



January 12, 2023

The Honorable Scott Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street NE
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Chapman v. Doe by Rothert*
No. 22-312

406 W. 34th St., Ste. 420
Kansas City, MO 64111
(816) 470-9933

906 Olive St., Ste. 1130
St. Louis, MO 63101
(314) 652-3114
www.aclu-mo.org

Dear Mr. Harris,

I write pursuant to this Court's Rule 30.4 to request a twenty-nine-day extension of time to file Respondent's brief in opposition, which is currently due on January 12, 2023, after thirty- and eight-day extensions.

This case has become moot. Respondent awaits Petitioner's suggestion of mootness and request for vacatur, which Respondent expected Petitioner to file by January 4 and which Petitioner's counsel then advised would be filed last week. On January 11, Respondent filed her own suggestion of mootness and was advised Petitioner's counsel anticipated submitting Petitioner's suggestion later that day. Petitioner's suggestion has not yet appeared; thus, Respondent wishes to preserve the opportunity to file a brief in opposition in the unlikely event that doing so in this moot case becomes necessary.

For these reasons, Respondent requests an extension through February 10, 2023.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Anthony E. Rothert". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Anthony E. Rothert
Counsel of Record for Respondent

cc: Jeff Johnson, Counsel of Record for Petitioner