IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RALPH LEROY MENZIES, Petitioner,

vs.

ROBERT POWELL, Warden, Utah State Correctional Facility, Respondent.

CAPITAL CASE

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

VOLUME 6 OF 6

JON M. SANDS
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
District of Arizona

LINDSEY LAYER

Counsel of Record

ERIC ZUCKERMAN

ASSISTANT FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS
850 West Adams Street, Suite 201

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

(602) 382-2816 (voice)

(602) 889-3960 (facsimile)

Lindsey_Layer@fd.org

Eric_Zuckerman@fd.org

Counsel for Petitioner Ralph Menzies

Appendix Index

Volume I Annualization Manager Provided No. 10 4049 (10th Circ No. 7, 2022)
Appendix A: Opinion, Menzies v. Powell, No. 19-4042 (10th Cir. Nov. 7, 2022) 1a
Appendix B: Order Denying Petition for Rehearing and /or Rehearing En Bank, Menzies v. Powell, No. 194042 (10th Cir. Jan. 3, 2023)
Appendix C: Mandate, <i>Menzies v. Powell</i> , No. 19-4042 (10th Cir. Jan. 11, 2023)
<u>Volume II</u> Appendix D: Opinion, <i>State v. Menzies</i> , No. 880101 (Utah, March 11, 1992) 62a
Appendix E: Opinion, State v. Menzies, No. 880161 (Utah, March 29, 1994) 90a
Appendix F: Opinion, <i>Menzies v. State</i> , No. 94-647I (U.S., Jan. 17, 1995) 108a
Appendix G: Opinion, <i>Menzies v. Galetka</i> , No. 20040289 (Utah, Dec. 15, 2006)
Appendix H: Opinion, Menzies v. State, No. 20120290 (Utah, Sept. 23, 2014) 151a
Appendix I: Opinion, <i>Menzies v. Crowther</i> , No. 03-CV-0902-CVE-FHM (D. Utah, Jan. 11, 2019)
Appendix J: Opinion and Order Denying Motion to Alter Judgment, Menzies v. Benzon, No. 03-CV-0902-CVE-FHM (D. Utah, Feb. 19, 2019)
Volume III Appendix K: Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Menzies v. Crowther, No. 2:03-CV-0902-CVE-FHM (D. Utah, Aug. 31, 2015) 291a
<u>Volume IV</u> Appendix K Continued: Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Menzies v. Crowther, No. 2:03-CV-0902-CVE-FHM (D. Utah, Aug. 31, 2015) 569a
Appendix L: Reply to Response to Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, <i>Menzies v. Crowther</i> , No. 2:03-CV-0902-CVE-FHM (D. Utah, Dec. 12, 2016)

Volume V
Appendix M: Motion Hearing Transcript, State v. Menzies, No. CR 86887
(3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, Dec. 3–5, 1990)
Appendix N: Excerpts of Reporter's Transcripts of Proceedings, <i>State v. Menzies</i> , No. CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, Feb. 1 and 10, 1988) 1040a
Volume VI
Appendix O: Excerpts of Reporter's Transcripts of Proceedings, State v. Menzies,
No. CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, Feb. 23 and 24, 1988) 1045a
Appendix P: Excerpts of Reporter's Transcripts of Proceedings, State v. Menzies,
No. CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake C nty. Utah, Feb. 25 and 26, 1988) 1056a $$
Appendix Q: Excerpts of Tr. of Proceedings, State v. Menzies, No. CR86887
(3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, March 7, 8 and 9, 1988) 1064a
Appendix R: Version 1 of Excerpts of Tr. of Proceedings, State v. Menzies, No.
CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, March 3 and 4, 1988) 1070a
Appendix S: Version 2 of Excerpts of Tr. of Proceedings, <i>State v. Menzies</i> , No. CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, March 3 and 4, 1988) 1076a
Choose (Su Judiciai Dist. Sait Lake Chty. Utan, March 5 and 4, 1966) 1076a
Appendix T: Version 3 of Excerpts of Tr. of Proceedings, State v. Menzies, No.
CR86887 (3d Judicial Dist. Salt Lake Cnty. Utah, March 3 and 4, 1988) 1082a

Appendix O

Appendix O

O K

IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S. UNO

STATE OF UTAH,

ALLAND PLAINTIFF, : CIVIL NO. CR86-887

-VS-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES,

DEFENDANT.

----00000---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD

FEBRUARY 23 & 24, 1988

----00000----

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

ERNIE JONES

SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY

231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC.

333 SOUTH 200 EAST

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

REPORTED BY:
FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE Salt Lake County Utah

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR.

SEP 6 1988

SEP1 31988

001156

H. Dixon Hindley, Clerk 3rd Dist

1		INDEX	•		
2	STATE'S WITNESSES	DIRECT CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
3	LARRABEE, TIM				
4	BY MR. JONES		1280	•	
5	BY MS. WELLS	1249		1291	
6	BRECKENHAUER, JAMES H.				
7	BY MR. JONES	1304	1312		
8	BY MS. WELLS	1307			
9	THOMPSON, JERRY	÷			-
10	BY MR. JONES	1314, 1387	1378	. •	
11	BY MS. WELLS	1340,	1389		
12	DENTER, GARY TROY		•		
13	BY MR. JONES	1394, 1422	1463		
14	BY MS. WELLS	1425		1472	
15	FRANKS, JANET				
16	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL	1477	1517	, .	
17	BY MS. WELLS	1484, 1	.513		
18	DUFFY, RODNEY MICHAEL				
19	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL	1498			
20	BY MS. WELLS	1507			1499
21	IOVINO, JOSEPH				
22	BY MR. JONES	1518	1544		
23	BY MS. PALACIOS	1527	1	1545	
24					
25			•		
		•			1239

1	MS. PALACIOS: I AM SORRY. I THOUGHT HE Corre
2	WOULD HAVE A COPY, AND THAT'S THE ONLY ONE I HAVE.
3	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
4	Q. BY MS. PALACIOS: OKAY. AND IN THIS SECOND
5	REPORT, THAT IS DATED MARCH 1ST, IS THAT CORRECT, THAT IS
6	THE SECOND ONE?
7	A. YES.
8	Q. OKAY. AND IN THAT REPORT, IN THERE YOU
9	INDICATE THAT YOU DID NOT YOU DID NOT SAY ANYTHING IN
10	THERE THAT YOU WOULD TRY TO COME BACK AND LEGALLY ENTER?
11	A. NO.
12	Q. AND, IN FACT, IN THIS REPORT, WHAT YOU SAID
13	IS THAT YOU WOULD KICK THE DOOR IN, AND YOU WOULD TRICK
14	HER INTO ENTERING; IS THAT CORRECT?
15	A. IT WAS A RUSE TYPE THING, YES.
16	Q. YOU GAVE A TIME OF COMING OF THE ARREST, I
17	BELIEVE, AS ABOUT 20 TO 7:00; IS THAT CORRECT?
18	A. NO. THE TIME OF ARRIVAL IN THE JAIL WAS
19	APPROXIMATELY
20 -	Q. I AM SORRY. THAT IS WHAT I MEANT.
21	A. SOMEWHERE AROUND THERE.
22	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECORD AT THAT TIME THAT
23	YOU RECORDED THAT EXACT TIME?
24	A. I TRIED TO GET THAT. I WAS UNABLE TO DO
25	so.

Q. SO, IN FACT, YOU TRIED TO FIND SOMETHING IN 1 2 YOUR RECORD THAT TOLD YOU THE TIME? I FOUND MY LINE SHEET. BUT YOU DON'T HAVE ANY EXACT TIME OF WHEN 5 YOU ENTERED INTO THE BOOKING AREA; IS THAT CORRECT? I DON'T KNOW. Α. YOU DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING, OR YOU DON'T HAVE ANYTHING? 8 I DON'T. DISPATCH WOULD, THOUGH. 10 Q. AND SO YOU DON'T KNOW THE EXACT TIME THAT 11 YOU BROUGHT MR. MENZIES IN; IS THAT CORRECT? NOT AN EXACT TIME, NO. 12 13 Q. AND THERE IS NOTHING IN THE REPORTS 14 REGARDING AN EXACT TIME; IS THAT CORRECT? 15 IF I COULD LOOK AT IT, AGAIN. 16 Q. DID YOU REVIEW YOUR REPORTS BEFORE YOU CAME 17 TO TESTIFY TODAY? 18 Α. YES, I DID. 19 Q. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO FIND ANYTHING IN THERE WITH RESPECT TO THE TIME? 20 A. I CAN'T RECALL. I DIDN'T TRY TO LOCATE 21 22 THAT. 23 Q. JUST A MINUTE. I WILL GIVE YOU THAT TO REVIEW, AGAIN. I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU, THEN, BOTH 24

25

REPORTS.

- A. I DO HAVE SOME TIMES HERE.
- Q. DO YOU HAVE THE TIME AS TO ENTRY AND TO THE
- 3 BOOKING AREA, THE EXACT TIME?
- 4 A. NOT SURE HOW THEY -- WHERE THEY PUT THE
- 5 TIMES ON THIS. THERE IS A TIME OF 6:48.
- 6 Q. BUT I AM ASKING YOU IF YOU HAVE ANY REPORT
- 7 THAT TELLS YOU EXACTLY WHAT TIME YOU ENTERED THE BOOKING
- 8 AREA.

- 9 A. THERE WOULD BE A RECORD OF WHAT TIME I
- 10 CALLED OUT AT THE JAIL.
- 11 Q. I AM JUST ASKING YOU IS THERE ANY RECORD OF
- 12 THE EXACT TIME THAT YOU GOT TO THAT BOOKING AREA?
- A. WITH ME, NO.
- 14 Q. NOW, OFFICER IOVINO, THIS FIRST REPORT THAT
- 15 YOU PREPARED WAS THE REPORT THAT YOU PREPARED PRIOR TO
- 16 ANY KNOWLEDGE OF MR. MENZIES BEING A SUSPECT IN A
- 17 | HOMICIDE CASE; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 18 A. YES.
- 19 Q. AND THE SECOND REPORT WAS PREPARED AFTER
- 20 YOU WERE ADVISED THAT HE WAS A SUSPECT IN A HOMICIDE
- 21 CASE; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 22 A. I BELIEVE SO.
- Q. AND, IN FACT, YOU WERE REQUESTED TO PREPARE
- 24 A REPORT FOR REFERRAL TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR
- 25 THEIR INVESTIGATION; IS THAT CORRECT?

. 1	A. I CAN'T RECALL, BUT THAT SEEMS LOGICAL.
2	Q. AND I AM GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT YOUR
3	FIRST REPORT, TOWARD THE BOTTOM. IN THAT FIRST REPORT
4	THAT YOU PREPARED BEFORE YOU KNEW MR. MENZIES WAS A
5	SUSPECT IN A HOMICIDE CASE, YOU INCLUDED IN THAT REPORT
6	YOUR BOOKING OF MR. MENZIES IN JAIL; IS THAT CORRECT?
7	A. YES.
8	Q. AND, IN FACT, YOUR COMMENT WITH RESPECT TO
9	BOOKING SIMPLY WAS, "RALPH WAS BOOKED IN BY ME AND DID
10	NOT GIVE ME ANY PROBLEMS OR TROUBLE AND WAS VERY
11	COOPERATIVE"; IS THAT CORRECT?
12	A. YES.
13	Q. AND AFTER THAT THERE IS NOTHING ELSE WITH
14	RESPECT TO THE BOOKING OF MR. MENZIES; IS THAT CORRECT?
15	A. YES.
16	Q. THERE'S NOTHING IN THERE REGARDING HIM
L 7	BEING SURPRISED OR HAVING A FRIGHTENED LOOK IN HIS EYE;
L 8	IS THAT CORRECT?
L 9	A. NOPE.
20	Q. THERE'S NOTHING IN THERE ABOUT HIM RUNNING
21	DOWN THE CORRIDOR; IS THAT CORRECT?
22	A. NO.
:3	Q. AND THAT WAS IN A REPORT THAT WAS PREPARED
4	SIX DAYS AFTER MR. MENZIES WAS BOOKED; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	A. WHATEVER THE REPORT WAS. IT DID SAY

