

***United States Court of Appeals***

FIFTH CIRCUIT  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE  
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700  
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,  
Suite 115  
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

July 13, 2022

MEMORANDUM TO COUNSEL OR PARTIES LISTED BELOW:

No. 17-30610 USA v. Perry  
c/w 17-30611 USDC No. 2:15-CR-154

Enclosed is an order entered in this case.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Whitney M. Jett, Deputy Clerk  
504-310-7772

Mr. Kevin G. Boitmann  
Mr. Graham Leaming Bosworth  
Ms. Diane Hollenshead Copes  
Mr. Kyle Daly  
Mr. Arthur A. Lemann III  
Mr. Jeffrey Ryan McLaren  
Ms. Carol L. Michel  
Ms. Sarah Lynn Ottinger  
Mr. Mark David Plaisance  
Mr. Myles Drew Ranier  
Mr. Michael Gilbert Raspanti  
Ms. Nisha Sandhu  
Mr. William Sothern  
Ms. Autumn Alycia Town

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 17-30610

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

JASMINE PERRY,

*Defendant—Appellant,*

CONSOLIDATED WITH

---

No. 17-30611

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

LEROY PRICE; ALONZO PETERS; CURTIS NEVILLE; SOLOMON  
DOYLE; DAMIAN BARNES; ASHTON PRICE; MCCOY WALKER;  
TERRIOUES OWNEY; EVANS LEWIS,

*Defendants—Appellants.*

No. 17-30610  
c/w No. 17-30611

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 2:15-CR-154-4  
USDC Nos. 2:15-CR-154-4; 2:15-CR-154-1;  
2:15-CR-154-3; 2:15-CR-154-8; 2:15-CR-154-11;  
2:15-CR-154-13; 2:15-CR-154-2; 2:15-CR-154-5;  
2:15-CR-154-6 and 2:15-CR-154-7

---

ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

Before JONES, HIGGINSON, and DUNCAN, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

Treating Appellant Solomon Doyle's petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

Treating Appellant Curtis Neville's petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED. R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

Treating the Appellants' joint petition for rehearing en banc as a petition for panel rehearing (5TH CIR. R. 35 I.O.P.), the petition for panel rehearing is DENIED. Because no member of the panel or judge in regular active service requested that the court be polled on rehearing en banc (FED.

No. 17-30610  
c/w No. 17-30611

R. APP. P. 35 and 5TH CIR. R. 35), the petition for rehearing en banc is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Appellant Alonzo Peters' petition for panel rehearing is DENIED.