



99 East "C" Street, Suite 111
Upland, CA 91786
T: 909-949-7115
F: 909-949-7121

BLC File(s): 1891.03

2 November 2022

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
United States Supreme Court
1 First Street NE
Washington, DC 20543

Re: Michelle O'Connor-Ratcliff et al. v. Christopher Garnier et ux., United States Supreme Court Docket no. 22-324

Dear Clerk:

On behalf of my clients, Christopher and Kimberly Garnier, I am writing pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4 to request an extension of the deadline for filing a response to the petition for *certiorari* filed in the above-referenced matter on October 4, 2022. The response is currently due on November 7, and I am asking for a 30-day extension until December 7.

There is good cause for my request. I was out of the office from October 4-5 in observance of the Jewish High Holy Days; and out of the office without computer access (in remote portions of Africa) from October 6-28. I returned to the office on October 31 to a mountain of time-sensitive matters that had built up while I was gone, and I've not yet had an opportunity to review the petition and consult with my clients about it.¹ Furthermore, I have three trials and one state-court appellate argument this month before the Thanksgiving holiday. All of those matters require my full attention.

Thank you for your consideration of my request. If you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to let me know.

Sincerely,

BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Cory J. Briggs'. The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.
Cory J. Briggs

¹ In this regard, the opposing parties gave no indication that they would be filing the petition, there being no request for rehearing or *en banc* review in the Court of Appeals. Thus, I was unable to do anything before leaving the office to arrange for a colleague to work on the petition while I was gone.