MR. MENZIES WAS BOOKED.
Q. I AM TALKING ABOUT THE SECOND REPORT, THE
MARCH 1ST REPORT.
A. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE REPORT I MADE THAT
DAY.
Q. I AM TALKING ABOUT THE I AM SORRY. YOU ARE RIGHT. I GUESS I WAS GETTING AT THAT.
ARE RIGHT. I GUESS I WAS GETTING AT THAT.
THAT REPORT WAS PREPARED IN A TIME YOU HAD
NO KNOWLEDGE THAT MR. MENZIES WAS A SUSPECT IN THE
HOMICIDE CASE?
A. YES, YOU ARE RIGHT.
Q. AND THE SECOND REPORT WAS PREPARED A FULL
SIX DAYS AFTER YOU BOOKED HIM?
A. YES.
MS. PALACIOS: IF I CAN JUST HAVE A MOMENT.
THAT IS ALL I HAVE.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JONES:
Q. DETECTIVE IOVINO, WHEN YOU GOT TO RALPH
MENZIES' APARTMENT TO MAKE THE ARREST, WAS HE WEARING
SHOES?
A. NO.
Q. WAS HE WEARING SOCKS?
A. NO.
Q. WAS HE WEARING A SHIRT?

Q. AND ONCE YOU MADE THAT CONNECTION,	YOU THEN
2 HAD OCCASION TO RECALL THE TIME FRAME THAT YOU F	OUND
3 THESE CARDS; IS THAT RIGHT?	
A. SAY THAT AGAIN?	
Q. IN OTHER WORDS, IT WAS JUST THE VEH	RY NEXT
6 DAY WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT THOSE CARDS HAD SIGNI	FICANCE
7 THAT YOU THEN REMEMBERED WHAT TIME IT WAS THAT Y	OU FOUND
8 THE CARDS; IS THAT RIGHT?	
9 A. NO. (were you)?	us — se
Q. AT THAT TIME, YOU WERE PLACING A T	
A. NO. I KNEW ABOUT WHAT TIME I FOUND	THEM.
Q. AND WHEN YOU REMEMBERED THAT TIME ?	гнат уои
	·
14 IS THAT CORRECT? Jann, dodn't different	<u>e </u>
A. (WITNESS NODS) IN THE AFFIRMATIVE.)	
Q. DIDN'T YOU MAKE A STATEMENT TO THE	
	s?
A. WELL, YES, TWO DAYS LATER.	·
19 Q. OKAY.	
20 A. IT WAS THURSDAY.	
Q. YOU TALKED TO THE DETECTIVE TWO DAY	YS LATER
22 ON THURSDAY, AND AT THAT TIME, YOU GAVE HIM YOUR	ESTIMATE
23 AS TO THE TIME YOU FOUND THE CARDS; IS THAT CORR	ECT?
A. YES, MA'AM.	
Q. AND AT THAT TIME, YOU TOLD HIM THAT	I IT WAS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HAD OCCASION TO RECALL THE TIME FRAME THAT YOU F THESE CARDS; IS THAT RIGHT? A. SAY THAT AGAIN? Q. IN OTHER WORDS, IT WAS JUST THE VEH DAY WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT THOSE CARDS HAD SIGNI THAT YOU THEN REMEMBERED WHAT TIME IT WAS THAT Y THE CARDS; IS THAT RIGHT? A. NO. Q. AT THAT TIME, YOU WERE PLACING A T: A. NO. I KNEW ABOUT WHAT TIME I FOUND Q. AND WHEN YOU REMEMBERED THAT TIME ? FOUND THE CARDS, YOU THEN REPORTED THAT TO A DET IS THAT CORRECT? A. (WITNESS NODS) IN THE AFFIRMATIVE:) Q. DIDN'T YOU MAKE A STATEMENT TO THE DETECTIVE ABOUT THE TIME THAT YOU FOUND THE CARD A. WELL, YES, TWO DAYS LATER. Q. OKAY. A. IT WAS THURSDAY. Q. YOU TALKED TO THE DETECTIVE TWO DAY ON THURSDAY, AND AT THAT TIME, YOU GAVE HIM YOUR AS TO THE TIME YOU FOUND THE CARDS; IS THAT CORR A. YES, MA'AM.

1	ABOUT 6:30 THAT YOU FOUND THE CARDS?					
2	A. I TOLD HIM ABOUT 6:30, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.					
3	Q. NOW, THIS AREA BACK HERE, (THE) CHANGING					
4	ROOM, THIS SLOT IS OPEN SO EVERYONE OUT IN THIS AREA					
5	WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THIS; IS THAT CORRECT?					
6	A. YES, MA'AM.					
7	Q. AND YOU HAVE WHAT ARE CALLED TRUSTEES, WHO					
8	ARE INMATES THAT ARE FREE TO ROAM THE AREA; IS THAT					
9	CORRECT?					
10	A. YES, MA'AM.					
11	Q. AND YOU ALSO HAVE COMING THROUGH HERE					
12	PART-TIMERS?					
13	A. YES, MA'AM.					
14	Q. PEOPLE COME IN ON A TEMPORARY BASIS TO					
15	SERVE, PART-TIME JAILERS?					
16	A. YES, MA'AM.					
17	Q. THEY ALSO COME THROUGH HERE AND HAVE					
18	ACCESS; IS THAT CORRECT?					
19	A. YES, MA'AM.					
20	Q. AND WHEN YOU FOUND THE CARDS, IS IT NOT					
21	TRUE THAT YOU FOUND THEM LYING ON TOP OF A PAIR OF PANTS;					
22	IS THAT CORRECT?					
23	A. THERE WAS OTHER CLOTHES ON TOP.					
24	Q. THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY NEXT QUESTION.					
25	THEY WERE ON TOP OF A PAIR OF PANTS, BUT THERE WERE ALSO					

STATE OF UTAH : SS. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE CERTIFICATE I, TAUNI D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER. DATED: SEPTEMBER 5, 1988. TAUNI D. LEE, LICENSE #6770

Appendix P

Appendix P

1 3 1 1990

IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALTOFRE SPUNTY ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S.

