



U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Solicitor General

---

Washington, D.C. 20530

May 19, 2022

Honorable Scott S. Harris  
Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Axon Enterprise, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission, No. 21-86  
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Michelle Cochran, No. 21-1239

Dear Mr. Harris:

We write on behalf of the federal parties (respondents in No. 21-86, *Axon Enterprise, Inc. v. FTC*, and petitioners in No. 21-1239, *SEC v. Cochran*) to propose a coordinated briefing schedule for these cases. Axon Enterprise, Inc. (petitioner in *Axon*) and Michelle Cochran (respondent in *Cochran*) consent to this proposal.

*Axon* presents the question “[w]hether Congress impliedly stripped federal district courts of jurisdiction over constitutional challenges to the Federal Trade Commission’s structure, procedures, and existence by granting the courts of appeals jurisdiction to ‘affirm, enforce, modify, or set aside’ the Commission’s cease-and-desist orders.” 21-86 Pet. i. *Cochran* presents the question “[w]hether a federal district court has jurisdiction to hear a suit in which the respondent in an ongoing Securities and Exchange Commission administrative proceeding seeks to enjoin that proceeding, based on an alleged constitutional defect in the statutory provisions that govern the removal of the administrative law judge who will conduct the proceeding.” 21-1239 Pet. I. All the parties agree that, while there are differences in the relevant statutory texts, the two cases substantially overlap.

In *Axon*, Axon filed its opening brief on May 9, 2022, and the federal parties’ brief is due August 8, 2022. In *Cochran*, the federal parties’ opening brief is currently due June 30, 2022, and Cochran’s brief is currently due August 1, 2022. Under that briefing schedule, the federal parties would be required to address overlapping questions across three different briefs (one brief as respondent in *Axon* and two as petitioner in *Cochran*) due on three different days. In addition, amici curiae briefs would be due on disparate dates in each case.

To coordinate the briefing schedules and minimize duplicative briefing, we respectfully request that (1) the parties in *Cochran* be realigned, so that the federal parties are treated as respondents and Cochran is treated as petitioner; (2) Cochran’s opening brief and joint appendix

(or motion to dispense with the joint appendix) in that case be due June 30, 2022; (3) the federal parties' brief in that case be due August 8, 2022; and (4) the federal parties be allowed, in lieu of filing separate briefs in *Cochran* and *Axon*, to file a single, consolidated brief of 20,000 words across both cases.

This Court has on occasion realigned parties to ensure that the same party is not treated as petitioner in one case and respondent in another, overlapping case. See, e.g., No. 19-508, *AMG Capital Management, LLC v. FTC*, and No. 19-825, *FTC v. Credit Bureau Center* (realigning parties so that the FTC was treated as respondent in both cases). In addition, this Court has on multiple occasions granted leave to a party to file a consolidated brief across two overlapping cases. See, e.g., No. 21-463, *Whole Woman's Health v. Jackson*, and No. 21-588, *United States v. Texas* (granting a party leave to file a consolidated brief of up to 20,000 words); No. 20-1199, *Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College*, and No. 21-707, *Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. University of North Carolina* (granting a party leave to file a consolidated brief of up to 20,000 words); No. 21-1086, *Merrill v. Milligan*, and No. 21-1087, *Merrill v. Caster* (granting a party leave to file a consolidated brief of up to 18,000 words).

Although we propose coordinating the briefing schedules as set forth above, all parties agree that *Axon* and *Cochran* should be argued separately. See *Jackson, supra* (No. 21-463) and *Texas, supra* (No. 21-588) (allowing a party to file a consolidated brief across both cases but holding a separate argument in each case). Although the questions in both cases overlap in a general sense, as the questions presented underscore, each case arises under a different statute and ultimately presents a distinct question that would benefit from separate arguments.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth B. Prelogar  
Solicitor General

cc: See Attached Service List

21-0086  
AXON ENTERPRISE, INC.  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, ET AL.

PAUL D. CLEMENT  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
1301 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004  
202-389-5000  
PAUL.CLEMENT@KIRKLAND.COM

JOHN MICHAEL CONNOLLY  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
1600 WILSON BLVD.  
SUITE 700  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209  
703-243-9423  
MIKE@CONSOVOYMCCARTHY.COM

OLIVER J. DUNFORD  
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION  
4440 PGA BLVD.  
STE. 307  
PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL 33410  
916-503-9060  
ODUNFORD@PACIFICLEGAL.ORG

LAWRENCE S. EBNER  
ATLANTIC LEGAL FOUNDATION  
1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW  
SUITE 200  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006  
202-729-6337  
LAWRENCE.EBNER@ATLANTICLEGAL.ORG

SARAH M. HARRIS  
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP  
680 MAINE AVENUE S.W.  
WASHINGTON , DC 20024  
202-434-5000  
SHARRIS@WC.COM

JOHN KERKHOFF  
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION  
3100 CLAREDON BLVD.  
SUITE 610  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201  
202-888-6881  
JKERKHOFF@PACIFICLEGAL.ORG

JOHN M. MASSLON II  
WASHINGTON LEGAL FOUNDATION  
2009 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-588-0302  
JMASSLON@WLF.ORG

R. TRENT MCCOTTER  
SEPARATION OF POWERS CLINIC  
GRAY CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF THE  
ADMINISTRATIVE STATE  
ANTONIN SCALIA LAW SCHOOL/GEORGE  
MASON UNIVERSITY  
3301 FAIRFAX DR.  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201  
202-706-5488  
RMCCOTTE@GMU.EDU

MICHAEL PEPSON  
AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY FOUNDATION  
1310 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD  
SUITE 700  
ARLINGTON, VA 22201  
571-329-4529  
MPEPSON@AFPHQ.ORG

PAMELA B. PETERSEN  
AXON ENTERPRISE, INC.  
17800 N. 85TH STREET  
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255  
623-326-6016

HOLLY A. PIERSON  
PIERSON LAW LLC  
2951 PIEDMONT RD NE  
SUITE 200  
ATLANTA , GA 30305  
404-353-2316  
HPIERSON@PIERSONLAWLLC.COM

JULIE M. WILSON  
NATIONAL TREASURY EMPLOYEES UNION  
800 K ST., N.W.  
SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001  
202-572-5500  
JULIE.WILSON@NTEU.ORG

MARK R. YOHALEM  
GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC  
633 W. 5TH STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071  
323-210-2900  
MARK.YOHALEM@WSGR.COM

21-1239  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, ET  
AL.  
MICHELLE COCHRAN

KELLAM M. CONOVER  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, , DC 20036  
KCONOVER@GIBSONDUNN.COM

GREGORY G. GARRE  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
555 11TH STREET, NW  
SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004  
202-637-2200  
GREGORY.GARRE@LW.COM

MARGARET A. LITTLE  
NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE  
1225 19TH STREET NW.  
SUITE 450  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036  
202-869-5210  
PEGGY.LITTLE@NCLA.LEGAL

BRIAN RICHMAN  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5306  
202-887-3505  
BRICHMAN@GIBSONDUNN.COM  
202-530-9508(Fax)