


No. 18-8335 **ORIGINAL**

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, DC 20534



L'AMAR C. CHAPMAN, III — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II, NE' (LEGAL NAME)
BARREY SOETBEN, et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

En Banc
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, WASHINGTON, DC
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

L'AMAR C. CHAPMAN, III, AND, ISRC, Ph.D.
(Your Name)

FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL COMPLEX (LOW)
(Address)

POST OFFICE BOX 9000, FOREST CITY, AR 72336-9000
(City, State, Zip Code)

NOT AVAILABLE - See BOP
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- DID THE LOWER COURTS AND JUDGES VIOLATE APPELLANT'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS?

BOTH THE LOWER DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURTS INTENTIONALLY MISCONSTRUED APPELLANT'S BIVENS-TYPE, CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT. THE COURT OF REVIEW, MISRULED EN BANC AND AFFIRMED CONFLICTED MISCONDUCT OF THE LOWER COURT BY SUMMARILY STRIPPING APPELLANT OF HIS "STANDING TO SUE" DEFENDANT ON THE ERRONEOUS GROUNDS THAT HIS PERSONAL ALLEGATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS IRREPARABLE HARM AMOUNTS TO NOTHING MORE THAN A "GENERALIZED GRIEVANCE". THE SUPREME COURT'S LANDMARK RULING IN BIVENS VS. SIX UNIDENTIFIED FEDERAL AGENTS, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S. Ct. 1999, 2d LEd 2d 619 WAS IMPROPERLY OVERRULED BY THE LOWER COURTS' WROUGHTFUL APPLICATION OF BERG VS. OBAMA, 586 F. 3d 234, 239 (3RD CIR. 2009); AND LUTAN VS. DEFENDERS OF WILD LIFE, 504 U.S. 555, 560-61 (1992).

THE CENTRAL QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW IS WHETHER OR NOT THE APPELLANT'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND MEANINGFUL ACCESS TO THE FEDERAL COURT CAN BE SUA SPONTE DENIED OR STRIPPED BY THE LOWER COURT'S IMPERMISSIBLE AND ERRONEOUS FACT-FINDING; THAT A CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM EQUATES TO A PROHIBITED "GENERALIZED GRIEVANCE" AND DID APPELLANT'S:

- WROUGHTFUL WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION THWART HIS SECTION 1983 CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIMS? ;
- JURIDICAL VICTIMIZATION DEPRIVE HIM AN IMPARTIAL AND FAIR CONSIDERATION? ;
- ALIEN-APPOINTED (DEFENDANT APPOINTED) JUDGE AND "BOGUS ALIEN DEFENDANT" CONSPIRE TO VIOLATE APPELLANT'S CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS? ;
- LOWER COURT JUDGE AMIT MEHTA SIT AS LAWFULLY CONSTITUTED AND LEGALLY PRESIDING OR WAS HIS OFFICE VOID AB INITIO AND NULLIFIED AS AN ALIEN AND FOREIGN APPOINTED JUDGE?; AND
- DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VIOLATE BIVENS AND CONSTITUTE A FATAL ERROR?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

X All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

DEFENDANT BARRY SCOTERO IS FALSELY PERSONATED AS A UNITED STATES CITIZEN AS PROHIBITED BY 18 USC, SECTION 911, AND OTHER FICTITIOUS NAMES. BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II IS A COURT VOID, INVALIDATED (JAKARTA, INDONESIA SUPERIOR FAMILY COURT) AND LAWFULLY NULLIFIED BIRTH NAME. OTHER FICTITIOUS NAMES (A TOTAL OF 31) HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE LOWER COURT RECORDS. NAMES LISTED AND MEMORIALIZED ON THE COVER PAGE INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

- BARRY SCOTERO;
- BARACK DOWHAM;
- BARRY DOWHAM;
- BARRY OBAMA; AND
- "BOEN ALIAS" BARRY SCOTERO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	8
CONCLUSION.....	9

