

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
JUL 23 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 20-149

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

**CHERYL D. UZAMERE AS SOLE PROPRIETOR OF  
UZAMERE WORD PROCESSING & MORE**

*Petitioner,*

v.

**THE STATE OF NEW YORK, ET AL.**

*Respondents.*

---

**On Petition For A Writ of Certiorari  
To The United States Court of Appeals  
For the Second Circuit**

---

**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Cheryl D. Uzamere  
Appearing Pro Se  
Uzamere Word Processing  
& More  
1209 Loring Avenue  
Apt. 6B  
Brooklyn, NY 11208  
Tel.: (929) 225-8837  
Fax: (929) 225-8827  
cheryl.uzamere@uzamere  
wordprocessing.net

---

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 13 2020  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

The questions presented are:

1. Whether New York State Law S2942—A is unconstitutional:
  - a) Federal Courts find that New York State Law S2942—A is unconstitutional.
  - b) New York State's Law S2942—A Violates the Sherman Antitrust Act and the Hobbs Act.
  - c) New York State Abrogated the Fourteenth Amendment Regarding Age and Race Based on Its Enforcement of the Israel Anti-Boycott Law.
  - d) Andrew Cuomo's Aiding and Abetting of Genocide against Palestinians and New York State's Acts of Genocide against Black People are under Federal Scrutiny in the case *Personal Representative of the Dawabsheh Family, et al v. Benjamin Netanyahu, et al.*
2. Whether the circuit court's and district court's decisions are in contravention of the Second Circuit's mandate concerning court corruption that was established in *Chevron v. Donziger*.
3. Whether the petitioner has the First Amendment right to advise this Court of Jehovah's viewpoint regarding the Babylonian Talmud's espousal of the worship of the god Molech, the god of pedophilia.

## PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

### Petitioner

Cheryl D. Uzamere, Individually and as Sole Proprietor  
of Uzamere Word Processing & More

### Respondents

New York State

The Honorable  
Andrew M. Cuomo  
Governor  
New York State

New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Letitia James  
Attorney General  
New York State

The Honorable  
Janet Difiore  
Chief Judge  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Pamela L. Fisher  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Robin S. Garson  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Bernard J. Graham  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Lawrence K. Marks  
Chief Administrative Judge  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Lara J. Genovesi  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Lawrence Knipel  
Administrative Judge  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Dawn Jimenez-Salta  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Jeffrey Sunshine Matrimonial  
Practice Advisory and Rules  
Committee, Statewide  
Coordinating Judge for  
Matrimonial Cases  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Kathy J. King  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Susan Kaufman, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Donald Kurtz  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Betty Weinberg Ellerin  
 Honorary Chair Matrimonial  
 Practice Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Carl Landicino  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County  
 Supreme Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Sondra Miller  
 Honorary Chair Matrimonial  
 Practice Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Katherine A. Levine  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County  
 Supreme Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Jacqueline Silbermann  
 Honorary Chair Matrimonial  
 Practice Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Richard J. Montelione  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County  
 Supreme Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

Alton Abramowitz, Esq.  
 Matrimonial Practice  
 Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Lisa S. Ottley  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County  
 Supreme Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

Susan L. Bender, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Mark Partnow  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Roseann Branda, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Michael Pesce  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Andrew Crecca  
Justice of the Supreme Court,  
Suffolk County Supervising  
Judge of Matrimonial Matters  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Eric Prus  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Kathleen Donelli, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Karen B. Rothenberg  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Laura A. Drager  
 Acting Justice of the Supreme  
 Court New York County,  
 Matrimonial Practice  
 Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Leon Ruchelsman  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County Supreme  
 Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

Donna England, Esq.  
 Matrimonial Practice  
 Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Wayne P. Saitta  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County Supreme  
 Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

Stephen J. Gassman, Esq.  
 Matrimonial Practice  
 Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Kenneth Sherman  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County Supreme  
 Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Ellen Gesmer  
 Associate Justice  
 Appellate Division for the  
 First Department  
 Matrimonial Practice  
 Advisory and Rules  
 Committee  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
 Debra Silber  
 Justice of the  
 Kings County Supreme  
 Court  
 New York State  
 Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Cheryl A. Joseph  
Acting Supreme Court Justice  
Supreme Court, Suffolk  
County, Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Elena Karabatos, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Jeffrey D. Lebowitz  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Christopher S. Mattingly Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Martin Solomon  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Ellen M. Spodek  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court for The New  
York State Unified  
Court System

The Honorable Marsha  
L. Steinhardt Justice of  
the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Peter P. Sweeney  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Michael A. Mosberg Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable Delores  
J. Thomas Justice of  
the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Hemalee J. Patel, Esq.,  
Referee of the Supreme Court  
Richmond County  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Wavny Toussaint  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Florence Richardson Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
David B. Vaughan  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Yesenia Rivera, Esq.,  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable Richard  
Velasquez Justice of  
the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Emily Ruben  
Family Court Judge  
Queens County  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Sharon Kelly Sayers, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Zenith T. Taylor, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Eric A. Tepper, Esq.  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable Carolyn  
E. Wade Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Edgar G. Walker  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Michelle Weston  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

The Honorable  
Paul Wooten  
Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme  
Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Bruce J. Wagner, Esq.,  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Michael McSweeney  
New York City Clerk  
of the Council

Harriet Weinberger, Esq.,  
Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Patrick L. Synmoie  
Executive Agency  
Counsel  
New York City  
Clerk's Office

The Honorable  
Hope Zimmerman Justice of  
the Supreme Court, Nassau  
County Matrimonial Practice  
Advisory and Rules  
Committee  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Howard A. Zucker  
M.D., J.D.,  
Commissioner  
New York State  
Department of Health

The Honorable  
Rachel A. Adams  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Richard Zahnleuter  
General Counsel  
New York State  
Department of Health

The Honorable  
Sylvia G. Ash  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State Unified  
Court System

Andrew M. Saul  
Commissioner  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Loren Baily-Schiffman  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Royce B. Min  
General Counsel  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Johnny Lee Baynes  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Monica Chyn  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Reginald A. Boddie  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

Gail Ennis  
Inspector General  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Theresa Ciccotto  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State Unified  
Court System

Ellen Sovern  
Regional General  
Counsel, Regional II  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Lissette Colon  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

“John Doe”/“Jane Doe,  
Office Manager, Social  
Security District Office  
3386 Crescent Street,  
Brooklyn, New York,  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable Matthew  
D’Emic Justice of the  
Kings County Supreme Court  
New York State Unified  
Court System

“John Doe”/“Jane Doe,  
Office Manager  
Social Security  
District Office  
Social Security  
Administration

The Honorable  
Jeanine Edwards  
Justice of the  
Kings County  
Supreme Court  
New York State  
Unified Court System

“Jane Doe”, a.k.a. “Ms.  
Flores, Pass Cadre  
Worker, Supervisor,  
Social Security District  
Office  
Social Security  
Administration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED ..... i

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS ..... ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... xiv

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... xxi

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI..... 1

OPINIONS BELOW ..... 1

JURISDICTION ..... 1

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY  
PROVISIONS ..... 1

INTRODUCTION ..... 5

STATEMENT OF THE CASE ..... 15

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION..... 27

    I. New York State Law S2942—A is  
    Unconstitutional: .....27

        a) Federal Courts find that New York State  
        Law S2942—A is unconstitutional .....27

        b) New York State’s Law S2942—A Violates  
        the Sherman Antitrust Act and the Hobbs  
        Act .....28

c) New York State Abrogated the Fourteenth Amendment Regarding Age and Race Based on Its Enforcement of the Israel Anti-Boycott Law .....	28
d) Andrew Cuomo’s Aiding and Abetting of Genocide against Palestinians and New York State’s Acts of Genocide against Black People are under federal scrutiny in the case <i>Personal Representative of the Dawabsheh Family, et al v. Benjamin Netanyahu, et al.</i> .....	30
II. The Circuit Courts and District Court’s Decision are in Contravention of the Second Circuit’s Mandate concerning Court Corruption that was established in <i>Chevron v. Donziger</i> .....	32
III. The Petitioner has the First Amendment Right to advise this Court of Jehovah’s Viewpoint.....	35
CONCLUSION .....	39
APPENDIX A	
U.S. Court of Appeals 19-3825-cv dated April 10, 2020 .....	3
U.S. Court of Appeals 19-3402-cv dated October 24, 2019.....	4
U.S. District Court Bar Order 19-cv-9064.....	5 – 7
U.S. District Court Dismissal 19-cv-9064.....	8 – 12