--00000

STATE OF UTAH,

PLAINTIFF, : CIVIL NO. CR86-887

-Vs-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES.

DEFENDANT.

----00000----

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD

FEBRUARY 25 & 26, 1988

----00000-----

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

ERNIE JONES RICK MAC DOUGALL SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY 231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE Salf Lake County Utah

BROOKE C. WELLS FRANCES M. PALACIOS SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC. 333 SOUTH 200 EAST

ELMET

SEP 6 1988

H. Dixentury Cierx 3/8 bist. Pourt

REPORTED BY:

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR. #6770

	,		ι .				•
1			INDE	X			
2	STATE'S WITNESS	<u>ses</u>	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
3	HUNSAKER, JIM						
4	BY MR. JONES	\$	1870				
5	BY MS. WELLS	;	·	1872			
б	THOMPSON, JERRY						
7	BY MR. JONES	;	1874		1885		
8	BY MS. WELLS	į.		1884			
9	KOCHERHANS, DON	ALD C.					ļ !
10	BY MR. MAC D	OUGALL	1890		1895		
11	BY MS. PALAC	TIOS		1893		189	6
12	·						
13							
14			EXHIB	<u>ITS</u>	·		
15					Fon		_,,
16	STATE'S	DESCRI	PTION	<u> 11</u>	FOR DENTIFICAT		IN DENCE
17	5 - PHOTO	OF CREAM	-COLORED (CAR	1778		
18	19 - РНОТО	OF LEFT	EYE		1619	1	679
19	20 - РНОТО	OF BACK	OF RIGHT I	HAND	1617	1	679
20	21 - PHOTO	OF UPPER	LIP		1619	1	679
21	22 - РНОТО	OF BACK	OF NECK		1611	1	679
22	23 - РНОТО	OF OUTSI	DÉ OF LEF	duáh 1	1617	1	679
23	24 - РНОТО	OF LEFT	SIDE, LEFT	Ü HAND	1617	1	679
24	25 - PHOTO	OF SHOUL	DERS AND I	FACE	1619	1	679
25	26 - PHOTO	OF BACK	OF LEFT HA	ИND	1617	1	679
							1596

1058a

4	STAB WOUNDS HAD BEEN PRESENT BY THEMSELVES IN A HEALTHY
2	PERSON, IMPLYING THAT AT THE TIME THE CUTS OCCURRED, THAT
3	SHE WAS BEGINNING TO DIE.
4	Q. AND WHAT QUANTITY OF BLOOD DID YOU FIND IN
5	THE LUNGS, THEN?
6	A. BASICALLY, I DESCRIBED IT AS RELATIVELY
7	MILD, AS I SAID.
8	Q. AS PART OF YOUR INTERNAL EXAMINATION, DID
9	YOU CHECK THE VICTIM'S BLADDER?
10	A. I DID.
11	Q. WHAT DID YOU DISCOVER THERE?
12	A. IN THE BLADDER WAS 420 MILLILITERS OF
13	URINE. THERE ARE 28 MILLILITERS TO THE OUNCE, SO THAT
14	WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY, OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, 16
15	ounces.
16	Q. ARE YOU ABLE TO DRAW
17	A. APPROXIMATELY 16 OUNCES OF URINE.
18	Q. ARE YOU ABLE TO DRAW ANY CONCLUSIONS WITH
19	REFERENCE TO THAT QUANTITY OF URINE?
20	A. JUST I THINK, WHICH WOULD BE OBVIOUS TO THE
21	LAYPERSON, SHE HAD NOT URINATED IN THE TIME SLIGHTLY
22	BEFORE DEATH.
23	Q. DR. SWEENEY, DID YOU FIND ANYTHING ELSE
24	DURING YOUR INTERNAL EXAMINATION?
25	THE COURT: LET'S CALL A RECESS HERE. JUST

Minute MOMENT.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court; have them take the JUROR OUT. ONE JUROR FAINTED.)

(TAKING THE JUROR OUT?)

MR. JONES: JUDGE, WITH REFERENCE TO WHICH EXHIBIT IS IT? IT'S THE IDENTIFICATION OF MAUREEN
HUNSAKER. THE DEFENSE APPARENTLY OBJECTED ON THE GROUNDS
THEY FELT THERE WAS MORE FOUNDATION REQUIRED, AND THAT IS
EXHIBIT 46, BY THE WAY. I WOULD SUBMIT TO THE COURT THAT
ADEQUATE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN LAID FOR THE ADMISSION OF
THAT IDENTIFICATION FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS. FIRST OF
ALL --

MS. WELLS: EXCUSE ME, JUST A MINUTE. I DIDN'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT, BUT THE DEFENDANT IS NOT HERE. PERHAPS THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN WAIT FOR. HE NEEDS TO BE PRESENT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU MAY HAVE TO JUST WAIT.

MS. WELLS: I DON'T THINK WE ARE IN A

POSITION TO WAIVE HIS APPEARANCE.

MR. JONES: CAN WE JUST MAKE THE ARGUMENT SO THAT THE COURT UNDERSTANDS WHAT OUR POSITION IS? I AM NOT ASKING YOU TO RULE.

