

Zachary C. Schauf  
Tel +1 202 637 6379  
ZSchauf@jenner.com

September 30, 2021

Honorable Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

Re: Oklahoma v. Ball, No. 21-327

Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent Respondent in the case referenced above. Petitioner Oklahoma filed petition for a writ of certiorari on August 27, 2021, and this matter was placed on the docket on September 1, 2021. Respondents' response to the petition is due on October 1, 2021. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, I write to request a thirty (30) day extension of time to file a response to the petition in this case.

In light of the press of other business, counsel requires additional time to fully respond to the arguments presented in the petition. Moreover, Oklahoma has filed a new petition that bears on the petition in Respondent's case. In particular, Oklahoma's petition in Respondent's case relies on arguments Oklahoma made in *Oklahoma v. Bosse*, No. 21-186, which has now been voluntarily dismissed. On September 17, 2021, Oklahoma filed a new petition in *Oklahoma v. Castro-Huerta*, No. 21-429, which modifies in certain respects Oklahoma's arguments for why certiorari should be granted in that case and in Respondent's case. Hence, counsel for Respondent requires time to review the arguments made in *Castro-Huerta* and to respond as appropriate.

For these reasons, I respectfully request a thirty (30) day extension of time, until November 1, 2021, to file a response to the petition.

Sincerely,

/s/ Zachary C. Schauf  
Zachary C. Schauf