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	ORDER "EN BANC" ORIGINAL Appendix # 5	9
APPENDIX B	MANDATE - ORIGINAL Appendix # 6	11
APPENDIX C	JUDGMENT - ORIGINAL Appendix # 7	12
APPENDIX D	ORDER "RECALL MANDATE" ORIGINAL Appendix # 4	7
APPENDIX E	ORDER "CHAPMAN VS. ORAMA" ORIGINAL Appendix # 3	6
APPENDIX F	"MEMORANDUM OPINION" ORIGINAL Appendix # 2	3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

BERG VS. OBAMA, 586 F.3d 234, 239 (3rd Cir. 2009)	(1)
BIVENS VS. SIX AGENTS, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999	1, 8, 10, 12, 13, (1) 9
CHAPMAN VS. STRICKER, <u>et al.</u> , 81 FED. Appx 77; 203 U.S. App. Lexis 23350.,	7
CHAPMAN VS. GASPERET, <u>et al.</u> , (FOR PERSUASIVE VALUE)	9
COHEN VS. OBAMA, <u>et al.</u> , 2008 WL 5191864 AT *1	7
LIJAN VS. DEFENDERS OF WILD LIFE, 504 U.S. 555, 560-61 (1992) ..	(1)
SWIERKIEWICZ VS. SOREMA, 534 U.S. 506, 512, 152 L. Ed 2d 1, 122 S.Ct. 992 (2002)	7

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, FIRST, FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH

STATUTES AND RULES

18 USC, SECTION 911	4, 3
28 USC, SECTION 535 (b)	6
42 USC, SECTION 1983, <u>et al.</u> ,	4, 6
RULE 20, SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	8
RULE 14, SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	
RULE 14.5 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	
RULE 33(b) SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	

OTHER - ADMINISTRATIVE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE - CLERK'S OFFICE MISCONDUCT
 CLERICAL REJECTION OF APPELLANT'S FIRST-FILED OCTOBER 8, 2019, VERIFIED WRIT OF CERTIORARI,
 (TWENTY-THREE (23) PAGES) BY MELISSA BLALOCK'S EFFACACIOUS MISCONDUCT AND CENSORED,
 LIMITING TEN (10) PAGE CREATED "FORM" SUBSTITUTE IN VIOLATION OF RULE 14.5 IS MEMORIALIZED
 AND REPORTED HEREIN. See CLERK'S EXPLICITATIVE WRIT OF REJECTION FEBRUARY 11, 2019, UNLAWFUL
 NOTICE. MS. BLALOCK HAS BEEN REPORTED THREE (3) TIMES IN FIFTEEN (15) YEARS FOR SIMILAR MISCONDUCT.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:
April 5, 2018, Filed

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix C to the petition and is CHAPMAN VS. OBAMA, et al. 11SCA-DC, NO 17-5100

☒ reported at 719 Fed. Appx 13; 2018 U.S. App. Lexis 8832; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix E to the petition and is 1:16-cv-01763-LWA (D.D.C., Aug. 31, 2016)

☒ reported at 2016 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 119415; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 5, 2018.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: July 17, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Appellant's First, Fifth And Fourteenth Amendment Rights Are Infringed Upon And Impeded By Appellee, Defendant, "Born Alien," Criminal, Foreign National Indonesian Barry Soetoro, Falsely Personated As United States Citizen Barack Hussein Obama, II (Juvenile And Parental Authorized Expatriation - Juvenile Adoption), (18 USC, SECTION 911), et. al., As Set Forth As Following:

"Every Person Who, Under Color of Any Statute, Ordinance, Regulation, Custom, or Usage, of Any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, Subjects, or Causes to Be Subjected, Any Citizen of the United States or Other Person Within The Jurisdiction Thereof to the Deprivation of Any Rights, Privileges, or Immunities Secured By the Constitution And Laws, Shall Be Liable to the Party Injured in An Action At Law, Suit in Equity, or Other Proper Proceeding For Redress, Except That in Any Action Brought Against A Judicial Officer For An Act or Omission Taken in Such Officer's Judicial Capacity, Injunctive Relief Shall Not Be Granted Unless A Declaratory Decree Was Violated or Declaratory Relief Was Unavailable. For Purpose of This Section, Any Act of Congress Applicable Exclusively to the District of Columbia Shall Be Considered to Be

A Statute of the District of Columbia." (Quoting Original October 8, 2019, Rejected Twenty-Three (23) Page Writ of Certiorari, At Page Six (6), As Made A Part Hereof By Reference.

