

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

—————  
SITU KAMU WILKINSON,

*Petitioner,*

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL,

*Respondent.*

—————

On Writ of Certiorari to the  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

—————

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH  
PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

—————

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 26.8, Petitioner moves for leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Counsel for Respondent has represented that Respondent consents to this motion.

The question presented is a question of statutory interpretation: whether an agency determination that a given set of established facts does not rise to the statutory standard of “exceptional and extremely unusual hardship” is a mixed question of law and fact reviewable under 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(D). The appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari includes all of the relevant lower court and agency opinions, orders, and transcripts. The parties do not believe that any other portion of the record merits special attention that would warrant the preparation and

expense of a joint appendix. Accordingly, a separate joint appendix would not materially assist in the Court's consideration of this case.

For the foregoing reasons, the motion to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix should be granted.

August 10, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jaime A. Santos  
*Counsel of Record*  
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP  
1900 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
202.346.4034  
*jsantos@goodwinlaw.com*

*Counsel for Petitioner*  
*Situ Kamu Wilkinson*