

L I C H T E N & L I S S - R I O R D A N , P . C .

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

HAROLD L. LICHTEN*
SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN*^Δ[◇]
SARAH SCHALMAN-BERGEN*[■]
MATTHEW W. THOMSON*
ADELAIDE H. PAGANO*

729 BOYLSTON STREET, SUITE 2000
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116

WWW.LLRLAW.COM

THOMAS P. FOWLER*[◇]
OLENA SAVYTSKA*
ANNE KRAMER*^Δ
MICHELLE CASSORLA*[^][⬢]
ZACHARY RUBIN*[◇][^][⬢]
ANASTASIA DOHERTY*
TARA BOGHOSIAN*
MATTHEW PATTON*
KRYSTEN CONNON*[■]
BENJAMIN J. WEBER*[◇] OF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE 617-994-5800
FACSIMILE 617-994-5801

× ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS
Δ ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
◇ ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
■ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA
^ ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY
⬢ ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT
⌘ ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
□ ADMITTED IN TENNESSEE

July 13, 2022

VIA: UPS AND ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001
sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: Postmates, LLC fka Postmates, Inc. v. Jacob Rimler et al., No. 21-119

Dear Clerk Harris:

Respondents Jacob Rimler, et al., (“Respondents”) write to request a further extension of Respondents’ current July 22, 2022, deadline to respond to Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Certiorari in this matter.

As Respondents have explained in prior correspondence, the Parties have reached a class action settlement resolving all claims in this case, which was filed in San Francisco Superior Court. See Rimler v. Postmates, Inc., Case No. CGC-18-567868 (San Francisco Superior Court); Postmates Classification Cases, Case No. CJC-20-005068 (San Francisco Superior Court). The Court granted final approval of the settlement on May 6, 2022. Plaintiffs have now filed an unopposed Motion to Amend the Judgment, and the hearing is scheduled for July 20, 2022. Assuming that the Motion is granted, the deadline for any potential objectors to appeal from the settlement will be sixty (60) days later. See Cal. Rules of Court 8.104(a). Accordingly, the settlement is not technically final at this time.

Moreover, Respondent also notes that Viking River Cruises, Inc. v. Moriana, No. 20-1573, which presents the same issue as this case, was decided on June 15, 2022. Thus, Respondent respectfully submits that Petitioner’s Petition is moot.

L I C H T E N & L I S S - R I O R D A N , P . C .

Accordingly, if the Court is not inclined to dismiss Petitioner's Petition as moot, Respondents request a further extension of their deadline to respond to the Petition, until September 30, 2022, by which time Respondent expects that the deadline for any objectors to appeal from the revised judgment will have expired.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan
Shannon Liss-Riordan
Counsel for Respondents

cc: Theane Evangelis (counsel for Petitioner)
 Bradley Hamburger (counsel for Petitioner)
 Dhananjay Manthripragada (counsel for Petitioner)