

L I C H T E N & L I S S - R I O R D A N , P . C .

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

HAROLD L. LICHTEN*
SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN*^Δ[◇]
SARAH SCHALMAN-BERGEN*
MATTHEW W. THOMSON*
ADELAIDE H. PAGANO*

729 BOYLSTON STREET, SUITE 2000
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02116

WWW.LLRLAW.COM

THOMAS P. FOWLER*[◇]
OLENA SAVYTSKA*
ANNE KRAMER*^Δ
MICHELLE CASSORLA*[^][◇][✧]
ZACHARY RUBIN*[◇][^][◆]
ANASTASIA DOHERTY*
MATTHEW PATTON*
KRYSTEN CONNON*[■]
MATTHEW CARRIERI*
BENJAMIN J. WEBER*[□] OF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE 617-994-5800
FACSIMILE 617-994-5801

× ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS
Δ ADMITTED IN CALIFORNIA
◇ ADMITTED IN NEW YORK
■ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA
^ ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY
◆ ADMITTED IN CONNECTICUT
✧ ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
□ ADMITTED IN TENNESSEE

April 25, 2022

VIA: UPS AND ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001
sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: Postmates, LLC fka Postmates, Inc. v. Jacob Rimler et al., No. 21-119

Dear Clerk Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Respondents Jacob Rimler, et al., respectfully move for an extension of time for filing their response to Petitioners Postmates, LLC fka Postmates, Inc.'s (hereinafter "Postmates") Petition for Writ of Certiorari until May 31, 2022. The response is currently due on April 29, 2022.

The parties in this case have reached a class action settlement which would resolve all claims in this case, which has been filed in San Francisco Superior Court. See Rimler v. Postmates, Inc., Case No. CGC-18-567868 (San Francisco Superior Court); Postmates Classification Cases, Case No. CJC-20-005068 (San Francisco Superior Court). The settlement was preliminarily approved by the Court on August 12, 2021. A final approval hearing was held on March 11, 2022, and April 6, 2022. Pursuant to the Court's request on April 6, 2022, the parties provided additional information on April 22, 2022. Respondents expect the Court will grant final approval imminently, which would render this case moot.¹

¹ Respondents also note that the Supreme Court has already granted certiorari and heard oral argument in Viking River Cruises, Inc. v. Moriana, No. 20-1573, which presents the same issue as this case. Thus, Postmates' Petition may be moot regardless.

L I C H T E N & L I S S - R I O R D A N , P . C .

Accordingly, in the interest of judicial economy, counsel for Respondents respectfully request that their response deadline be extended until May 31, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Shannon Liss-Riordan

Shannon Liss-Riordan

Counsel for Respondents

cc: Theane Evangelis (counsel for Petitioner)
 Bradley Hamburger (counsel for Petitioner)
 Dhananjay Manthripragada (counsel for Petitioner)