

NO. 20-1009

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DAVID SHINN, ET AL,

Petitioner,

v.

DAVID MARTINEZ RAMIREZ AND BARRY LEE JONES,

Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I hereby certify that the Brief of the Arizona Capital Representation Project and Arizona Center for Disability Law as Amicus Curiae in support of Respondent in Shinn v. Ramirez, No. 20-1009, complies with the word limitations, as it contains 5,413 words.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: September 20, 2021

s/ Natman Shaye
Natman Schaye