THE COURT: I ESSENTIALLY KNOW WHAT YOUR

POSITION IS. YOU ALREADY ESSENTIALLY MENTIONED MOST OF

IT. MR. JONES: IT'S SIMPLY, I THINK THE

A LIST OF PERSONS WHO WERE THERE AT THE TIME, AND WE COULD ASK OUR INVESTIGATORS TO INTERVIEW THOSE WITNESSES AND SEE WHAT THEIR OBSERVATIONS WERE AND WILL PRESENT THAT, AGAIN, TO THE COURT. YOUR HONOR, ANOTHER THING THAT I SUGGESTED AT THE TIME, AND IT HASN'T BECOME NECESSARY, BUT LIEUTENANT WAYMAN, I THINK, DID AGREE TO AND WOULD, IS THAT IF ANY FURTHER SHAKEDOWNS BECOME NECESSARY DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, THAT SOMEBODY FROM OUR OFFICE JUST BE NOTIFIED AND LET THEM GO OVER THERE AND OBSERVE WHAT IS DONE. THE COURT: CAN THAT BE DONE: LIEUTENANT WAYMAN: SURE. THE COURT: OKAY. IF THERE IS NOTHING ELSE, WE SHOULD CALL THE JURY IN. MS. WELLS: ALSO, PERHAPS BEFORE WE DO THAT, WE COULD TAKE UP THE OTHER MATTER AND TAUNI, THIS IS IN REGARDS TO YOUR STATE OF MIND. I KNOW THAT YOU WERE QUITE UPSET OVER THE TESTIMONY THAT TOOK PLACE RIGHT BEFORE THE TRIAL, AND I - WHAT I GUESS WE NEED TO KNOW IS WHETHER OR NOT YOU THINK ANY OF THAT EMOTION WOULD HAVE SHOWN WHILE YOU WERE MAKING YOUR REPORT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD.) This with

THE COURT: YOU HAD SOME QUESTION AS TO

WHETHER SHE MIGHT HAVE STARTED CRYING BEFORE SHE WENT TO

HER ROOM. MS. WELLS: AND PERHAPS WE CAN JUST ASK YOU THAT, DID YOU? THE REPORTER: NO, I DIDN'T. MS. WELLS: THE ONLY REASON FOR BRINGING THAT UP, OF COURSE, IS TO J- IF SOME G SHOULD HAPPEN AND THAT WOULD BECOME SOMETHING THAT THE JURORS COULD OBSERVE, THEN THAT COULD PRESENT A PROBLEM AS COURT PERSONNEL. THE SUGGESTION I WOULD HAVE ON THAT IS 10 IF -- SHOULD THAT HAPPEN AGAIN, JUST LET'S ASK OR ANYONE 11 12 INVOLVED ASK FOR A RECESS. 13 THE COURT: JUST TELL LAVON. 14 NOW, WE NEED THE OTHER JUROR IN. (JUROR ENTERING THE COURTROOM.) 15 THE COURT: HOW DO YOU FEEL? 16 A JUROR: BETTER. KIND OF NERVOUS, BUT --17 THE COURT: WE GOT YOUR MESSAGE. WE WERE 18 JUST A LITTLE / WONDERING HOW YOU FELT, IF YOU FEEL 19 BETTER. YOU SAID YOU KIND OF FELT STRANGE ABOUT GOING IN 20 THERE. WE WERE THINKING, YOU KNOW, EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS 21 WHAT THE PROBLEM IS, SO I DON'T THINK YOU SHOULD FEEL 22 23 THAT PEOPLE WILL BE OVERLY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. A JUROR: MY ONLY CONCERN IS I AM NOT SURE 24

25

HOW I WILL REACT IF DR. SWEENEY TALKS MORE. I THINK I

STATE OF UTAH COUNTY OF SALT LAKE

CERTIFICATE

I, TAUNI D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER.

SS.

DATED: SEPTEMBER 5, 1988.

TAUNI D. LEE, LICENSE #6770

Appendix Q

Appendix Q



IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S. UNO

STATE OF UTAH,

PLAINTIFF,

: CIVIL NO. CR86-887

-VS-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES,

DEFENDANT.

----00000-

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD MARCH 7, 8 & 9, 1988

----00000----

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

ERNIE JONES

RICK MAC DOUGALL

SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY

231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

FRANCES M. PALACIOS

SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC.

333 SOUTH 200 EAST

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

REPORTED BY CLERK'S OFFICE Salt Lake County Utah

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR.

#6770

001100

SEP 6 1988

H. DhamHingley, Clerk 3rd

<u>I</u>	N	D	E	<u> X</u>

1		INDE	Δ			
2						VOIR
. 3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	DIRE
4	JUDD, RICHARD			·		
5	BY MS. PALACIOS	2537				·
6	BY MR. JONES	٠			•	
7	JOHNSON, VIRGIL	-				
. 8	BY MS. WELLS	2540				
9	BY MR. JONES		2543			•
10	DECARIA, DR. MICHAEL D.			•		
11	BY MS. PALACIOS	2545	,	2572		-
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2565		2574	2554
13		·				
	1					The state of the s
14						VOTE
14 15	STATE'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT		VOIR DIRE
	STATE'S WITNESSES SUDWEEKS, BETTY	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT		
15		DIRECT 2579	CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16	SUDWEEKS, BETTY		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18 19	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18 19 20	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUDWEEKS, BETTY BY MR. JONES		CROSS	REDIRECT		