APPENDIX B

Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio 108b,  
 footnote 34..... 5

Artsot Ha-Hayyim, pages 52a/52b ..... 8

Midrash Rabbah, Vol. 1, page 293 ..... 11

Legends of the Jews, Vol. page 169  
 The Curse of Drunkenness..... 13

Come and Hear, Sex with Children  
 by Talmud Rules..... 15

History of Abuse and Organic Difficulties in a  
 Convenience Sample of 46 Ultra-Orthodox Males  
 with Pedophilia..... 40

News Punch -- News Punch -- Netanyahu To Release  
 Evidence of a Pedophile in Washington DC ..... 48

Veterans Today, Larry King, Overachiever ..... 54

Haaretz, A Nation of Pedophiles..... 66

Haaretz, Defying a Conspiracy of Silence about  
 Pedophilia ..... 68

Haaretz, Israel Become a Refuge for Pedophiles  
 Warns Advocate for Child Sex Abuse ..... 69

Haaretz, Israel Admits Ethiopian Women Were  
 Given Birth Control Shots..... 70

The Truth about Prostitution in Israel..... 71

The Haredim (Orthodox Jews) Who Crowd Tel Aviv Brothels.....	72
Tikum Olam: The Ringworm Scandal: When Israeli Doctors Killed Tens of Thousands of Arab Jewish Children .....	77
Tikun Olam: Knesset Inquiry Reveals Yemenite Babies Murdered in Medical Experiments, Abducted from Parents, and Exploited in Paid National Institutes of Health Experiments .....	81
Telesur: 59,000 Palestinian Children Physically Abused by Israel .....	86
Monoweiss: Baltimore is Here; Ethiopian Jews Protest Police Brutality in Jerusalem .....	87
Anadolu Agency: Ethiopian Jews Suffer Racism in Israel .....	90
Personal Representative to the Dawabsheh Family v. Benjamin Netanyahu .....	91
The Ten Stages of Genocide .....	271
APPENDIX C	
David P. Walker’s Facebook Statement.....	6
Tara A. Uzamere’s Affidavit and Exhibits.....	7
New York State Department of Health/New York City Clerk Marriage License Bureau Groom-Identity- Lacking Marriage Affidavit .....	11-12

New York State Department of Health/New York City  
 Clerk Marriage License Bureau Groom-Identity-  
 Lacking Marriage Certificate/License ..... 13

New York State Department of Health Father-  
 Identity-Lacking Birth Certificate ..... 14

I-130 Falsified Immigration Form..... 15

I-214 Complaint Form to Immigration and  
 Naturalization Service..... 16-19

Attorney Osato Eugene Uzamere Falsified  
 Affirmation ..... 20-21

Ehigie Uzamere Falsified Affidavit  
 (Posing as “Godwin E. Uzamere”)..... 22-27

Respondent Honorable Justice Jeffrey Sunshine  
 Interlocutory Decision, page 9 ..... 28

Respondent Honorable Jeffrey Sunshine  
 Decision Regarding Identity of Petitioner’s  
 Husband Ehigie Uzamere..... 29-30

FOIA Response from U.S. Citizenship and  
 Immigration Services Regarding Identity of  
 Ehigie Uzamere with Exhibits ..... 31-33

General Docket Sheet for Docket No. 19-3402  
 as of July12, 2020 ..... 34-38

Order of Dismissal/Show Cause with  
 Superimposed Date October 17, 2010..... 38-43

Petitioner’s Notice on Record of Appeal dated  
 October 17, 2019 ..... 44-48

Petitioner’s Motion Information Statement and Declaration of Motion to Withdraw Court’s Fraudulent Sua Sponte Notice of Interlocutory Appeal Docket No. 19-3402 dated October 22, 2019 .....	49-185
Order for Cheryl D. Uzamere v. The State of New York, Case No. 19-3402 .....	186
Order for Cheryl D. Uzamere v. The State of New York with Mandate for Case No. 19-3402 .....	187
General Docket Sheet for Docket 19-3825 .....	188-196
Docket Notice and Notice of Appeal for Docket 19-3825 (pages 1 – 5) Dated November 13, 2019 .....	197-201
Petitioner’s Request for Oral Argument and Certificate of Services dated December 16, 2019.....	202-203
Petitioner’s Motion Information Statement and Affidavit/Declaration in Support of Motion Dated December 16, 2019 .....	204-205
Petitioner’s Brief, Page 1 dated December 16, 2019.....	206
Letter from Benjamin Torrance dated January 6, 2020 .....	207-208
Letter from Oren L. Zeve dated January 6, 2020 .....	209-211
Petitioner’s Response and Reply Brief dated January 15, 2020 .....	212

Petitioner’s Response and Reply Brief Appendix A  
Dated January 15, 2020 .....213

Petitioner’s Response and Reply Brief Appendix B  
Dated January 15, 2020 .....214

Petitioner’s Combined Amended Response  
And Reply and Motion in Support dated  
January 15, 2020 .....215

Petitioner’s Amended Response and Reply Brief  
dated January 21, 2020 .....216

Petitioner’s Amended Response and Reply Brief  
Appendix A dated January 21, 2020.....217

Petitioner’s Amended Response and Reply Brief  
Appendix B dated January 21, 2020.....218

Petitioner’s Amended Response and Reply Brief  
Appendix C dated January 21, 2020.....219

Petitioner’s Amended Response and Reply Brief  
Appendix D dated January 21, 2020 .....220

Petitioner’s Motion Information Statement  
and Combined Amended Affidavit in Support  
dated January 31, 2020 .....221

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Amawi v. Pflugerville Independent School District</i> .....	27
<i>Bryan v. Moore</i> .....	7, 35
<i>Bulloch v. United States</i> , 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985).....	21, 32
<i>Chevron v. Donziger</i> .....	1, 21, 32, 33, 34
<i>City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, et al.</i> , 462 U.S. 416, 103 S. Ct. 2481; 76 L. Ed. 2d 687.....	1, 21, 32,
<i>Federal Communications Commission, v. Fox Television Stations</i> , 10-1293, 567 U.S. 239, 132 S. Ct. 2307; 183 L. Ed. 2d 234; 2012 WL 2344462; 2012 U.S. LEXIS 4661; .....	21
<i>Johnson v. United States</i> , 13-7120, 576 U.S. 591, 135 S. Ct. 2551; 192 L. Ed. 2d 569; .....	21
<i>Jordahl v. Brnovich</i> .....	21
<i>Kenner v. C.I.R.</i> , 387 F.3d 689 (1968).....	21, 32
Kolender, et al. v. Edward Lawson , 461 U.S. 352, 103 S. Ct. 1855; 75 L. Ed. 2d 903 .....	21
<i>Koontz v. Watson</i> , 283 F. Supp. 3d 1007 (2018) .....	21
<i>Margaret Papachristou et al. v. City of Jacksonville</i> , 70-5030, 405 U.S. 156, 92 S. Ct. 839; 31 L. Ed. 2d 110; 1972 .....	21

*Personal Representative of the Dawabsheh Family, et al v. Benjamin Netanyahu, et al*.....i, 15, 17, 22, 30

*Sessions v. Dimaya*; 15-1498, 584 U.S., 138 S. Ct. 1204; 200 l. L. Ed. 2d 549; 2018 U.S. LEXIS 2497.....22

*Uzamere v. Allen E. Kaye, P.C.*, 1:09-CV-3506, S.D.N.Y. April 2, 2009), *appeal dismissed*, No. 09-1600-cv (2d Cir. June 24, 2009), *cert. denied*, 558 U.S. 965 (Oct. 13, 2009).....22, 33

*Uzamere v. Cuomo*, No. 11-CV-2831 (E.D.N.Y. June 22, 2011), *appeal dismissed*, No. 11-2713-cv (2d Cir. Nov. 28, 2011), *cert denied*, 565 U.S. 1264 (Mar. 19, 2012) .....22, 33

