

No. 21-1217

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

COLUMBIA HOUSE OF BROKERS REALTY, INC., et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

DESIGNWORKS HOMES, INC. & CHARLES LAWRENCE JAMES,

Respondents.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*
AND TO FILE BRIEF IN 8½-BY-11 FORMAT IN 11 COPIES

Kenneth Caldwell
CALDWELL LAW FIRM PC
1201 NW Briarcliff Parkway
Second Floor
Kansas City, Missouri 64116
(816) 535-1001
KCaldwell@Caldwell-Law-Firm.com

Andrew Grimm
Counsel of Record
DIGITAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
15287 Pepperwood Drive
Omaha, Nebraska 68154
(531) 210-2381
Andrew@DigitalJusticeFoundation.org

Gregory Keenan
DIGITAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
81 Stewart Street
Floral Park, New York 11001
(516) 633-2633
Gregory@DigitalJusticeFoundation.org

**MOTION FOR LEAVE PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* AND
TO FILE BRIEF IN RESPONSE IN 8½-BY-11 FORMAT IN 11 COPIES**

Supreme Court Rule 33 authorizes two briefing formats, the standard booklet format in Rule 33.1 and the alternative 8½-by-11-inch paper format in Rule 33.2. Respondents Charles James and Designworks Homes, Inc., Mr. James' home-design-and-build company, hereby respectfully request leave of this Court to file the attached Brief in Response in 8 ½-by-11 format in 11 copies.

For Mr. James, this request is a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (except that there is no filing fee to waive because Mr. James is a respondent here). For reference, Mr. James is also a petitioner before this Court in No. 21-8001 and, with the petition in that case, Mr. James filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* filed in No. 21-8001 and its supporting documents (attached) are hereby incorporated by reference in support of this Motion.

Likewise, because Mr. James is the sole owner of Designworks Homes, it would be no less of a financial burden to require his company to supply booklet format than to require that he do so personally. Accordingly, this Court should afford the same relief, granting the same leave to file in 8½ -by-11 format, to Mr. James' company. Although, Designworks Homes cannot proceed *in forma pauperis* as a corporate entity, Rowland v. California Men's Colony, 506 U.S. 194, 196 (1993), no fee waiver is sought here. Instead, Designworks Homes merely seeks leave to join in the brief of Mr. James, which he is submitting *in forma pauperis* and in the alternative 8½-by-11 format.

Thus, for the reasons stated, Respondents respectfully request leave to file their Brief in Response in 8½-by-11-inch paper format in 11 copies.

Kenneth Caldwell
CALDWELL LAW FIRM PC
1201 NW Briarcliff Parkway
Second Floor
Kansas City, Missouri 64116
(816) 535-1001
KCaldwell@Caldwell-Law-Firm.com

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew Grimm
Andrew Grimm
Counsel of Record
DIGITAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
15287 Pepperwood Drive
Omaha, Nebraska 68154
(531) 210-2381
Andrew@DigitalJusticeFoundation.org

Gregory Keenan
DIGITAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
81 Stewart Street
Floral Park, New York 11001
(516) 633-2633
Gregory@DigitalJusticeFoundation.org