

June 15, 2022

Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Ryan Costello v. Carol Ann Carter, et al., No. 21-1509  
Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Petition for Writ of Certiorari by 30 Days

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am writing on behalf the Carter Respondents in the above-captioned case to request an extension of time of 30 days for the filing of a brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 30.4 of the United States Supreme Court, Respondents respectfully request an extension up to and including August 1, 2022, to file their brief in opposition to the petition. Petitioner does not oppose this extension.

While this petition relates to a congressional redistricting plan, there is no exigency to this case because it does not concern the 2022 elections. In March 2022, this Court declined to enjoin the use of Pennsylvania's congressional plan for the 2022 elections. *Toth v. Chapman*, No. 21A457. Since the denial of that application, Pennsylvania held its congressional primary election under the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's adopted plan, and there is no prospect that this case will affect any elections in 2022. Petitioner does not contend otherwise. The modest extension Respondents seek will enable full briefing without disturbing the Court's ability to resolve this matter sufficiently in advance of the 2024 elections.

A 30-day extension is also warranted because Petitioner has not served the petition on all Respondents, including the Carter Respondents. This is the first extension Respondents have sought.

Respectfully submitted,



Abha Khanna

cc: Jonathan F. Mitchell (Counsel for Petitioner)

---

<sup>1</sup> No Respondent has a parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in any Respondent.