*Uzamere v. Rice*, No. 08-CV-0891 (NGG) (E.D.N.Y. July 6, 2007).....22, 33

*Uzamere v. United States*, No. 13-505S, 2013 WL 5781216 (D.R.I. Oct. 25, 2013), *aff'd*, No.13-2454 (1st Cir. Apr. 11, 2014), *cert. denied*, 135 S. Ct. 451 (Nov. 3, 2014).....22, 33

*Village of Hoffman Estates v. The Flipside, Hoffman Estates, Inc.*, 455 U.S. 489, 102 S. Ct. 1186; 71 L. Ed. 2d..... 22

Constitutional Provisions

U.S. Const. Article VI, Section 2  
 Supremacy Clause .....2, 7, 32

U.S. Const. Amend. I ..... 1, 2, 5, 7, 15,  
 22, 23, 27, 25

U.S. Const. Amend. V (Due Process) .....	2, 3, 14, 32
U.S. Const. Amend. XIII .....	2
U.S. Const. Amend. XIV (Due Process) .....	1, 3, 12, 14, 15, 28, 32
 Statutes, Regulations, and Rules	
18 U.S.C. § 873, blackmail, RICO.....	26
18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968.....	26, 33
18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B), domestic terrorism .....	23
18 U.S.C. § 1503, obstruction of justice .....	23
18 U.S.C. § 872, extortion.....	23
18 U.S.C. § 1951, Hobbs Act extortion.....	5, 23
28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).....	1
Americans with Disabilities Act .....	15
Civil Rights Act of 1964 .....	23
New York State Domestic Relations Law § 236 .....	23
New York State Executive Law No. 157.....	16, 23
New York State Sessions Law S2942—A (The Act).....	1, 14, 16 23, 27, 28
Texas House Bill 89.....	27
Violence Against Women Act.....	22

7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23 .....32

Other Authorities

Babylonian Talmud

Tractate Abodah Zarah, Folio 26b  
(Law of Moser) ..... 11, 15

Tractate Kethuboth, Folio 11b..... 10

Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio 64a ..... 36

Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio 745, 108b .....7, 24, 16

Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio 57a ..... 9

Tractate Baba Kama, Folio 113a .....9, 35

Come and Hear

New America, America's New Government  
Church .....6, 9

Death Penalty and Talmud Law,  
Sentence and Execution ..... 6

What About Gentiles  
Co-existence:..... 24

Informing on Fellow Jews Who Commit  
Crimes ..... 11

Sex with Children by Talmud Rules..... 11

The Benediction against the Minim Lawrence Schiffman .....	9, 11
Guide to the Perplexed Rabbi Moses Maimonides .....	7
Artsot Ha-Hayyim .....	8, 16, 25
Midrash Rabbah (Soncino) Vol. 1, page 293 .....	8, 16, 25
Birkat HaMinim .....	8
Holy Bible	
Genesis 19:1-23 .....	38
Leviticus 20:1 .....	25, 36
Leviticus 20:1-5 .....	25
Leviticus 20:14 .....	25
Numbers 25 .....	38
Judges 19-21 .....	39
Amos 5:23 .....	37
Acts 7:42-43 .....	37

## **PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Cheryl D. Uzamere, sole proprietor of Uzamere Word Processing & More petitions this Court for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in this matter.

---

◆

### **OPINIONS BELOW**

The decisions of the court of appeals is printed in Appendix A (App. A) at 3a-4a. The district court's opinions are printed at App. A 5a-12a.

---

◆

### **JURISDICTION**

The court of appeals entered its judgment on April 10, 2020; this petition is therefore timely filed. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

---

◆

### **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

Article I, Section 8, the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution provides:

1. To regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian tribes;
2. To constitute tribunals inferior to the Supreme Court;

Article VI, Section 2, the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution provides:

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States...shall be the supreme Law of the Land...

The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

The Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides:

No person shall be...deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law;

The Eleventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides:

The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State.

The Thirteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides:

Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist

within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.

The Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides:

Section 1: nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

### **Statutory Provisions**

#### **Civil Law**

#### **42 U.S.C. § 1981, Equal Rights Under the Law:**

All persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall have the same right in every State and Territory to make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, give evidence, and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for the security of persons and property as is enjoyed by white citizens, and shall be subject to like punishment, pains, penalties, taxes, licenses, and exactions of every kind, and to no other.

#### **42 U.S.C. § 1983, Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights**

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any

rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable.

#### Criminal Law

##### 15 U.S.C. § 1, Sherman Antitrust Law:

Every contract, combination in the form of trust or otherwise, or conspiracy, in restraint of trade or commerce among the several states or with foreign nations, is declared to be illegal. Every person who shall make any contract or engage in any combination or conspiracy hereby declared to be illegal shall be deemed guilty of a felony, and, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not exceeding \$100,000,000 if a corporation, or, if any other person \$1,000,000, or by imprisonment not exceeding 10 years, or by both said punishments, in the discretion of the court.

##### 18 U.S.C. § 1962, Racketeering-Influenced Corrupt organization:

It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

18 U.S.C. § 1951, Interference with Commerce  
by Threats or Violence

Whoever in any way or degree obstructs, delays, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce, by robbery or extortion or attempts or conspires to do so, or commits or threatens physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to do anything in violation of this section shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than twenty years, or both.

18 U.S.C. § 3771, 18 U.S.C. § 10607(c), Crime Victims' Rights: A crime victim has the following rights:

The right to be treated with fairness and with respect for the victim's dignity and privacy.



**INTRODUCTION**

"...Let me say first that a constitution, as important as it is, will mean nothing unless the people are yearning for liberty and freedom. If the people don't care, then the best constitution in the world won't make any difference. So, the spirit of liberty has to be in the population, and then the constitution -- first, it should safeguard basic fundamental human rights, like our First Amendment, the right to speak

freely, and to publish freely, without the government as a censor.<sup>1, 2</sup>

You should certainly be aided by all the constitution-writing that has gone on since the end of World War II. I would not look to the U.S. constitution, if I were drafting a constitution in the year 2012.”

*Excerpt from U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg interview with Al Hayat TV in Egypt*<sup>3</sup>

On November 5, 2002, nearly ten (10) years prior to the publicized statement eschewing the U.S. Constitution as a model for other countries to emulate, the National Institute for Judaic Law celebrated its founding in the building housing the U.S. Supreme Court. The gala ...was attended by 200 dinner guests, including justices from this honorable Court.

Information regarding the gala was publicized by *Come and Hear*, at the website entitled *New America, America's New Government Church*.<sup>4</sup>

At the *Come and Hear* website, a chapter entitled *Death Penalty and Talmud Law, Sentence and Execution*<sup>5</sup> 5, says:

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KuMXqcK4Nrg>;

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.memri.org/tv/us-supreme-court-justice-ruth-baderginsburgegyptians-look-constitutions-south-africa-or-canada>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KuMXqcK4Nrg&t=3s>

<sup>4</sup> [http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america\\_1.html](http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america_1.html).

<sup>5</sup> [http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/capunish\\_1.html#n7](http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/capunish_1.html#n7)

### Supreme Court Considers Talmudic Law

"In December 1999, the United States Supreme Court set a precedent by accepting for consideration an amicus [curiae] brief in a death penalty case (*Bryan v. Moore*)...the brief was based wholly on Talmud law.

The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibits the favoring and/or establishment of any religion in governmental settings. Governmental reliance on religion, no matter how well-intentioned, is disingenuous on its face because it violates the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

The public is unaware of Babylonian Talmudic dogma that is discriminatory and deadly to people of African descent, Gentiles, children and especially whistleblowers:

#### Hatred of People of African Descent<sup>6</sup>

Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio p. 745, 108b: "Our Rabbis taught: ... Ham was smitten in his skin." (This is footnoted, and the footnote reads: "I.e., from him was descended Cush (the negro), who is black-skinned.")

Rabbi Moses Maimonides (RamBam), Guide to the Perplexed: "[T]he Kushites (Negroes) found in the remote South... rank lower than the rank of man but higher than the rank of apes. For they have the external shape and lineaments of a man and a faculty of

---

<sup>6</sup> [http://www.ottmall.com/mj\\_ht\\_arch/v15/mj\\_v15i20.html#CDX](http://www.ottmall.com/mj_ht_arch/v15/mj_v15i20.html#CDX)

discernment that is superior to that of the apes.<sup>7</sup>

Artsot Ha-Hayyim: "the reason Abraham Lincoln was killed was because he freed the blacks. this is also the reason why Kennedy was killed, i.e. because he was good to the blacks. He continues by saying that this will be the fate of any who adopt a progressive attitude towards blacks, because they are meant to be enslaved.

