

No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**In the  
Supreme Court of the United States**

CHARLES JUNTIKKA,

*Applicant,*

v.

CADELL & CHAPMAN, ET AL.,

*Respondents.*

---

**On Application for Extension to File  
a Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

---

**APPLICATION TO JUSTICE KAGAN FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME  
TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Charles W. Juntikka  
*Petitioner and Member of Supreme Court Bar*  
Charles Juntikka & Associates, LLP  
247 West 30<sup>th</sup> Street 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10001  
(212) 315-3755  
charles@cjalaw.com

June 20, 2023

---

**APPLICATION TO JUSTICE KAGAN  
FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 13.5, 22, and 30, Petitioner respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time, up to and including September 1, 2023, to file a petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit to review that court's decision in *Radcliffe v. Equifax Information Services, LLC* (9th Cir., Feb. 23, 2023, No. 21-56284), 2023 WL 2184817. (APP 1)

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued its opinion on February 23, 2023. (APP 1). A timely petition for rehearing was denied on April 4, 2023. (APP 6). The 90-day deadline to file a petition for writ of certiorari, invoking jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1254(1), would be July 3, 2023. The requested 60-day extension thus extends the deadline to September 1, 2023.

This application is timely because it has been filed more than 10 days prior to the original date on which the time for filing the petition is to expire.

**PARTIES TO THE APPLICATION**

Petitioner Charles Juntikka is an attorney who was a party to an arbitration agreement along with six other law firms. The arbitral award denied Mr. Juntikka his share of the arbitral award as required by the attorney fee allocation provisions of the arbitral agreement. The decision of the arbitrator stated that the arbitration terms would not control their decision and that the arbitration award would be dictated exclusively on their view of what was equitable. Mr. Juntikka filed a motion to vacate the arbitration award pursuant to Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) §10, which

was denied by the United States District Court for the Central District of California.  
(APP 8).

Thus the primary parties to this application and the petition when filed include  
are law firms involved in the proceedings:

**Applicant**

- Charles Juntikka and Associates LLP

**Respondent Law Firms**

- Lief, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein LLP
- Francis Mailman Soumilas, P.C.
- National Consumer Law Center
- Consumer Litigation Associates, P.C.
- Callahan, Thompson, Sherman & Caudill LLP
- Public Justice, P.C.
- Equifax Information Services
- Experian Information Solutions, Inc.
- Trans Union LLC

**Appellees Below Represented by Applicant in the Underlying Case**

- Robert Radcliffe
- Chester Carter
- Maria Falcon
- Clifton C. Seale III
- Arnold Lovell, Jr.

**Appellees Below Represented by Other Attorneys in the Underlying Case**

- Jose Hernandez
- Kathryn Pike
- Lewis Mann
- Robert Randall
- Bertram Robison

## REASONS JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME

Charles Juntikka is the senior attorney at a two-attorney law firm with a significant number of pending matters. Currently, counsel does not have an adequate amount of time to prepare an effective petition for writ of certiorari. A one-time extension of 60 days will allow counsel to prepare an effective petition.

This complex appeal involves three separate class actions against Experian, Equifax and TransUnion that were litigated over a seventeen-year period and four Ninth Circuit appeals. The fourth and final appeal involves the arbitration decision that is the subject of the writ. It includes seven law firms who are party to the arbitration award and the subsequent appeals to the District Court for the Central District of California and the Ninth Circuit including the motion for en banc review.

Mr. Juntikka's law firm has reviewed the voluminous record and worked to draft the request for certiorari, but we respectfully request this one-time extension of 60 days will allow counsel to prepare an effective petition.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles W. Juntikka  
*Petitioner and Member of Supreme Court Bar*  
Charles Juntikka & Associates, LLP  
247 West 30<sup>th</sup> Street 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10001  
(212) 315-3755  
charles@cjalaw.com

June 20, 2023