42 USC, SECTION 1983

TITLE III, SECTION 309 (C)

110 STAT. 3853.

SEE CRIMINAL VERSION OF SECTION 1983, AT 18 USC, SECTIONS 241 AND 242.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS IS A CASE OF CRIMINALIZING POLITICAL DIFFERENCES; IMMIGRATION FRAUD; PUBLIC AND JUDICIAL CORRUPTIONALIZATION; FRAUD ON THE FEDERAL COURT; AND CRIMINAL WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION FRAMED UNDER CIVIL RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL REDRESS FOR IRREPARABLE HARM AND INJURY AS AUTHORIZED BY BIVENS OR 42 USC, SECTION 1983, et. al.. Simply STATED, THIS CASE IS A CONTINUANT COUP D'ETAT AND THE MOST NEFARIOUS POLITICAL SCANDAL IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES.

BACKGROUND

APPELLANT AND DEFENDANT ARE SOCIAL ACQUAINTANCES WITH FRIENDS IN COMMON AND LIFE-LONG CHICAGO, ILLINOIS POLITICAL RIVALS. THEY ARE BOTH ALUMNUS AND PROTEGES OF RUSSIAN OLIGARCH GEORGE SOROS AND THE CHICAGO COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS ("CFR"). APPELLANT IS A 1969 ALUMNUS AND DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO* WHO IS ALSO A DEPARTMENT OF STATE CHARACTERIZED "BORN ALIEN." See 1979 AND 1983 APPROVED APPLICATIONS FOR STUDENT VISAS TO ATTEND COLUMBIA COLLEGE AND HARVARD SCHOOL OF LAW (CITIZENS HAVE NO NEED TO HAVE A STUDENT VISA TO ATTEND COLLEGE IN THE UNITED STATES). THE BORN ALIEN DEFENDANT HAS BEEN UNLAWFULLY SQUATTING IN AMERICA ON AN OVER-STAYED VISA SINCE 1986 OR FOR MORE THAN THIRTY-THREE (33) UNINTERRUPTED YEARS AS AN UNDOCUMENTED OR FALSELY DOCUMENTED UNITED STATES CITIZEN; (18 USC, SECTION 911) AS PROHIBITED BY FEDERAL LAW AND ITS CRIMINAL CODE AS CITED HEREIN. DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO IS A FORTY-NINE (49) YEAR NATIONAL OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA AS FRAUDULENTLY KNOWN IN HIS PRE-ADOPTION AND BIRTH-NAME, AS NULLIFIED BY LAWFUL (SUPERIOR COURT, JAKARTA, INDONESIA) COURT ORDER AS BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II. (* BARRY SOETORO IS A 1991 ALUMNUS OF GEORGE SOROS' CFR)

AS VERIFIED IN THE VOLUMINOUS RECORD, THE CORRUPTLY KNOWN BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II, ESQUIRE, SAT AS PSEUDO FORTY-FOURTH PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND COMMANDER OF ITS ARMED FORCES AND CHIEF OF ITS EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT. SEE 3,200 PAGE VERIFIED DOSSIER "WHAT YOU DON'T KNOW ABOUT BARACK OBAMA," AUTHORED AND PUBLISHED BY APPELLANT AND CRIMINALLY CONFISCATED BY OBAMA'S CHICAGO SECRET SERVICE HOUSE GUARDS AARON GLASS AND CHRISTOPHER KENWELL, IV.

