

No. 20 - 5519

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RICHARD E. WANKE - PETITIONER

vs.


STATE OF ILLINOIS - RESPONDENT

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ILLINOIS APPELLATE COURT

Petition for Rehearing

Richard Wanke, *Pro Se*
Register No: K77902
PO Box 1000
Menard, IL 62259
(779) 348 - 2487



No. 20-5519
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RICHARD WANKE,
PETITIONER,
V.
STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RESPONDENT.

PETITION FOR REHEARING
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
ILLINOIS APPELLATE COURT
No. 2-17-0373
APPEAL FROM CIRCUIT COURT OF
WINNEBAGO COUNTY, ILLINOIS
No. 14 CF922


PETITION FOR REHEARING

NOW COMES PETITIONER, RICHARD WANKE, PRO SE SEEKING THE COURT TO APPLY JUDICIAL DISCRETION AND GRANT A REHEARING AND REVIEW OF HIS ENTIRE WRIT OF CERTIORARI, UNDER RULE 44.

HE STATES:

1. PETITIONER TIMELY FILED A WRIT OF CERTIORARI WHICH WAS DENIED ON 10.09.20. SUBSEQUENT TO THE CONFERENCE DATE, PETITIONER SOUGHT TO SUPPLEMENT THE FILING WITH A MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT PETITION UNDER RULE 15.8. THIS WAS TIMELY FILED AND IS ENCLOSED.
 2. AT THE TIME OF DENIAL IT WAS UNCLEAR TO THE PETITIONER IF THIS ADDED ARGUMENT AND BIFURCATED FILING WAS CONSIDERED. THE ADDED FILING WAS NOT DOCKETED. PETITIONER HAS LEARNED THAT THE MOTION ARRIVED NEARLY A MONTH AFTER DEPOSIT IN THE U.S. MAIL AND A NOTE OF RECEIPT WAS RECORDED IN THE RECORD.
 3. DURING THIS TIME OF DETERMINATION, PETITIONER SOUGHT TO CONTACT THE CLERK OF THE COURT. PETITIONER'S FRIENDS SPOKE WITH CASE ANALYST JAKE LEVITAN WHO OFFERED HELP AND INFORMATION. MATTERS WERE EXPLAINED CONCERNING THE COURTS "OFFSITE SCREENING FACILITY", DELAYS DUE TO COVID, WORK-FROM-HOME PROCEDURES AND THE LIKE.
 4. MEANWHILE, TO ENSURE REVIEW OF THE ENTIRE WRIT AND ADDED ARGUMENT, PETITIONER TIMELY REFILED THE MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT ALONG WITH AN ADDED MOTION FOR REHEARING ON 10.30.20. THIS WAS RECEIVED AND NOTED IN THE RECORD, MR. LEVITAN HAS ADVISED THAT THIS FILING IS BEING RETURNED FOR CORRECTIONS, SEE ENCLOSED.
 5. I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PETITION FOR REHEARING IS PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR DELAY. THE GROUNDS OF THE PETITION ARE LIMITED TO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT.
- THEREFORE, UNDER RULE 44 AND WITH THESE ASSURANCES, PETITIONER REQUESTS A REHEARING ON THE ENTIRETY OF THE WRIT. RESPECTFULLY,

DATE: 11.25.20
MENARD, ILLINOIS 62259


RICHARD WANKE K77902

VERIFICATION OF CERTIFICATION

I, RICHARD WANKE, the undersigned, certify and state that:

1. I am the (Petitioner/~~Respondent~~), in the above-captioned legal matter;

2. I have read the foregoing application and have knowledge of its contents; and,

3. Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, I certify that the statements set forth in the foregoing motion and this affidavit are true and correct except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief, and as to such matters I certify that I believe the same to be true.




Affiant's Signature

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

this 25 day of Nov, ²⁰²⁰~~2013~~



Notary Public



No. 20-5519
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RICHARD WANKE,
PETITIONER,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RESPONDENT.

