Motion to stop non judges and non lawyers from being above the law to eliminate the rule of law

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: chambers_of_judge_paul_s_diamond@paed.uscourts.gov; gail_olson@paed.uscourts.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Thursday, June 22, 2023 at 09:08 AM EDT

Good morning,

Please see the attached. I believe judges will be replaced if the courts do not address this issue.

Judge Scirica denied this same motion in the civil rights case. Yet, this motion is still pending above.

If I could get the US Supreme Court to limit correction of judges to the purview of the constitution's limits without waiver under 1. cases and controversies and 2. impeachment, maybe the courts could prevent the planned overthrow of the government.

Maybe this Court may come up with better ideas.

Thank you for your kind consideration.

Very truly,
Meg
Meghan Kell
3402 Shawnee Dr
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Not acting as an attorney, Defending my private religious belief in Jesus as God, not money and mammon as God to be manipulated and controlled by those who unjustly control the resources, called children of the devil. Matthew 6:23

DI 44-1 motion consideration amending rules.pdf
99.5kB

154-5 Exhibit D Judge Scirica is a big deal.pdf
802.3kB

Exhibit D

Fw: 2913 dd3 Non attorneys above the law without a license/Need the law to tame lawless lusts which harm the public/Please think about it

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: harriet.brumberg@pacourts.us

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2023 at 03:21 PM EDT

fyi

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Anthony Sodroski <anthony.sodroski@pacourts.us>
Cc: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 7, 2022 at 09:27:10 AM EST
Subject: 2913 dd3 Non attorneys above the law without a license/Need the law to tame lawless lusts which harm the public/Please think about it

Good morning,

I have not drafted the second motion yet, but have an important consideration we both may somehow address.

Looking at the rules again, it appears you have jurisdiction over attorneys, but not businesses and others.

I argue we need people like you to protect the public from businesses, individuals and entities who act above the law as nonlawyer attorneys on behalf others, messing up the chain of title on deeds in Delaware and most likely harming the public in other ways.

There is talk of eliminating lawyers by entities who are above your correction and guidance. They appear to be above the law only to take over the practice of law. We should discuss the importance of expanding your reach to others beyond those with active licenses to practice law.

Instead of licenses giving permission and grant, it appears non attorneys have more permission than those with licenses.

There is a real schemed attack on the profession of law and people judges.

I am not misleading you. If you can think of a way we can persuade the Courts of the importance of extending your position to those without licenses to practice law, non-attorneys it is a first step. I understand the written laws must change in order to achieve the desired goal of protecting the impartial rule of law from partial standards through automation.

Thank you for thinking about it. Looking back now, the reason why ODC was irritated back in 2016, when I contacted them after my dear friend Dick Goll's death, about out of state title companies practicing law without a license, taking advantage of precious people like my friend, messing on the chain of title, harming the public, and not paying taxes, was because they are above the law's reach. The ODC could not correct non-attorneys.Businesses should not be the law or above the law allowing killing, stealing or destroying life or property for the bottom line.

I am realizing this now as I made subject matter jurisdiction motions. What can we do to make the world more just and fair. Equality by compelled sameness is not equal protections under the law. Equal protections means the government protects people's beliefs to believe by their conscience, to speak on such beliefs, and to live by such beliefs even if they do not conform to the majorities. Otherwise individual liberty is no longer protected but is sacrificed to lawless lusts of the mob, which violates the ignored First Amendment rights of the individual.

Please think about this.

Thank you,
Meg
Meghan Kelly
34012 Shawnee Dr.
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(302) 493-6693

Case 1:21-cv-01490-CFC Document 158-5 Filed 06/26/23 Page 4 of 8 PageID #: 20417

Fw: 2913 dd3 Lawyers are special not price tags priceless so are judges/Justice is more valuable than money and is not for sale

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: harriet.brumberg@pacourts.us

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2023 at 03:22 PM EDT

fyi

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: Anthony Sodroski <anthony.sodroski@pacourts.us>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 12:43:47 PM EST
Subject: 2913 dd3 Lawyers are special not price tags priceless so are judges/Justice is more valuable than money and is not for sale

fyi

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>
To: david.weiss@usdoj.gov <david.weiss@usdoj.gov>; Shen Zi-Xiang (DOJ) <zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov>
Cc: karen.truitt@delaware.gov <karen.truitt@delaware.gov>; jennifer.mitchell@delaware.gov <jennifer.mitchell@delaware.gov>; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; david.weiss@usdoj.gov <david.weiss@usdoj.gov>; Radinson-Blasucci Caneel (DOJ) <caneel.radinson-blasucci@delaware.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 11:01:16 AM EST
Subject: 21-3198 Fw: Lawyers are special not price tags priceless so are judges/Justice is more valuable than money and is not for sale

David Weiss,

When people say not to worry, worry. When they say they will not eliminate judges or lawyers, they mean not right now. By people, I mean businessmen, philanthropists and scientists making hypothesis blinded by their own selfish desires for positions, power or profit, not seeing clearly to understand truth.

Judges need not be perfect to care to render justice. Injustice will be guaranteed if they act as machines instead of men.

Attached, find some articles, I pulled last night. I already knew about the fluff misguided men market regarding the elimination of lawyers and judges. I did not look at these before last night. I want to show you in case you thought I was not speaking plainly about my concerns.

This must not be allowed to happen. We must use our brains to stop it. Freedom is not protected by bullets or bucks. Freedom is not protected by buying freedom (which is for sale, not free, or freedom). Nor is freedom preserved by the lie killing people to make your will be done is protecting freedom when it is enslaving others. It is naughty.

Freedom is protected by great minds such as yours.

The ov[redacted]