IN STARK, CRIMINAL, WHISTLEBLOWER RETALIATION, THE SECRET SERVICE HOUSE GUARDS CAUSED APPELLANT TO BE ARRESTED ON A THREE (3) TIMES SERIAL BASES WITHOUT ANY FEDERAL ARREST WARRANTS WHATSOEVER. APPELLANT WAS HELD FOR TWO (2) YEARS ON HOUSE ARREST OR HOME CONFINEMENT ON AN OUTLANDISH AND CRIMINALLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL (18 USC, SECTIONS 241 AND 242) TWO (2 MILLION DOLLARS) MILLION DOLLAR BOND OR POSTED TWO HUNDRED (\$200,000.00) THOUSAND DOLLAR BAIL. CONVICTED AND SENTENCED FOR WIRE FRAUD AND OTHER FABRICATED STATE, (POWER-OF-ATTORNEY DISPUTES) CHARGES THE WHISTLEBLOWER, POLITICAL ACTIVIST AND APPELLANT IS SERVING OR WILL SERVE A SUM CERTAIN 135 MONTHS IN A "FEDERAL DISCIPLINARY PRISON" FACING TORTUOUS AND LIFE-THREATENING CONDITIONS AND VIOLENTLY HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT. SEE BUREAU OF PRISONS LITIGATION BUREAU'S INMATE CENTRAL FILE; OR CIVIL RIGHTS VERIFIED COMPLAINT 205 ALLEGATIONS (ECF. NO. 1).

THE FAKE PRESIDENT, NON-UNITED STATES CITIZEN, DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO ENTERED A QUID PRO QUO, PURPORTED EXECUTIVE ORDER "MANIFESTO" TO HIS ALIEN-APPOINTED JUDICIAL AND EXECUTIVE BRANCHES FEDERAL

EMPLOYEES AND STAFF AND OFFICIALS TO "TREAT [Appellant] HARSHLY AND TAKE NO ACTION!" See VERIFIED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT (ECF NO. 1)

ON SEPTEMBER 1, 2016, (ECF. NO. 1) THE CRIMINALLY VICTIMIZED, PRO SE, POLITICAL ACTIVIST AND WHISTLEBLOWER OR FEDERAL DISCOVERER (28 USC, SECTION 535 (b)) SOUGHT REDRESS FOR DEFENDANT'S CIVIL RIGHTS MISCONDUCT, IRREPARABLE HARM AND INJURY CAUSED TO APPELLANT WITH THE FILING OF A TEN COUNT VERIFIED COMPLAINT SEEKING INJUNCTIVE, DECLARATORY AND CIVIL RIGHTS RELIEF AS AUTHORIZED BY LAW. See, 42 USC, SECTION 1983, et. al., AS COMMONLY KNOWN AS A "BIVENS COMPLAINT."

AS UNAUTHORIZED, ALIEN-APPOINTED, CONFLICTED AND DEFENDANT-APPOINTED TRIAL OR LOWER COURT JUDGE AMIT PRIYAVADAN MEHTA INTERCEPTED APPELLANT'S UNASSIGNED ("UNA.") (ECF. NO. 1) CIVIL RIGHTS VERIFIED COMPLAINT AND FOLLOWING THE ALIEN-DEFENDANT'S "MANIFESTO" SUA SPONTE DISMISSED THE VERIFIED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE, FALSELY ASSERTING AND LIBELLOUSLY PUBLISHING THAT THE APPELLANT "LACKED STANDING TO SUE" THE BORN ALIEN, INDONESIAN NATIONAL DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO. (ECF. NO. 5) THE CRIMINALLY CONFLICTED, CO-CONSPIRATOR AND INVALID, ALIEN-APPOINTED COURT OR JUDGE FURTHER FOUND THAT APPELLANT'S CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT WAS NOTHING MORE THAN A "GENERALIZED GRIEVANCE CLAIMING ONLY HARM TO HIS AND EVERY CITIZEN'S INTEREST... AND DOES NOT STATE AN ARTICLE III CASE OR CONTROVERSY." See, (ECF. NO. 5) (QUOTATION VERBATIM IN RELEVANT PARTS)