MOTION FOR RECRUITMENT OF COUNSEL
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
ILLINOIS APPELLATE COURT

No. 2-17-0373
APPEAL FROM CIRCUIT COURT OF
WINNEBAGO COUNTY, ILLINOIS
No. 14 CF 922


MOTION FOR RECRUITMENT OF COUNSEL

THE PETITIONER STATES:

1. I, RICHARD WANKE, PRO SE, AM UNABLE TO AFFORD AN ATTORNEY, AND I ASK THE COURT TO RECRUIT COUNSEL. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE COURT WILL DO THIS ONLY IF CERTAIN CRITERIA ARE MET.
2. I HAVE TRIED TO RECRUIT COUNSEL MYSELF, APPROACHING FORMER APPELLATE AND APPOINTED COUNSEL, CERTAIN LEGAL PROFESSIONALS AND LAW PROFESSORS I CORRESPOND WITH, FORTUNATELY, THEY ANSWER VARIOUS QUESTIONS I HAVE, BUT DUE TO RESTRICTIONS ARE UNABLE TO HELP OR REPRESENT ME PRO BONO.
3. I HAVE BEEN INCARCERATED CONTINUOUSLY SINCE 2008, CURRENTLY HELD IN CUSTODY AT MSU/MENARD C.C., IN MENARD, ILLINOIS. DURING THE COURSE OF MY DETENTION I HAVE BECOME DEAF. MY HEARING IMPAIRMENT MAKES IT DIFFICULT TO COMMUNICATE AND TO RECEIVE CERTAIN NEEDED LEGAL SERVICES.
4. I AM NOT NOW, NOR HAVE I PREVIOUSLY BEEN REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY RECRUITED OR APPOINTED BY THE COURT IN THIS OR ANY OTHER MATTER BEFORE THIS COURT.
5. I PREVIOUSLY FILED AN APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* IN THIS CASE, AND IT IS TRUE AND CORRECT REPRESENTATION OF MY CURRENT FINANCIAL STATUS.
6. THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION I HAVE COMPLETED IS COLLEGE GRADUATE.
7. THUS FAR, I HAVE BEEN ABLE TO REPRESENT MYSELF. BUT, BECAUSE OF FACILITY-WIDE LOCKDOWNS; STAY-AT-HOME ORDERS BY THE ILLINOIS GOVERNOR; DELAYS WITH USPS; ACCESS TO INSTITUTIONAL LEGAL SERVICES AND MY DISABILITY; I AM BECOMING LESS ABLE TO MEET THE CHALLENGES.
8. ACCORDINGLY, I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS FILING IS PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND NOT FOR THE PURPOSES OF DELAY. THE REQUEST IS SO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES OF SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT DO NOT LIMIT PETITIONER'S RIGHT TO ACCESS THIS COURT.

WHEREFORE, PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THAT COUNSEL BE SOUGHT AND RECRUITED TO REPRESENT PETITIONER IN THIS MATTER. RESPECTFULLY,

DATE: 11-25-20
MENARD, ILLINOIS 62259


RICHARD WANKE K77902

No. 20 – 5519

In The Supreme Court Of The United States

Richard E. Wanke, Petitioner

v.

State of Illinois, Respondent

Affidavit


MOTION FOR RECRUITMENT OF COUNSEL

As required by Supreme Court Rules 29, 39, and 12.2, I have served the enclosed **PETITION FOR REHEARING** on each party above or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, including one copy of the foregoing to the Clerk of the US Supreme Court at: Supreme Court of the United States, 1 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20543; via deposit into the internal mail system at the Menard Correctional Center, located at PO Box 1000, Menard, IL 62259, via first-class postage, prepaid.

"I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct." Executed on

November 25 2020.

Signature



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RICHARD WANKE

Plaintiff/Petitioner

Vs.

No. **20-5519**

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Defendant/Respondent

PROOF/CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

✓ TO: U.S. SUPREME COURT, 1 FIRST ST.
NE, WASHINGTON, DC 20543
TO: DEP. DIR. L.M. BAUER, SA APP. PRO.
2032 LARKIN AVE., ELGIN, IL, 60123

TO: SA MARILYN HITE-ROSS
WINN. CO., ROCKFORD, IL, 61101
TO: M.M. GLICK, IL. ATT. GEN. OFFICE
100 W. RANDOLPH ST - 12TH FL
CHICAGO, IL. 61101

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at: 5 AM/PM NOVEMBER 25, 2020, I placed the documents listed below in the institutional mail at MSU/MENARD Correctional Center, properly addressed to the parties listed above for mailing through the United States Postal Service.

PETITION FOR REHEARING;

MOTION FOR RECRUITMENT OF COUNSEL; PREVIOUSLY FILED & RETURNED

MOTION FOR REHEARING & MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT PETITION UNDER RULE 15.8
Pursuant to 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621 or 735 ILCS 5/1-109 I declare, under penalty of perjury that I am a named party in the above action, that I have read the above documents, and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DATED: 11.25.20

/s/ *R. Wanke*
Name: RICHARD WANKE
IDOC No. K77902
MSU/MENARD Correctional Ctr.
POB 1000
MENARD, IL
61259

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**