

October 21, 2022

Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Matt Huffman, President of the Ohio Senate, et al. v. Meryl Neiman, et al.,  
No. 22-362  
Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Petition for Writ of Certiorari by 30 Days

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am writing on behalf of the Neiman Respondents in the above-captioned case to request an extension of time of 30 days for the filing of a brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 30.4 of the United States Supreme Court, Respondents respectfully request an extension up to and including December 19, 2022, to file their brief in opposition to the petition. Petitioners consent to a 30-day extension.

While this petition relates to a congressional redistricting plan, there is no exigency in this case because it does not concern the 2022 elections. Petitioners acknowledge that the 2022 elections are proceeding under the congressional plan adopted by the Ohio Redistricting Commission in March 2022 and invalidated by the Ohio Supreme Court in July 2022. Pet. at 8. Respondents do not contend otherwise. The modest extension Respondents seek will enable full briefing without disturbing the Court's ability to resolve this matter sufficiently in advance of the 2024 elections.

This is the first extension Respondents have sought.

Respectfully submitted,



Abha Khanna

cc: W. Stuart Dornette (Counsel for Petitioners)

---

<sup>1</sup> No Respondent has a parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in any Respondent.