Midrash Rabbah (Soncino) Vol. 1, p. 293: "AND HE SAID: CURSED BE CANAAN (Breishit 9:25): (Commentary omitted) ...R. Huna also said in R. Joseph's name: You [i.e. Noah is speaking to Ham) have prevented me from doing something in the dark [i.e. cohabiting with his wife], therefore your seed will be ugly and dark-skinned. R. Chiyya said: Ham and the dog copulated in the Ark therefore, Ham came forth black-skinned while the dog publicly exposed its copulation."

#### Hatred of Christians

Birkat HaMinin (Curse against Christians):  
...Let the nozerim and the minim be destroyed in a moment. And let them be blotted out of the Book of Life and not be inscribed together with the righteous. Blessed art thou, O Lord, who humblest the arrogant."

---

<sup>7</sup> [https://www.sefaria.org/Guide\\_for\\_the\\_Perplexed%2C\\_Part\\_3.51?lang=bi](https://www.sefaria.org/Guide_for_the_Perplexed%2C_Part_3.51?lang=bi)

Professor Lawrence H. Schiffman: ...These passages present evidence that some version of the benediction was already recited in the mid-second century C.E. and that it included explicit reference to the Christians.<sup>8</sup>

Come and Hear, New America, America's New Government Church<sup>9</sup>

The Noahide Laws promise deadly consequences for Christians...LORD God tasked the Jews to enforce the seven Noahide Commandments, and to enforce them with liberal use of the death penalty.

Espousal of the Slaughter of Gentiles

Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Sanhedrin, Folio 57a: 'For murder, whether of a Cuthean by a Cuthean, or of an Israelite by a Cuthean, punishment is incurred; but of a Cuthean by an Israelite, there is no death penalty'<sup>10</sup>

Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Baba Kama, Folio 113a: 'Where a suit arises between an Israelite and a heathen, if you can justify the former according to the laws of Israel, justify him and say: 'This is our law'; so also if you can justify him by the laws of the heathens justify him and say [to the other party:] 'This is your law'; but if this cannot be done, we use subterfuges to circumvent him.'<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://lawrenceschiffman.com/the-benediction-against-the-minim/>

<sup>9</sup> [http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america\\_1.html](http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america_1.html)

<sup>10</sup> [https://halakhah.com/sanhedrin/sanhedrin\\_57.html](https://halakhah.com/sanhedrin/sanhedrin_57.html)

<sup>11</sup> [https://halakhah.com/babakamma/babakamma\\_113.html](https://halakhah.com/babakamma/babakamma_113.html)

## Death Penalty and the Babylonian Talmud<sup>12</sup>

...Talmud law insists on unequal justice under law. Talmudic law holds there is one law for Jews, and one for Gentiles. This is not inconsistent with the Old Testament...Gentiles are the proper slaves of Jews.

## Co-existence?<sup>13</sup>

What does the future hold? Can the Jews ever co-exist with the rest of humanity? The answer is "yes," provided the rest of humanity accepts the role designed for them by Jewish leadership. If Gentiles do not accept enslavement, there will be conflict.

## The Worship of Molech and Pedophilia

MISHNAH: He who gives of his seed to Molech incurs no punishment unless he delivers it to Molech and causes it to pass through the fire. If he gave it to Molech but did not cause it to pass through the fire, or the reverse, he incurs no penalty, unless he does both.

Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Kethuboth, Folio 11b: GEMARA: ... When a grown-up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing, for when the girl is less than this, it is as if one puts the finger into the eye..."<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> [http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/capunish\\_4.html](http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/capunish_4.html)

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/gentile.html>

<sup>14</sup> [https://halakhah.com/kethuboth/kethuboth\\_11.html](https://halakhah.com/kethuboth/kethuboth_11.html)

Come and Hear, Sex with Children by Talmud Rules:<sup>15</sup> Again, there is no prohibition of a sexual practice that would almost certainly cause physical damage to a young girl due to the mismatched sizes of genitals between an adult's penis and a child's vagina or anus.

In the Talmud, grown men are permitted to have sexual intercourse with female babies and children, and homosexual relations with boys younger than nine.

Sentence of Death for Christian/Minim, Apostates and Whistleblowers/Mosrim<sup>16</sup>

R. Abbahu recited to R. Johanan: 'Idolaters and [Jewish] shepherds of small cattle need not be brought up though they must not be cast in, but minim, informers, and apostates may be cast in, and need not be brought up.

Michael J. Broyde, Esq., Co-Directors of National Institute for Judaic Law's Inaugural Project<sup>17</sup> expounded on Abodah Zarah 26b's prohibition against whistleblowing/meshira in his speech entitled "Informing on Fellow Jews Who Commit Crimes"<sup>18</sup>:

... the Talmud recounts - in a number of places - that it is prohibited to inform on Jews to the secular government, even when their conduct is a violation of secular law and even when their conduct is a violation of Jewish law.

---

<sup>15</sup> [http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america\\_2.html](http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/america_2.html)

<sup>16</sup> The Curse against Christians, <http://lawrenceschiffman.com/thebenediction-against-the-minim>

<sup>17</sup> <http://nijl.org/contact.html>

<sup>18</sup> <http://www.come-and-hear.com/editor/moser-broyde/index.html>

As of the writing of this petition, the petitioner's children are victims of sexual violence by respondent New York State's foster care system. They have never been heard, and the petitioner's attempts to seek justice on behalf of her children has been successfully rebuffed by members of the Ashkenazi community's legal and judicial community for several decades. The groom-identity-lacking marriage affidavit containing the fictitious name "Godwin E. Uzamere" that the petitioner's husband and his attorneys submitted to respondent New York State's Department of Health, New York City Clerk's Marriage License Bureau and the U.S. Department of Justice's Immigration and Naturalization Service were criminally used as an identification document to produce a falsified marriage license; a falsified birth certificate; a falsified request for immigration status; and decades of the petitioner's receipt of public assistance from respondent New York State based on its acceptance of the groom-identification-lacking marriage affidavit that successfully removed the petitioner's husband as financial protector from the then-pregnant petitioner and her children.

Additionally, in spite of the district court's, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's and the respondent New York State appellate court's recognition of petitioner's husband as the former Nigerian Senator Ehigie Edobor Uzamere, the respondent New York State's Department of Health and its political subdivision New York City Clerk's Marriage License Bureau have vehemently refused to correct their records to reflect petitioner and her daughter's rightful marital and consanguineous relationship to their African relative, Ehigie Edobor Uzamere.

Respondent New York State has normalized the violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause's extension, the Civil Rights Act that prohibits age discrimination by allowing the ritualized fellating of

helpless Ashkenazi boys during bris, such that in the City of New York, eleven (11) Ashkenazi baby boys have contracted herpes, with two (2) of them dying.<sup>19</sup> There has been an upsurge of the killings of unarmed people of African descent by law enforcement officers across the country. Although Chinese citizens and the Chabad Lubavitch were exposed to COVID-19 during the outbreak in Wuhan, American citizens of African descent are now listed as being disproportionately affected because of issues associated with anti-black racism, with the vast majority of people still not knowing that anti-Hamite/anti-Canaanite/anti-African hatred is a defamatory religious teaching associated with the Babylonian Talmud.

This country is now in the grips of the enforcement of Israel anti-boycott laws. Israel's prime minister has: 1) called for the enforcement of the Babylonian Talmud; 2) threatened U.S. politicians with exposing those who engaged in sex with children; but, 3) has withheld the identities of those U.S. politicians Israel's prime minister claims had sex with children from the parents, who like the petitioner, are worried sick about the effects of rape on their children, and are victims of the intentional infliction of emotional distress associated with the inability to obtain justice for their children because of the respondents' single-minded determination to support Israel's Ashkenazi-led government.

As of the completion of this petition, the petitioner alleges that the respondents have made themselves unavailable for service by electronic means – as suggested by this Court. The respondents have refused to comply with petitioner's request for return receipt for e-mail sent to them.