MENTION OF HAVING TO GO TO THE BATHROOM. WELL, YOU HEARD 1 TESTIMONY THERE WAS NO BATHROOM IN THAT CHANGING ROOM AREA. AND THEN OFFICER CHRISTENSEN SAID THAT HE DEFENDANT BACK TO THE AREA WHERE HE WAS TO BE PROCESS FOR BOOKING, THAT THAT PROCEDURE TOOK MAYBE ANOTHER HOUR OR 5 HOUR AND A HALF, AND THAT DURING THAT ENTIRE TIME, MR. MENZIES NEVER ASKED TO GO TO THE RESTROOM. 7 WELL, NOW AGAIN, THE DEFENSE MIGHT MAKE 8 SOMETHING OF A SLIGHT TIME DISCREPANCY HERE. WE DO HAVE A RANGE OF TIMES WITH OFFICER SMITH SAYING, WI THINK 6:30 10 TO 7:00 THAT I FOUND THE IDENTIFICATION. WITH OFFICERS 11 CHRISTENSEN SAYING, WI THINK IT WAS 7:00 TO 7:20 THAT I 12 OBSERVED THE INCIDENTS OCCURRING. 13 AGAIN, I POINT OUT JUST AS THE STATE DID 14 WITH REFERENCE TO MR. LARRABEE'S TESTIMONY, THE TIME 15 THESE EVENTS WERE OCCURRING, THOSE WITNESSES MADE NO 16 THEY HAD, NO PARTICULAR REASON TO PAY REPORTS OF IT. 17 ATTENTION TO WHAT TIME IT WAS BECAUSE AGAIN, THOSE EVENTS 18 HAD NO INDEPENDENT SIGNIFICANCE AT THAT TIME. 19 THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY INDEPENDENT 20 SIGNIFICANCE UNTIL SEVERAL DAYS LATER WHEN OFFICER LAMON 21 FOUND THAT VERY SAME IDENTIFICATION IN DESK IN THE JAIL AREA WHERE HE WORKED, REALIZED THAT IT MAY HAVE SOME CONNECTION TO THE HOMICIDE, TURNED IT OVER TO OFFICER

2623

25

TURNED IT OVER TO DETECTIVES.

1	AND THEN IT WAS REALLY A POINT IN TIME THAT
2	EVERYBODY WHO WAS INVOLVED IN THAT INCIDENT BEGAN TO
3	REALIZE THAT ET HAD SOME SPECIAL SIGNIFICANCE. IT WAS
4	NOT JUST CASUALLY FINDING SOME IDENTIFICATION IN THE
5	HAMPER.
6	YOU HEARD JAY SMITH TESTIFY THAT IT WASN'T
7	ALL THAT UNUSUAL FOR HIM TO FIND IDENTIFICATION IN THAT
8	CLOTHES HAMPER. EVERY NOW AND THEN, HE WOULD FIND A
9	PIECE OF IDENTIFICATION. WHAT WAS A LITTLE UNUSUAL ABOUT
10	THIS WAS THAT HE FOUND MULTIPLE PIECES OF IDENTIFICATION.
11	AND THEN WE HAVE SOME DIRECT TESTIMONY
12	LINKING THE DEFENDANT TO POSSESSION OF THE VICTIM'S
13	IDENTIFICATION. AND THAT CAME THROUGH WALTER BRITTON
14	WHO, AGAIN, IN HIS CONVERSATIONS WITH MR. MENZIES IN THE
15	JAIL CELL, IN THE SALT LAKE COUNTY JAIL, INDICATED THAT
16	MR. MENZIES TALKED ABOUT HAVING THE VICTIM'S
17	IDENTIFICATION, AND THEN HE TALKED ABOUT HE THOUGHT HE
18	MIGHT USE IT TO TRY TO GAIN ACCESS TO BANK ACCOUNTS OR TO
19	MONEY OR SOMETHING OF THAT SORT.
20	NOW, WHAT EVIDENCE DO WE HAVE TO SUPPORT
21	THE CHARGE THAT IT WAS AGGRAVATED ROBBERY, THAT IT WAS
22	ROBBERY INVOLVING THE USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN THIS CASE
23	A KNIFE OR A FIREARM AS OPPOSED TO SIMPLY THE APPLICATION
Ž 4	OF FORCE OR FEAR WITHOUT A WEAPON WHICH IS ORDINARILY
25	DONE

STATE OF UTAH SS. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE CERTIFICATE I, TAUNI D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE 8. STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER. DATED: SEPTEMBER 5, 1988. TAUNI D. LEE, LICENSE #6770

Appendix R

Appendix R

IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S. UN&

-----00000----

STATE OF UTAH,

PLAINTIFF, : CIVIL NO. CR86-887

ALL AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH

-Vs-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES,

DEFENDANT.

----000.00----

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD

MARCH 3 & 4, 1988

-----00000-----

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

87

ADDENDUM

ERNIE JONES

RICK MAC DOUGALL

SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY

231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

FRANCES M. PALACIOS

SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC.

SEPIL 1898

880161

333 SOUTH 200 EAST

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

REPEREDUNICLERK'S OFFICE Salt Lake County Utah

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR.

#6770

SEP 6 1988

H. Dixon Hindley, Clerk 3rd Dirt. Coult 71a

	·				,	
1		INDE	<u>X</u>	·		
2		-		•		
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
4	BUTTERS, RANDY					
. 5	BY MS. PALACIOS	2220		2225		•
6	BY MR. JONES	•	2223			
7	BUTTERS, LIZ					
8	BY MS. PALACIOS	2226		2232		
9	BY MR. JONES		2229		2232	
.10	BARTON, EDWARD M.					
11	BY MS. PALACIOS	2235			j e	.•
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
13	HAMMER, MARVIN	•		·		
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2244	•	2249		
15	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2248			
16	BROWN, ELIZABETH	•				
17	BY MS. WELLS	2251		2262		
18	BY MR. JONES		2258			
19	HORYUCHI, TSUTOMU			•		
20	BY MS. WELLS	2263				
21	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
22	HAGBERG, LORI		,	•		•
23	BY MS. WELLS	2267				

24

25

BY MR. MAC DOUGALL

1		T N P	v			
2		<u>INDE</u>	<u>~</u>			
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	האמשרה	CDOSS	REDIRECT	PECDOĆO	VOIR
		DIRECT	CRUSS	KEDIKECT.	ACCRUSS	DIRE
4	HUNTSMAN, STEVE					
5	BY MS. WELLS	2272				
6	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					į
7	JUDD, RICHARD					
8	BY MS. WELLS	2282		2290		•
9	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2286			
10	BERGAN, RICHARD				•	
11	BY MS. WELLS	2291	-			
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2295	•	,	
13	BERGESON, NANCY					
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2298		2306		
15	BY MR. JONES		2303		•	
16	SAVAGE, J. BRUCE					
17	BY MS. PALACIOS	2307		2344		
18	BY MR. JONES		2331		2348	
19	LOYOLA, DAVID			-		•
20	BY MS. PALACIOS	2350				
21	BY MR. JONES		2352			-
22					,	
23						
24						
25						