THE INTELLECTUAL NEGLIGENCE OF THE CONFLICTED AND INVALID TRIAL JUDGE MEHTA IS APPARENT AND CONSTITUTES CRIMINAL FRAUD ON THE COURT. ALTHOUGH APPELLANT'S VERIFIED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT SATISFIES STANDARDS ESTABLISHED BY THE SUPREME COURT IN SWIERKIEWICZ V. SCHEMIA, 534 U.S. 506, 512, 152 L. Ed. 2d 1, 122 S. Ct. 992 (2002) AS QUOTED AND CITED IN CHAPMAN VS. STRICKER IT WAS MUCH TOO SOON FOR ALIEN-APPOINTED CONFLICTED DISTRICT JUDGE TO BE ENGAGING IN IMPERMISSIBLE FACT-FINDING. IN ANY CASE, ALL FACTS TAKEN AS TRUE AND ANY INFERENCE DRAWN THEREFROM SHOULD HAVE BEEN APPLIED IN APPELLANT'S FAVOR AND NOT THAT OF JUDGE MEHTA'S ALIEN, POLITICAL BENEFACTOR. UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND TAKING ADVANTAGE OF APPELLANT'S PRO SE STATUS, THE ALIEN-APPOINTED CONFLICTED MEHTA CITED AND CRIMINALLY MISAPPLIED COHEN VS. OBAMA, 2008 WL 5191864 AT *1 (D.D.C. DEC. 11, 2008) AND SEVERAL OTHER "OBAMA-TYPE CASES", INCLUDING A FOIA CASE SEEKING PERSONAL INFORMATION ON ALIENS BARRY SCOTARO AND HIS "EXPATRIATED-BY-MARRIAGE" SPOUSE - "BORN ALIEN" MICHELE SCOTARO.

IN FACT, THE DISTRICT COURT "THOUGHT SO LITTLE OF MR. CHAPMAN'S CIVIL RIGHTS LAWSUIT THAT THE COURT FAILED TO MENTION ANY OF HIS CLAIMS." QUOTING HONORABLE WILLIAM J. BAUER, (USCA 7TH CIR. 2003) CHAPMAN VS. STRICKER, et al. CASE NO. 03-C-2331, 81 FED. APPX. T1; 2003 U.S. APP. LEXIS 23350, AS OFFERED FOR PERSUASIVE VALUE.

UNBELIEVABLY, ON APRIL 5, 2018, THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT (ECF. NO. 1725281) AFFIRMED THE FOREGOING ESTRANGED DUE PROCESS, FRAUD ON THE COURT AND UNLAWFUL RULING OF THE ALIEN-APPOINTED SANCTUARY COURTS AND INVALID JUDGE AMIT PRIYAVADAN MEHTA. THE ILLEGAL FOREIGN NATIONAL, DEFENDANT SCOTARO WAS CRIMINALLY FAVORED BY THE (BOTH) LOWER COURTS.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PARAPHRASING THE HONORABLE, MR. BRETT KAVANAUGH, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT: "I JUST WANT A FAIR HEARING!" (QUOTING SENATE CONFIRMATION HEARING) THE REASONS FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ARE CRIMINALLY DISTURBING - DUE PROCESS HAS BEEN JURISPRUDENTIALLY IMPEDED WITH AN APPARENT FLAVOR OF FRAUD ON THE FEDERAL DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURTS. MOREOVER, THE SUPREME COURT HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY DISOBEYED BY THE LOWER COURTS AND THE PATRIOTIC, CHICAGO ACTIVIST, AFRICAN-AMERICAN, WHISTLEBLOWER, 47 YEAR VETERAN "INFERIOR OFFICE" (NIXON) OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND LONG-TERM POLITICAL RIVAL OF BEEN ALIEN DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO, FALSELY KNOWN AS UNITED STATES CITIZEN (18 USC, SECTION 911) BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA, II, HAS NEVER BEEN LAWFULLY AND FAIRLY HEARD OR HAD HIS DAY IN COURT.

