

No. 22A116

In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RECEIVED
AUG 01 2022

MAURICE OPARAJI,

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
AUG 01 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
Petitioner,

v.

MUNICIPAL CREDIT UNION ("MCU"),

Respondents.

APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Maurice Oparaji, plaintiff- petitioner, respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time, to and including September 1, 2022, within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in this case.

The court of appeals entered its judgment on April 15, 2022, 2008, and denied rehearing en banc on May 18, 2022. Unless extended, the time within which to file a

RECEIVED
AUG 9 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

petition for a writ of certiorari will expire on August 18, 2022. The jurisdiction of this Court would be invoked under 28 U.S.C. 1254(1).

In the meantime, I am unable to file the petition for a writ of certiorari because of the coronavirus effects on me. The requested extension will not result in any delay in the Court's consideration of a petition for a writ of certiorari in this case.

The order of the court of appeals denying rehearing is attached. Court of Appeals Docket #: 21-1518, 05/18/2022, page 5 of 6 herein attached.

This lawsuit under 3430 STATUTES-Banks & Banking, arises out of series of shady overdraft deceptive business practices wherein respondents -Municipal Credit Union imposed sixty-nine (69) charges for overdraft fees on petitioner's checking account that were not authorized by the terms of the agreement between the parties.

not authorized by the terms of the

Petitioner argued that after he reported an unauthorized withdrawal to Municipal Credit Union, ("MCU") within 60 days after he noticed the unauthorized withdrawals that Municipal Credit Union did nothing.

Municipal Credit Union failed to reimburse petitioner for losses he suffered as result of fraudulent transfers and failed to refund the monies it accessed from petitioner's account in violation of the 15 U.S.C. § 1693g(a) of the federal Electronic Fund Transfer Act (EFTA). The district court dismissed petitioner's action.

Petitioner appealed, but the court of appeals affirmed.

The additional time sought by this application would permit petitioner to continue and allow the United States to assess further the need to file a petition for a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,



MAURICE OPARAJI

PETITIONER PRO SE

245-11 133 ROAD

ROSEDALE, NY 11422

(347) 650-7895

MROPARAJI@YAHOO.COM

Executed this 1st day of August 2022.