---

<sup>19</sup>

[https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6122a2.htm?s\\_cid=mm6122a](https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6122a2.htm?s_cid=mm6122a)

With the assistance of the district and circuit courts, the petitioner is again forcibly relegated to the position of a helpless, nonconsenting sex slave to mimic the sexually sadistic domestic terrorism, sexual harassment, sexual predation and psychological gang-rape to which the respondents subjected the petitioner's children after facilitating the use of the fictitious name "Godwin E. Uzamere" to remove the petitioner's husband as a financial protector for the petitioner and her children. The petitioner also alleges that based on a long-term pattern of behavior associated with members of the Ashkenazi community who are jurists, there is a disproportionately high percentage of them who are pedophile-oriented sexually sadistic predators, who, when adjudicating the lawsuits of unprotected self-represented litigants, especially in forma pauperis litigants, create any legal ruse to use their positions of power psychologically "gang-rape" helpless pro se litigants<sup>20</sup>.

The petitioner seeks to avail herself of the benefits of the U.S. Constitution's Supremacy, Freedom of Speech, Establishment, Religion, Petition, Due Process and Equal Protection clauses, and to allow the petitioner to present her request for certiorari with the openness of which the attendees of the founding of the National Institute for Judaic Law availed themselves. More importantly, this petition seeks to connect respondent New York State's enforcement of S2942—A, the Israel Anti-Boycott Law to

---

<sup>20</sup> Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; Sexual Sadism Disorder      Diagnostic      Criteria      302.84      (F65.52)  
(<https://mrkmnls.co/2XtKlgi>) Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the physical or psychological suffering of another person, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors...The diagnostic criteria for sexual sadism disorder are intended to apply both to individuals who freely admit to having such paraphilic interests and to those who deny any sexual interest in the physical or psychological suffering of another individual despite substantial objective evidence to the contrary.

the unconstitutional enforcement of the Babylonian Talmud's Law of Moser.

To that end, the petitioner has, in addition to availing herself of her First Amendment right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, has further exercised her First Amendment right to free speech by informing the members of the European Union and the African Union of the existence of this petition before filing it with this honorable Court, as well as members of the international Hamitic/Canaanite/African community, to expose the havoc that the continued interference of the Babylonian Talmud has wrought, and in particular, the havoc that children of Hamitic/Canaanite/African descent have suffered, who petitioner alleges like her son, were gang-raped as a result of the enforcement of the Babylonian Talmudic Law of Moser, which the petitioner alleges has now been politicized into the New York State Israel Anti-Boycott Law.

---

◆

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Cheryl D. Uzamere, the petitioner, is a member of several groups that are protected by federal statutes that invoke the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection Clause. She is an African American. She is a woman. She is one of Jehovah's Witnesses and a servant of the true god, Jehovah, the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and Jesus Christ; and hater of Molech, the god of pedophilia, sexual pervasion associated with pedophilia and child sacrifice. She was diagnosed as having bipolar disorder, an organic mental condition in February 1993, that is recognized as a disability pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, and for which she has received Social Security Disability Insurance retroactively since 1996. She is the mother of David Paul Walker and Tara Ann Uzamere, African American children who are victims of sexually sadistic

terrorism by respondents New York State and New York City's Babylonian Talmud-based foster care system, and who were kidnapped and trafficked by respondent State of New York and City of New York for purposes of the Babylonian Talmud/Molech-fomented trafficking of humans for the sex trade and kidnapping and trafficking of boys of African descent for anal sodomy. She is an individual against whom the extrajudicial sentence of death has been ordered pursuant to the Babylonian Talmudic crime of meshira/mesira (whistleblowing), a religious law that is now politicized and legalized by New York State Executive Order No. 157 and the New York State Legislative Law (Session 2017-2018) S2942—A regarding boycotting Israel.

Based on those matters in which she was personally involved, and on information and belief as to all other matters, she makes the following statements under penalty of perjury.

**Statement of Petitioner's Son, David Paul Walker's  
Sexual Abuse While a Client at JCCA-Edenwald Group  
Home**

The petitioner became aware of the incident described below sometime during 2018. The petitioner's son, David Paul Walker described an occasion where, while he was six years old, he was in a setting where the employees of JCCA-Edenwald did not supervise the sleeping area, nor provide security cameras or security guards to ensure that her son's or other foster children were safe, in what she alleges was an attempt to hide their goals of allowing Babylonian Talmud-adherent, sex-crazed members of the Ashkenazi Jewish community to engage in the Babylonian Talmudic practice of anally sodomizing the petitioner's son:

“Please Mr. Don’t touch me there, I’m only six...love you not, hate you a lot, Shall we commence to crucifix???... When I was a little boy, teenage boy masturbated in front of me, then politely ask me to lick???... “If you come near me, I’ll scream”, First time seeing someone else’s dick...So easy to pass judgment, tell everybody else what to do...Say stranger, my advice but your ass, shall we suffer together for a spell or two??? Disturbed by the vacant lifeless expression in my eyes yes???...Systematic rape of my soul...prolonged torture of my mind, please my darling. I wholeheartedly invite you to try your best...

**Statement of Petitioner’s Daughter, Tara Ann Uzamere  
That Was Ignored By New York State Supreme Court  
Justice Jeffrey S. Sunshine:**

The petitioner’s daughter, Tara Ann Uzamere, now a registered nurse with the State of New York for over 10 years, submitted a New York State-notarized affidavit in which she described Ehigie Edozor Uzamere, at that time a senator for the Federal Republic of Nigeria, as her father:

I, Tara A. Uzamere, being duly sworn, depose and say that:

1) I am the daughter of the Plaintiff and the Defendant in the above-entitled action.

2) I make this Affidavit based on the following facts:

3) That the Plaintiff has always told me that Defendant is my father ever since I was a child.

4) That I met the Defendant for the first time at JFK Airport in Jamaica, New York around the year 2004 to the best of my recollection.

5) That I took a photograph of the Defendant during the aforesaid visit. Photograph taken at JFK Airport is hereby attached as Exhibit A.

6) That on the day that I first met the Defendant at JFK Airport, I called my friend Eusi Patterson on the cell phone that I used to take a photograph of the Defendant.

7) That on the aforesaid day the Defendant openly and notoriously introduced himself to Eusi as my father.

8) That I met and visited the Defendant's late brother, John Uzamere at 476 Amboy Street.

9) That the Defendant and his brother George Uzamere openly and notoriously visited me when I lived at 489 Ray Street, Freeport, New York.

10) That while I was a resident in Freeport, New York, I experienced a car accident, and that George Uzamere and the Defendant openly and notoriously sent checks to pay the rest of my car note to Drive Financial, a financing company based in Dallas, Texas.

11) That the Defendant openly and notoriously visited me when I lived at Nichol Road in Wyandanch, New York.

12) That the Defendant met my landlord, Martin Marta when the Defendant visited me while I was living at the aforesaid address.

13) That during the aforesaid meeting the Defendant openly and notoriously identified himself to my landlord as my father.

14) That I spoke with Wellington Uzamere on the telephone several times before and after I first met the Defendant.

15) That Wellington Uzamere referred to the Defendant as "Ehigie."

16) That based on information received from members of the Edo/Bini community as well as my own belief, my facial structure resembles that of the Defendant as evidenced in my photograph hereby attached as Exhibit B.

17) That while I spend three or four pleasant occasions with the Defendant and received monetary gifts during those occasions, the Defendant has never been a consistent part of my life as I explained in the report [that] I provided to Nigerian newspaper Huhu Online. See aforesaid report hereby attached as Exhibit C.

18) That based on what I learned at Long Island College School of Nursing regarding psychiatric nursing and psychiatric illnesses, as well as personal day-to-day observation of the Plaintiff, that while the Plaintiffs predominate affect is consistent with what I believe to be hypomania, the Plaintiff is not psychotic and does not require hospitalization, as untruthfully implied by Eugene Uzamere's defamatory characterization of the Plaintiff as "certifiably insane" to Nigerian newspaper Point Blank News Online, hereby attached as Exhibit D.

