

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 19-7

SEILA LAW LLC, PETITIONER

v.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE
WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF A JOINT APPENDIX

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of this Court, petitioner respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Counsel for the United States and the court-appointed amicus curiae have authorized undersigned counsel to state that they concur in this motion.

The questions presented in this case are (1) whether the vesting of substantial executive authority in the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, an independent agency led by a single director, violates the separation of powers; and (2) if the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau is found unconstitutional on the basis of the separation of powers, whether 12 U.S.C. 5491(c)(3) can be severed from the Dodd-Frank Act. The appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari includes all of the lower court opinions. The parties do not believe that any other portion of the record merits special attention that warrants the preparation and expense of a joint appendix. Accordingly, a separate joint appendix would not materially assist in the Court's consideration

of this case. For the foregoing reasons, the motion to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
Counsel of Record
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,
WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
2001 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 223-7300

November 14, 2019