1	A JUROR: NO. OUR CONCERN WAS JUST THAT
2 .	SHE WOULD NOT FEEL SOME GUILT IN THAT SHE COULDN'T FOLLOW,
3	THROUGH WITH IT.
4	THE COURT: IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN YOUR
5	PRESENT FRAME OF MIND, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO HAVE
6	YOURSELF SITTING ON THIS JURY TRYING THIS CASE IF YOU
7 8	WERE EITHER THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE? A JUROR: I BELIEVE SO.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, HAVE YOU HAD ANY
10	CONTACT WITH ANYONE? I'VE ASKED YOU THIS MANY TIMES, BUT
11	FROM NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION, RADIO, OR ANY OTHER SOURCE?
12	A JUROR: NO. I'VE BEEN PUTTING NEWSPAPERS
13	IN THE CLOSET UNTIL IT'S ALL OVER.
14	THE COURT: FINALLY, IT'S THE INTENTION OF
15	THE COURT, BECAUSE WE ARE AT A STAGE RIGHT NOW WHERE IT'S
16	VERY IMPORTANT THAT THIS CASE CONTINUE ON, SO THE COURT
17	IS GOING TO ORDER THAT THE JURORS BE SEQUESTERED.
18	A JUROR: OKAY.
19	THE COURT: SEQUESTRATION MEANS THAT YOU
20	WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE IN CONTACT WITH ANYONE EXCEPT THE
21	JURORS ALONE AND ALSO, THAT OVER THE WEEKEND, YOU'LL BE
22	SEQUESTERED WHICH WILL MEAN YOU WILL BE PLACED IN
23	LODGINGS THAT WILL NOT BE YOUR OWN HOME, SO YOU'LL HAVE
2.4	TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE YOUR NECESSITIES BROUGHT TO

YOU.

STATE OF UTAH : SS. COUNTY OF SALT LAKE CERTIFICA I, TAUNI D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER. DATED: SEPTEMBER 5, 1988. LICENSE #6770

Appendix S

Appendix S



IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S. UN

STATE OF UTAH,

PLAINTIFF, : CIVIL NO. CR86-887

-VS-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES,

DEFENDANT.

----00000----

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD

MARCH 3 & 4, 1988

----00000----

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

ERNIE JONES

RICK MAC DOUGALL

SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY

231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

FRANCES M. PALACIOS

SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC.

333 SOUTH 200 EAST

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

REPERFEUNECLERK'S OFFICE Salt Lake County Utah

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR.

#6770

SEP 6 1988

H. Dixon Hindley, Clerk 3rd Dixt. Co.

INDEX

_						
2.						VOIR
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	DIRE
4	BUTTERS, RANDY					·
5	BY MS. PALACIOS	2220		2225	,	
6	BY MR. JONES		2223			
7	BUTTERS, LIZ					
8	BY MS. PALACIOS	2226		2232	•	
9	BY MR. JONES		2229		2232	
10	BARTON, EDWARD M.					
11	BY MS. PALACIOS	2235	•			
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL			·		
13	HAMMER, MARVIN					
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2244		2249		
15	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2248			•
16	BROWN, ELIZABETH			·		ļ
17	BY MS. WELLS	2251	•	2262		•
18	BY MR. JONES	•	2258	•	·	
19	HORYUCHI, TSUTOMU				-	
20	BY MS. WELLS	2263				
21	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
22	HAGBERG, LORI					
23	BY MS. WELLS	2267				•
24	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
25						

						•
1		INDE	<u>x</u>			
2						IIO T D
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
4	HUNTSMAN, STEVE					
5	BY MS. WELLS	2272				
6	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
7	JUDD, RICHARD	,		•		
8	BY MS. WELLS	2282		2290		
9	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL	•	2286			
10	BERGAN, RICHARD					
11	BY MS. WELLS	2291				
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2295			
13	BERGESON, NANCY					
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2298		2306	•	
15	BY MR. JONES	·	2303			
16	SAVAGE, J. BRUCE	•	•			
17	BY MS. PALACIOS	2307		2344	·	
18	BY MR. JONES		2331		2348	
19	LOYOLA, DAVID				٠, .	
20	BY MS. PALACIOS	2350				
21	BY MR. JONES		2352			
22	·				•	
23						
24						
25		1				
	i					