19) That before 2004 the Defendant never visited me; never celebrated a birthday with me; never kissed me; never told me he loved me; never wiped away my tears; never talked to me about God; never attended a house of God with me; never read me a Bible story; never talked to me about how to comport myself around men or the importance of being a chaste woman; never let other men know that I was precious to him; never let other men know that they would be responsible to him if they hurt me; never held my hand; never walked with me; never sat me on his lap; never played games with me; never took me to the movies; never picked me up; never gave me a hug; never attended a school meeting with my teachers; never visited me in the hospital; never told me he was proud of me; never accompanied me to a father/daughter dance, never attended a graduation; never invited other members of the Edo/Bini community to a naming ceremony in honor of my birth; never told me that he was glad I was born and never treated me like he loved me and wanted to protect me from the

dangers of the world the way normal fathers do with their daughters, and especially in the manner that Nigerian men are known to treat their children.

20) That the falsely concocted "counter-affidavit" and the falsely concocted affirmation by Eugene Uzamere makes me feel heartsick because I have always been made to believe by the Plaintiff, the Defendant and members of the Defendant's family that the Defendant is my father and that being a blood member of the Uzamere clan, a blood member of the proud and ancient Edo/Bini nation and culture and being a native Nigerian based on consanguinity are my birthrights and a part of who I am; that the aforesaid "counter-affidavit and attorney's affirmation are emotionally and psychologically abusive as they suggest that I am a bastard child while the Defendant is not willing to end the question of paternity by taking a simple DNA test.

21) That I now experience financial difficulties such that I do not have money to return to college to continue studying nursing, and that because of the Plaintiffs advanced age and disability, it is very difficult for her to obtain employment to help me pay for college; PELL grant rejection information is attached at Exhibit E.

22) That I am willing to submit myself for honest DNA testing to confirm that the Defendant is my father if conditions can be controlled so that the Defendant does not know and cannot access the location of the laboratory

where said DNA test is performed so that the Defendant does not unduly influence anyone to lie about the results of the DNA test as it seems the Defendant was able to do on the marriage affidavit where the municipal clerk signed his/her name to indicate that he/she verified the Defendant's age, but that on inspection of said page, did not mark off any box to indicate the type of identification the municipal clerk used to verify the Defendant's age and date of birth and identity; see Plaintiff's Affidavit and Application for License to Marry, top of back page hereby attached as Exhibit F.

Wherefore, as the Defendant has forced the Plaintiff and I to suffer domestic violence as identified by the U.S. Justice Department's Office of Violence Against Women, I respectfully ask that this Court considers that the Plaintiff is not just pleading for herself but for our entire family; that this Court grant the Plaintiffs lawful and just request to dismiss attorney Eugene Uzamere's falsified affirmation in its entirety, and to grant the Plaintiff's motion for default judgment and money judgment in its entirety.

The basis of the petitioner's request for a writ of certiorari by this Court is the lower courts' refusal of her request for declaratory and injunctive relief. The petitioner asked the lower courts for two things: 1) the right to speak; and 2) for the lower court to prevent the respondents from violating the petitioner's First Amendment right to speak. The response of both the district court and the circuit court were "Plaintiff, we will not allow you to speak about issues regarding the gangrape of your children, the falsified marriage affidavit or other issues contained in the 540 exhibits of your lawsuit."

The petitioner requested the lower courts to grant her First Amendment right to speak in court about, among other things, the rape of her children. The Second Circuit, a Sherman-Antitrust-violating, white supremacist, Babylonian Talmud-adherent, Ashkenazi-led judicial cartel where every U.S. attorney that received the petitioner's complaints are members of the Ashkenazim, has consistently demonstrated to the petitioner that black lives do not matter. The lower courts' judiciary know that with a disproportionate percentage of the Second Circuit's judiciary being members of a religion that teaches that people of African descent are meant to be enslaved, that the petitioner's chances of being granted certiorari are slim to none.

Pursuant to a recently enacted law, New York State Law (Session 2017-2018) S2942—A, ("2942--A" or "the Act"), the State of New York requires government contractors to certify that they are not engaged in boycotts of Israel or territories controlled by Israel.

The petitioner is the sole proprietor of a home-based typing service. Over the course of several decades, the respondents have sought to extort, defraud, and otherwise torturously injure the petitioner by means of a plan they conceived and executed against the petitioner and her children. It has been continuously carried out a racketeering-influenced criminal organization led by members of Babylonian Talmud-adherent Ashkenazi leadership in positions of great power, among others, immigration attorneys, Allen E. Kaye and Harvey Shapiro, New York State Supreme Court Justice Jeffrey S. Sunshine and other New York State Supreme Court justices/judges, federal and municipal law enforcement officers, Affinity Federal Credit Union; Metavante Corporation; Verizon, Inc.; the New York City Housing Authority; New York City Comptroller's Office's 50-H

attorney Jane Barrett; New York City Department of Information Technology and Telecommunication; the City University of New York; the New York City Police Department; Web.com; the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; the Social Security Administration; the New York State Attorney General's Office; U.S. Department of Homeland Security; New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation; New York State Office of Mental Health, Brookdale Hospital Medical Center, Interfaith Medical Center; the National Credit Union Administration, America Works, Inc.; Brooklyn Defender, Inc.; Federal Defenders of Brooklyn; Federation Employment and Guidance Services (FEGS); and other governmental and nongovernmental employees under the control of respondent New York State's powerful Ashkenazi leadership for whom the petitioner provided the circuit court with irrefutable proof of embezzlement of the petitioner's SSDI funds; malicious prosecution; unlawful imprisonment; medical malpractice; but against whom respondent New York State and its subdivision New York City have done nothing.

The petitioner alleges that the Act superficially seeks to suppress participation in political boycott campaigns aimed at Israel and/or territories controlled by Israel, particularly Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions ("BDS") campaigns. These campaigns seek to apply economic pressure on Israel to protest the Israeli government's treatment of Palestinians and occupation of the Palestinian territories.

The petitioner alleges that the real purpose of the Act is to facilitate what the Israeli scientific and public health sectors, the Israel movie entitled *Conventional Sins* and CBS Channel 2 News identify as a disproportionately high percentage of Ashkenazi Jews who have a predisposition for pedophilia, and to use the Babylonian Talmud's misinterpretation regarding the Holy Bible's

identity of Ham and his son Canaanite as the religious excuse to subject them to international sexualized enslavement and tyranny the Jehovah God and the U.S. Constitution forbid. The petitioner alleges that, based on historical records: a) from the completion of the writing of the Babylonian Talmud; b) to the Babylonian Talmud's promulgation of the inferiority of people of African descent; c) to Babylonian Talmud-adherent, Ashkenazi Jewish leadership's monopolization of the African slave trade where Africans, including their children were anally sodomized; d) to Ashkenazi Jew-controlled Israel's murder of over 100,000 Arab-Jewish and Palestinian children during the Ringworm Experiment; e) to Israel's Knesset's acknowledgment of the Ashkenazi Jew-controlled kidnapping, torturous experimentation and murder of thousands of Yemeni Jewish children; f) to the Franklin Scandal where children, predominately European boys were removed from foster homes to be anally raped; g) to the disproportionately high percentage of Ashkenazi Jewish children who are fellated after circumcision, some of who contracted herpes and died; h) to Jeffrey Epstein's numerous international forays for the purpose of raping European American children; i) to New York State's warehousing of boys of African descent in distantly located group homes where boys like my son, David Paul Walker are anally sodomized; j) to Electric Intifada's description of Ashkenazi Jew-controlled weaponized rape of Palestinians; k) to New York State's immigration policy that disproportionately admits individuals from South Asia and the Middle East, parts of the world where the institutionalized prostitution of children has been normalized; l) to Israel's citizenry's desperate attempts to stop the flow of Ashkenazi Jewish pedophiles from using Aliyah to escape prosecution in countries where they have raped children, just to escape to Israel to rape children there; the respondents have exhibited, not just a glaring refusal to protect children, but an even more glaring ability to create impoverished children who are trafficked

and anally raped in its foster care system. The petitioner alleges that the respondents' leaders are violent, pedophilic sodomites, and that the BDS issue is a cover to deflect attention from Ashkenazi Jewish' leadership's organic predisposition for pedophilia.