THE LOWER COURTS INTENTIONALLY MISCONSTRUED APPELLANT'S BIVENS-TYPE CIVIL RIGHTS OR CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM AS A TRIVIALIZED "GENERALIZED GRIEVANCE" IN ORDER TO DEPRIVE APPELLANT OF HIS ABSOLUTE RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW. AS A RESULT OF THE CONFLICTED FOREIGN AND DEFENDANT APPOINTED LOWER COURT JUDGE'S FATAL REVERSIBLE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL RULING OF DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF STANDING TO SUE DEFENDANT BARRY SOETORO, APPELLANT WAS CRIMINALLY VIOLATED. HIS STANDING TO PROSECUTE A CIVIL RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIM FOR REDRESS FOR IRREPARABLE HARM AND INJURY WAS SUA SPONTE, SUMMARILY AND CONTEMPORANEOUSLY STRIPPED BY THE "DEFENDANT-APPOINTED" CONFLICTED, ALIEN-APPOINTED, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE. THE LOWER COURT JUDGE AND ALIEN (NATIONAL OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA) DEFENDANT CRIMINALLY CONSPIRED TO CONCEAL THIS MISCONDUCT AND FRAUD ON THE COURT. MOREOVER, APPELLANT IS THE VICTIM AND HAS NEVER BEEN FAIRLY AND CONSTITUTIONALLY HEARD.

AMPLIFYING REASONS (RULE 20) FOR GRANTING THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT IS FOUND IN THE FACT THAT THE LOWER COURTS HAVE FAILED TO AVOID THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY AND ITS INTEGRITY HAS BEEN DRAWN INTO QUESTION BY THE OFFICIAL AND ALIEN MISCONDUCT FULLY

ILLUMINATED IN THE VOLUMINOUS RECORD SET FORTH HEREIN. THE LATE MOST HONORABLE, MR. JUDGE JAMES MORAN (ND, IL, ED-1989 CHAPMAN V. GASPAREZ, et al.) SAID IT BEST! "MR. CHAPMAN, THE MOST IMPORTANT FUNCTION OF THE FEDERAL COURT IS TO PROTECT THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE!" (PRE-TRIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE)


THE MANDATED REASON FOR GRANTING THIS PETITION IS TO ALLOW THIS HONORABLE COURT TO REVIEW ITS BIVENS CIVIL RIGHTS AND CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT AND BY EXAMPLE TO PROTECT THE CIVIL RIGHTS OF APPELLANT WITH PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED FOR ALL THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. (EMPHASIS RESPECTFULLY ADDED)

THIS IS WHAT THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION MANDATES THAT YE, MUST DO... GOD BLESS THIS HONORABLE COURT. GOD BLESS AMERICA!

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: OCTOBER 8, 2019 - FIRST FILED (MAIL BOX RULE APPLY)
(AS MADE A PART HEREOF BY REFERENCE)

CHRISTOPHE 'de la' MAR CHAPMAN, / @ A.D., J.E.C., PH.D.
APPELLANT, LEGIST LAUREATE - JURISTICIAN™, JUDex CURIAE
EPISTEMOLOGIST, SACRACOTALIST, EMPIRICAL PRACTITIONER
"INFERIOR OFFICER" (NIXON) ART. II, SEC. 3, CL 1 - 47 YEAR VETERAN
FEDERAL DISCOVERER, 28 USC, SECTION 535 (b); POSTDOCTORIAL -
JURIST SCHOLAR, ACADEMIUS; "EXTREMELY RARE!" - FBI PROFLER
WHITE HOUSE BAR NUMBER: WH# 10312012-43 (R.M. MUELLER ISSUED)

16900-424
Lamar C Chapman III
Registration No 16900-424
ROB DALE Bumpers DR
Federal Correctional Comp
Forrest CITY, AR 72335-9000
United States

9.

1. ARCHBISHOPRIC

"CATHOLIC JOURNAL" - ARCHBISHOP, HIGHER LEARNING
200 YEARS OF UNTEACHABLE EMPIRICISM "GIFT KNOWLEDGE"
"COGNITIVE SCIENCE - COGNIZANT MIND, INTELLECTUAL ARTS"