1	A JUROR: NO. OUR CONCERN WAS JUST THAT
2	SHE WOULD NOT FEEL SOME GUILT IN THAT SHE COULDN'T FOLLOW
3	THROUGH WITH IT.
4	THE COURT: IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN YOUR
5	PRESENT FRAME OF MIND, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO HAVE
6	YOURSELF SITTING ON THIS JURY TRYING THIS CASE IF YOU
7	WERE EITHER THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE?
8	A JUROR: INBELIEVE SO.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, HAVE YOU HAD ANY
10	CONTACT WITH ANYONE? I'VE ASKED YOU THIS MANY TIMES, BUT
11	FROM NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION, RADIO, OR ANY OTHER SOURCE?
12.	A JUROR: NO. INVE BEEN PUTTING NEWSPAPERS
13	IN THE CLOSET UNTIL IT'S ALL OVER.
14	THE COURT: FINALLY, IT'S THE INTENTION OF
15	THE COURT, BECAUSE WE ARE AT A STAGE RIGHT NOW WHERE IT'S
16	VERY IMPORTANT THAT THIS CASE CONTINUE ON, SO THE COURT
17 :	IS GOING TO ORDER THAT THE JURORS BE SEQUESTERED.
18	A JUROR: OKAY.
19	THE COURT: SEQUESTRATION MEANS THAT YOU
20	WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE IN CONTACT WITH ANYONE EXCEPT THE
21	JURORS ALONE AND ALSO, THAT OVER THE WEEKEND, YOU BE
22	SEQUESTERED WHICH WILL MEAN YOU WILL BE PLACED IN
23	LODGINGS THAT WILL NOT BE YOUR OWN HOME, SO YOU, DICHAVE
24	TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE YOUR NECESSITIES BROUGHT TO
25	YOU.

```
1
 2
 3
    STATE OF UTAH
                              SS.
    COUNTY OF SALT LAKE
 5
 6
 7
                  I, TAUNT D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE
 8
    STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT
 9
    THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE
10
    WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER.
11
                          SEPTEMBER 5, 1988.
12
                  DATED:
13
14
                                LICENSE #6770
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Appendix T

Appendix T

IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF SALT LAKE COUNTY

STATE OF UTAH

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RAYMOND S. UNA

---00000---

STATE OF UTAH,

PLAINTIFF, : CIVIL NO. CR86-887

-VS-

RALPH LEROY MENZIES,

DEFENDANT.

---00000----

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD

MARCH 3 & 4, 1988

PPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

ERNIE JONES

RICK MAC DOUGALL

SALT LAKE COUNTY ATTORNEY

231 EAST 400 SOUTH

SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BROOKE C. WELLS

FRANCES M. PALACIOS

SALT LAKE LEGAL DEFENDER ASSOC.

333 SOUTH 200 EAST

333 SOUTH 200 EAST SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

SEP 1 5 1988

REPERFEUNECLERK'S OFFICE

Salt Lake County Utah

TAUNI D. LEE, CSR, RPR. Com Useh

#6770

SEP 6 1988

H. Dixon Hindley, Clerk 3rd Dist. Court

I N D E X

2						
3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
4	BUTTERS, RANDY					
5	BY MS. PALACIOS	2220		2225		
6	BY MR. JONES		2223			
7	BUTTERS, LIZ					
8.	BY MS. PALACIOS	2226		2232		
9	BY MR. JONES		2229		2232	
10	BARTON, EDWARD M.					
11	BY MS. PALACIOS	2235				
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
13	HAMMER, MARVIN					
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2244		2249		
15	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2248			
16	BROWN, ELIZABETH	e e				
17	BY MS. WELLS	2251		2262		
18	BY MR. JONES		2258			
19	HORYUCHI, TSUTOMU					
20	BY MS. WELLS	2263				
21	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
22	HAGBERG, LORI					
23	BY MS. WELLS	2267				
24	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL					
25			•			

1		INDE	<u>X</u>			
2			,	,		***
. 3	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
4	HUNTSMAN, STEVE		•		,	
5	BY MS. WELLS	2272			•	
6	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL				•	
7	JUDD, RICHARD			•		
8	BY MS. WELLS	2282		2290		
9	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2286			
10	BERGAN, RICHARD					
11	BY MS. WELLS	2291				
12	BY MR. MAC DOUGALL		2295			
13	BERGESON, NANCY			•		
14	BY MS. PALACIOS	2298		2306		
15	BY MR. JONES		2303			
16	SAVAGE, J. BRUCE					
17	BY MS. PALACIOS	2307		2344		·
18	BY MR. JONES		2331		2348	
19	LOYOLA, DAVID					-
20	BY MS. PALACIOS	2350	•			
21	BY MR. JONES		2352			
2 2	er.					
23				·	٠	
24						
25					•	

YOU.

25

	1
2	SHE WOULD NOT FEEL SOME GUILT IN THAT SHE COULDN'T FOLLOW
3	THROUGH WITH IT.
4	THE COURT: IF YOU WERE A JUROR IN YOUR
5	PRESENT FRAME OF MIND, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO HAVE
6	YOURSELF SITTING ON THIS JURY TRYING THIS CASE IF YOU
7	WERE EITHER THE PROSECUTION OR THE DEFENSE?
8	A JUROR: I BELIEVE SO.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. NOW, HAVE YOU HAD ANY
10	CONTACT WITH ANYONE? I'VE ASKED YOU THIS MANY TIMES, BUT
11	FROM NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION, RADIO, OR ANY OTHER SOURCE?
12	A JUROR: NO. I'VE BEEN PUTTING NEWSPAPERS
13	IN THE CLOSET UNTIL IT'S ALL OVER.
14	THE COURT: FINALLY, IT'S THE INTENTION OF
15	THE COURT, BECAUSE WE ARE AT A STAGE RIGHT NOW WHERE IT'S
16	VERY IMPORTANT THAT THIS CASE CONTINUE ON, SO THE COURT
17	IS GOING TO ORDER THAT THE JURORS BE SEQUESTERED.
18	A JUROR: OKAY.
19	THE COURT: SEQUESTRATION MEANS THAT YOU
20	WILL NOT BE ABLE TO BE IN CONTACT WITH ANYONE EXCEPT THE
21	JURORS ALONE AND ALSO, THAT OVER THE WEEKEND, YOU'LL BE
22	SEQUESTERED WHICH WILL MEAN YOU WILL BE PLACED IN
23	LODGINGS THAT WILL NOT BE YOUR OWN HOME, SO YOU'LL HAVE
24	TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS TO HAVE YOUR NECESSITIES BROUGHT TO

OUR CONCERN WAS JUST THAT

A JUROR:

NO.

•	1	
	2	
	3	STATE OF UTAH
	4	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE ; SS.
	5	
	6	
	7	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
	8	T mattain so
	9	I, TAUNI D. LEE, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE
1	0	STATE OF UTAH, COUNTY OF SALT LAKE, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT
1:	1	THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER.
12	- 1	
13	3	DATED: SEPTEMBER 5, 1988.
14		
15		TAUNI D. LEE CSR, RPR.
16		LICENSE #6770
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24;		
25		
	٠	