ALTSHULER BERZON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

177 POST STREET, SUITE 300

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

(415) 421-7151

FAX (415) 362-8064

www.altshulerberzon.com

FRED H. ALTSHULER
FOUNDING PARTNER EMERITUS

PETER D. NUSSBAUM PARTNER EMERITUS

CORINNE JOHNSON FELLOW

ZOE PALITZ
P. CASEY PITTS
DANIELT. PURTELL
MICHAEL RUBIN
PEDER J. THOREEN
MEGAN WACHSPRESS

August 3, 2018

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al. v. California, No.18-86

Dear Mr. Harris:

STEPHEN P. BERZON

HAMILTON CANDEE

EVE H, CERVANTEZ

JEFFREY B. DEMAIN JAMES M. FINBERG

KRISTIN M. GARCÍA

ELEEN B. GOLDSMITH MEREDITH A. JOHNSON SCOTTA. KRONLAND ANDREW KUSHNER REBECCA C. LEE DANIELLE E. LEONARD STACEY M. LEYTON TOCY U. DPRESTI MATTHEW J. MURRAY

BARBARA J. CHISHOLM

ERIC P. BROWN

Respondent People of California requests a 30-day extension of time to file a response to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed on July 16, 2018 in the above-captioned case. The People's response is currently due on or before August 17, 2018. Concurrent with this request, the People are seeking the same extension in ConAgra Grocery Products Company, et al. v. California, No.18-84, which was also filed on July 16, 2018, and which Respondent People intends to oppose in a consolidated Brief in Opposition. Respondent requests this extension in light of the length and complexity of the issues and lower court opinions, and counsel's upcoming deadlines on other matters and long-planned vacations.

The Petition in this case includes nine appendices totaling 416 pages. The Petition in *ConAgra* includes five appendices totaling 420 pages. Both Petitions raise a series of fact-based Due Process and First Amendment issues arising from a six-week bench trial with 450 exhibits and dozens of witnesses that Respondent's Counsel must review and analyze in preparing the Brief in Opposition.



Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court

Re: The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al. v. California, No.18-86

August 3, 2018

Page 2

The Petitions for Certiorari in these cases were originally due on or before May 15, 2018. The undersigned counsel and co-counsel scheduled late summer vacations and set briefing schedules in other cases in an effort to avoid scheduling conflicts. Petitioners then obtained two 30-day extensions, which created scheduling conflicts for People's counsel.

This request is not made for the purpose of delay, but to ensure that Respondent People of the State of California's interests are adequately protected. Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Michael Rubin

Counsel for Respondent People of the State of California