Not only does the petitioner allege that respondent New York State's Anti-Boycott law is a cover for the Ashkenazi community's organic predisposition with pedophilia and sexual sadism, the petitioner alleges that the enforcement of the Israel Anti-Boycott law is a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1, Sherman Antitrust Act; 18 U.S.C. § 1961-1968 regarding obstruction, domestic terrorism and Hobbs Act extortion; a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 873 regarding blackmail; and is as much an act of sexually sadistic domestic terrorism and psychological torture as is Israel's prime minister's act of sexualized, blackmail/psychological torture regarding his threat to expose U.S. politicians who are pedophiles<sup>21</sup> relating to the fate of the missing Yemenite children of the 1950s<sup>22</sup>, while intentionally inflicting emotional distress on the parents of the children who Israel's prime minister claims were raped by withholding the names of those U.S. politicians Israel's prime minister claims raped children.



---

<sup>21</sup> Netanyahu to Release Evidence of Washington Pedophile Ring -- Chinese <https://bit.ly/3bHE0CY>.

<sup>22</sup> Knesset Inquiry Reveals Yemenite Babies Murdered in Medical Experiments, <https://bit.ly/2ZwEitY>.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- I. New York State Sessions Law S2942—A is Unconstitutional.
- a) Other Federal Courts Have Found that the Israel Anti-Boycott Law is Unconstitutional

In an article entitled *Third Federal Court Rules Anti-BDS Law Violates First Amendment*<sup>23</sup>, the website TheFire.org stated:

Last week, a federal court in Austin, Texas issued an order blocking enforcement of a state law dubbed Texas' "anti-BDS bill" by the governor. Texas House Bill 89, enacted in 2017, required government contractors to certify that they do not and would not boycott Israel while doing business with a state entity.

The order in *Amawi v. Pflugerville Independent School District* granted the preliminary injunction motions of five sole proprietors in two consolidated lawsuits filed in late 2018 by the ACLU and the Council on American-Islamic Relations.

Last year, federal district courts in Kansas<sup>24</sup> and Arizona<sup>25</sup> sustained First Amendment challenges and issued injunctions against enforcement of the state laws.

---

<sup>23</sup> Third federal court rules anti-BDS law violates First Amendment, <https://bit.ly/3hQ9MBS>.

<sup>24</sup> *Koontz v. Watson*, 283 F. Supp.3d 1007 (2018)

<sup>25</sup> *Jordahl, et al v. Brnovich, et al*, 18-16896

- b) Respondent New York State's Sessions Law S2942—A Violates the Sherman Antitrust Act and the Hobbs Act.

The petitioner alleges that enforcement of New York State's Israel Anti-Boycott law sets the stage for its own undoing because it violates the Sherman Antitrust Act and RICO law regarding the Hobbs Act.

The News Punch website published an article entitled *Netanyahu to Release Evidence of Washington Pedophile Ring*, the article states:

Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu just declassified 400,000 documents that expose child trafficking and a child sex ring in Washington.

*Per se* violations of the Sherman Antitrust law do not allow justifications. Conversely, while *per se* acts cannot be defended or justified, there are limited exceptions where courts will instead apply the rule of reason.<sup>26</sup> For example, in *Craftsmen Limousine, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.*, 363 F 3d. 761 (8th Cir. 2004), the Eighth Circuit held that an agreement between Ford and a trade association of limousine converters to prevent the plaintiff from advertising its products in the trade association publications was motivated by *safety concerns*. Thus, the court applied the rule of reason even though this was a (non-price) horizontal conspiracy among competitors.

- c) New York State Abrogated the Fourteenth Amendment Regarding Age and Race Based on It Enforcement of the Israel Anti-Boycott Law.

---

<sup>26</sup> BonaLaw PC – Does the Group Boycott Violate Antitrust Laws  
<https://bit.ly/2Nop6aN>

The website *The Chronicle for Social Change* published an article dated February 27, 2019 entitled *New York City Confronts Massive Overrepresentation of Black Children in Foster Care*. The article states:

... the foster care system is expanding its efforts to address longstanding disparities, especially for black children whose presence in the system is roughly double their share of the general population.

...It's part of ACS' recent focus on "lifting the value of racial disproportionality to the value of safety and risk within our system," says David Peters, ACS' head of the Office of Equity Strategies (OES). That focus was prompted in part by a legislative package De Blasio signed in September of 2017, which mandated training on implicit bias, discrimination and structural inequity at city agencies.

...Fifty-three percent of the roughly 9,000 children in the city foster care system identified as black in 2017, according to state data. Yet, only around a quarter of all New Yorkers younger than 18 are black.

The petitioner alleges that the implicit bias against black children is directly related to the Babylonian Talmud's explicit dissemination of anti-black hatred, its espousal of pedophilia and the Ashkenazi community's organic predisposition for pedophilia; however Babylonian Talmud-adherent, Ashkenazi leadership has been as silent on these religious doctrines just as nearly all other members of the Ashkenazi community have done.

- d) Andrew Cuomo's Aiding and Abetting of Genocide against Palestinians and New York State's Acts of Genocide against Black People are under Federal Scrutiny in the case *Personal Representative of the Dawabsheh Family, et al v. Benjamin Netanyahu, et al.*

The lawsuit entitled *Personal Representative of the Dawabsheh Family, et al v. Benjamin Netanyahu, et al*, makes the following statements:

For most Americans, the concepts relied upon by the Palestinian Plaintiffs in this case (i.e. ethnic cleansing, genocide, denationalization, and dehumanization) are foreign concepts not part of normal American vernacular. *However, these concepts are as American as apple pie.* The indigenous American Indian population and the African slaves brought to America have been victimized by these identical war crimes. Each group has been subject to ethnic cleansing, genocide, expropriation of private property, confinement to reservations and ghettos, wholesale denial of their fundamental freedoms, subjected to a biased criminal justice system, and deemed to be irrelevant and disenfranchised members of American society.

Like Palestinian-Americans these groups can file their own war crime lawsuits here in federal district court. The reasons: (a) the similarity of injuries sustained (wanton destruction of property, starvation diets, and poisoning of water wells and livestock); (b) the identical means employed to subjugate these groups (The New York Police Department murdered Amadou Diallo with 51 bullets and Mr. Shapira used 87 bullets to massacre 29 Palestinians);

and (c) for over 400 years, they have been victims of an ethnic cleansing/genocidal campaign similar to the one started by Defendant PMN in 1998. *See* U.S. State Department Manual on the 10 Stages of Genocide, Exhibit B.

With respect to the role that Defendant Cuomo played in terms of denationalization, he has not directly funded international terrorists. However, along with his hero, Defendant Hikind, he has deprived 195 million Americans in thirty-six different states of the right to support the Palestinian cause and criticize PMN's campaign to denationalize the Palestinian population...On behalf of the Israeli government, *not his New York state constituency* (which rejected the anti-BDS legislation), Defendant Cuomo convinced forty governors to enact an unconstitutional executive order criminalizing the anti-Boycott, Divestiture, and Sanction movement [hereinafter BDS].

The New York State anti-BDS legislation violated fundamental liberties cherished by Americans, e.g. their right to criticize a foreign country's human rights record. *That legislation requires U.S. citizens to sign what amounts to loyalty oaths to Israel. It has had a serious chilling effect on freedom of speech and interstate commerce* (italics added). The Israeli spokespersons in charge of this program and tasked with convincing their fellow Americans that Palestinians are "savages" not deserving of their own state are Defendants Cuomo and Hikind, even though they are not registered as Israeli agents under the Federal Agent

Registration Act 22 U.S.C. 611 (hereinafter FARA).

II. The Circuit Court's and District Court's Decision is in Direct Contravention of Its Own Mandate concerning Court Corruption that was established in *Chevron v. Donziger*.

In *Bulloch v. United States*, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery cannot perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication." *Kenner v. C.I.R.*, 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23. The 7th Circuit further stated: "a decision produced by fraud upon the court is not in essence a decision at all, and never becomes final."

Fraud upon the court violates the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution because it violates the Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause. The petitioner further holds that when judges are involved in acts of fraud upon the court related to a particular issue, and/or similar issues that are related to a specific litigant or specific groups of litigants, especially in relation to issues regarding the commission of federal law, those acts

constitute violation of 18 USC § 1961-1968, RICO laws, especially as they pertain to the second circuit's enforcement of *Chevron v. Donziger*.

On August 8, 2016, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the decision of the district court rendered in the case *Chevron v. Donziger, et al* (See 974 F.Supp.2d 362 (2014)).

The district court found that defendants Steven Donziger and his law firm engaged in RICO-predicate acts under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968 that resulted in a corrupt judgment by a Ecuadorian court, and that because the defendants' acts led to the Ecuadorian court's corrupt decision, the judgment was unenforceable in federal court under federal law and under New York common law.

