No.	

#### OCTOBER TERM, 2021

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

James Montell Chappell, Petitioner,

v.

William Reubart, Warden; Aaron Ford, Attorney General, State of Nevada, Respondents.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

#### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

CAPITAL CASE

RENE L. VALLADARES
Federal Public of Nevada
Brad D. Levenson\*
\*Counsel of Record
Assistant Federal Public Defender
411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6577
(702) 388-5819 (Fax)

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 39.1, Petitioner James Chappell requests leave to file the attached Petition for Writ of Certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*. On January 5, 2017, undersigned counsel's office was appointed to represent Chappell by the state court in the instant state habeas proceeding, Case No. C11341. See Ex. 1 at 4.

Dated this 18th day of August, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

Brad D. Levenson\*

\*Counsel of Record

Assistant Federal Public Defender

# EXHIBIT 1

# EXHIBIT 1

Electronically Filed 01/05/2017 01:26:51 PM

1	TRAN	Alma & Chum		
2		CLERK OF THE COURT		
3	DISTRICT COURT			
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
5				
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) CASE NO. C131341		
7	Plaintiff,	) ) DEPT. V		
8	vs.			
9	JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL,			
10	Defendant.			
11				
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN E	_) :LLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
13	WEDNESDAY, JA	NUARY 04, 2017		
14	RECORDER'S TRANSC			
15	PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION)			
16	,	•		
17	APPEARANCES:			
18	For the State: STE	EVEN S. OWENS, ESQ.,		
19	Chie	ef Deputy District Attorney		
20		EX VAIL, ESQ., outized Law Clerk		
21		AD LEVENSON, ESQ.,		
22 23		NDI CIEL, ESQ., istant Federal Public Defenders		
23 24		RISTOPHER ORAM, ESQ., orney at Law		
25	RECORDED BY: LARA CORCORAN, S	•		
	TREGORDED BY: EARN GORGORAN, O			

## 

#### 

# 

## 

# 

## 

### 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

set.

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

### 

## 

# 

#### WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 04, 2017

#### \* \* \* \* \*

#### PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Top of three is Case No. C131341, State of Nevada versus James Chappell. Good morning.

MR. VAIL: Good morning, your Honor. Alex Vail for the State, Bar No. 14291.

MR. LEVENSON: Brad Levenson and Sandi Ciel from the Office of the Federal Public Defender on behalf of Mr. Chappel who is not here in court today.

THE COURT: All right. So this is -- are you not opposing this?

MR. VAIL: No, your Honor, we would ask that a briefing schedule be

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, there is 377 pages on the writ. Looked -I looked and there is no page limitation. I don't know why. Everything else has a
page limitation. Six thousand pages of exhibits so, yeah, I'm probably not going
to read those every single page of the 6000 pages. Fortunately, you have pin
cites so that's good. How much time do you need?

MR. VAIL: We would ask for 90 days, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ninety days for the response. And how much do you want for a reply?

MR. LEVENSON: Ninety for the reply. At the same time we'll be filing a motion for discovery and a motion for evidentiary hearing, at the same time.

1	THE COURT: All right. So yeah obviously unless I grant the writ		
2	petition that's what opens discovery. So		
3	MR. LEVENSON: But we would still		
4	THE COURT: Right.		
5	MR. LEVENSON: be requesting discovery and a hearing.		
6	THE COURT: Okay. Well as I say, though, unless you the petition		
7	gets granted		
8	MR. LEVENSON: Yes, your Honor.		
9	THE COURT: then, you know, the rule says or the statute says		
10	you can't do discovery but you'll are you going to make that I mean,		
11	sometimes I have an evidentiary hearing and not necessarily open up discovery.		
12	It depends, and since I didn't start on this since there was no response yet my		
13	clerk's been working on it for		
14	MR. LEVENSON: What we would		
15	THE COURT: a month.		
16	MR. LEVENSON: What we would do is flag for your Honor what we		
17	thought we needed discovery for. So it would just		
18	THE COURT: All right.		
19	MR. LEVENSON: give you some more information. Obviously		
20	THE COURT: So you're going to do that as a separate motion,		
21	though?		
22	MR. LEVENSON: We'll do all three of those at the same time.		
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good. So let's see and dates.		
24	THE CLERK: April 5 <sup>th</sup> for the reply and July 5 <sup>th</sup> for the response.		
25	And then set it for hearing on a Monday?		

MR. LEVENSON: Thank you.

25

MS. CIEL: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

\* \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber