


WAIVER



SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court Case No. 20-623


Amy R. Gurvey v. Cowan Liebowitz & Latman, P.C. et al
(Petitioner) (Respondent)

I DO NOT INTEND TO FILE A RESPONSE to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless one is requested by the Court.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

- Please enter my appearance as Counsel of Record for all respondents.
- There are multiple respondents, and I do not represent all respondents. Please enter my appearance as Counsel of Record for the following respondent(s):
Cowan, Liebowitz & Latman, PC, and William Borchard, Midge Hyman, Baila Celedonia, and Christopher Jensen

- I am a member of the Bar of the Supreme Court of the United States.
- I am not presently a member of the Bar of this Court. Should a response be requested, the response will be filed by a Bar member.

Signature 

Date: November 18, 2020

(Type or print) Name A. Michael Furman

Mr. Ms. Mrs. Miss

Firm Furman Kornfeld & Brennan LLP

Address 61 Broadway, 26th Floor

City & State New York, New York Zip 10006

Phone 212-867-4100 Email mfurman@FKBlaw.com

A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE SENT TO PETITIONER'S COUNSEL OR TO PETITIONER IF *PRO SE*. PLEASE INDICATE BELOW THE NAME(S) OF THE RECIPIENT(S) OF A COPY OF THIS FORM. NO ADDITIONAL CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE IS REQUIRED.

CC: Amy R. Gurvey

November 18, 2020

**VIA UPS Overnight
AND EMAIL**

Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Attn: Clerk of Court

Re: Gurvey v. Cowan Liebowitz & Latman, PC, et al.; No. 20-623

To the Honorable Court:


This Firm represents respondents Cowan, Liebowitz & Latman, PC, and William Borchard, Midge Hyman, Baila Celedonia, and Christopher Jensen (collectively, the “Cowan Attorneys”). We write to waive a response to the petition of Amy R. Gurvey, *pro se* (“Appellant Gurvey”),¹ seeking a writ of certiorari from the June 23, 2020 Order of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“Order”) transferring *pro se* Appellant’s petition seeking writ of mandamus to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

Although the Cowan Attorneys waive a response to Gurvey’s petition seeking a writ of certiorari to this Court, *pro se* Appellant Gurvey’s instant petition asserts the same arguments previously asserted in her April 18, 2019 petition for writ of certiorari to the Court.² Ultimately, the Court denied Gurvey’s petition, and thereafter denied a Pro Se Appellant Gurvey’s request for a rehearing. *Gurvey*, 757 F. App’x 62, *cert. denied*, 140 S. Ct. 161, 205 L. Ed. 2d 52 (2019), *reh’g denied*, No. 18-8930, 2019 WL 6257536 (U.S. Nov. 25, 2019).

Therefore, the Cowan Attorneys respectfully waive a response to *pro se* Appellant

¹ While Appellant presents herself as a non-lawyer, she was in fact admitted to the bar in New York, but has been suspended from practice for the “pursuit of frivolous and vexatious litigation, as well as her misrepresentations to the court[.]” *Matter of Amy R. Gurvey*, 2012 NY Slip Op 92297(U) (1st Dept. Dec. 4, 2012).

² Ms. Gurvey’s April 18, 2019 petition for writ of certiorari asserts as a questioned presented: “Whether Petitioner, a *pro se* Patentee, was denied constitutional access to the SDNY to litigate patent infringement, and inducing infringement claims against the patent lawyers’ defendant clients Clear Channel and Live Nation, Instant Live Concerts, LLC and Mike Gordon of Phish in violation of Federal Circuit law including to file and serve an amended complaint once her first US patents issued during the case?” https://www.supremecourt.gov/DocketPDF/18/18-8930/97179/20190423115940153_00000008.pdf



Gurvey's instant petition seeking a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

FURMAN KORNFELD & BRENNAN LLP,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Michael Furman". The signature is stylized and cursive.

A. Michael Furman