Liberally sprinkled throughout the circuit court's decision are the terms "obstruction of justice (pages 96, 97, 98), and "procured by fraud" (pages 70, 109, 111, 112).

The Second Circuit's decision in *Chevron v. Donziger, et al*, in affirming the district court's decision, held that the defendants: 1) submitted fraudulent evidence, 2) told "half-truths...to U.S. courts in attempts to prevent exposure of other wrongdoing."

In the petitioner's case, the district court's decision named petitioner's prior lawsuits: 1) *Uzamere v. Allen E. Kaye*, P.C; 2) *Uzamere v. Cuomo*; 3) *Uzamere v. Rice*; and 4) *Uzamere v. United States*.

The district court's decision stated that:

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, brings this action arising from her 1979 marriage to and

subsequent abandonment by her husband, Ehigie Edobor Uzamere... Plaintiff submits to the Court a 205-page complaint with 540 pages of exhibits.

The petitioner cannot understand how the district court was honestly able to count the 540 pages of exhibits, while missing the first exhibit: respondent New York State Department of Health's/New York City Clerk's marriage affidavit that bore the petitioner/bride's legal name and proof of identity but failed to provide petitioner's husband/groom's legal name and accompanying proof of identity.

The district court also removed 79 of 90 defendants from the petitioner's case without explanation, and later withdrew its own decision granting IFP status after the petitioner filed her complaint regarding the district court's unexplained removal of 79 defendants from her lawsuit (see Appendix C).

Based on the Second Circuit's enforcement of RICO laws regarding court corruption in the case *Chevron v. Donziger*, the petitioner believes that she has justiciable issues regarding the district court's RICO-predicate acts based on the district court's and respondent New York State's courts' acts of obstruction of justice regarding respondent New York State's facilitation of her husband's and his immigration attorneys' acts of immigration fraud, identity fraud, and the removal of the petitioner's husband as financial protector to facilitate the sexual violence committed against the petitioner's children while they were clients of respondent New York State's foster care system.

### III. The Petitioner has the First Amendment Right to advise this Court of Jehovah's Viewpoint

The National Jewish Commission on Law and Public Affairs submitted its amicus brief to present Babylonian Law as humane. However, the amicus brief submitted by COLPA's and IAJLJ's in the case *Bryan v. Moore* was deceptive.<sup>27</sup> The amicus brief cites references that are unchallengeable to the Babylonian Talmud-ignorant public who do not know or do not have access to the Babylonian Talmud. To those who have knowledge of the Babylonian Talmud, or who like the petitioner, have downloaded the Babylonian Talmud in its entirety can see that the cited references were not relevant in Mr. Bryan's case. Even worse, COLPA's and IAJLJ's capitalizing of Mr. Bryan's unfortunate situation was a shameless attempt at self-aggrandizement and aggrandizement of Babylonian Talmudic law and Ashkenazi Jewish culture. The brief itself makes the statement:

COLPA and IAJLJ are vitally interested in promoting the study of, and respect for, principles of Jewish Law as they have been applied throughout the history of the Jewish people. COLPA and the national groups that it routinely represents promote and encourage in-depth study of Talmudic texts. IAJLJ has sponsored public lectures in Jewish Law and promoted the publication of scholarly essays in the field of Jewish Law.

---

<sup>27</sup> "...Babylonian Talmud, Tractate Baba Kama, Folio 113a ([https://halakhah.com/pdf/nezikin/Baba\\_Kama.pdf](https://halakhah.com/pdf/nezikin/Baba_Kama.pdf)): Where a suit arises between an Israelite and a heathen, if you can justify the former according to the laws of Israel, justify him and say: 'This is our law'; so also if you can justify him by the laws of the heathens justify him and say [to the other party:] 'This is your law'; but if this cannot be done, we use subterfuges to circumvent him.

While COLPA's and IAJLJ's attorneys cited, but did not quote Leviticus 20 regarding the use of fire to punish men who have sexual relations with their mothers-in law along with their wives, they failed to mention what Jehovah's word says in the verses above regarding Jehovah's condemnation of the worship of Molech:

Leviticus 20:14: And if a man [take] with his wife also her mother, it is wickedness: they shall be burnt with fire, both he and they; that there be no wickedness among you.

Leviticus 20:1-5: And Jehovah spoke unto Moses, saying: Moreover, thou shalt say to the children of Israel: Whosoever he be of the children of Israel, or of the strangers that sojourn in Israel, that giveth of his seed unto Molech; he shall surely be put to death; the people of the land shall stone him with stones.

I also will set My face against that man, and will cut him off from among his people, because he hath given of his seed unto Molech, to defile My sanctuary, and to profane My holy name.

And if the people of the land do at all hide their eyes from that man, when he giveth of his seed unto Molech, and put him not to death...

Another glaring omission that attorneys for COLPA's and IAJLJ's made regarding the Babylonian Talmud's pretense at humanity is stated earlier in this petition in Tractate Sanhedrin 64a:

**MISHNAH:** He who gives of his seed to Molech incurs no punishment unless he delivers it to Molech and causes it to pass through the fire. If he gave it to Molech but did not cause it to pass through the fire, or the reverse, he incurs no penalty, unless he does both.

Jehovah's word the Bible has long identified the nation of Israel as worshippers of Molech. Ancient history identifies Molech as the god of pedophilia and child sacrifice.

Jehovah's Prophet Amos, as well as the Apostle Stephen says the following regarding Israel's worship of Molech:

Amos 5:23: "Did ye bring unto Me sacrifices and offerings in the wilderness forty years, O house of Israel? So shall ye take up Siccuth your king and Chiun your images, the star of your god, which ye made to yourselves. Therefore, will I cause you to go into captivity beyond Damascus, saith He, whose name is Jehovah God of hosts."

Acts 7:42-43: "...just as it is written in the book of the Prophets: 'It was not to me that you made offerings and sacrifices for 40 years in the wilderness, was it, O house of Israel? But it was the tent of Mo loch and the star of the god Re phan that you took up, the images that you made to worship them. So, I will deport you beyond Babylon.'"

In 2012, the University of Ben Gurion in the Negev submitted a report to the U.S. National Institutes of Health entitled History of Abuse and Organic Difficulties

in a Convenience Sample of 46 Ultra - orthodox Males with Pedophilia based on the Ashkenazi Jewish community's problem with pedophilia as a public health concern. It says:

Results: ... 82.6% of participants were victims of sexual trauma as children and 87% suffer from some kind of organic vulnerability (learning disabilities, disinhibitions, etc.).

Limitations: Limitations of this small convenience sample that influence ability to generalize are discussed.

Conclusions: The current survey indicates that in this sample, the ultra-orthodox male pedophile was frequently a victim of childhood sexual trauma and exhibited indications of organic vulnerabilities. This is more pronounced than findings in previous studies and calls for further research in order to understand the underlying causes.

Sexual abuse in the ultra-orthodox community is a serious and under-researched phenomenon. ...

Lastly, the petitioner makes the following observations as a respectful reminder that there is a Power that transcends the power of this Court, and that the petitioner is obedient to that Power. That Power is Jehovah, the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and Jesus Christ.

In the Bible there are examples that show Jehovah's condemnation of gang rape, group sexual depravity and child sacrifice. Genesis 19:1-23 (Sodom and Gomorrah); Numbers 25 (Israel's being lured into worship of Baal-Peor

to engage in group sex); Judges 19-21 (gang rape and murder of a Levite's concubine. These acts are consistent with individuals who are worshippers of Molech, practices that the Babylonian Talmud allows, but Jehovah Himself and the U.S. Constitution forbid.

Jehovah's told the Prophet Moses to warn Israel, and by extension, the rest of the world about His hatred for Molech worshippers in Leviticus 20:1: Any man of Israel and any foreigner ...who gives any of his offspring to Molech should be put to death without fail.



**CONCLUSION**

The Petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

**CHERYL D. UZAMERE**  
Sole Proprietor  
Uzamere Word Processing More  
1209 Loring Avenue  
Apt. 6B  
Brooklyn, NY 11208  
Tel.: (929) 225-8837  
Fax: (929) 225-8827  
cheryl.uzamere@uzamerewordprocessing.net