

June 21, 2022

Scott Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Twitter, Inc. v. Meihier Taamneh, et al.*, No 21-1496


Dear Mr. Harris:

I represent the respondents in this case. The brief in opposition is due on June 30, 2022. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, I write to request a 45-day extension of time to file a response to the petition. If the extension is granted, the response would be due on August 14, 2022. Petitioner consents to this 45-day extension.

The petition in this case is a conditional petition; it seeks review by this Court if certiorari is granted in *Gonzalez v Google, LLC*, No. 21-1333, in which I represent the petitioners. The instant case and *Gonzalez* were decided together in the Ninth Circuit. The cases are related as a practical matter, as the petition in this case correctly explains. The brief in opposition in *Gonzalez* is due on July 6, 2022, and a reply brief in that case would be filed by July 19, 2022. The requested extension would permit a reasonable amount of time to prepare and file the response in this case after completion of the reply brief in *Gonzalez*.

For that reason, I respectfully request a 45-day extension of time, until August 14, 2022, to file a response to the petition.

Sincerely,



Eric Schnapper
Counsel for Respondents

Cc: Seth P. Waxman, Counsel of Record for Petitioner (via e-mail and U.S. Mail)
Brian M. Willen, Counsel of Record for Petitioner, *Gonzalez v. Google, LLC*