DOCKET No.	
------------	--

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

## TAVARES J. WRIGHT,

## Petitioner,

VS.

## SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, AND ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA,

## Respondent.

#### MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

The Petitioner requests leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed in forma pauperis. Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in the lower courts in this case, including the United States District Court for the Middle District Court of Florida and the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. Attachment A. An affidavit of indigency is not attached to this motion because the lower courts appointed counsel in the current proceeding pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006, 18 U.S.C. § 3599, 28 U.S.C. 1915, and F.R.A.P. Rule 24.

Respectfully submitted,

Adrienne Joy Shepherd

Counsel of Record

Assistant CCRC

Florida Bar Number 1000532

Email: shepherd@ccmr.state.fl.us

Secondary Email: support@ccmr.state.fl.us

DOCKET No.
------------

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

## TAVARES J. WRIGHT,

Petitioner,

VS.

# SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, AND ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

#### ATTACHMENT A

April 27, 2017 District Court Order Granting Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis

February 4, 2021 Eleventh Circuit Order Granting Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis on Appeal

Case 8:17-cv-00974-WFJ-TGW Document 8 Filed 04/27/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID 324 USCA11 Case: 20-13966 Date Filed: 11/20/2020 Page: 23 of 24

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

TAVARES J. WRIGHT,

Petitioner,

-VS-

Case No. 8:17-cv-974-T-27TGW

SECRETARY, DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS,

Responden	ıt.	
		 _/

#### **ORDER**

Petitioner, a Florida prisoner under sentence of death, filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Dkt. 1), Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (Dkt. 2), Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act (Dkt. 4), and Motion for Leave to File a Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody and to Exceed Page Limit of 25 pages Pursuant to Local Rule 3.01(c) for the Memorandum of Law ("motion to file memorandum") (Dkt. 5).

Upon consideration, it is **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Petitioner's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Dkt. 2) is GRANTED.
- 2. Petitioner's Motion for Nunc Pro Tunc Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act (Dkt. 4) is **GRANTED** pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006(A) et seq. and 18 U.S.C. § 3599. The Office of the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel-Middle ("CCRC-M"), is appointed *nunc pro tunc* to November 23, 2016.
- 3. Petitioner's motion to file memorandum (Dkt. 5) is **GRANTED** solely to the extent that on or before August 25, 2017, Petitioner may file a memorandum in support of the petition that does

Case 8:17-cv-00974-WFJ-TGW Document 8 Filed 04/27/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID 325 USCA11 Case: 20-13966 Date Filed: 11/20/2020 Page: 24 of 24

not exceed fifty (50) pages.1

4. On or before June 16, 2017, counsel for Petitioner shall file an *ex parte*, under seal, proposed litigation budget.

DONE and ORDERED on APRIL 272

JAMES D. WHITTEMORE
United States District Judge

Copy to: Counsel of Record

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although Petitioner requests leave to file a memorandum that does not exceed 100 pages, a concise memorandum is generally more persuasive than a lengthy one.

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

F	OR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
	No. 20-13966-P
TAVARES J WRIGHT,	
	Petitioner - Appellant,
/ersus	
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT	OF CORRECTIONS,
	Respondent - Appellee.
	Il from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida

ORDER:

Pursuant to the standard set forth in *Buck v. Davis*, 137 S. Ct. 759, 773-74 (2017), Mr. Wright's motion for a certificate of appealability is granted in part and denied in part. Mr. Wright is granted a COA on the following issue only:

Whether Mr. Wright is intellectually disabled and therefore ineligible for the death penalty under the Eighth Amendment.

Mr. Wright's motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is granted.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE