In The

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM 2018

CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES,

Petitioner

v.

DARIN YOUNG, Warden, South Dakota State Penitentiary,

Respondent

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court of Appeals For The 8th Circuit

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX: VOLUME 2 of 2

JASON R. RAVNSBORG, South Dakota Attorney General PAUL S. SWEDLUND, Assistant Attorney General Counsel of Record
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1
Pierre, SD 57501-8501
Telephone: 605-773-3215
Facsimile: 605-773-4106

paul.swedlund@state.sd.us

Attorneys for Respondent Young

APPENDIX

Volume 1

| Pertinent South Dakota Statutes | Appendix 001 |
|--|--------------|
| Commonwealth v. Spotz, 99 A.3d 866 (Pa. 2014) | Appendix 009 |
| Ballard Attorney Referral Article | Appendix 066 |
| Habeas Corpus Transcript Excerpt | Appendix 068 |
| Criminal Trial Transcript Excerpt | Appendix 074 |
| Rhines "Reply To Last Word" Filing | Appendix 083 |
| Rhines <i>Pro Se</i> Complaint | Appendix 091 |
| United States District Court's Rule 59(e) Ruling | Appendix 112 |
| Tharpe v. Warden, CIV 10-433 (D.Ct.M.D.Ga. 2017) | Appendix 131 |
| Cersosimo Journal | Appendix 155 |
| Volume 2 | |
| Voir Dire Transcripts | Appendix 236 |
| McGriff | Appendix 237 |
| Blake | Appendix 262 |
| D. Anderson | Appendix 278 |
| Keeney | Appendix 303 |
| Cersosimo | Appendix 321 |
| Corrin | Appendix 338 |
| Walton | Appendix 353 |
| M. Anderson | Appendix 374 |
| Woodson | Appendix 393 |
| Dean | Appendix 409 |
| Brown | Appendix 432 |
| Shafer/Rohde | Appendix 458 |

| | # | |
|-------|---|--|
| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) | IN CIRCUIT COURT |
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) | • |
| 3 | | SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUI |
| 4 | CELLEY OF TOWN | of the second |
| | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, | |
| 5 | Plaintiff, | • |
| 6 | ♥. | JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, | 93-81 |
| · 8 | Defendant. | , |
| 9 | Delengant. | VOLUME II OF |
| - | PROCEEDINGS: The following me | tters were had before the |
| 10 | HONORABLE JOHN K KOMENY | |
| 11 | Rapid City, South Dakota January, 1993. | on the 5th day of |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF | MR. JAY MILLER, and. |
| 13 | MR. MARK VARGO | |
| | State's Attorney Pennington County | 's Office |
| 14 | Rapid City, South | Dakota |
| 15 | FOR THE STATE | |
| | SUPREME COURT E OF SOUTH DAKOTA | |
| 17 | FILED | |
| · | JUN 0 8 1995 MR. JOSEFH BUTLER Attorney at Law | |
| 1.8 | PO Box 2670 | Para tanàna mandri dia kaominina dia kaomini |
| 19 61 | | 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law | |
| 21 | 3202 West Main St Rapid City, South | reet |
| 22 | · | • • |
| | MR. MICHAEL STONE | FIELD |
| 23 | Pennington County, Sprublic Defender FILED Rapid City South | |
| 24 | THE CHICOLD COMPANY OF CALL BOTTER | |
| 25 | MAR 8 1993 FOR THE DEFENDANT | |
| · - | Papplie Filegerald, Clerk | |
| Ву | Deputy | H. H. Y. H. |
| - 1 | * . | |

One other area. Do you have any particular feelings 1 Q about the death penalty? 3 A I kind a feel that in a case like this where it's so severe, that's it's just. MR. STONEFIELD: Your Honor, I move for cause on the 5 earlier answers of having formed an opinion. 7 MR. GROFF: No objection. THE COURT: Mr. Cofoid, I will excuse you on this case. 8 Clerk may call in another juror. 9 10 You have been previously sworn and you are still under oath. Defense make inquire. 11 12 (Prospective Juror, DELIGHT MCGRIFF, having previously 13 been sworn, testified as follows:) 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: 15 Tell us your name. 16 Delight McGriff. 17 My name is Mike Stonefield, and I'm one of the attorneys that is representing Mr. Charles Rhines. Mr. Rhines is the man seated in the middle of the table here and this man to his left is Mr. Butler and this is Mr. Gilbert. These are the other attorneys that are working on this case. You sat through the procedure yesterday and had explained to you what we

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

A

Yes.

are doing here today?

| . 1 | 9 | I would like to reiterate just a little bit that the |
|-----|-----|---|
| 2 | | law allows us to ask these questions or try and get |
| 3 | | to whether you have any particular knowledge or |
| 4 | | biases about this case and I'm not attempting to ask |
| 5 | ļļ. | you anything that would be embarrassing to you or to |
| 6 | | pry into your personal life or anything like that, |
| 7 | | but I would appreciate your complete honesty on any |
| 8 | | questions. Do you know any of the people seated here |
| 9 | | in the courtroom, any of the six attorneys, the |
| 10 | | defense attorney, the Judge or court reporter? |
| 11 | A | No. |
| 12 | Ω | Did you know or do you have any knowledge of Donnivan |
| 13 | 1 | Schaeffer or his family? |
| 14 | A | No. |
| 15 | Q | Do you know or have any knowledge of Mr. Rhines? |
| 16 | A | No. |
| 17 | Ω | Were you familiar with the Dig 'Em Donut business |
| 18 | 1 | here in Rapid City? |
| 19 | A | No. |
| 20 | Ω | As I'm sure you would understand, when you filled out |
| 21 | | the questionnaire that was sent to you a month or so |
| 22 | | ago, it came back to us and the attorneys have had a |
| 23 | | chance to read over the answers that you gave us. |
| 24 | | I'd like to ask you a few things about you, yourself. |

You have three children, is that right?

| 1 | A Yes. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Q Have you lived in this area for a long time? |
| 3 | A Yeah. I lived in Colorado for about three years, |
| 4 | three years ago, but I lived in Rapid City since I |
| 5 | was about 19. |
| 6 . | Q Did you go to school here? |
| 7 | A No, I went to school in Louisiana. |
| . 8 | Q You are working here now at two different jobs, is |
| 9 | that right? |
| 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | Q Are your children still living around this area? |
| 12 | A Two of them and I have one that lives in California. |
| 13 | Q Did they all grow up and go to school here too? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q Do you have any other family around these parts? |
| 16 | A No ~- I have two daughters here in Rapid. |
| 17 | Q Other than your children? |
| 18 | A No. |
| 19 | Ω The jobs you worked at you have been there, at one of |
| 20 | them you have been there for three years or so? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q Could you tell us, are there jobs you have had other |
| 23 | places you have worked? |
| 24 | A Mainly I work for gold companies here in Rapid. |
| 25 | Q What kind of work have you done? |
| 16 | |

| 1 | - [] | the paper and we felt bad about it, but other than |
|----|------|---|
| 2 | | that |
| 3 | Q | Do you know, do you remember reading anything |
| 4 | | recently about it or hearing anything recently about |
| 5 | | the incident or this trial? |
| 6 | A | The only thing I heard last night said on the news |
| 7 | | that the trial was coming up and that's all they |
| 8 | | said. |
| 9 | Ω | So you were listening to the news about this last |
| 10 | | night? |
| 11 | A | Just for a few minutes at work. |
| 12 | Ω | Over the period of months, how many times would you |
| 13 | | estimate that you read or heard something about the |
| 14 | | case? |
| 15 | A | That was the first time last night that I heard |
| 16 | | anything. |
| 17 | Ω | For a long time? |
| 18 | A | Yeah. |
| 19 | Q | When you got the notice a month or so ago and got |
| 20 | | this questionnaire that you'd be called as a juror is |
| 21 | | this case, did you talk to anybody about it at that |
| 22 | | point? |
| 23 | A | At my job I told them it could be a possibility and |
| 24 | | that was it. |
| | | |

Just job-related and no other context?

| | - 11 | |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | A | Right. |
| 2 | Ω | Would you say that from what you have heard or been |
| 3 | | made aware of over the months, have you formed any |
| 4 | | kind of opinion about Mr. Rhines? |
| 5 | A | No, because really I haven't heard anything. |
| 6 | Ω | Would you say that you formed any opinion about the |
| 7 | | case? |
| 8 | A | No. |
| 9 | Q | Any opinion about whether he's guilty or not guilty |
| 10 | | of these charges? |
| 11 | A | No, I haven't formed any. |
| 12 | Ω | Have you ever heard any opinions expressed that way |
| 13 | | by anybody else that you have spoken to? |
| 14 | A | No. |
| 15 | Q | One of the things that was talked about yesterday |
| 16 | | during the orientation was that under the law Mr. |
| 17 | | Rhines is presumed to be innocent of these charges. |
| 18 | | Is that something that you are familiar with; have |
| 19 | | you ever heard that term before? |
| 20 | A | Yes. |
| 21 | Q | Is that something that you feel you can apply in this |
| 22 | | case? |
| 23 | A | Yes. |
| ı | 1 | |

You can presume him to be innocent as he sits here

a company

24

25

now?

- Q Would you feel that he would have to prove himself innocent?
- A No, he would have to be proven guilty.
- Q Would you feel that he would have to present evidence on his own behalf?
 - A His attorneys do that, don't they? I don't know.
 - What I am getting at is, if he were to, just as a hypothetical, if he were to use, to rely on his, for his defense on the inability of the State to prove its case, simply to argue that the State has not proven its case, do you think that's something he should be able to do or would you expect to hear something from him, testimony or evidence from him on his own behalf?
 - A Yes. You would expect to. It would depend. I'm not too familiar with this sort of thing.
 - Q If the Judge were to instruct you that he has no obligation to testify and that he can rely for his defense on the inability of the State to prove its case and if the Judge told you that that is what the law is, could you follow that?
 - l Vee
 - Q Does that seem fair to you or should he have to present something?

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

3

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

I have another question about that area. If you were to sit on this case and you were to listen to everything that was presented for however long it took to present all the evidence and you thought to yourself later on, maybe toward the end of the case or while you were deliberating that I have heard something else or I remember hearing something else or reading something else in the media, and we didn't hear anything about it in the trial, how would you handle that? Would you consider those things that you read or heard elsewhere or do you think you'd be able to not consider them?

- Yes. I know we wouldn't be able to read about it and I haven't really read anything about it so far, so I probably wouldn't, because I don't have a lot of time to read anyway. 100
- You don't think that would be a problem because you really don't have any other outside knowledge of the case?
- No.
- So, you are telling us basically that you feel that you could sit here and be an impartial juror on this case?
- Yes.

- Q A few other general questions for you, ma'am. The Judge talked yesterday at some length about the length of the trial and I assume that if you were required to sit for two or three weeks or something like that, it wouldn't be a problem with your job or your home life?

 A No.
 - Q If it came to a point where you had to be sequestered, which is basically required to stay in a motel for a period of time or not go home that kind of thing, would that present a problem?
 - A No.
 - Q You have not ever served as a juror before?
- A No

- 15 Q You don't have any knowledge of anybody that works in law enforcement, is that right?
 - A No.
- 18 Q Have you ever served in the military?
- 19 A No.
 - MR. STONEFIELD: Your Honor, earlier this morning I had our office draw up a list of the witnesses who the State had given notice to us as their potential witnesses and I thought rather than go through each name individually if we could show the prospective juror the list and see if they know anybody on it.

MR. GROFF: I wish to have the record reflect that first of all that was not part of any pretrial matters, that was just presented as a matter of convenience for counsel. Despite the fact that that list is there, certainly that wouldn't preclude other officers that were made a part of this to appear. It was just a chronological list that I wished to present, so there may need to be additional questions about law enforcement officers as well.

THE COURT: You don't have any objection to showing this list, at least to the prospective jurors?

- MR. GROFF: No. I wanted to make sure at this stage of the proceedings that there was no misconception of the record that we had limited the State's case to just those witnesses.
- MR. STONEFIELD: I understand that. I thought this would be a time saver.

THE COURT: I think it is.

- Q (By Mr. Stonefield:) Take a look at this list and can you tell me if you are familiar with any of the names on here and take a little bit of time to review and see if any of those names seem familiar to you.
- A No; none of them look familiar to me.
- Q I believe you said you don't have any knowledge of anybody that works in law enforcement in the Rapid

2 | A No.

1

3

5 6

7

8

9

11

- Q Another area I want to discuss with you briefly and see if you have any feelings about this. You are going to hear evidence that Mr. Rhines is gay, he's a homosexual, and you are going to hear that at least a couple of the people testifying in this case also are gay. Does that change your feelings about this case or sitting on this case in any way?
- 10 A No.
 - Q Do you know any gay people?
- 12 A Not at present, no.
- 13 Q Have you ever in your life?
 - A I used to work with some.
- Do you have any particular feelings one way are or another about the subject?
- 17 A It really makes me no difference.
- 18 Q On the questionnaire here you listed that you are not a member of any particular church, is that correct?
- 20 | A No.
- 21 Q Do you ever attend church?
- 22 A Occasionally I do.
- 23 Q Could you tell us what church?
- 24 A Four Square.
- 25 Q Is that in town here?

- A Yeah. It's Assembly of God, and I have been there.
- 2 Q Would you say that you attend regularly?
 - A No, actually I don't. I go twice a year.
 - Q Do you consider yourself just generally to be a religious person?
 - A Yeah -- well, my way of thinking, yeah.
 - One of the things that was discussed yesterday that you probably are aware of in this case, as in any case that is charged as first degree murder or premeditated murder, the State has the opportunity to ask the jury if they convicted the Defendant to impose the death penalty. And the procedure under which such a trial like this is held is different than just about any other kind of a trial in this State, any other criminal trial. We go through two stages. We go through the first stage which is the stage that happens in any criminal trial where evidence is presented and a decision is made by the jury as to whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. In this particular case, should the jury find Mr. Rhines to be guilty, then there is a second part of the proceedings, a second trial basically at which new evidence can be presented again and arguments can be made to you as to what the appropriate sentence would be and there are two

25

1

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

sentencing possibilities, either a life imprisonment or the death sentence. What you would be required to do as a juror in that second phase, if we would get to it, would be to determine, first of all, whether or not there are special circumstances which exist concerning this case, and if you find that those circumstances exist, then you have to make a second determination as to whether or not the death penalty is appropriate. Knowing that, knowing how this procedure works, can you tell us whether you have any particular feelings one way or the other about the death penalty? No, I don't. I have never really thought about it a lot.

- Have you ever talked about it with anybody?
- 16 No.

1

3

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

21

22

24

- You would say basically that you are neutral on it?
- Yeah, I would say that. A 18
- You are saying that you feel that in certain cases 19 you could impose it? 20
 - A Yes.
 - Or you could consider imposing it? Q
- λ Yes. 23
 - Have you ever discussed it or heard it discussed in Q regard to this particular case?

| 1 | A | No. |
|------------|----|--|
| 2 | Q | Since the time that you became aware that you might |
| 3 | | be a juror in this case, have you ever thought about |
| 4 | | it yourself? |
| 5 - | A | Well, actually I didn't until they brought it up |
| 6 | | yesterday in Court. |
| 7 | Ω | You were not aware that that was a possible penalty |
| 8 | Ï | until yesterday? |
| 9 | A | Probably. I just didn't think about it. |
| 10 | Ω | Would you say that your feeling would be such that |
| 11 | | you would feel that the death penalty would be |
| 12 | | appropriate for any person found guilty of a |
| L3 | | premeditated murder? |
| L 4 | A | I think it would depend on the circumstances. It's |
| .5 | | hard to say. |
| .6 | Q | What type of circumstances? |
| .7 . | A | How violent the crime was I don't really know. |
| .8 | | It's hard for me to say. |
| 9 | Ω | Do you think you'd have to wait and see what was |
| 0 | | presented? |
| 1 | A· | Yeah. |
| 2 | Q | You don't feel that it would be appropriate in every |
| 3 | | case? |
| ۱ ۱ | A | No. |
| 5 | Q | From what you have heard about or what you may know |
| | | |

about this case, have you formed any kind of opinion as to whether it would be appropriate for the person who committed this offense? No, I haven't formed an opinion, because I haven't heard a lot about this case. Just a couple of other things. When we talk about Q the penalty here of death or the penalty here of life imprisonment, do you have any feelings whether those things really mean what they say or whether they don't mean what they say, for instance, the penalty of life imprisonment? Are you willing to accept, if you are chosen as a juror and impose that sentence, that that's what the person would serve, they wouldn't be paroled out in a few years or something like that? Yes. A Do you have any feelings or any kind of knowledge or opinions about that idea? Not really, no. λ You would be willing to agree that a sentence of life imprisonment would be just that, that the person

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Yes.

Q

would spend the rest of their life in prison?

would mean just that, that a person would be

Conversely, you would agree that a sentence of death

2 A Yes.

7.

- Q Kind of a general question, would you consider yourself somebody who, once you have formed an opinion that you think is right, that you would stick to that opinion? Do you think that you are a person that kind of sticks to their guns or in all honesty would you say that you tend to be swayed by other people's opinions?
- A No, I form my own opinions.
- Q And you'll stick to them?
- A Yes.
- O Do you think that there's anything else that we should know about you or your knowledge of this case as we go through this procedure?
- A No. I don't know really anything about this case.
- MR. STONEFIELD: Thank you, ma'am. We would pass, your Honor.

EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:

Q I have just a few questions for you, ma'am. You have to understand that we have to both ask questions to see if we can have you as a juror. From listening to Judge Konenkamp yesterday and that orientation that he read to you, you understood that you are a prospective juror in this case of State versus

A

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- And from that orientation do you understand that in Q this case the State is seeking the death penalty?
- No, I didn't, but now I do. .
 - Do you understand that -- let me make this a little clearer. As Mr. Stonefield just told you, this is a case where if you are selected on the jury, one of the 12 people on the jury, you'd first be called upon to decide whether or not the Defendant was guilty or not guilty, particularly of a charge of first degree murder. Okay? Now, just assuming that you found him guilty of that offense of first degree murder, then there'd be a second stage of the trial where, after hearing additional evidence, you'd decide whether or not this Defendant would receive a sentence of death or a sentence of life imprisonment. Do you understand that?
- Yes.
- Now, to get back to what I was telling you before, whether or not you understand the State was seeking the death penalty, should you get to that second stage, as the attorney representing the State, I will be asking you as one of the jurors to impose the death penalty on the Defendant, that is, to put him

23 24

25

to the death. Do you understand that now?

- Q Before I go any further, how do you feel about that?
- A Well, I don't have an opinion on it now, you know.
- Q Let me ask a couple of other questions then. Before that jury in the second stage could return either a sentence of death or a sentence of life imprisonment, that jury would have to be unanimous; each one would have to vote for the same thing; do you understand?
- A Yes.

- Q so, if anyone voted differently, then they couldn't return a verdict one way or the other; do you understand?
- A Yes.
- O Do you understand why it's so important to both the State and to the defense then to individually ask these questions to determine whether or not the jurors could actually reach a decision one way or the other?
- λ Yes.
- Q In other words, do you understand if I selected a juror who in their own mind in all fairness could not impose the death penalty, that in many ways in terms of seeking the death penalty I'd be wasting my time because I could never get a unanimous jury. Just

one, that's all it would take, so I have to look at 1 you as one prospective juror and decide whether or not you could actually impose the death penalty if the evidence and law convinced you it should, okay? 5 Okay. A 6 Q Do you have any religious, personal or moral beliefs 7 that would cause you to be opposed to the death 8 penalty? 9 No. 10 You were talking with Mr. Stonefield and I believe 11 you told Mr. Stonefield that you haven't thought 12 about it much? 13 The death penalty, no. That's not something you 14 think about, you know. 15 . ℚ And it's something you have never spoken to your 16 friends or relatives about? 17 It might have been brought up. I don't recall anything about talking about anything like that. 18 19 Without going into specific cases or anything like 20 that that we might have heard about, never has caught 21 your attention as a point of conversation to talk 22 about the death penalty? 23 A No. Let's think about it for a momen't then. Assuming we 24 Q are in this courtroom and I want you to look at those 25

chairs over there and the second thing I want you to look at is the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, who is at this table. You see Mr. Rhines?
Yes.

- Q. First thing I want to ask you is, just because he's on trial here today, do you feel any sympathy for him?
- A No.

1 2

- Q Secondly, I need to ask you, can you envision yourself looking over at those chairs, being one of the jurors who would come back and return a verdict or sentencing verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death?
- λ Yes.
- One other question along those lines. Can you envision yourself, if you were polled, that is, if the Judge asked each individual juror, is that your sentence to put the Defendant to death, can you envision yourself looking at the Judge or the Defendant and saying, yes, that's my verdict; that's my sentence that that man be put to death?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, again, how do you feel about that?
- A Well, what I feel is like if a person is found guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it's that bad, I feel that that would be the right thing to do,

- Ma'am, I want to ask you a few questions a little bit along the lines Mr. Stonefield was asking you. First of all, just so I understand, you told Mr. Stonefield I think, whether or not you would impose the death penalty that it would depend upon the circumstances of the case.
- A Yes.

2.

- Now you have had a few more minutes to think about this and I am going to ask you, as you have thought about this sort of thing, even briefly over the years the reading you have done or the conversations you have had with other people, have you thought of any particular type of circumstances where you thought it might be appropriate?
- A The death penalty?
- Q Yes.
 - A Well, I have heard a lot of news last night, if it's proven to be, depending on the circumstances of the thing, it's hard for me -- if it was a really vicious crime.
 - Now, you brought up something else in your responses to me that I want to ask you about. You know very well that this case is one where if you return a verdict of guilty you are going to have to consider

б

whether or not you impose death or life. What I'm curious about is this, as you know, there are two stages to the trial, and the first stage is you determine guilt or innocence. And this case, like any other case that has to be decided, as the Judge told you, it doesn't have to be to an absolute certainty, but to a standard, our legal standard of beyond a reasonable doubt. You heard that yesterday, did you not, ma'am?

A Yes.

- What I'm curious about is this, just because you might have to face the issue of death or life in the second stage, do you think you would somehow hold the State of South Dakota, and particularly me the prosecutor, to a higher standard of proof than just proof beyond a reasonable doubt to somehow maybe avoid getting to that second stage where you'd have to determine death or life, or do you think you could follow the instructions of the Court and just leave it at a standard of proof to just beyond a reasonable doubt?
- λ Yes.
- You wouldn't elevate that standard to an absolute certainty just because this was a death penalty case?
- A No.

- O Do you know, ma'am, of anyone, I'm talking about friends, relatives or acquaintances, do you know of anyone who, if you came back after that second stage and returned a death sentence, that someone might be out there sort of who had strong opposition to death sentences, who might confront you and make it difficult for you after you returned such a sentence?

 A No.
 - So, you feel if you did that and you felt that was proper under the evidence and law, you could go out without any reservation and lead your life and not have to worry about any retribution or retaliation from friends or acquaintances or anything like that?
 - A Yes.

- Q Now, can you think of any reason at all why you couldn't serve as a juror in this case?
- A No.
- 18 MR. GROFF: That's all I have, Judge.
 - THE COURT: Thank you. All right, ma'am, you are a prospective juror on this case. We are going to excuse you temporarily. Do we have her phone number, is that correct? We would ask that if you have not heard from us by a week from today that you call the Clerk's Office to check in and make sure that we have your location.

1 DELIGHT MCGRIFF: Okay. 2 THE COURT: I'll repeat something I mentioned yesterday. 3 It's very important now that you not read any newspaper articles or listen to any radio or watch 5 any television reports concerning this case. It's very important that you not allow yourself to be 7 influenced by these outside sources of information so 8 you can hear the evidence in this courtroom and base 9 your decision on the evidence. Can you promise me 10 you'll not read any newspaper reports about this case 11 or listen to any other media reports on radio or 12 television? 13 DELIGHT MCGRIFF: Yes. THE COURT: We will excuse you temporarily, so I'll let 14 15 you know when it's time to come back. 16 Sir, you were previously sworn and you are still 17 under oath. Counsel. 18 MR. GILBERT: Thank you. (Prospective Juror RONALD HILTON, having previously been 19 sworn, testified as follows:) 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERT: 21 22 Would you begin by stating your name? 23 A My name is Ronald Hilton. Good morning, Mr. Hilton. I'm Wayne Gilbert and Ø 24

25

along with me here is Joe Butler and Mike Stonefield

| | il · | |
|--------|--|--|
| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) | IN CIRCUIT COURT |
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) | SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, | • • |
| 5 | Plainti | ff, |
| 6 | v. | JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, | 93-81 |
| 8 | Defendan | t. VOLUME V |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | HONORABLE JOHN K. KO | ng matters were had before the ONENKAMP Circuit Judge at |
| 11 | Rapid City, South Da January, 1993. | akota, on the 8th day of |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | MR. MARK VAR | |
| 14 | Pennington C | |
| 15 | | South Dakota |
| 16 | FOR THE STAT | re · |
| 17 | SUPREME COURT MR. JOSEPH B STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA Attorney at Plich PO Box 2670 | SUTLER |
| 18 | PATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA Attorney at | Law |
| 19 | JUN 0 8 1995 Rapid City, | South Dakota and |
| 20 | MR WAYNE GT | |
| 21 | Cally 6 3202 West Ma | |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL | |
| 23 | Public Defen | der |
| 24 | E I F Rabid cità | South Dakota |
| 25 | IN THE DEFEI | NDANT |
| 45 | ll <i>3</i> | ORIGINAL |
| | Part first gerald, Clerk | —— |
| J. | 5/,1 | |

couple different colleges and I ran track in college 1 and when my grade point average from a regular 2 college and junior college -- my major was in 3 sociology. Before you went in the Air Force? Yes. 7 What attracted you to sociology? A . The fact that I liked the study of the behavior of people and trying to figure out what is inside a person maybe, stuff like that. 10 What do you do in the Air Force? 11 I'm personnel specialist and I used to work on the 12 minuteman missles and I have this missing finger that 13 happened before I came in the service at a summer job 14 when I went to school and now I work in the personnel 15 16 office out there. What kind of things do you do? 17 Q Separations. We have had a lot of that lately. 18 With the early-out type? 19 20 II A Yes. You have had a lot of activity and it's in the news . 21 and are you snowed under at work, is that the kind of 22 thing if you were called upon to sit as a juror in 23 this case that would effect ... 24

25

Not at all.

| 1 | Q | That wouldn't be a concern being called away from |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | - | your work a month or so or four or five weeks? |
| 3 | A | Not a problem. |
| 4 | Ω | You are also active in the Democratic Party and is |
| 5 | - | there a difference between a Texas Democrat and a |
| 6 | | South Dakota Democrat? |
| 7 | A | Texas was a Democratic state and I said, bey, let's |
| 8 | | go cross the board; let's make it a Democratic Party. |
| 9 | Q | Were your parents Democrats? |
| 10 | A | Yes, they were. |
| 11 | Q | In front of you there is a witness list of people who |
| 12 | | might be called as some of the witnesses in this |
| 13 | | case. Would you take look at that and see if there |
| 14 | | are any names you may recognize? |
| 15 | A | Certainly; one for sure and one maybe. |
| 16 | Ω | Who is the for sure? |
| 17 | A | Jerry Hammerquist, he's the Rapid Valley Irrigation |
| 18 | | Supervisor and Harrold Plooster, my wife is from |
| 19 | | eastern South Dakota, and I can only assume that they |
| 20 | | may be related. |
| 21 | Ω | Let me ask you about Harrold Plooster first. If |
| 22 | | Harrold Plooster were to testify in this case, would |
| 23 | | there be any reason, based on what you know, that you |
| 24 | | would believe |
| 25 | A | No. I wouldn't even know what he looked like. I |

1 just had a passing acquaintance with his son. 2 was a Plooster assigned and we might have had lunch 3 on a chance meeting, and it was a chance meeting that we started talking one day. But, no ... How about Jerry Hammerquist, would you tend to give 5 his testimony more or less weight because of any 7 contacts you have had with him? 8 Not a bit. The evidence, there will be some evidence here that Q will show that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual, he's gay 10 and one or two of the witnesses who might be called 11 12 in this case are also gay and have had relationship 13 with Mr. Rhines. Knowing that, does that cause you 14 to view Mr. Rhines differently at all? 15 Not at all. Do you happen to have any acquaintances or friends or 16 Q relatives that are gay? 17 Not that I know of. 18 If you were to find out today that one of your 19 friends is gay, would it make any difference towards 20 you as far as your friendship is concerned? 21 22 Not really. Now do you feel about the proposal to allow 23 Q homosexuals into the armed services? 24

I feel they have been there for some time.

1 To make it official wouldn't make any difference to you? 3 Not at all. Would you say you are in favor of that proposal? 5 Leaning more toward indifference than favorable. I б think if it's a decision of our superiors, well let's 7 just say you'd have to live with it. 8 Q You have never served on a jury before? 9 No, I haven't. 10 Have you heard over the years about the presumption 11 of innocence and the burden of proof and reasonable 12 doubt? 13 I have seen enough Perry Mason. 14 One thing about Perry Mason is also a defense lawyer 15 and he always has something to put on as evidence or 16 does something to show his clients are innocent. 17 Now, do you understand that the burden of proof and 18 guilt beyond a reasonable doubt is actually on the 19 State at all stages of the case and the burden never 20 shifts? 21 That's correct. 22 So that the Defendant is not obligated or expected to (Q 23 put on any evidence of any kind at all; he has three

24 25 lawyers and we can decide not to put on a thing and

you can't hold that against Mr. Rhines; do you agree

with that?

Q

A Yes, sir. Absolutely.

Q Have you had a chance, through your studies or over the years in your life, to give any thought to the death penalty?

- A Yes, I have.
- Q Have you come to any opinions or conclusion?
 - A I think it should be a case-by-case basis. I can't say that it should be arbitrary for every crime.
 - On the other hand, you are not opposed to it, so it should never be permitted?
 - A You could say this.
 - In South Dakota in a criminal case where the State has decided that they want to ask for the death penalty, there could be two trials. There is the trial which the jury is asked to determine whether they think guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt. Here Mr. Rhines is charged with first degree murder, so it would be the State's obligation to prove at the trial that we are now concerned with that he's guilty of first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt. If they don't prove first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt, then the jury's function as far as Mr. Rhines in further proceedings is concerned is over. If they do prove guilt beyond

25

a reasonable doubt, then the jury is asked to consider whether there are certain aggravating circumstances that the Judge will instruct you about and define for you, and if the jury in this second part of the trial finds beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of these aggravating circumstances are present, then the jury considers whether to impose a death sentence. And we hear in the news about how people are sentenced to death and it goes on for years and years and there are appeals and commutations, but the fact is, the death penalty in South Dakota is carried out. So this is not a thing that the jury could be thinking, if we sentence himto death, something else will happen. And the jury is not required to sentence him to death, even if they find an aggravating circumstance. If the jury finds an aggravating circustance but concludes the death penalty is not appropriate, then there is life imprisonment. In South Dakota that means life without possibility of parole. If Mr. Rhines was sentenced to life, he'd never get out and if the jury finds that there are not aggravating circumstances proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then it would be a life imprisonment situation instead of the death penalty. Now, since you have had a chance to think

1 about the death penalty over the years, do you think that there are any types of cases that come to mind 3 where it is appropriate? Yes. 5 Q What comes to mind? Well, if it's indeed a heinous, let's say a crime 7 that goes beyond -- I don't know what we'd consider 8 normal, maybe a normal, something that society is 9 more in tune with, something that's so bizarre and 10 outlandish or something that basically that the jury 11 warrants that the death penalty be imposed. 12 It may be that if the jury should get to the second Q 13 phase after the trial and you listen to the Court 14 define and list these aggravating circumstances, it 15 may be that some of the aggravating circumstances 16 would be as bad as what you just described. It 17 wouldn't necessarily have to be a bizzare type of 18 thing or something that is just horrible or something 19 that's hard to describe; would you be able to follow 20 the Court's instructions and give serious 21 consideration to an aggravating circumstance that 22 maybe doesn't rise to this horrible ... 23 . I guess we would have to wait and see what is 24 presented there.

After you had seen what is presented, would you be

1 able to follow the Court's instructions? Yes. 2 As long as you understood them you'd be able to follow them? 5 Yes. I try to make -- I just interrupted you. 6 Q Heck, no, don't worry about it. I finished. I just 7 wanted to say, yes, I could make a decision if so 9 instructed. And you'd be able to give serious consideration both 10 to the death penalty and the aggravating 11 circumstances that you would be instructed about as 12 well as going the other way and life without parole? 13 14 Once the evidence is presented. Q. Have you got an idea in your mind right now as you 15 16 think would be the worse sentence to give a person, death or life without parole? 17 In my opinion the worst sentence would be life 18 without parole. 19 Do you hold that view so strongly that you think an 20 IΙQ execution might be doing a Defendant a favor? 21 Not necessarily. It depends on the circumstances, 22 23 you know. And maybe in your mind if you somehow hypothetically 24 were in a situation you might even want to be 25

| 1 | executed instead of doing life without parole? |
|-----|--|
| 2 | A Possibly. |
| · 3 | O Have you heard anything about this case? |
| 4 | A Initially some standard stuff, but it just went by |
| 5 | the wayside. We had a lot of work come up in the |
| 6 | office and worked a lot of nights and I didn't keep |
| 7 | up with it in the last few months and to be honest it |
| 8 - | was a surprise to get called in, a real surprise. |
| 9 | Q When you got called in, did the name Charles Rhines |
| 10 | mean anything to you at all? |
| 11 | A Yeah, it did. |
| 12 | Q What do you recall hearing about Mr. Rhines before |
| 13 | you were called here for jury duty? |
| 14 | A The stuff that was in the news and stuff like that, |
| 15 | bringing him in from Washington State back to be |
| 16 | Rapid City. I figured there'd be a trial at some |
| 17 | point, but as far as the specifics of it, no. |
| 18 | Q Any other more specifics or more detailed things you |
| 19 | can recall as us sit here today? |
| 20 | A No, just standard stuff. Again, I remember it when |
| 21 | the night back in March it happened because I had to |
| 22 | drive to Colorado, and other than that just went into |
| 23 | kind of a blur. |
| 24 | Q How about since Monday, have you heard anything or |
| 25 | read anything? |

. 1 I followed the Judge's instructions when the local - 2 news came on, and I went in the other room and I 3 noticed that the newspaper really cut down in today's paper what they had about it and I don't think there was anything at all. I was more interested in the sport's page to be honest with you. Because of anything that you might have read or heard or discussed with friends or family people at work. 9 do you come here today with any ideas one way or the 10 other whether Mr. Rhines is guilty or not guilty of this offense? 11 12 Not at all. 13 MR. GILBERT: Thank you. That's all the questions I have EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF: 14 15 Mr. Bennett, I'm the State's Attorney? 16 A Good morning, sir. 17 It's going to be my job during the next couple of 18 weeks to argue the case. I want to ask you just a 19 few questions. I was interested in your sociology degree. Before you pursued that sociology degree. 20 21 did you think that was what you were going to go 22 into?

I went there with general studies in mind.

behavior of people and why they do things?

I think what you told me, were you interested in the

23

24

25

Q

1 Yeah, basically, really interested in maybe like more 2 of the co-dependent -- you see a lot of that and my 3 wife has a degree in sociology and we can get into some heated conversations. Q Co-dependency is a very interesting concept, very interesting. I want to talk to you a little bit 7 about the military, and you have been in the military for eight years? Just went over eight in November. 10 Q Military as you were talking before has a lot of 11 rules? 12 Absolutely. Q One of the things you get used to doing is following 13 14 the rules? 15 A Without a doubt. 16 Maybe that's something that ties us in with the Court 17 and the Court has the rules which we call 18 instructions and I think Mr. Gilbert cleared this - 19 with you that no matter what circumstances you 20 thought might be circumstances which would justify 21 the imposition of the death penalty, you would follow the Court's instructions as to what the aggravating 22

circumstances are in South Dakota, is that right?

As I understand you were down in Texas for how long?

23

24

25

Q

Yes, sir.

```
1
             I was born there in '60.
                                       I have been in the service
             24 years.
   3
             Twenty-four years?
             Yeah.
             Recalling when you were down in Texas, do you recall
             hearing about death cases?
             Yes.
        Q
            That's not something unusual for you?
            No, sir.
 10
        Q
            Before I go any further, I need to ask you about
 11
            visualizing yourself on the jury, but first, could
 12
            you be a little more specific? You were telling Mr.
            Gilbert about matters that came up in your mind which
 13
14
            you thought could justify imposing the death penalty.
15
            I think you used the word heinous?
16
            Well, I believe that first of all I have to look at
17
            maybe, was it a spontaneous type of thing or
18
            premeditated type of thing or what would influence
19
            me.
20
            When it comes to premeditation, can you follow the
21
            Court's instructions, what that means under South
22
           Dakota law?
23
           Well, I can interpret it in my way.
                                                 I'm not sure
24
           what South Dakota law says, but yeah, I could.
25
           You were explaining, I'm sorry?
```

Again, this is an individual decision that I feel, 1 you know, and together it will come together, if it warranted it by the evidence that we will see, I guess, yeah; just breaking it down. What you are saying is if the evidence warranted Q imposing death on this Defendant, Mr. Rhines, you could visualize yourself doing that? Yes. MR. GROFF: That's all I have. Pass for cause. 9 THE COURT: All right, sir, you remain a prospective 10 juror on this case and we will be in touch with you 11 when we need you to come back, and if you make the 12 final jury panel. In the meantime, it is very 13 important that you continue not to watch, read or 14 listen to any media accounts concerning this case and 15 that you not discuss this case with anyone or allow 16 anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence. 17 Can you promise me you'll not do these things? 18 BENNETT BLAKE: Certainly. 19 THE COURT: If you have not heard from us by Tuesday at 20 noon, I'd ask that you call the Clerk's Office to 21 check in and make sure that we are able to reach you. 22 Thank you, very much. Let's take a ten minute 23 24 recess.

(Recess was taken 9:25 to 9:40.)

1 THE COURT: Defense may exercise. Record will show that 2 the defense has exercised its tenth peremptory and the Clerk will summon another juror. Good morning, Mr. Blair. You were previously sworn 5 in and you remain under oath now? ĸ WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes. THE COURT: Defense may inquire. 7 (Prospective Juror, WILLIAM BLAIR, having previously been 9 sworn, testified as follows:) EXAIMINATION BY MR. GILBERT: 10 11 Q For the record state your name please. 12 λ William Blair. Mr. Blair, I'm Wayne Gilbert, and I'm one of the 13 14 lawyers for Charles Rhines and he is the man seated 15 at the middle of the table, and the other lawyers are Mike Stonefield and Joe Butler and the three of us 16 17 represent Mr. Rhines. The questionnaire you filled 18 out a month ago we've had copies of that and have had 19 a chance to look at it and you have not served on 20 jury duty before? 21 No, I never have. 22 Have you ever been called at all? 23 No. Some of the questions that you will be asked by both 24 sides this morning are probing and may seem kind of

| | | Ķ | | O A | |
|----|-----|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------|
| 1 | | STATE OF SOUT | | | CUIT COURT |
| 2 | | COUNTY OF PENI | NINGTON) | SEVENTH JU | DICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | • | | | e e | • ' |
| 4 | • | STATE OF SOUTI | H DAKOTA, | • | |
| 5 | | 1 | Plaintiff, | • | • |
| 6 | | ٧. | | JURY | TRIAL |
| 7 | | CHARLES RUSSEI | LL RHINES, | 93 | 3-81 |
| 8 | | | Defendant. | AOTAR | E VI |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | HONORAE | The following ma | AMP, Circuit | : Judge at |
| 11 | į | Rapid (of Janu | ity, South Dakota sary, 1993. | , on the att | and tith days |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | ٠ | APPEARANCES: | MR. MARK VARGO | | LUEK, and. |
| 14 | | | State's Attorney Pennington Count | y | |
| 15 | | | Rapid City, Sout | h Dakota | , |
| 16 | , | | FOR THE STATE | | |
| 17 | | | MR. JOSEPH BUTLE | R | |
| 18 | | · · | Attorney at Law PO Box 2679 | | , |
| 19 | | SUPREME COURT TE OF SOUTH DAKOTA | Rapid City, Sout | | and |
| 20 | SIA | FITED | MR. WAYNE GILBER Attorney at Law | | |
| 21 | | JUN 0 8 1995 | 3202 West Main S Rapid City, Sout | treet h Dakota | anđ |
| 22 | | ila Polyd | MR. MICHAEL STON | efiëld | .* |
| 23 | 90 | erk | Public Defender Pennington Count | y | |
| 24 | | | Rapid City, Sout | * * | |
| 25 | | | FOR THE DEFENDAN | T | |
| - | | <u> </u> | Ann Country ST | | |
| | | Ponni | ngton County, S.D. F. I. J. E. D. B. CVECLE COURT | | |
| | |] | 0/14 13 1993 1147 | | ORIGINAL |
| | | ונ י | iliti " idəv | | |

| 1 | | juror. Good afternoon, sir. We swore you in last |
|------------|-----|---|
| 2 · | | week so you remain under oath. Defense may inquire. |
| 3 | (Pr | ospetive Juror DARYL ANDERSON, having previously been |
| 4 | | sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 5 | EXA | MINATION BY MR. MIKE STONEFIELD? |
| 6 | Q | You are Daryl Anderson? |
| 7 | A | Yes. |
| 8 | Q | I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys |
| 9 | | representing Charles Rhines in this case. Mr. Rhines |
| 10 | | is the man seated in the middle of the table and this |
| 11 | 1 | is Mr. Wayne Gilbert one of the attorneys and Mr. Joe |
| 12 | | Bulter. How are you today? |
| 13 | A | Just fine. |
| 14 | Q | You sat through the orientation process a week ago so |
| 15 | - | you have a general idea why it is that we are up here |
| 16 | | today? |
| 17 | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| | H | I'd ask you to take a look around the courtroom and |
| 18 | Q | |
| 19 | 1 | tell us if you know anybody? |
| 20 | A | I recognize Mr. Groff here. |
| 21 | Q | Would tht just be knowing him as the State's |
| 22 | | Attorney? |
| 23 | A | Picture in the paper. |
| 24 | Q | Have you ever had any kind of dealings with him in |
| 25 | | any type of criminal case? |

| Ţ | A | NO. |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | Q | Basically you just know who he is? |
| 3 | A | Yes. |
| 4 | Q | Do you know anything about Mr. Rhines other than what |
| . 5 | | you might have heard or read in the paper? |
| 6 | A | I don't know anything about him. |
| 7 | Q | Did you know Donnivan Schaeffer or have any knowledge |
| 8 | | of any member of his family? |
| 9 | A | No. |
| 10 | Q | One of the things we need to talk about is what if |
| 11 | | anything you know about this case in particular, so |
| 12 | | I'd ask you to tell us from the questionnaire you |
| 13 | | indicated that you had some knowledge about the case |
| 14 | | and I'd ask you to tell us as specifically as you |
| 15 | | can, what if anything you remember having heard or |
| 16 | | read? |
| 17 | A | The thing that I remember is this Schaeffer was a |
| 18 | | real nice guy. They mentioned that in the paper and |
| 19 | | just that it was a botched up robbery, turned into a |
| 20 | | murder. |
| 21 | Ω | And your knowledge, that knowledge you are talking |
| 22 | | about something you heard about on the news or in the |
| 23 | | paper. |
| 24 | A | Right. |
| | | Here you ever in the past few months talked about |

| 1. | | this case with anybody? |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | A | No, just when I was picked for jury duty people would |
| 3 | | say, hey, you are on this trial, and I said not yet. |
| 4 | Ĭ | I don't have any idea but that is about all. |
| 5 | Ö | You live in New Underwood? |
| 6 | A | Just outside. |
| 7 | Q | When you say you were picked for the trial, are you |
| 8 | | talking about when you got this questionnaire a month |
| 9 | | or so ago? |
| 10 | A | Right. |
| 11 | Q | Once you realized you were a potential juror on this |
| 12 | | case, you did talk to some other people about that? |
| 13 | A | Just that part, right. |
| 14 | Q | Did you ever hear anybody express an opinion about |
| 15 | | Mr. Rhines as to whether he was guilty or not guilty? |
| 16 | A | Well, you hear comments on like, well, you know what |
| 17 | | I'd do if I was on there, that type of thing. |
| 18 | Ω | Have you yourself ever expressed any kind of opinion? |
| 19 | A | Not really. |
| 20 | Q | Would you say that as you sit here today that you |
| 21 | | have an opinion one way or the other about whether or |
| 22 | | not Mr. Rhines |
| 23 | A | I would say I wouldn't have an opinion because you |
| 24 . | | can listen to the media and they have pretty much |
| 25 | 1 | convicted someone already part of the time and that's |

| | H | |
|----|----|---|
| 1 | } | not right because there are several cases where |
| 2 | | things have happened that I know that's not true what |
| 3 | | they print. |
| 4 | Q | You are saying that although you have read or heard |
| 5 | | some things about the case, you don't necessarily |
| 6 | | take everything you hear at face value? |
| 7 | | You never have been a juror before? |
| 8 | A | No. |
| 9 | Q. | Have you ever been called up into this kind of a |
| .0 | | process before to be questioned? |
| 1 | A | I was subpoenaed over 20 years ago in an attempted |
| .2 | | murder-kidnapping thing. We came on to a fellow that |
| .3 | | had been abducted from lows and I never did go before |
| 4 | | the Court. |
| 5 | Q | You were a possible witness? |
| .6 | A | Right. |
| 7 | Q | Have you ever been, you yourself or anybody in the |
| .8 | | family ever been involved in the criminal system as a |
| 9 | | witness or having been charged with something? |
| 0 | A | No. |
| 1 | Q | One of the things that was discussed during that |
| 2 | - | orientation process the other day were several |
| 3 | | concepts about criminal law, one of them being that |
| Δ | | any person that's charged with a crime is presumed to |
| | | |

be innocent and that presumption follows with the

| 1 | Q | You have one child? |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | A | Yes. |
| 3 | Q | Who apparently would be out of school now? |
| . 4 | А | Yes, she's married. |
| 5 | Q | Still living around here? |
| 6 | A | She lives in New Underwood. |
| 7 | Q | Is she employed anywhere? |
| 8 | A | She works at New Underwood School, she's a secretary |
| 9 | | there. |
| 10 | Ω | And you have worked for the highway department for |
| 11 | Q | For 24 years. |
| 12 | Ω | Which would be pretty much since you were out of |
| 13 | | school and you worked for them ever since? |
| 14 | A | Within a couple of months. |
| 15 | Ω | And you are in charge of the New Underwood division |
| 16 | | out there? |
| 17 | A | Right. |
| 18 | Q | Probably notice I'm reading off your questionnaire |
| 19 | | here and probably would have expected that I would |
| 20 | | have access to it. |
| 21 | A | Right. |
| 22 | Q | You belong to a Catholic church in New Underwood? |
| 23 | A | Yes. |
| 24 | Q | Would you say you go regularly? |
| 25 | A | No. |

| | li | |
|------------|----|---|
| 2 | A | No. |
| 3 y | Q | Some of the questions we may ask may seem to be a |
| 4 | | little prying and they're not meant to a embarrass |
| 5 | | you and understand the serious nature of this case, |
| 6 | | but would you describe yourself as a religious |
| 7 | | person? |
| 8 | A | Well, I don't know how I would put that. I believe |
| 9 | | in God, if that's what you mean. |
| 10 | Q | I know it's kind of a general question. Do you have |
| 11 | | any particular feelings we will talk about this in |
| 12 | | some more detail. As you sit here now, would you say |
| 13 | | you have any particular feelings one way or the other |
| 14 | | about the death penalty? |
| 15 | A | Well, I feel that if a person is guilty I'd say it's |
| 16 | | a just thing. |
| 17 | Q | Would you say again just generally that in your mind |
| 18 | | you have thought this out quite a bit; is it |
| 19 | | something you have discussed with other people? |
| 20 | A | Not necessarily. No, I wouldn't say I discussed it. |
| 21 | | Maybe with my wife, we talked about it. |
| 22 | Q | You would say that as a general proposition that you |
| 23 | | are basically in favor of it? |
| 24 | A | Yes. |
| | | Did you know what was involved in this case before |
| 25 | Q | DIG AOR KUOM AUSE ASS THACTAGE TH PHILE CORE DOLLAR |

this last week?

- 2 A I suspected that it would be, yes.
 - Q Why was that?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A well, just the charges that were brought against to me would seem...
 - Let me tell you, procedurally how a case like this . goes, and I'll try and explain it and see if you can understand my explanation. The first part of a trial, this is like the first part of any regular criminal trial where evidence is presented and arguments are made and a determination is made by the jury as to whether or not a person charged here, Mr. Rhines, has been proven guilty of what he's charged with, evidence beyond a reasonable doubt and that's, as I said, that's the normal part of any criminal trial. Of course, in a case like this if the jury were to find that he were not guilty of first degree murder, then there'd be no further discussion about penalty or anything like that and the case would just be over. If, however, he were found guilty of first degree murder, which is one of the things he's charged with, then there'd be a second part to the trial and that is one of the things that makes this type of proceeding different than any other criminal trial in this State, in that were he to be found

F

quilty of first degree murder, the jury would have the opportunity to hear new evidence and arguments as to what the sentence should be, because the jury would actually do the sentencing. You may be familiar with the way most or all other cases in this State are handled is that a person, if they're convicted of something, the Court does the sentencing, but in a capital case, in a first degree murder, death penalty case, the jury does the sentencing. And so you understand it, that is part of the reason why we need to talk about this. Right.

Now, the way that procedure would work, the way that second part of the trial, if we got to it, the way that would work would be that the State would allege that there were one or more what are called aggravating circumstances surrounding the case, one or more circumstances that happened which raises it into the class of cases where the death penalty can be considered and those one or more circumstances would have to be proved just like the elements of the crime have to be proved and proved beyond a reasonable doubt in order for the jury to consider the death penalty. Now, if the jury first of all found Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder, and

second of all found one or more of those circumstances to exist, then the jury would have to decide what the appropriate sentence was and there'd be two choices and only two and those would be death by lethal injection, the death penalty or a life sentence in the penitentiary without possibility of parole. Those would be the two possible sentences. Do you feel that you understand at least generally now the procedure?

Yes.

You indicated in your answer to the general question

A Yes

you indicated in your answer to the general question about the death penalty that you do feel that it's an appropriate penalty. Let me ask you this. If you were to -- I'm asking you to presume some things here, presume you were to sit on the jury and presume you were to find Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder, presume you were then going into the second part of the trial the sentencing part and if you were to have found him guilty of first degree murder, would you think the death penalty should automatically follow?

A It depends. Like you say the second part of that depending on the evidence that was shown I'd say...

Q Just as a general question, are you saying that in your mind the death penalty would not automatically

| 1. | | follow. |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | A | Right. |
| 3 | Q | You would want to consider other facts? |
| 4 | A | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | Do you have any kind of idea in your mind about what |
| 6 | | other types of things might be appropriate to |
| 7 | | consider? |
| 8 | A | No, I couldn't tell you right now. I can't think of |
| 9 | | any, but that's a pretty serious matter. |
| 10 | Q | Can you, in your mind, can you think of any set of |
| 11 | | circumstances, any type of case that you heard about |
| 12 | | in the news or anything that pops in your mind where |
| 13 | | you would think the death penalty would automatically |
| 14 | | be appropriate? |
| 1.5 | A | I could think you mean like a Ted Bundy type |
| 1.6 | | thing? I thought that was appropriate. |
| 17 | Q | A lot of people we've talked to mentioned things like |
| 18 | | that. In a situation like that you would think it |
| 19 | | would kind of be automatically appropriate for |
| 20 | | someone convicted of |
| 21 | A | I would say in his case I think it was the right |
| 22 | | thing to do. |
| 23 | Q | Were you to sit on this kind of a case, do you think |
| 24 | | you'd want to consider I'm just giving you an |
| | 1 | of the things that would be |

| 1 | • | important for you to consider, be the facts involving |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | the earlier life of Mr. Rhines, the person convicted? |
| 3 | A | I don't know if that would I hear so much of that |
| 4 | | about the parents and being an abused child and I |
| 5 | | think there is too many times that people fall behind |
| 6 | | that as an excuse. |
| 7 | Q | Are you saying that in your mind that that type of |
| 8 | j | evidence would not have any importance? |
| 9 | A | Right, it wouldn't have any importance. I think it's |
| 10 | | used too much as far as some of these cases go. |
| 11 | | People hide behind their past and use it as an |
| 12 | | excuse: |
| 13 | Q | You did say that you would want to probably consider |
| 14 | | other things. I have given you one example there. |
| 15 | | Can you think of any other particular things that |
| 16 | | might be that you might think to be important? |
| 17 | A | No, I really can't. |
| 18 | Q | I want to talk to you a little bit about the |
| 19 | | different alternatives of sentencing that we |
| 20 | | discussed. You understand when I explained that |
| 21 | | there were, if we were to reach the penalty part that |
| 22 | er! | there'd only be two possibly penalties? Are you |
| 23 | | willing to accept the fact that in this State that a |
| 24 | | sentence of death, if it was imposed by the jury, |
| 25 | | that that would be what would actually happen, that |
| | | |

25

Yes.

A

| 1 | Ω | You mentioned Ted Bundy and that's somebody you have |
|-----|----|---|
| 2 | | been familiar with in the media and you mentioned |
| 3 | | that as a possible type of sentence or a possible |
| 4 | | case where you thought that the death penalty was |
| 5 | | appropriate. Did you think of any other types of |
| 6 | | cases where you think it's appropriate? |
| 7 | A | Well, that's the one that comes to mind now, but I |
| 8 | | can't think of any I'm kind of nervous. |
| 9 | Q | I understand that. When you hear that kind of story |
| 10 | | on television or read about it in the paper, the Ted |
| 11 | | Bundy story, is that something that interests you? |
| 12 | | Do you have an interest in that at all? |
| 13 | A | Not necessarily an interest, no. |
| 14 | Q | Is it something that you'll sit and listen to if it |
| 15 | | comes on television or something like that? |
| 16 | A. | I watched the movie or whatever. |
| 17 | Q | Do you remember hearing about the case in Washington |
| L 8 | | State about a week or so ago where a man was |
| L 9 | | sentenced to death or was actually executed by |
| 20 | | hanging. |
| 21 | A | Right. |
| 22 | Q | And you heard or you had some general idea of what he |
| 2 3 | | was convicted of doing? |
| 24 | A | Really, no. I have been busy lately and I haven't |
| 25 | | had a chance to, but he requested that form of |
| | | |

25

MP_Box-2_008051

| 1 | | execution, right? |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | ō | From what knowledge you might have had from that |
| 3 | | case, did you feel that that was appropriate? |
| 4 | A | Well, I don't know. |
| 5 | Q | Would you say that you didn't have enough knowledge |
| 6 | | of it? |
| 7 | A | Right. |
| 8 | Q | You would characterize yourself as someone that would |
| 9 | | want to hear more information or as much information |
| 10 | | as you could before you'd want to make a decision on |
| 11 | | something like this? |
| 12 | A | Right, because it's pretty final. |
| 13 | Q | Do you have any general types of feelings, based on |
| 14 | | what we've talked about, how you would feel if you |
| 15 | | were called to sit on this type of case? |
| 16 | A | I wouldn't say I would be excited about it but I'd |
| 17 | , | sit on the jury. |
| 18 | Q | You'd be willing to take on that responsibility? |
| 19 | A | Yes. |
| 20 | Q | You live outside of town, 20 miles or so. Can you |
| 21 | | think of any reason why the distance that you'd have |
| 22 | | to travel if you had to be here every day for several |
| 23 | | weeks, why that would present any kind of a problem? |
| 24 | A | It would be snow. That wasn't a problem until a |

couple days ago.

| δ | It might become a problem again from the sounds of |
|-----|---|
| | it. |
| A | Other than that, I can't see any problem. |
| . Ω | No kind of work problems? |
| A | I can have people cover for me. I have people that |
| | can cover for me everywhere. |
| Ω | Do you know of anyone, Mr. Anderson, that works in |
| | law enforcement? |
| Α | Slim McNaughton, he works for the sheriff's |
| - | department in New Underwood. |
| Q | Would you say he's a friend of yours? |
| A | I know him to say hi. I don't go out to supper with |
| ŀ | him or anything like that. |
| Q | I'd ask you if you would right now take a look at |
| | this list of names and tell us if you recognize any |
| | of them? |
| A | I recognize Jerry Hammerquist, Don Bahr, I think he |
| | works for the sheriff's department. |
| Ō | Are you friends with Jerry Hammerquist? |
| A | Just an acquaintance. He's a rancher by Caputa. |
| Q | The list of names there, the reason we went over |
| | those, those are people that could possibly be |
| | witnesses in this case and if Mr. Hammerquist was |
| | called as a witness, your knowledge of him, do you |
| | think you might tend to favor his testimony or give |
| | A Q A Q A Q A |

| 1 | 1 | it more credibility than that of someone you didn't |
|------|---|--|
| 2 | | know? |
| 3 | A | No. |
| 4 | Q | Same question on Mr. Bahr? |
| 5 | A | I wouldn't even recognize him if he walked in the |
| 6 | | door. |
| 7 | Q | Just a name that you thought you knew? |
| 8 | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| 9 | δ | I take it from what we've talked about earlier you |
| 10 | | have never been in the military? |
| 11 | A | No. |
| 12 | Q | Not even the National Guard? |
| 13 | A | No. |
| 14 | Q | Would you describe yourself I'll ask you to maybe |
| 15 | | tell us an opinion about yourself. Would you |
| 16 | | describe yourself as someone that once you have come |
| 17 | | to a decision about something and once you are |
| 18 | | convinced that you are right, would you say that you |
| 19 | | are a person who has, as the saying goes, you would |
| 20 | | stick to your guns or would you say you are a person |
| 21 | | who, and again asking you to describe yourself, who |
| 22 | | maybe can be a little more easily swayed or |
| 23 . | | convinced? |
| 24 | | I could be convinced, yeah. I'm not bull-headed. |

something, would you...

A Sure.

2

3

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Knowing what this case is about and knowing a little bit more of what we've discussed here, can you think of any particular reason that we should know about why you would say that you couldn't be a fair or impartial juror on this case?
- A I think I could be.
 - Q Nothing else that you can think of that we should know about?
- A Nothing.
- MR. STONEFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. We will pass..
- 13 THE COURT: State may inquire.
- 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:
 - Q I am going to talk to you a little bit about the death penalty if is that's okay. Did you understand from Mr. Stonefield that if you get to that second stage, assuming Mr. Rhines is found guilty of first degree murder and then you are going to be basically either considering the evidence you have already heard or considering arguments from me about whether or not that evidence rises to the level of an aggravating circumstance and makes this murder special; do you understand?
 - A Yes.

Q The Judge is going to instruct you as to what these aggravating circumstances are and my question to you is this, very simply put, will you follow the Court's instructions as to what our aggravating circumstances are in South Dakota, even if you disagree with them...

A Yes, I'd follow the instructions.

Q Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about the questionnaire you got in the mail. When you filled that out and mailed it in, did you ever think you'd be here answering these kind of questions?

A Yes.

Q And, of course, we've got you here now and I want to talk to you about something else that could happen and see how you feel about it?

A Ókay.

There is a very real possibility that you'll be picked on this jury and if you are picked on this jury, of course, you may have to make one of the more important decisions in your life on this case. I need to have you work with me and dealing with an assumption here, but I just want to see how you'd feel in this situation. Let's assume you were picked for the jury and you went through that first stage and were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr.

18

19

20

21

24

25

1

Rhines was guilty of first degree murder and you brought back that verdict and you went back and considered the evidence that you heard and arguments, other evidence you heard in the second stage and went back and deliberated, and once again you found an aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt and decided the death penalty was appropriate. Not trying to get you to commit to that or anything, but let's assume you had done all that and the next thing that would happen to you is you'd come back in this courtroom and you'd be in one of those chairs and the unanimous verdict would be read of all 12 of you and assuming you thought that was the appropriate thing to do, can you visualize your being in Court and facing the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, and telling him that your verdict is to put him to death?

- A Yeah.
- Q Pretty deep breath. Tell me about it; how do you feel?
- A Like I said, it's a serious thing. You are going to have to have overwhelming evidence for me.
- 22 Q I'm not sure if the standard of proof is overwhelming.
 - A I know what you mean.
 - Q I understand how you feel. What I'm trying to figure

out is no matter your personal feelings, I want to 1 figure out whether or not first of all in that 2 initial stage whether you find him guilty or 3 innocent, you know, I have to present evidence to you that is going to convince you beyond a reasonable 5 doubt if he is guilty, and I think if you listened to Б Judge Konenkamp the other day he was very explicit 7 that that doesn't mean an absolute certainty. Same 8 thing when we get to that second phase. Before you can even consider the death penalty, you'll have to 10 be convinced that there is an aggravating 11 circumstance that one has been proven, not to an 12 absolute certainty, do you understand? 13 Right. 14 And I realize you want to be convinced in your mind, 15 but do you think you can fairly consider the Court's 16 instructions? 17 Oh, yes. 18 Is there anyone you know, who is so opposed to the 19 death penalty that if you actually rendered that 20 verdict in your case, if you left the building they'd 21 be on your case about, how could you do such a thing? 22

1346

She's opposed to the death penalty.

Well, my wife.

Tell me about that.

23

24

25

Q

| 1 | Q | You'll have some instruction to follow and evidence |
|------------|---|---|
| 2 | | to consider and you'll have your wife to consider, |
| 3 | | which would you consider first? |
| 4 | A | I'd consider the evidence. |
| 5 | ō | This wouldn't cause a divorce or anything? |
| 6 | A | No. |
| 7 | Q | If you thought it was the right thing to do and you |
| 8 | | did it, do you think you could carry on your life and |
| 9 | | not have that be a big issue with your wife? |
| 10 | A | Yes. |
| 11 | Q | Has your wife been telling you what to do if you are |
| 12 | | a juror? |
| 1.3 | A | She thinks it's great I'm here though. |
| L 4 | Q | I don't know if that says much for you, sir. |
| 15 | A | She's been a juror before and she says she thinks it |
| 16 | | would be a good learning process. |
| L 7 | Q | Now, you were talking with Mr. Stonefield about Ted |
| .8 | | Bundy and he's sometimes called a serial killer. Do |
| .9 | | you think you'd have any difficulty sitting on a case |
| 0 | | where you basically have one Defendant charged, and |
| 1 | | one alleged victim killed and we are not talking |
| 2 | | about serial killing here? |
| :3 | A | I don't think I have a problem with that. |
| 4 | 0 | Explain that to me if you can. |

25

The charge here is he's charged with murder, the same

| T | ii . | as Mr. Bundy it's just that he muitiplied it a new |
|-----|------|---|
| 2 | | times. |
| 3 | Ω | You'd consider the facts and circumstances of this |
| 4 | | case, the how and why, to determine whether or not it |
| 5 | | was appropriate, is that correct? |
| 6 | A | Yes. |
| 7 | Ω | Can you tell me, Mr. Anderson, one or two questions, |
| 8 | | can you tell me what are the more important decisions |
| 9 | | you have made in your life? |
| 10 | A | Well, getting married. That's about the most |
| 11 | | important decision that I have made. |
| 12 | Ω | And you have one child and your child is 20? |
| 13 | A | Yes. |
| 14 | Q | Boy or girl? |
| 1,5 | A | Girl. |
| 16 | Q | Was that a big decision, having a child? |
| 17 | A | I wouldn't say. It was an accident, but I'm glad it |
| 18 | | happened, yes. We actually had two children, the |
| 19 | | second one was a planned thing. |
| 20 | Q | Something happened? |
| 21 | A | Yes. |
| 22 | Q | We don't have to go into that. Once you make a |
| 23 | | decision, whether it's marriage or other important |
| 24 | | decisions in your life, do you tend to second-guess |
| | I | |

| ' | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT |
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | CIRCOIT |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, |
| 5 | Plaintiff, |
| 6 | V. JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81 |
| . 8 | Defendant. VOLUME II OF |
| 9 | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at |
| 11 | Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 5th day of January, 1993. |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. |
| 13 | State's Attorney's Office |
| 14 | Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 15 | FOR THE STATE |
| 16 - STA | SUPREME COURT E OF SOUTH DAKOTA F 1 E T) |
| 17 | WIN A C 1005 MR. JOSEPH BUTLER |
| 18 | PO Box 2670 |
| 19 😭 | Classif Sagel Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law |
| 21 | 3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD |
| 23 | Pennington County, supennington County The File D |
| 24 | MA THE CHROUNT COURT OFFI CITY, SOUTH DEROTE |
| 25 | MAR 8 1993 FOR THE DEFENDANT |
| | Pappling Fitzgenfild, Clerk |
| | ORIGINAL' ORIGINAL |
| J. | _ |

defense counsel and based upon the statutes, the State would challenge for cause the disqualification because of his current circumstance of being under the felony conviction and currently on probation.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. STONEFIELD: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Miessner, we will excuse you on this case.

You were previously sworn and you are still under oath. Defense may inquire.

(Prospective Juror HARRY KEENEY, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:)

EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERT:

- Q State your name please?
- A Harry Keeney.
 - Mr. Keeney, I'm Wayne Gilbert and along with me here is Joe Butler and Mike Stonefield. The three of us are the defense attorneys for Charles Rhines. As you look around the courtroom here, both in front of the bar and behind it, do you see anyone you recognize or know?
 - A No, sir.
 - Mr. Keeney, we have, both sides have had access to the questionnaire you filled out approximately a month ago and I notice in that questionnaire that you

25

14

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GROFF: Objection, because those are not facts.

MR. GILBERT: Facts -- I'll rephrase it.

MR. GROFF: I want to finish my objection.

THE COURT: He said he's going to rephrase rather than getting into that.

- Based upon what you have heard, have you at this point formed any opinion as to whether the death penalty would be appropriate in this case?
- A I guess not. I haven't heard enough of it to form an opinion one way or the other.
- Would you say that there are certain types of cases in which you favor the death penalty?

A Yes.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

, 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Have you had a chance to think about what types of cases those are?
 - A I would say anyone that premeditated a murder, planned it out, I would say definitely would say they should be put to death. As far as accidents or something like that I wouldn't say that, but really premeditated murder would be a cause for me to think of a person that would deserve that penalty.
 - Now, let me take a couple of minutes to tell you about the process that's involved in a case like this. Mr. Rhines has been charged with first degree murder and we are now selecting a jury that would sit and decide this case. Now, when a person is charged with first degree murder and when the prosecutor has decided to seek the death penalty, there is a trial at which the guilt or innocence of the Defendant is determined. In other words, if you were selected to sit on the jury you would hear evidence as to whether or not the crime of first degree murder was committed and as to whether or not Charles Rhines was the person who committed the crime. And if you were satisfied as a jury unanimously, beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines were guilty of first degree murder then there'd be a second trial. Now, if on

the other hand, the jury was not satisfied that the case had been proved beyond a reasonable doubt and returned a verdict of not guilty, then it would be over as far as the sentencing and the jury's . involvement and the case would be concerned. Going back to if there is a conviction, if there is a conviction then the same jury would reconvene and hear evidence on what they call aggravating circumstances. The State of South Dakota would be obligated to attempt to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there are one or more aggravating circumstances. And in this case the Court would instruct you in detail about those aggravating circumstances, and if you as a jury were to find beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of these aggravating circumstances exist, then you could impose the death penalty. You would not be obligated to, but you could, and that would be the jury's decision. And I should tell you, if the jury's decision is to impose the death penalty, the death penalty would be imposed and there is no chance that there'd be a commutation or somebody would step in at the last minute. You'd have to assume that it would be carried out if the death penalty were not imposed. In South Dakota life imprisonment does not have a

15

23

24

25

possibility of parole, did you know that?

2 A I guess I didn't know.

1.

Q That is in South Dakota, life imprisonment means just that. Knowing about this procedure and getting back to, you said that in a case of planned out premeditated murder, the death penalty would be appropriate or would be justified. If at the end of the first trial you were satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that there had been a premeditated murder, would you go into the second phase of the trial leaning toward the death penalty?

- I would say I'd have to weigh a lot of circumstances and see what the evidence really was, I mean, you know. It's hard for me to give you a correct answer on that, sir, because I would think there'd be a lot of variations on that and I want to give you an honest answer, so I at this time I'll be honest with you, I couldn't give you a good honest answer because I don't know. It would depend on the evidence and things that was, you know, presented to me at that time. Would I need to go in with an open mind, is that what you are saying?
- Yes, that's what I'm getting at.
- A Well, I guess I'd have to see what the evidence was.
- Q When you say that, do you have in mind the process

that I described, the two stages?

- A I think -- it's all new to me. Yeah. Like I say, the differential between the two things isn't real clear, to be honest with you.
- Q Well, let me put it this way. If at the end of the first trial, if you in your mind, and the jury was unanimous, that Mr. Rhines was guilty of premeditated murder, and if at that point, no further evidence was offered on aggravating circumstances, would you consider the death penalty at that point?
- A I would think so. I mean, you know, if everything pointed that way and -- I would say I would, yes.
- If you were instructed that you had to find beyond a reasonable doubt that there was an aggravating circumstance over and above any evidence that was presented at stage one of the trial, in other words, more evidence on an aggravating circumstance, if you were instructed that you had to find this aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt, and no additional evidence....
- MR. GROFF: Objection. May we approach the bench? (Side bar discussion was had.)
- THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection to the form of the question.
- Q If at the close of the first stage of the trial you

concluded beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines was guilty of premeditated murder, and you were instructed that there was an additional aggravating circumstance that had to be found beyond a reasonable doubt before you could consider the death penalty, and in that event would you consider the death penalty, based solely on the premeditated finding that you had made?

- A Well, if I was instructed I had to find, been presented with enough evidence to convince me that it was premediated, I would say that I would have to be convinced that there was, like you say...
- Q If you were convinced that it was premeditated, would that be alone enough in your mind to justify the death penalty?
- A Well, if I was instructed at this second trial I had to be convinced that it was premeditated, I guess I don't know how to answer you really.
- Q I'll try and simplify it a little. Do you think that the fact that you would find a murder was premeditated, that fact in and of itself alone would cause you to consider imposing the death penalty?
- A If it was well planned out and premeditated I would say, yes. If he said he planned it out and everything else and that was his desire and his aim

1

Do you know the aggravating circumstances that we have talked about, I haven't identified them for you as to specifically what they are, but would you be able to follow the Court's instructions in that regard as long as you understand them, in other words, more specifically, if the Court provided you with definitions of the aggravating circumstances and they did not include something like planned out as you have described it, would you still lean toward the death penalty, even if that was not included as an aggravating circumstance in the Court's instructions?

15 16 17

13

14

I guess I don't see where you are headed there. I guess, am I correct in saying that you are saying if the instructions were not towards the premeditated side and he hadn't planned it out, would I still aim towards the death penalty and I would say that it would depend on other circumstances and other evidence.

19 20

18

Q And the Court's instructions?

21

A Right.

23 24

25

Q Have you ever served as a juror before in any other type of case?

A No. sir.

- Q Had you ever heard the concept of presumption of innocence before yesterday?
- A Well, that was what I thought, everybody in the United States, that everybody is innocent until they're proven guilty.
- Q So you heard about it before?
 - A Sure.

· 9

- Q As we sit here today, since I have asked you a lot of questions about the death penalty and you know that the State has decided to seek the death penalty, does that make you think that maybe Mr. Rhines is guilty since we are so concerned about the death penalty in this case?
- Not necessarily, because I don't have any idea of the circumstances. I mean, I guess I'd have to hear all the evidence and all the circumstances and make up my own mind because I don't know anything about Mr.

 Rhines or anything involved in the case at all. I don't have any idea what's going on or what happened and I'd have to hear everything and weigh everything out in my own mind and go from there.
- O If you had to vote right now without hearing any evidence, if you had to vote right now as to whether Mr. Rhines was guilty or not guilty, how would you vote?

- A Right now I don't know anything about it. I mean I couldn't vote intelligently right now because I don't know. I want to know more about it.
- Q Would you expect -- do you understand that the defense does not have to offer any evidence of any kind or nature, that it has no burden of proof or persuasion, that it can rely on and argue that the state has not met its burden of proof, that the defense is not obligated at all to bring any evidence forward?
- A I didn't realize that, I guess, no.
- Q Would you expect the defense to bring some evidence forward in a criminal case?
- A I would expect they'd try to prove the gentleman was innocent and what he was charged with and everything wasn't true.
- Q If the defense didn't try to prove that, would you take that into account and hold that against the defense?
- A Well, I think it would be leaving -- I'd be honest with you, I think it would be failing.
- Q It would be what?
- A I would think that the lawyers that he had would be doing a poor job, to be real honest with you, you know.

Q And if you thought that, would you take that into consideration and in how you viewed the evidence at the close of the case?

- A That's a hard question. There's too many circumstances involved there to answer a question like that as far as I'm concerned. You know, there could be so many variances in there, I couldn't give you an honest answer on it, you know. I don't know.
- Q Would you expect Mr. Rhines himself to take the witness stand?
- A I would say that's up to him and the lawyer as far as -- you know -- I don't know that much about this system to make a decision on that.
- Q If Mr. Rhines didn't take the witness stand, would you think from that fact in and of itself that he must be trying to hide something important, must be guilty or he would have taken the stand?
- A I wouldn't say that would be necessary, you know. A person -- lot of people handle pressure in different ways. Some people can handle pressure and some people can't. There could be a lot of variance there too.
- There is going to be some evidence in this case that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual and one or two of the witnesses that may be called are also homosexuals.

1 Do you have any opinions about homosexuals as to 2 whether that's sinful or a wrong lifestyle or course 3 of conduct? 4 I guess a man or lady has to live their own lives the 5 way they see fit and the way they are directed and the way they live it is entirely up to them and so, б 7 you know, I don't see where that would have any variance on this case as far as I'm concerned. A 9 Were you ever in the military? 10 Yes. 11 What branch? 12 Air Force. 13 How long? 14 Four years. 15 Were you stationed overseas? 16 A No, sir. 17 So you didn't see any combat duty or anything like that? No, sir. How do you feel about president-elect Clinton's plan Q to allow homosexuals into the armed services? Well, he's the Commander In Chief, you know, and I guess to be real honest with you, I don't know that much about homosexuals one way or the other. I

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really don't.

| . 1 | Ω | So you don't have any strong feelings? |
|-----|-----|---|
| 2 | A | No. Like I say, I don't know what they believe or |
| 3 | | what they do or how they do it or whatever, I just |
| 4 | | don't know. |
| . 5 | Q | You have four children? |
| 6 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 7 | Ω | They live in the Rapid City area? |
| 8. | A | One daughter does. |
| 9 | Ω | The others have moved to other parts of the country? |
| 10 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 11 | Q | You keep in close contact with all four of them? |
| 12 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 13 | Q | You get together when you can on holidays and that |
| 14 | | sort of thing? |
| 15 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 16 | Ω | In front of you on the witness stand there is a paper |
| 17 | | that has a list of names of people who might be |
| 18 | | called as witnesses in this case. Could you take a |
| 19 | | minute and look that over and see if any of the names |
| 20 | | are familiar to you. Have you had a chance to look |
| 21 | | at that? |
| 22 | λ | Yes, sir. No names that I recognize. |
| 23 | MR. | GILBERT: Thank you. I appreciate your honesty in |
| 24 | | answering the questions. |
| 25 | EXA | MINATION BY MR. GROFF: |

A Yes, sir.

ĸ

1.2

- And really the burden is on us to produce all the evidence to convince you of that and the Defendant doesn't have to produce any evidence and he can rely on our inability to prove our case; it's his choice whether or not he wants to testify and if he doesn't testify that can't be used against him and that's his right?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Can you follow instructions on all those areas from the Court, the jury instructions?
- A Yeah, I can.
- In South Dakota here it is not enough to just have a first degree murder in terms of imposing the death penalty, not even enough to have a premeditated murder we have what are called aggravating circumstances that have to be proven in that second stage. Do you think you can wait and consider all the evidence in the second stage, should you decide Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder; can you

wait until the second stage and consider all the evidence then and determine whether or not an aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt and whether or not, secondly, whether the death penalty is appropriate? Do you think you can wait and make that decision then?

- A I would think so, you know.
- Q Once again, would you follow the Court's instructions and consider all that evidence?
- A Yes.
- MR. GROFF: That's all I have today. Thank you. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Keeney we will be in touch with you. If you don't hear from us by next Tuesday at noon, I would appreciate you calling the Clerk's Office to check on the status of the case and see if you are still on the final jury list. And it's very important now that you are still a prospective juror here that you not talk to anybody about this case or allow anyone to talk to you about it or not read or listen to any media accounts about it. Can you promise that you'll do that?

HARRY KEENEY: Yes, sir. I should call in to check if I need to check in on any other jury duty or does this take preference?

| 1 | TH | E COURT: This takes preference. Just check in next |
|------|-----|---|
| 2 | | Tuesday. Could I speak with counsel? |
| 3 | ļ | (Side bar discussion was had.) |
| 4 ' | THI | COURT: Mr. Meier, you were previously sworn and you |
| 5 | | are still under oath now. Defense may inquire. |
| 6 | (Pr | cospective Juror JACK MEIER, having previously been |
| 7 | | sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 8 | EXA | MINATION BY MR. GILBERT: |
| 9 | Ω | State your name so we have a record. |
| LO | A | Jack Meier. |
| .1 | Ω | Mr. Meier, you filled out a questionnaire a month ago |
| .2 | | and we have had a chance to look at it. You finished |
| .3 ∫ | | high school in Falkton? |
| .4 | A | Yes. |
| .5 | Q | When did you move to this area? |
| .6 | A | September, 1972. |
| .7 | Q | Just shortly after you finished high school? |
| .8 | A | Yeah, two years. |
| 9 | Q | You have lived here ever since? |
| 0 | A | I lived in Kearney, Nebraska for a while. |
| 1 | Ω | Between '72 and now? |
| 2 | A | Yeah, for a year. |
| 3 | Q | When was that? |
| 4 | A | '80, I think. |
| 5 | Q | Since you filled out the questionnaire, have you |

| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT |
|-----|---|
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, |
| 5 | Plaintiff, |
| 6 | v. JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81 |
| 8 | Defendant. VOLUME IV |
| 9 . | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the |
| 11 | HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 7th day of |
| 12 | January, 1993. APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. |
| 1.3 | MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney's Office |
| 14 | Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 15 | FOR THE STATE |
| 16 | |
| 17 | MR. JOSEPH BUTLER |
| 18 | Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 |
| 19 | Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law |
| 21 | 3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD |
| 23 | Public Defender Pennington County |
| 24 | ^ Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 25 | FOR THE DEFENDANT |

| 1 | A Yes, sir. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q As you sit here? |
| 3 | A That's the first thing when they arrest somebody for |
| 4 | it you know the first impression I get is that he did |
| 5 | it. |
| 6 | Q Do you still believe that as you sit here today? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q In other words, you think he's guilty, is that right? |
| 9 | A Yeah. I'm not very open-minded about it. |
| 10 | MR. BUTLER: Challenge for cause. |
| 11 | MR. GROFF: No objection. |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. We will excuse you on this case. |
| 13 | State will call another prospective juror. |
| 14 | Ma'am, you were previously sworn and you do remain |
| 15 | under oath now. |
| 16 | PRANCES CERSOSIMO: T understand |
| 17 | THE COURT: Defense may inquire. |
| 18 | MR. BUTLER: Thank you. |
| 19 | (Prospective Juror FRANCES CERSOSIMO, having previously |
| 20 | been sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 21 | EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: |
| 22 | Q Let's see if I can pronounce your name. |
| 23 | A Cersosimo. |
| 24 | Q Your name is Frances Cersosimo? |
| | |

A

Yes.

Miss Cersosimo, I have had the opportunity of 1 reviewing the questionnaire that you returned to the 2 Court and those have been made available to us so we 3 can hopefully maybe shorten these examinations up. 5 Right. I noticed in the last question with respect to б whether or not you thought you could serve as a 7 juror, you said that you would rather explain it in person than write it out? 9 10 Yes. A I am going to give you that opportunity, okay? 11 Q I felt at the time I needed to think about it. When 12 I was younger I was always fascinated by shows on TV 13 like Perry Mason and I thought the courtroom scenes 14 were very interesting and -- I can't believe how 15 nervous I am. 16 Just take your time. I know that these are strange 1.7 surroundings for you and I understand your 18 nervousness, believe me, there is no reason to be. 19 Anyway I was intrigued by the law but then I had an 20 A opportunity -- not an opportunity, but my 21 brother-in-law was charged with involuntary 22 manslaughter with my husband's death, so at that time 23

I was involved in a courtroom scene for real and it

was kind of a hard experience and yet interesting,

24

and at the time I felt sorry for some of the people on the jury because it seemed to be so hard on them and at that time I thought to myself, this is something I hope I never have to do. But I put that to the back of my mind all these years because it didn't seem like it was going to be a reality that I would be called and when I got the summons at first I was really surprised and nervous about it but I have had time to think about it and I think this is something that I can do.

- All right. I have read your entire questionnaire so I think I can the your explanation together. Let me visit with you about some of the questions I am going to have to ask. I hope you understand that I have got to ask you some questions and some of them might be a little bit probing, but I have got to do it. If you think I'm asking them just to be nosy, that isn't the case. Okay?
- A I understand.
- Q I noticed you are a painter?
- A Yes.

- Q A house painter?
 - A Yes, and I do wallpapering.
 - Q Have I met you before?
 - A I don't believe so.

Where were you born?

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

going to be hard for him.

- Q Do you consider that that lifestyle is a sinful or immoral lifestyle?A No.Q To each his own?
- A Well, I don't think that we understand completely. I have come to think that it's probably a genetic thing that they have no control over and they have a right to a life.
- Q I want to explain to you a little bit about the procedure here, and it is something different than the procedure experienced before. Mr. Rhines is charged with first degree murder in this case and the State has elected to request the consideration of the death penalty. Are you aware of that?
- A Yes.

- Q Before I go any further, what do you know about the case?
- I know that a young man that worked at Dig 'Em Donuts was stabbed and as a result of the stabbing he died and there was a lot of publicity as far as he was a very nice young man and loved by his family and a lot of things like that were in the paper and then I recall reading some months later that they had extradited someone and charged him.
- On the basis of the information that you have

acquired through the news media, have you formed any judgments?

A None whatsoever.

3 .

<u>4</u>

Ŕ

- Q Let me go back to where I was. He's been charged with first degree murder and the proceedings are this, if you are selected as a juror in this case you will, there could possibly be two phases involved. The first phase would be the determination of guilt and if you were to determine that Mr. Rhines was guilty of first degree murder, there would be a second phase at which the jury would determine the appropriate sentence; do you follow?
- A I follow.
- And at that second phase there are two options in South Dakota for first degree murder. One option is life imprisonment without parole, and unlike some other states, in South Dakota that means what it says, you serve the rest of your life in prison, or the second option is the imposition of the death penalty; do you follow?
- A I follow.
- Q I'd like to ask your views of the death penalty?
- A I have always believed in the death penalty.
- Q Can you elaborate on that for me? Is that in every case, every first degree murder?

No, I think it depends on the case, each individual A 1 case and the person being tried, if there is maybe a 2 chance for rehabilitation, but taking a life to me is 3 very serious as well. 4 I understand that. 5 Q If it's possibly premeditated, that would make it A 6 more wrong in my eyes. . **7** Let's assume he's convicted of premeditated murder, would that mean that you felt the death sentence 9 10 would be appropriate? I can't say at this time, because I don't know 11 anything yet, and I can't make a logical assumption. 12 Can I ask you this I know the questions I'm asking 13 Q you are rather open-ended and it's difficult to answer, but take your shot at it, will you? What 15 kind of circumstances would you feel may justify the 16 death penalty? 17 Well, if someone had plotted against someone and Α 18 thought it out and it was very cruel and the person 19 suffered a great deal and the person really had no 20 remorse. 21 Any other circumstances come to mind? 22 Q To me that would be the worst, I think. I would like 23

24

25

to say this is new for me and I haven't thought about

it, the death penalty seriously other than certain

circumstances came up, and I thought well, it's right or I trusted that the jury made the right decision. But I found in my lifetime and in my experiences what you think you are going to do in a given circumstance doesn't always happen. So until you get there, you think you are going to do it.

- I'm sure if you are selected as a juror that will be on your mind all the time. You seem to be a thoughtful person. Do you feel that you could be a fair and impartial juror?
- A Yes, I do.
- Let's assume that you were to, after hearing all the evidence, that you concluded after the guilt phase, assuming you found the Defendant guilty of premeditated murder but concluded that a life sentence would be appropriate, would you stick by your guns? By that I mean, would you keep your opinion and not give in just because you were in the minority?
- A Yes, I would. If I think I'm right about it, I'm very stubborn.
- Q But you'll listen to other persons?
- My husband, he's a black and white person, right or wrong. With me a lot of things are in the gray area and I try to hear both sides of every situation, but

if I think I'm right, I'll be stubborn.

2 MR. BUTLER: Pass for cause.

EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:

- Q Mr. Butler just asked you about the -- kind of the converse is what I am going to ask you about, ma'am, and that is, just the same as if you thought death was appropriate. After hearing all the evidence, do you think you'd just as stubbornly stick to your guns if you thought that was the right decision?
- A Oh, yes.

- I need to ask you a couple of questions about some of the matters addressed in your questionnaire. We did shuffling around because we got copies and we didn't have that back page of it so we got those and we have read that. The first thing I want to ask you about, every prosecutor is unique and I hope I'm unique in the way I put on a case, and you made comments a little bit about your views of the case and how Judge Konenkamp did that back in 1976. I'm not necessarily the same kind of prosecutor as Judge Konenkamp was and can you put that aside, who I am?
- A Yes.
- Q And I hope I'm not too theatrical to offend you, but even if I were, do you think you could decide that case?

- 1 A Yes. I understand this is a different case, too.
 - Q Judge Konenkamp read to you on Monday quite a long orientation and towards the end reaffirmed something he said before and that is something, you need to decide this case without mere bias or sympathy. Do you understand?
 - A Yes.

- Q Here's what I'm concerned about. Because of the thing you mentioned in 1976, that trial you sat through, I can imagine the kind of emotions that might have brought out in you, but you understand that's over now?
- A Yeah.
- Q Do you feel any sympathy today, based upon that experience, for this Defendant because he's on trial in a murder case?
- A No.
- When we talk about the death penalty we have already talked a little bit about your feelings and some of the circumstances you were thinking about that might justify the imposition. I want to talk to you about the reality of what is happening here. When you got the questionnaire a few weeks back, did you visualize in your mind that you actually might be here speaking to us about the questionnaire?

- 1 A I knew I would be.
 - Q You thought you might be coming in?
 - A Oh, yeah.

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, the same way today, as you think about it, there is a very real chance that you might be on that jury and you might be selected and what I want to talk to you about is you visualizing into the future like you visualized coming to Court. I'm not trying to get any sort of commitment or promise from you about what your verdict might be. I just want you to assume some things and see if you can visualize yourself doing them. Can you visualize yourself being part of a 12-person jury who after hearing the entire case and after really talking about it, decided that the verdict of death was appropriate and then coming into the courtroom as part of that group and facing the Defendant in this case, Mr. Rhines, and having that to be your verdict, that he's going to be put to death, can you visualize yourself doing that?

A Yes'.

Q I know it's difficult to kind of think about for most people. The second part of that is, I don't know if this happened in the case you saw before, but one of the things that can happen, because it has to be a

unanimous verdict is that every individual can be 1 polled to determine, is that your individual verdict, and that could happen as well. In other words, Judge 3 Konenkamp could ask you, ma'am, is this your verdict putting the Defendant to death, and you'd have to 5 respond in front of him, if it were your verdict, 6 yes, this is my verdict putting you to death Mr. 7 Rhines. Can you visualize yourself doing that? 8 Yes. 9 Once again, it would depend on the facts and 10 circumstances? 11 Yeah. 12 A You don't have any... 13

A I would have to be convinced.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 '

- Q I want to talk about convincing now for a minute.

 Before I get to that, are there any friends or relatives out there that you think might kind of get down on your case if you came back with a death verdict in a murder case; some people that are really opposed to the death penalty and would say, how could you ever do that?
- A I know one lady who says she's very much against the death penalty.
- Q Would that have any effect on that?
- A No, she has the right to her opinion.

Now, the other thing you learned from that other experience you went through and you heard again on Monday from Judge Konenkamp was proof in any case, whether a D.W.I. case or some sort of a theft case, the case you sat and listened to or a murder case, the proof has always got to be beyond a reasonable doubt? Yes. And as we get to this case, which probably is the most serious anyone could ever sit on, the standard of proof doesn't change, it's still proof beyond a reasonable doubt, and not proof to an absolute certainty or perfection. Do you understand? Yes. Just because it's a very serious case and I know you are taking it seriously, do you think you'd make the

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- are taking it seriously, do you think you'd make the State prove its case to a burden not required by law, which would be an absolute certainty or would you keep the burden where it's always been to proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

 A This is a very serious case and I would have to be
- A This is a very serious case and I would have to be very convinced that what this man is being charged with that he did do it, except I don't know how far you think I have to take it, in my mind to be convinced -- beyond a reasonable doubt or an

| Ϋ́ | 1 | absolute, 1 don't know. |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | Q | In layman's terms, do you expect a perfect case from |
| 3 | | me? |
| 4 | A | From you? |
| 5 | Ω | I'm going to present the case, do you expect a |
| 6 | | perfect case? |
| 7 | A | I don't know what a perfect case is. |
| 8 | Q | I don't know either. |
| 9 | A | No, I don't expect it. |
| 10 | Q | You need to be convinced though? |
| 11 | A | Yes. |
| 12 | Ω | Would you do your best to follow the Court's |
| 13 | | instructions? |
| 14 | A | Yes, I would. |
| 15 | MR. | GROFF: I'll pass for cause. Thank you. |
| 16 | THE | COURT: Let me remind you that you are now a |
| 17 | | prospective juror on the case and you remain such. |
| 18 | | We will notify you probably within a week or so if |
| 19 | | you made the final jury panel. It is very important |
| 30 | | in the meantime that you not discuss the case with |
| 21 | | anyone or let anyone discuss it with you and also |
| 22 | | that you not listen to or watch any media accounts |
| 23 | | concerning this case. Will you promise me you'll not |
| 24 | | do so? |

Yes.

25

FRANCES CERSOSIMO:

1 THE COURT: If we are unable to reach you for some 2 reason, if you haven't heard from us by next Tuesday 3 at noon, call the Clerk's Office to check in to make sure we are still staying in touch with youu. Thank 5 you, very much. 6 We will continue jury examination at 1:00 o'clock. 7 (A recess was had from 12:00 o'clock to 1:00 o'clock.) THE COURT: State may exercise. State has exercised its 8 9 seventh peremptory challenge. The Clerk may bring in another prospective juror. You were previously sworn 10 11 so you still remain under oath. (Prospective Juror DONITA HALEY, having previously been 12 13 sworn, testified as follows:) 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: 15 Good afternoon. Q Hi. 16 Α 17 Q Tell us your name. 18 A Donita Haley. 19 You and I are acquainted through your friendship with a couple of people who work in the same office that I 20 21 do? 22 Α Yes. This is Mr. Butler and this is Mr. Rhines and Mr. 23 Gilbert and you understand why you are up here today? 24

25

Yes.

A

| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT |
|------|---|
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, |
| 5 | Plaintiff, |
| 6 | v. JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81 |
| 8 | Defendant. VOLUME IV |
| 9 , | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the |
| 11 | HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 7th day of |
| 12 | January, 1993. APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. |
| 13 | MR. MAKK VARGO State's Attorney's Office |
| 14 | Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 15 | FOR THE STATE |
| 1.6 | |
| 17 | MR. JOSEPH BUTLER |
| • | Attorney at Law |
| 18 | PO Box 2670 Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 19 | |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law |
| | 3202 West Main Street |
| 21 | Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD |
| 23 | Public Defender Pennington County |
| | Rapid City, South Dakota |
| ,2 4 | FOR THE DEFENDANT |
| 25 | |

| 1 | Q You don't think you could presume the Defendant to be |
|----|--|
| 2 | innocent? |
| 3 | A No, I don't. |
| 4 | MR. GROFF: No objection. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. We will excuse you. Clerk |
| 6 | may call another prospective juror. Mr. Corrin, you |
| 7 | were previously sworn in, so you remain under oath at |
| 8 | this time? |
| 9 | A Okay. |
| 10 | Q Defense may inquire. |
| 11 | (Prospective Jurar ROBERT CORRIN) having been previously |
| 12 | eworn westified as follows:) |
| 13 | EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: |
| 14 | Q Your name is Robert Corrin? |
| 15 | A Correct. |
| 16 | Q Mr. Corrin, I'm Joe Butler and I'm one of the |
| 17 | attorneys representing Charles Rhines the Defendant, |
| 18 | and associated with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and Mr. |
| 19 | Mike Stonefield and that's Mr. Dennis Groff. Tell me |
| 20 | a little bit about yourself, where were you born? |
| 21 | A I was born in San Diego, California. My father was |
| 22 | in the Navy and I moved here when I was two and live |
| 23 | here ever since. I'm married and have two kids and |
| 24 | work at Chemlawn, lawn service, and I have been |
| 25 | working there for three years. |

| 1 | ll 8 | I noticed you went to the school of mines: |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | A | Yes, two years. |
| 3 | δ. | Were you majoring in any specific area? |
| 4 | A | Computer Science. |
| 5 | Ω | Are you applying what you learned now or is it a |
| 6 | | completely different field? |
| 7 | A. | We work on computers, but it's a different field. |
| 8 | Ω | Do you have any brothers or sisters? |
| 9 | A | One brother he lives here in town. |
| 10 | Q | Mr. Corrin, would you take a look at that list of |
| 11 | | names in front of you there and see if you recognize |
| 12 | i | any of those names? |
| 13 | A | No, I don't. |
| 14 | Q | Those people are potential witnesses. Have you ever |
| 15 | | served on a jury before? |
| 16 | . A | No, I haven't. |
| 17 | Ω | Have you ever been involved with law enforcement, I'm |
| 18 | | not talking about speeding tickets, but ever charged |
| 19 | | with a crime or you or any member of your family? |
| 20 | Α. | No. |
| 21 | Q | Mr. Corrin, you understand the nature of this case, |
| 22 | | don't you, that Mr. Rhines is on trial for first |
| 23 | | degree murder? |
| 24 | A | Right. |
| 25 | Q West | Mr. thines to was the evidence with show is so |

| 1 | l I | homosexual and there might be several witnesses who |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | , | * are also homosexuals. Also, you didn t know that |
| 3 | | before did you? |
| 4 | A | No. T didn't |
| 5 | Q | What impact does that have on you and your perception |
| 6 | | of Mr. Rhines? |
| 7 | A | Not really any. He has the same rights as everybody |
| 8 | | else does. |
| 9 | Ω | Even you recognize that it is a different lifestyle |
| 10 | | then yours, but nevertheless he has his right to live |
| 11 | | his own life? |
| 12 | A | Right, and that was his choice. |
| 13 | Ω. | Are you a member of any church? |
| 14 | ·A | Peace Lutheran Church. |
| 15 | Ω | Are you a regular churchgoer? |
| 16 | Α. | Yeah. |
| 17 | Ω | You and your family? |
| 18 | A | Right. |
| 19 | Q | Mr. Corrin, this case involves the death penalty or |
| 20 | | what sometimes is called capital punishment, do you |
| 21 | | understand that? |
| 22 | A. | Yes. |
| 23 | Q | When did you first find out that it involved capital |
| 24 | | punishment? |
| 25 | A | Not until I got the survey in the mail. |

That's when you figured that out? 1 Q Α Yes. How much have you heard about this case? I haven't really heard anything other than probably the most information I got from it was from the 5 survey and the information in the survey is about all 6 I know about the case. Do you remember reading about it in the paper? 8 If I did read anything in the paper about it, I don't 9 recall anything that I read. 10 Do you recall seeing anything or hearing anything on 11 Q the TV? 12 No. Since I received the survey I have avoided 13 : watching TV when that's on or reading anything in the 14 paper about that. 15 After you got the survey? 16 17 I did. A That indicates that you are conscientious. 18 Yeah. 19 Ά That's good. 20 Q That you deliberately avoided trying to find out 21 about anything? 22 Right. 23 A This type of proceeding is a little bit different 24

25

than the ordinary criminal proceeding, because of the

possibility of capital punishment. It's really going to involve two phases. The first phase is the determination of guilt, that is, whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder. Then the jury in the second phase, if it determines that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, sits in judgment as to the punishment, and at that point, the second point, there really are two alternatives that the jury has. One alternative is life imprisonment without parole and that means in South Dakota just what it sounds like, that is, he would have to spend the rest of his life in jail without the possibility of parole. And of course, the second alternative is the imposition of the death penalty; do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Now, from what I can understand about you, ever since you found that out, I bet you have been doing some thinking, haven't you?
- A Yeah.
- Q What are your views of the death penalty at this time?
- A If the evidence showed that he was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, I could enforce the death penalty, but the evidence would have to be clear.

| 1 | Q | Let's assume that the evidence proves premeditated |
|------|------------|---|
| 2 | | murder, let's just assume that, would you think then |
| 3 | | that the death sentence should automatically follow? |
| 4 | A | Not automatically. |
| 5 | Q | Can you explain that? I know I'm asking some hard |
| 6 | | questions, but I hope you understand that we have to |
| 7 | | ask them and I hope you'll be as candid and |
| 8 | | explanatory as you can? |
| 9 | A | Could you repeat the question? |
| 10 | Q | If you found, let's assume at the end of the first |
| 11 | | phase that the jury determined that the State had |
| 12 | | proved premeditated murder, beyond a reasonable |
| 13 . | | doubt, do you got that? |
| 14 | A . | Uhm-uhm. |
| 15 | Q | Would you feel that the death sentence would |
| 16 | | automatically come into play? |
| 17 | A | No, I think the way the murder was committed would |
| 18 | | have to come into play there, if it was unusual and |
| 19 | | real cruel in any way, that would have to be taken |
| 20 | | into consideration. |
| 21 | Q | Would that in and of itself dictate or mandate the |
| 22 | | death sentence, in your mind? |
| 23 | A | It's really hard to say. I'd have to hear all the |
| 24 | | evidence and really consider exactly what happened to |
| 25 | | come to a conclusion. |

| 1 | Q | Off the top of your head can you think of some cases |
|------------|------------|---|
| 2 | , | which, in your mind, would undoubtedly mandate the |
| 3 | | death penalty? |
| 4 | A | Well, the case here recently with the hanging, the |
| 5 | | little boy, I felt the death penalty was called for |
| 6 | | there. |
| 7 | Q | The one in Washington? |
| 8 . | A | Right. |
| 9 | ۵ | I believe there were three involved? |
| LO | A | Right. |
| L1 | Q | Sir, the Defendant stated that if you let me out I'll |
| L 2 | | do it again? |
| 13 | A | Right. |
| .4 · | Ŏ. | That's one illustration. Can you think of any |
| .5 | | others? |
| .6 | . A | I'm not sure of the case but where there was serial |
| .7 · | | killing where they have killed and killed again, in |
| 8 | | that case I feel the death penalty would be called |
| 9 | | for. |
| 10 | Ω | Do you think you'd want to hear about if it reached |
| 1 | 1 | that phase, here about the Defendant's background and |
| 12 | | his family and so forth and how he was raised; would |
| 23 | | you want to know about the Defendant as a person? |
| 24 | A | Yeah, I would. |

Is this a fair statement that you don't necessarily

A Yes.

.10

- Q Let's assume that you are selected as a juror and that you come to the first phase and you make the determination that the Defendant is guilty of murder and at the second phase, after hearing all the evidence in both phases, you come to the conclusion that the appropriate sentence is life imprisonment without parole. Let's assume that, and after all the discussion, you are the only one who believes that and the other 11 believe that it should be death, would you be willing to stick by your guns?
- Yes, if I came to that conclusion and that's the way
 I believed, I would stick to my guns and stay with
 that decision.
- Q I know that under the circumstances of this case and the system, is there anything that I haven't asked you about, Mr. Corrin, that you feel might render it difficult or impossible for you to sit as a fair and impartial juror?
- A No, I think I could do a good job.
- Let's assume, do you have any fear at the present

 time that whatever your decision might be, even

 though some of your friends or your wife or somebody

else might disagree with it, that you wouldn't be

| 1 | | more aggravating circumstances that make this case a |
|-------------|---|---|
| 2 | | special murder case; do you understand? |
| , 3 | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| 4 | Ω | Those are circumstances that are basically enacted by |
| 5 | | our legislature; do you understand? |
| 6 | A | Yes. |
| 7 | Q | Not all murder cases if there is a conviction, |
| 8 | | justify imposition of the death penalty; do you |
| 9 | | understand? |
| 10 | A | Yes |
| 11 | Q | I would be attempting to prove one or more |
| 12 | | aggravating circumstances that would permit you to |
| 13 . | | impose the death penalty. Do you see what I'm |
| 14 | | saying? |
| 15 | A | Right. |
| 16 | Q | Now, if you got to that point, do you think you could |
| 17 · | | follow the Court's instructions concerning what those |
| 18 | | other aggravating circumstances might be on |
| 19 | | imposition of the death penalty? |
| 20 . | A | Yeah. |
| 21 | Q | Do you think you could follow the Court's |
| 22 | ' | instructions even if you didn't necessarily agree |
| 23 | | those were aggravating circumstances? |
| 24 | A | I could follow the Court's instructions, yeah. |
| | | ana is a second of the second of |

1.3

aggravating circumstance or more, if you were still looking at all the evidence and deciding it was appropriate to give a life sentence, you wouldn't have to give the death sentence, do you understand?

- A Yeah.
- Q When you got the questionnaire, obviously you stopped listening to anything about the case. Did you have an idea that you might actually be called in?
- A Well, no, just to fill out the questionnaire and get called in for the case, other than that.
- Q But you did get called in?
- A Yeah.
 - You have been here for quite sometime, I know.

 I want to ask you the same sort of question. Now that you have been called in, there is a very real possibility that you might be on this jury, and I'm wondering now that you have thought a little bit about the death penalty, I want to have you see if you can visualize what that might be like. Assuming that you did find Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder and you went through the entire sentencing phase process and you were convinced that the death penalty was the appropriate sentence, at some point in time if you were on that jury you'd come back with the other 11 jurors and you'd face the Court and Mr.

*

· 7 ·

. .

13 .

Rhines and that verdict would be read, that verdict imposing death upon him. Can you visualize yourself being part of such a jury returning that type of verdict?

- A Yeah.
 - One other question along those lines and that is, that jury could be called upon individually, one by one, to announce whether or not they agree with that verdict, whether that is their unanimous verdict. If you were called upon, do you think you could visualize yourself facing the Judge or Mr. Rhines and saying, yes, I have considered all the evidence and that's my verdict, to put you to death? Do you think you could do that?
- A Yeah, after reviewing the evidence if that's the decision I come to I would stand behind it.
- And no matter what your decision was, would you consider the views of the other jurors as you tried to come to the right decision? I think the Court would instruct you that you are to make up your own individual decision as you vote, but in so doing you are to at least consider the views of the other jurors as you reach the decision, and would you try to do that?
- A I'd consider their opinions, but once I came to my

| . 1 | decision I'd stand behind it. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Q Pass for cause. |
| 3 | MR. BUTLER: I have one more question. |
| 4 | THE COURT: I'll permit you that. |
| 5 | Q (By Mr. Butler:) I want you to think about this. |
| 6 | Which type of sentence do you consider to be more |
| 7 | severe, life imprisonment without parole or the death |
| 8 | penalty? |
| 9 | A The death penalty. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Mr. Groff, any further questions in view of |
| 11 | that? |
| 12 | MR. GROFF: None. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Sir, you remain a prospective juror in this |
| 14 | case. If you have not heard from us by next Tuesday |
| 15 | at noon, call next Tuesday at noon to check in. You |
| 16 | are not to discuss this case with anyone nor allow |
| 17 | anyone to discuss it with you or let anyone discuss |
| 18 | it in your presence, and as always, you are not to |
| 19 | read or watch or listen to any media accounts |
| 20 . | concerning this case. Can you promise me you'll do |
| 21 | that? I know you have done it in the past? |
| 22 | ROBERT CORRIN: Yes, I will. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you. Defense may exercise. |
| 24 | The record will show that the defense has exercised |

its peremptory number eight and the Clerk will summon

in another prospective juror. Miss Tree Top, you have previously been sworn in and you remain under oath now. Defense may inquire.

(Prospective Juror VERA TREE TOP, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:)

EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD:

- Good afternoon, ma'am. Your name is Vera Tree Top?
- My name is Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys that's representing Charles Rhines who is the man seated in the middle of the table here and this is Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Butler, the other attorneys involved in this case. I assume that from the orientation the other day that you understand why you're here now?
- Yes, I do. Α
- You understand what the procedure is about and what the charge is in this case?
- Yes. A

9

10

11

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Let me ask you, first of all, do you have any knowledge of Mr. Rhines, other than what you might have read or heard in the media?
- No, I don't. A
- Ō. Did you know Donnivan Schaeffer or do you have any knowledge of his family?

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)

IN CIRCUIT COURT

COUNTY OF PENNINGTON)

SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL

CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES;

93-81

Defendant.

VOLUME III

PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 6th day of January, 1993.

APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney's Office Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota

FOR THE STATE

MR. JOSEPH BUTLER Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 Rapid City, South Daketa

and

MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law 3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota

and

MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD Public Defender Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota

FOR THE DEFENDANT

| 1 | objected to earlier, with respect to Karl Bachman. |
|------|---|
| 2 | This peremptory challenge just exercised is as to |
| 3 | Kelli Hagemann who was an individual that falls into |
| 4 | that same class of conscionable persons as Karl |
| 5 | Bachman, the persons that have personal or moral |
| 6 | reservations about the death penalty and also as well |
| 7 | as all the grounds urged at the time that the |
| 8 | objection was made with respect to Karl Bachman. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I will consider the arguments you made at |
| 10 | that time in connection with your objection at this |
| 11 | time and your objection is overruled, and the State's |
| 12 | exercise of Kelli Hagemann will stand. |
| 1.3 | The Clerk will call another prospective juror. Mr. |
| 14 | Walton, thank you for your patience. We previously |
| 15 | had you sworn in and you still remain under oath at |
| 1.6 | this time. |
| L7 . | BOBBY WALTON: Okay. |
| L 8 | THE COURT: Defense may inquire. |
| L9 . | (Prospective Juror BOBBY WALTON, having previously been |
| 20 | sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 1 | EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: |
| 2 | Q You are Bobby Charles Walton? |
| 3 | A Yes, sir. |
| 4 | Q I'm Joe Butler and I'm one of the attorneys for the |

Defendant, Mr Rhines and associated with me is Mr.

23

24

25

Wayne Gilbert, another attorney representing Mr. Rhines and Mike Stonefield, also an attorney associated with representing Mr. Rhines.

- Okay.
- Mr. Walton, I have had a chance to read your Q questionnaire that you returned to the Court; do you remember completing that?
- Yes.
- Q So there are some things I know about you from the questionnaire and it isn't because I had a private eye out checking on you. Now, let me find out a little about you. You live here in Rapid City and you have lived here for about seven years?
- Yes. I was stationed here in the Air Force and just A got out recently.
- Q Tell me where you were born and just generally what happened up to the present time?
- A I was born in Chicago, Illinois, and I'm 41 years old. I moved around a lot with my parents in my early years and so I went to various schools around the City of Chicago. I graduated in 1970 from Farigut High School and attended college for a year and a half in a small farming area 70 or 80 miles northwest of Chicago. After a year and a half attending school, funds -- I didn't have the money to

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue school, so my father talked me into joining the Air Force and I stayed in for a few years, basic, minimum of four years and got sent overseas and had a good time and came back to the States and put in a couple more years and it just hit me, well, it hasn't been too bad a tour and I stayed on for another tour and 20 years added up and I was stationed here in September of 1985, and at that time I was still married and just served my country here and did what I had to do and as I was winding down near 20 years I talked it over with the wife; where did she want to retire at; did she want to go back to a place where we had been and she liked the area here and we decided to stay here. So, I started looking at buying a home here and everything and once that got settled and everything she decided she wanted to leave, so I'm still here and she's gone.

- Q Did you buy the home?
- A Yeah, I was already in the process of getting it and everything when she decided to leave.
- Q Now you are going back to school?
- Yeah. I'm using my GI Bill right now and trying to get my degree in business. Throughout my career I have been taking college courses, but being in the military you have one focus to do a job there, so

it's hard to take a lot of classes at that time. So during the course of the 20 years I did accumulate a lot of hours and that one year and a half I did attend school in Illinois and that helped a lot too. So I'm down to needing 24 more semester hours to get my degree in business.

Q What did you do in the service, Mr. Walton?

A Did various jobs. Actually, my main job was munitions specialist. We took care of building up bombs and missles and so forth and I had a tour of duty as an instructor for the military as my career field teaching new recruits.

Q What does your dad do, any particular occupation?

A Well, when I think back 40 years what I did originally when he first moved to Chicago where he

- originally when he first moved to Chicago where he was born he worked as a cook and as a car wash guy and the biggest thing he did for most of my upbringing is he was a construction worker.
- Q Do you have brothers and sisters?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q You are the only child?
- 22 A Yes.

g

Q Mr. Walton, I want you to take a look at that list of names on the desk ahead of you. Those people may be witnesses in this trial. Would you read that and

| 1 | tell me if there are any on the list who you know? |
|-----|---|
| 2 | A There is nobody on this list that I recognize. |
| 3 | Q Let me ask you this general question. Is there I |
| 4 | don't want to go into any more specifics if your |
| 5 | answer is yes, but have you or any member of your |
| 6 | immediate family ever been involved with the law? |
| 7 | A No, sir. |
| 8 . | Q Mr. Walton, the evidence in this case is going to |
| 9 | show that the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, is a homosexual; |
| 10 | te a gay Would that fact in and of itself influence |
| 11 | Your perception of the case of of Mr. Rainesp |
| 12 | A No. sir ir wouldn't. |
| 13 | Q Again T ten't want to get any more specific than |
| 14 | just this general question. lo you have any |
| 15 | acquaintanceship with any people who ere homosexual? |
| 16 | A No. |
| 17 | Q To your knowledge do you know anybody? |
| 18 | A RO |
| 19 | Q Do you have any feelings towards someone with respect |
| 20 | to this right, has a right to pursue their own |
| 21 | lifestyle? |
| 22 | a serve of has their own thing and as long as they let |
| 23 | THE STORY ELLING I CONTENTS |
| 24 | Q You are not a member of any organized church? |
| 25 | A No, sir. |

- 1 Q Do you believe in God?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
 - Q But you don't belong to any organized religion as such?
 - A No. During my upbringing I went to church regularly as a kid.
 - Q Your mother insisted on that?
 - A My father insisted on it, because his father was actually a pastor, you know, but once I guess I joined the military, I got away from it a bit.
 - You are going to school and what are the courses or subjects that you are interested in?
 - A Well, I like science a lot, but because I'm pursuing a business degree, I have been taking like accounting classes and business management classes, so that's my focus right now.
 - Q Do you intend on going further on to school after you get your Bachelor's Degree?
 - A I haven't made that decision as off yet. People talk to me say because I have my GI Bill you might as well continue to use it because you are getting paid, however, you know, I'm not getting as much money as I used to when I was active duty. Once I get that degree I might as well get that job and press on with my life.

,5

- Q That's an alternative you haven't dealt with yet?
- A Exactly. One step at a time. Get that first degree and pursue maybe a Masters. I don't know yet.
- Now, Mr. Walton, this trial is a little bit different than most in that usually in most criminal proceedings the Judge fixes the sentence. The jury determines guilt or innocence and the Judge fixes the sentence. In this situation, because the State has elected to ask for the death penalty, we have a little different situation here. This trial will be in two phases. The first phase, called phase one will be the determination of whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of murder in the first degree; do you understand?
- A Yes.

1.4 1.5

- Then if the jury determines that he is guilty of murder in the first degree, then you get to the second phase and that is the determination of punishment. And with respect to murder in the first degree and in South Dakota there are only two alternatives for sentencing; one, life imprisonment without parole, and that means just what it says, life imprisonment for the rest of your life; can't get out; do you understand that?
- A I understand.

It's a little different in South Dakota than some other states. The second alternative is death and that means what that says, so if you are selected as a juror and you have to go. I want you to think about this in the aspect of having to go through both phases, at least at this point while I'm visiting with you. I'd like to ask you about your views on the death penalty?

1

3

б

. 8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, ever since the first day we were here, the Judge told us to think about that and I have been trying to give that some thought. I take a lot into consideration in how we will see other crimes throughout the nation dealing with the death penalty, you know. I think back to the Bundy trial and the one recently in Washington they just had, and I look at the nature of the crime that the person has committed, you know; was he insane at the time he committed the act; was he of sound mind; did he know what he was doing; what provoked him to take another person's life. I look at so many variables and like I say, I don't know this person, and I don't know what drove him to do that. If I was selected I'd have to hear everything involved. I look at it this way, if a person takes another person's life and he knew what he was doing, okay, then I would say, yes,

- Q Let me just pursue that a little bit. If you were to find that he was guilty of premeditated murder, would you think he should receive the death penalty?
- A Yeah, I would say so.
- Q That one would follow the other?
- A Yeah, if he was of sound mind and he knew what he was doing, I would say yeah, because again, there is a lot of variables and I can't judge the person right now.
- Q Let me explore this a little bit. I know we will be somewhat general here, but would you say that everyone who is guilty of premeditated murder should be sent to the electric chair or death?
- A No. We can take a lot into consideration again such as say there was a ten year old boy and he happened to stumble upon his father's gun and he may watch a lot of westerns and gangster movies and he goes out and plays with his friends and he's got this gun and it's loaded, and we will say he actually pulls a trigger and kills a kid, should we go ahead and kill him, put him to death. No. There are so many variables that we have to take into consideration. He did not know what he was doing or what the nature of a loaded gun is or to play with one.

1 The situation that you just illustrated there would not be premeditated murder. Right. I am going to deal with a situation where we accept 5 as a fact that the murder was premeditated, do you 6 think then that the death penalty should 7 automatically follow? 8 I don't know -- no -- yeah. Like I say, you take a 9 person like Dahl or Bundy that committed many 10 murders, they knew and it was senseless on what they 11 did to the number of victims, so if you do it once, I 12 don't know, it's hard to say if you just kill one 13 person, you don't deserve to be killed in return. 14 You don't believe an eye for an eye or a tooth for a Q 15 tooth? 16 No, I do not. Α 17 If the death sentence could bring back the person who 18 was killed, of course, we would all vote for the 19 death sentence? 20 Of course, but you know that's not going to happen. 21 I'm sure you have done a lot of thinking about it and if you are selected as a juror in this case you'll do 22 23 a lot more?

And you'll give it your best shot?

24

25

A

Oh sure.

1 A Definitely.

- Q Mr. Stonefield called my attention to one other thing. Do you have any knowledge about the case?
- A Very little. Just recently it's been on TV that they caught the person I believe in Seattle, and that's about it. At the time that it happened I was going through my trauma of divorce, so I wasn't reading the paper or watching TV, I wasn't keeping up with nothing. I don't know too much about this at all.
- Q Anything you heard you could certainly cast aside and rely solely on what you hear in this courtroom to make your decision?
- A Definitely. During the nature of my job in the military, we always have what we call two sides to a story and I have dealt with a lot of people during my 20 years and I don't always take that first opinion. I always get more input about a decision I would have to make in my job I had.
- Q Were you an athlete?
- A I played basketball; weight lifting. I love weight lifting. I just went there last night.
- MR. BUTLER: I could tell that.
- 23 THE COURT: State may inquire.
- 24 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:
 - Q Good afternoon, sir. I'm Dennis Groff and I'm the

State's Attorney in this case, sir and I just need to ask you a few questions about the death penalty issue if I may. And I want you to realize that if I am being redundant and repeat some things that Mr. Butler did, I'm sorry. This is the last and only chance I'll have a chance to talk to you about the case. In other words, once you are selected we won't have any time either to discuss these issues anymore. It is my understanding that from talking with Mr. Butler now that you are aware that this trial could have two stages?

- A Right.
- Q First stage, guilt or innocence, deciding whether or not he's guilty of first degree murder or not; do you understand that?
- A Yes.
- Assuming that you would do that, then you'd come back for a second stage of the proceedings where you might hear more evidence and more argument concerning what the penalties should be. Now, as State's Attorney what I would be attempting to prove to you in that second stage is an aggravating circumstance. In other words, under our law, not every first degree murder is one that justifies the death penalty; do you understand?

1 A Sure do.

- Q Our legislature has set forth approximately ten aggravating circumstances which, if you find at least one you could impose the death penalty, if after consideration of that aggravating circumstance you found, and all the other evidence was in in the case you thought it was appropriate. You wouldn't have to but you could; do you understand?
- A Right.
- Now, if you were to be on this jury before you could return any such verdict, you would have to be unanimous in your verdict, all 12 would have to agree; do you understand?
- A Yes.
- Q Since all 12 would have to agree, of course your individual vote would be very important; do you understand that?
- A Yes.
 - Q That's the reason I'm talking to you about this now.

 During the course of your life and work in the

 military, have you discussed the death penalty on

 very many occasions with people?
- A No.
 - Q Prior to Monday, when you said you gave it a lot of thought up to today, had you given it much thought at

ġ

- Yeah, I have. The reason why is because of the recent execution of Dahl or Dower in Washington. I thought about that and in a way it was to me it was sort of sickening to watch the demonstrations outside of the prison that night. Some people cheering for his execution, which is childish, in my opinion.
- Q And just so I'm clear on this, you would do your best if you got to that second stage of the trial to follow the Court's instructions on what the law is in deciding the case?
- A Right.
- Likewise, if the Court instructed you concerning these aggravating circumstances that you might consider the ones that would have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt before you could impose the death penalty, would you follow the law as to what they were, even if you disagreed with them?
- A Well, being military we had a group of checklists we had to follow to get to the next stage of any job and of course we are to uphold those standards and if that's the law of South Dakota to say, okay, this meets this and this meets that, then the next thing is whatever.
- Q And I am going to go into this a little more

24

25

Q

specifically. You were talking about the Bundy case in Florida and Dahlmer, and you know in this case the Defendant on trial is Mr. Rhines and I think you learned that Monday and I don't recall but, you have been informed that there was a person allegedly killed and his name was Donnivan Schaeffer?

- Right.
- And so we are dealing literally with one person and not a series of victims; do you understand?
- Sure do.
- Q And all I'm asking is, do you think you could consider imposing the death penalty where we are dealing with just a single victim, depending on the circumstances?
- Yeah, if the evidence all showed clearly that the guy Α was, you know...
 - I understand. Now, I want to talk to you a few minutes about the realty, the possibility of the situation. Specifically, when you got your questionnaire that you filled out for us that we have been working from, did you think in your mind, I'll bet I'll be going to Court and I possibly will be a juror on this case? Did you think about that? Yes, I thought about that, you know. I usually have

bad luck, you know, sometimes. I said, well, here's

- Q This might be bad luck?
 - A Yeah.

2.0

- Q Well, I'm not sure what you'd think about the luck as being picked as a juror, but that could happen in this case; do you understand that?
- A Yes.
- Q If you were picked as a juror, thinking about this courtroom and the Judge and the Defendant where he's seated right now and specifically those empty chairs right over there, do you see them?
- A Yes, I do.
- That's where the jury will probably sit if we try
 this case in this courtroom, and I just want you to
 assume for a minute that you have gone through the
 proceeding and you got through that first stage and
 you have gone through the second stage and now you
 are a member of that jury and you have decided as a
 group, as a jury, that the appropriate verdict in
 this case is to put Mr. Rhines to death. Are you
 with me so far?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q As you think about it today, can you visualize yourself coming back with such a jury and facing Mr.

 Rhines and presenting that verdict to the Judge, a

verdict of death; can you visualize yourself doing that, depending on the facts and the evidence?

- A Right; yeah.
- I have to carry it one step further, sir, because as I said, this will be in effect, even though it's a jury coming back, it will be your individual verdict; do you understand, your decision -- and you may be asked after that verdict is read, whether or not that is your individual decision it's what we call polling the jury. Can you visualize yourself, if you are polled and they call out your name, can you visualize yourself saying, yes, that's my verdict, putting this Defendant to death, depending on the facts and circumstances of the case; can you do that?
- A Sure can.
- Q Is there anyone, in terms of friends or family, acquaintances who might give you a hard time if you were to return a death verdict in a murder case?
- A No one.
- Q That's not something that would be on your mind?
- A No.
 - The last thing I want to ask you about, Judge
 Konenkamp in Court the other day was reading some
 orientation instructions to you, do you remember that
 took about 15 or 20 minutes?

1 A Yes.

- Q During that discussion he told you about the concept of reasonable doubt, do you remember that and you have heard of that concept, reasonable doubt?
- A Oh, yes.
 - Q And that is the standard for proof that the State has to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand?
- A Uhm-uhm. Sure do.
 - Last thing I want to go into with you is this, and that is, just because this is a serious case, do you think you'd make the State prove its case, not to what is required, which is proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but to a much higher standard, which is not required, which is an absolute certainty; would you force the State to do something the law didn't require?
 - A That the law required?
 - Q The law requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- A Right.
 - Q Which is not proof to an absolute certainty or perfection. If you heard Judge Konenkamp, that's what he told you the other day, which would require the case to be proven to a reasonable doubt or would you require a higher standard of proof a standard to

- A In other words, beyond a reasonable doubt, is what you are saying?
- Q Yeah.
- A Yeah, that would clear it up a hundred percent for me, yeah I could do that.
- Q You could follow the Court's instructions instead of trying to put a higher burden of proof because this is a serious case, is that what you are telling us?
- A Yeah.
- MR. GROFF: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Walton, you remain a prospective juror on this case and we will be in touch with you to let you know when it's time for you to come back. If you do not hear from us, for example, if we try to reach you and have been unsuccessful, we would ask that you call us, and if you haven't heard from us next Tuesday to check in with us. Also, it's extremely important that you not discuss this case with anyone or allow anyone else to discuss the case with you nor in your presence, and also it is very, very important that you not listen to or read or watch any media accounts about this case. Can you promise me that you'll not do these things?

| 1 | BOBBY WALTON: Yes, sir. Right now I'm a single person |
|----|--|
| 2 | and all I do right now is read for school, study and |
| 3 | stuff so I watch very little TV. Now or then I rent |
| 4 | a movie for my VCR. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Very good. We will be in touch with you. |
| 6 | Thank you. Let's take a ten minute recess. |
| 7 | (A recess was had from 2:55 to 3:15.) |
| 8 | THE COURT: Defense may exercise. Defense has exercised |
| 9 | its third peremptory and we will call another |
| 10 | prospective juror. Sir, thank you for your patience |
| 11 | You have previously been sworn and you remain under |
| 12 | oath right now. Defense may inquire. |
| 13 | (Prospective Juror DALE LANDIS, having previously been |
| 14 | sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 15 | EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: |
| 16 | Q Good afternoon, sir. Your name is Dale Landis? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q Mr. Landis, I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the |
| 19 | attorneys representing Mr. Rhines, the Defendant. |
| 20 | This is Mr. Butler and Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Rhines is |
| 21 | the gentleman seated here, and we are representing. |
| 22 | You were here a couple days ago during the |
| 23 | orientation process? |
| 24 | A Yes. |

You understand what we are doing here today then?

| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT |
|-----|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, |
| 5 | Plaintiff, |
| 6 | v. jury Trial |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81 |
| 8 | Defendant. VOLUME VI |
| 9 | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at |
| 10 | Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 8th and 11th days |
| 11 | of January, 1993. |
| 12 | |
| 1.3 | APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. MR. MARK VARGO |
| | State's Attorney's Office |
| 14 | Pennington County |
| 15 | Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 16. | FOR THE STATE |
| 17 | MR. JOSEPH BUTLER |
| 18 | Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 |
| 7.0 | Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 19 | MD 111 Traves of T. D. D. D. |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law |
| | 3202 West Main Street |
| 21 | Rapid City, South Dakota and |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD |
| 23 | Public Defender Pennington County |
| | Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 24 | EVE WAS PERSONAND |
| 25 | FOR THE DEFENDANT |

THE COURT: I think we better excuse you on this case, 2 Laura. Thank you, sir. Nice seeing you again. 3 LAURA LAUGHLIN: THE DEFENDANT: Could we have a short break? 5 THE COURT: Let's take a ten minute recess. 6 (At which time a recess was taken from 10:40 to 10:55.) 7 THE COURT: The Clerk will call in the next prospective 8 juror. The next one on your list is Mr. Dial and we 9 agreed to excuse him. He was the fellow that had the 10 job in Colorado and we agreed to excuse so we are 11 skipping over him and going to Miss Anderson. We previously swore you in last week, so you remain 12 13 under oath now for these questions. Defense may 14 inquire. 15 (Prospective Juror MARTHA ANDERSON, having previously 16 been sworn, testified as follows:) 17 EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: Q I'm Joe Bulter and I'm one of the attorneys 18 19 representing the Defendant Mr. Rhines who I'm 20 pointing to and with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and Mr. 21 Mike Stonefield and that's Mr. Dennis Groff, the 22 State's Attorney. Mrs. Anderson we've had the 23 opportuinty to review the questionnaire that you

1281

completed and sent back to the Court, so I know a

little bit about you, however, I would like for you

24

where you went to school up to the present time?

I was born in San Antonio, Texas and raised in Arlington, Texas, which is in southern Texas and spent my summers in northwest San Antonio, Texas and I met my husband in Arlington and we were married in East Bend and he has been 30 years in the service.

We were never stationed out of the United States and we came here for the first time in 1972, two months after the flood and were here seven years and went back to Fort Worth, Texas and then came back here to retire and he's been flying for B&L Aviation ever since. I have been enjoying life by having chickens and ducks and peacocks and enjoying the Hills.

- Q What did your husband do in the service? Was he a pilot?
- A No, he was a navigator. He flew KC-97's. He was in maintenance out here then he was an officer.
- Q I notice you have three children; boys or girls?
- A All boys. They all live in this area.
- 21 Q What do they do?
 - A Oldest is a body repairman and the middle boy works for a plumber here in town and the youngest boy works for a souvenir company and lives in Hill City and has a few head of cattle.

| Ŧ | S Aug And use Graudeutlotens |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | A Yes, three. |
| 3 | Q Now, Mrs. Anderson, there is a list of names in front |
| 4 | of you there. Would you take a look at that list and |
| 5 | see if there are any names you recognize? |
| ` 6 | A The only one I know slightly is Mr. Remboldt and I |
| 7 | haven't seen him in several years. We gave him a |
| 8 | cat, other than that I don't see anybody. |
| 9 | Q Is there anything about your acquaintanceship with |
| 10 | him that would tend, if he were called as a witness, |
| 11 . | for you to give more credence to his testimony than |
| 12 | somebody else? |
| 13 | A No, sir, I don't believe so. |
| 14 | Q You know why you are here, I'm sure. |
| 15 | A Yes, sir. I got a letter in the mail from the Judge. |
| 16 | Q You are aware that Mr. Rhines is charged here with |
| 17 | first degree murder? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q Mon the evidence is going to show Mrs. Anderson that |
| 20 | Mr. Rhines is a nomosexual. Is there anything about |
| 21 | ny telleng von that far that there on your |
| 22 | f perception of Mr Rhines either as to bis guilt of |
| 23 | TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT |
| 24 | A . No. sir This is his business not wine. |
| 25 | Q Bo Won feel that that Lifestyle, although it's |
| - - | [1] 美国的特殊数据的编制数据数据的第三中的特殊的 (1) "可以可以是一个多数数据在主义的特殊支持的特殊。" (1) "是一个人的,这个人的,是一个人的,是一个 |

25

that picks up on things like that. When my husband

went to Vietnam in '72 I more or less guit reading the paper per se, because all it was was bad things in there about that and the protests and I just decided it wasn't worth it. I got the letters from him and that's all that mattered. How long did your husband serve in Vietnam? He got his tour curtailed because of all his TDY time. He was there seven or eight months and he wasn't fighting per se, he was flying. He wasn't on the ground, let's put it that way. Not a lot of difference. Now, in this procedure I am Q going to just give you an overview of the procedure so I can ask you some more questions, okay? The first phase of this trial the jury will be asked to determine the Defendant's guilt or innocence of the first degree murder charge, do you understand?

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Right.

And if the jury determines that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second phase at which the jury fixes the punishment and that's what makes this case a little bit different than most. In most cases the Judge imposes the sentence, and here the jury in the second phase if the jury finds the Defendant guilty of first degree murder in the first phase, imposes the sentence and

A

Q

. .

12.

1.6

 at that stage the State will assert that there are certain circumstances which aggravate the first degree murder conviction which would justify the jury in considering the death penalty, do you understand?

Okay.

Now, let me say this, at the second phase where the jury has the two alternatives, one is life imprisonment without parole and in South Dakota that means just what it says, unlike in some other states, that if the jury returns a verdict of life imprisonment without parole that means the Defendant would spend the rest of his natural life in jail.

And, of course, the other option, death means again exactly what it says, that the death sentence would be imposed if that was the judgment of the jury; do you understand?

- A Yes, sir.
 - All right. First of all, let me ask you if you have any views, general views about the death penalty?

 Well, I really hadn't thought about it. I have never been called for jury duty and like I say, I'm not a person that can't wait to read the paper and see who is killed, or whatever. I'm just not up on all that. I will honestly say that some cases, some TV I watch and I'm sure there must be some people that

| 1 . | | deserve the death penalty. I'm not sure that that's |
|------------|----|---|
| 2 | | the right thing to do, but I don't know. |
| 3 , | Q | Is this kind of a fair statement, that you don't have |
| 4 . | | any really fixed views on it? I want you to think |
| 5 | | about it, if you would. |
| 6 | A | Yeah, I think there are certain cases that I feel |
| 7 | | that the death penalty would be appropriate. Do you |
| 8 | | want to state what? |
| 9 | Ω | Yes, if you would. |
| 1.0 | A | Raping a child for one thing, I think is a very |
| 11 | | severe crime, especially if it's an habitual criminal |
| 12 | | in that case. To me that would be cause for the |
| 13 | | death penalty. |
| 14 | Ω. | Anything else? |
| 15 | A | That's really the only thing I really have a view on. |
| 16 . | | You watch TV and there are cases I'm sure. |
| 17 | Q | Let's assume that the Defendant in this case is |
| 18 | | convicted of first degree murder, premeditated |
| 19 | | murder, would that in your judgment automatically |
| 20 | | tell you that the death penalty is in order? |
| 21 | А | There again, I hate to make a snap decision. I'm |
| 22 | | just not one to make a snap decision on that. |
| 23 | | Possibly, I don't know. I'd have to know all the |
| 24 | | evidence before I would say right off the top of my |

Sorry. That's my honest opinion. I cannot

| 1 | | say right off the top of my head that that would |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1 | require the death penalty. I don't know. |
| 3 | Q | It's a tough question. |
| 4 | A | Never being in this situation before it's hard for |
| 5 | | me I'm not a person to make a snap decision on |
| 6 | | meeting a person or anything else. I just don't do |
| 7 | | it. |
| 8 | Q | As I gather it, you have had no particular thoughts |
| 9 | | on the death penalty other than I suppose what I'm |
| 10 | | asking you now. Which do you consider to be more |
| 11 | | severe, the death penalty or life imprisonment |
| 12 | | without parole? |
| 13 | A | Ones almost as bad as the other. From what I |
| 14 | | understand prisons can be quite a bad place to be if |
| 15 | | you are there for life. I'm sure losing your life |
| 16 | | would be worse. I'm not an opinionated person. |
| 17 | | That's the only reason I can give you for not saying |
| 18 | | right off on the top of my head whether I can answer |
| 19 | | that question. |
| 20 | Õ | I understand. You are not the first one that hasn't |
| 21 | | been able to answer it. I notice that you are a |
| 22 | | member of the Community Lutheran Church in Hill City |
| 23 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 24 | õ | Are you a regular church goer? |
| 25 | A | No, just whenever I can. I support the church and |
| į. | 1 | |

1 I'm not an every Sunday type. There again we live 2 out and I have chores to do and the church is at 9:00 3 o'clock in the morning in the wintertime and it's hard. Summer is better for church going because when 5 you have ice to chop and cattle to feed, and I'm the б one that does it, I enjoy doing it... 7 Have you ever served on a jury before? Q 8 A No, I never have been called or served. 9 As you sit there today, do you have any opinion as to 10 the guilt or innocence of the Defendant? 11 No, sir, because I really haven't followed the 1.2 newspaper or whatever. When I got the letter from 13 the Judge I remember reading in the paper when this 14 first happened and other than that, like I say I 15 really didn't keep up with it. My husband or anyone 16 can tell you the only thing I read is the editorial 17 page and classified ads and see if there is anyone 18 there I know. 19 Let's see if this is a fair summary of how you feel. 20 As far as the death penalty or whatever the sentence that might be appropriate in this case, you have a 21 22 completely open mind? 23 Yes, sir. 24 And just because he might be convicted of first

1289

degree murder wouldn't mean to you that automatically

| - | ļļ | one doubt points, total be ampound. |
|-----|--------|---|
| 2 | A | No, sir, I don't believe so at this point I do not I |
| 3 | | don't think. Like I say, the circumstances are such |
| 4 | | that I have never been in this position, so it's hard |
| 5 | | for me to say. |
| 6 | Q | You understand that at the second phase the law is |
| 7 | | never going to tell you you have got to return the |
| 8 | | death penalty, that's going to be on your conscience. |
| 9 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 10 | Q | Let's assume this, that after this is after the |
| 11 | | death punishment phase, that you have heard all the |
| 12 | | evidence and you have listened to the views of the |
| 13 | i i | other members of the jury and you conclude that life |
| 14 | | imprisonment is the appropriate penalty. |
| 15 | A | Okay. This is assuming. |
| 16 | Q | I'm just assuming. Are you such a person that you |
| 17 | | would stick by your guns and not give in just to be |
| 18 | | in the majority? |
| 19 | A | No, sir, I don't do that. If I make up my mind and |
| 20 | | sometimes it takes awhile, I usually stick by it. |
| 21 | MR. | BUTLER: Pass for cause. |
| 22 | EXAI | MINATION BY MR. GROFF: |
| 23 | Q | Mrs. Anderson, as Mr. Bulter introduced me I'm Dennis |
| 24 | | Groff and I'll be putting on the case the next couple |
| 25 | | of weeks. The main thing I'd like to talk to you |
| ا ب | ı | AT MOORES THE WATH CHING T M TIME AS AMEN OF LOW |

| 1 | |
|-----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | - |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | • |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2 4 | 1 |
| | |

| about today is the death penalty. When you got your |
|---|
| questionnaire you filled it out and we have a copy of |
| it, did you visualize in your mind that you might be |
| here answering these kinds of questions? |
| Well, I didn't know. Like I say, I have never been |
| called and I had no idea what to expect and I felt |

Well, I didn't know. Like I say, I have never been called and I had no idea what to expect and I felt like the orientation we had was very informative and it did help kind of know what was going on.

I want to work with a couple of things now, because there is a very good chance you will be a juror on the case. It's a very real possibility. I need to work with some assumptions here and I don't want any commitments from you or anything like that, I just want you to visualize yourself being on the jury if that's possible. It's very possible the jury might be here and if we used this courtroom instead of the other courtroom, I want you to assume that you went through that first phase and you considered the evidence and passed on the evidence and you found the Defendant guilty of first degree murder and then you have gone to the second phase and at the second phase after once considering how you heard how the murder was committed and you determined beyond a reasonable doubt that one of the aggravating circumstances was there to make this a special murder and after

considering all of the evidence, just assuming now, you decided that the death penalty was appropriate.

- A That's just assuming that?
- Q Just assuming. Can you visualize yourself coming back with 11 other people that compiles of that jury, all unanimous in their verdict and having that verdict read and your jury's verdict is imposing the death sentence on the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, can you visualize yourself being part of that?
 - Yes, sir, I think so. Like I say, there again it's assuming and I think it's a hard decision to make, but if it came to that I don't see any reason why if everyone agrees, and like I say, I have no idea what happens when the jury doesn't all agree, I'm not up on all that.
 - The other thing I want to ask you is this, because you know, like any criminal verdict, we have to have unanimous decisions and it's not just enough to have ten to two or one to 11, and assuming you had that unanimous decision and the verdict was read, the next thing that could happen is that you could be asked whether that was your individual verdict. In other words, you could be polled and I really mean to strike some reality here. If that were to occur and that was your verdict and then you were polled one by

| 1 | | one and they came to you, Mrs. Anderson, and said, is |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | | this your verdict, could you visualize yourself |
| 3 | | facing the Defendant and the Court and saying, yes |
| 4 | 1 | that's my verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death? |
| 5 | A | Well, I wouldn't lie about it. |
| 6 | Q | I understand that and I'm not saying you would, but |
| 7 | | can you visualize yourself in that position sitting |
| 8 | | in judgment facing another person and saying, yes |
| 9 | | it's my vote that you be put to death? |
| 10 | A | I would say so. Once you have made your decision up, |
| 11 | | that's it. |
| 12 | Õ | Does that trouble you somehow as you think about it |
| 13 | | now? |
| 14 | A | Not really. Like I say, this is all assuming and all |
| 15 | | I can do is try to assume that that is the position |
| 16 | | I'm in. |
| 17 | Q | Would it be fair to say that that kind of important |
| 18 | | decision would depend on the facts of the case and if |
| 19 | | the facts justified it, could you come to that |
| 20 . | | decision? |
| 21 | A | Yes, sir. |
| 22 | Ω | Now, when we talk about these aggravating |
| 23 | | circumstances, those are actually circumstances we |
| 24 | | have set in our law and the way you'll find out is |
| 25 | | the Judge will give you instructions on those |

In other words, you gave us that example and do you

had done this many times.

24

25

ĮΩ

| 1 | | remember the one if someone who is an habitual |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | offender and |
| 3 | A | This person had molested children several times and |
| 4 | | maybe killed one or two, I think I wouldn't have a |
| 5 | | problem with that. |
| 6 | Q | And this is assuming that the evidence was there. |
| 7 | A | I think evidence is the key word there. |
| 8 | Ω | Are you trying to say you'd need, in that sort of |
| 9 | | case, some sort of a serial killer? |
| 10 | A | Well, I wouldn't have thought about it that way. |
| 11 | Q | That's kind of the way you are explaining it to me. |
| 12 | A | Yes, sir, I guess. Like I say, there again we are |
| 13 | | assuming a position that I'm not really in. |
| 14 | Ω | Ignoring serial killers for a minute, here we have |
| 15 | | one Defendant and one victim, a set of facts that you |
| 16 | | have to look at and determine whether or not, number |
| 17 | | one, did the person do it, was it first degree murder |
| 18 | | and secondly was it aggravating where you could come |
| 19 | | back and impose the death penalty. Can you think of |
| 20 | | any circumstances that would justify the death |
| 21 | | penalty. |
| 22 | A | I think the evidence there again in the case that |
| 23 | | if the evidence has proved that this person has done |
| 24 | ŀ | this crime, if your law says that you need to impose |
| 25 | | the death penalty or life imprisonment, it would |

depend, there again on the evidence and the circumstances.

What you are telling me is, if you found someone guilty of first degree murder when you got to the second stage at least your first analysis would

- what you are telling me is, if you found someone guilty of first degree murder when you got to that second stage at least, your first analysis would be to take a look at the case and see if it is justified, the death penalty, based on the law we had?
- A Yes, sir.

19.

- What I'm trying to get at here before I stop asking you questions is this, fairly and honestly can you tell me, do you think you have any personal reservations about sitting on a jury that's considering the penalty?
- A No, sir.
- Do you think you would be -- and I'm not being judgmental about this, but do you think you'd go into that second phase of a trial with your own personal beliefs and somehow have a preconceived notion that you'd have to come back with a life sentence?

 No, sir. I don't have any preconceived notion of it either way at this point. Like I say, I've never been in this situation before and I'm trying to be as honest as I can. It's hard for me to visualize what

you are saying, but I'm trying to answer it the most

honest way I can. I mean, it doesn't matter to me one way or the other whether I'm a juror. It's not -- I don't have any reason why I should not be, if I'm selected, because I have no ties to hold me back or keep me from coming.

- As you sit there now and you think of those two options in the second stage, do you kind of think about it in your mind and say, boy I could think about those two options, but I wouldn't be comfortable giving one of them?
- A No, sir. If you are selected for a jury, I think you just have to do the duty as a juror. Like I say, the orientation I felt was very informative.
- MR. GROFF: I'll pass for cause. Thank you, ma'am.
- THE COURT: All right, Mrs. Anderson, just a reminder, I know you don't read newspapers, but I am going to ask you to continue not to read any articles or listen to any news broadcasts concerning this case. Do not discuss this case with anyone one or allow anyone to discuss it with you. We will call you to let you know if you are on the final jury panel and if there is a possibility we are trying to reach you and we are unable to do so, if you would call the Clerk's Office on Wednesday morning and make sure we haven't been able to, haven't been trying to reach you.

| 1 | MARTHA ANDERSON: Thank you. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Thank you. All right, the State may |
| 3 | exercise. Record will show that the State has |
| 4 | exercised its 15th peremptory challenge and the Clerk |
| 5 | will summon in the next prospective juror. |
| 6 , | MR. GILBERT: I would just renew the motion we made as to |
| 7 | jurors Bachman, Hagemann, Chadwick, Haneon Stebold, |
| 8 | and Heidi Lam b-1349 |
| 9 | THE COURT: The number 15 strike was Agnes McDone L and |
| 10 | your objections are overruled for the same reasons as |
| 11 | stated previously. Last week we placed you under |
| 12 | oath and you remain under oath for questioning. |
| 13 | (Prospective Juror VIRGINIA ANDERSON, having previously |
| 14 | been sworn, testified as follows:) |
| 15 | EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: |
| 16 | Q Mrs. Anderson, I'm Joe Butler and I'm one of the |
| 17 | attorneys for Mr. Charles Rhines, the Defendant, and |
| 18 | I'm pointing at him now and with me is Mr. Wayne |
| 19 | Gilbert and Mr. Mike Stonefield. That is Dennis |
| 20 | Groff who is the State's Attorney. Mrs. Anderson, we |
| 21 | have had the benefit of your responses on your |
| 22 | questionnaire that was sent out, so we already know a |
| 23 | little bit about you, however, I would like to have |
| 24 | kind of a brief sketch of your personal background |
| 25 | and where you were born up to the present time. |
| | |

| | | Ħ | | • • | |
|----------------|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | | STATE OF SOUTH | I DAKOTA) | IN CIRC | UIT COURT |
| , ₂ | | COUNTY OF PENN | INGTON) | SEVENTH JUD | ICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | STATE OF SOUTH | . ከኔዊሰጥኔ | • | |
| • | | DIRIL OF BOOK | DAROIA, | | |
| 5 | | | Plaintiff, | | |
| 6 | | v. | • | JURY TI | RIAL |
| 7 | 1 | CHARLES RUSSEL | L RHINES, | 93-8 | 11 |
| 8 | | | Defendant. | VOLUME | VII |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | PROCEEDINGS: | The following ma | itters were had | l before the Judge at |
| | | Rapid C | ity, South Dakota | , on the 11th | and 12th |
| 11 | | days or | January, 1993. | | |
| 12 | | APPEARANCES: | MR. DENNIS GROFF | MP JAV MTI.I | .ER. and |
| 13 | İ | RI & BARAHOMO. | MR. MARK VARGO | • | initi dila. |
| 14 | ĺ | | State's Attorney Pennington Count | | |
| | ŀ | • | Rapid City, Sout | h Dakota | |
| 15 | ŀ | | | , | |
| 16 | | | FOR THE STATE | | |
| 17 | | | MR. JOSEPH BUTLE | R 7 | |
| 4.0 | ļ | • | Attorney at Law | | • |
| 18 | | UPREME COURT | PO Box 2670 Rapid City, Sout | h Dakota | and |
| 19 | STAT | OF SOUTH DAKOTA | | | |
| | | Flich | MR. WAYNE GILBER | T | |
| 20 | j | UN 0 8 1995 | Attorney at Law | * | |
| 21 | | | 3202 West Main S Rapid City, Sout | | and |
| ~ - | | loid Angel | Kupiu uzuj, buut | n bunded | ***** |
| 22 | Cle | k Conger | MR. MICHAEL STON | EFIELD | |
| | | | Public Defender | | |
| 23 | | | Pennington Count | | |
| 24 | | | Rapid City, Sout | | • |
| | | Penr | FOR THE DEFENDAN Lington County, S.D. | T | |
| 25 | | | FILED | · . | • |
| | | IN T | ie ciacuit court | | |
| | | 1 | MAY 05 1002 | ORIG | INAL |
| | | | MAY 05 1993 | | - |
| | | P | hie/Fit#dereld, Clerk414 | | |
| | | b. \ 100 | Deputy | 4 | · |
| | # | است | Fighwy. | | • |

2 under oath at this time. 3 (Prospective Juror WILMA WOODSON, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:) 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER: Your name is Wilma Woodson? Yes, it is. 8 My name is Joe Bulter and I'm one of the attorneys 9 representing the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, who I'm 1.0 pointing to now and with me is Mr. Wayne Gilbert and 11 Mr. Mike Stonefield also representing Mr. Rhines? 12 Okay. 13 Q And that's Mr. Dennis Groff, the State's Attorney. 14 Mrs. Woodson, we have had the chance of reading the 15 responses that you made on the questionnaire, so I know a little bit about you? 16 17 Okay. I wanted you to know that so you didn't think I had a 18 private eye out snooping. We have had the benefit of 19 this questionnaire, however, I would like to know a 20 little bit about your background, just kind of give 21 me a brief biography about where you were born? 22 23 I was born in Cleveland, Ohio and went to John Adams 24 High School and graduated from there. I have three 25 sisters and one brother. My husband is in the

THE COURT: We previously swore you in, so you remain

military so we've traveled a lot. From Cleveland to 1 Texas and Montana, Alaska, California and now we 3 reside in South Dakota. 4 You have two children? 5 A 6 They're both in school I take it? Q Not my daughter, she's five years old. A 8 Q You work at the hospital up here at Rapid City 8 9 Regional? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Were you stationed at Fairbanks, Alaska? 12 Yes. 13 How long were you there? 14 A Three years. 15 In the Air Force? 16 A Yes. 17 Q What is his position? 18 E-7 Master Sergeant. 19 He's been in... 20 20 years. 21 Q Planning on retiring? 22 A Not yet. 23 I'd like to have you take a look at that list of names that is before you and see if you recognize any 24 25 of those names?

| 1 | A | No, I don't. |
|-----------------|----|---|
| . 2 | Q | You are aware that Mr. Rhines is here charged with |
| 3 | | first degree murder? |
| 4 | A | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | The evidence is going to establish, Mrs. Woodson, |
| 6. | | that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual. Does that fact in |
| 7 | | and of itself impact upon how you look at Mr. Rhines? |
| 8 | A | No. |
| 9 | Ω | Over your life have you ever been acquainted with |
| 10 | | anyone who is a homosexual? |
| 11 | A | Not to my knowledge. |
| 12 | Q | Do you believe that the lifestyle of a homosexual is |
| 13 | | sinful or immoral? |
| 14 | A | It's sinful; my religious beliefs. |
| 15 | Q. | What are your religious beliefs? |
| 16 | A | I'm a Baptist. |
| 17 | Ω | Do you regularly go to church? |
| 18 | À | No. |
| 19 | Q | Would your view as to the sinful character to |
| 20 | | homosexuality impact on your decision, if you are |
| 21 | | selected as a juror either as in the guilt phase or |
| 22 | | the punishment phase? |
| 23 [.] | A | No. |
| 24 | Q | Let me tell you a little bit about the procedure in |

the case, because it's a little different than what

we go through normally. As I told you, this is a first degree murder case and the State, through the State's Attorney, has requested the death penalty and as a result of that, the trial is divided into two phases, the first phase is the determination of whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, and if the jury determines that the State has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, you go to stage two and at stage two the State would be claiming and proving, attempting to prove an aggravating circumstance which would make the first degree murder somewhat worse, at least in the eyes of the legislature, do you follow me?

A Yes.

Q

- And at that point the jury, if the State has proved that beyond a reasonable doubt in the second phase, the jury would have the sentencing obligation. It's unlike most criminal cases, because if the Defendant is found guilty, in most criminal cases the Court imposes the sentence. But here, because of the request for the death penalty it's the jury.
- A I understand.
- And I want to also mention this before I proceed with another question. If the jury determines death, that

1 is what happens. The Defendant is put to death. 2 the other hand, if the jury determines that its life 3 imprisonment, life without parole, that means just what it says, unlike in some other states where after 5 serving a period of time people are paroled, with a 6 life sentence that does not happen in South Dakota. 7 Life imprisonment without parole means what it says, 8 do you understand that? 9 Yes. 10 You are willing to accept that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q I'd like to start out, because of the nature of the 13 problem we are facing, to ask you about your general 14 views concerning the death penalty? It would depend on the facts and how I felt and the 15 16 circumstances of the crime. It would depend on that, 17 is that what you are asking? Q Yeah. What kind of circumstances -- first of all, 18 19 let me ask you, you are not opposed to the death penalty per se? 20 21 No. 22 Why do you believe in the death penalty? 23 In some cases I feel it's just. 24 Why would it be just? Q

Condition of the crimes, if the Defendant had no

remorse or, why did he or she do this, you know. 1 2 It's hard for me to give you a yes or a no, if that's 3 what you are asking me. I don't mean -- I understand that when you get to discussing the death penalty there is no black and white, at least for many of us, but I guess I'm kind 6 7 of asking you, would you, under certain 8 circumstances, view the death penalty as being 9 punishment? Yes. 10 11 Would you look at it in the form of society having 12 its revenge? 13 Α No. 14 You said you are a churchgoer from time to time? Q 15 A Yes. Have you studied the Bible? 16 17 A Yes, some. Is your view about the appropriateness of the death 18 Q 19 penalty related to the eye for an eye and tooth for a tooth? 20 21 No, I'd say not. 22 Basically, as I understand it and in what you have told me, your view of the death penalty is that in 23 certain circumstances you would view it as 24 appropriate punishment? 25

| | 1 | A | Yes. |
|------------|-----|---|---|
| | 2 | Q | Which would you consider the more severe sentence, |
| | 3 | | life imprisonment without parole or the death |
| | 4 | | sentence? |
| | 5 | A | I would say life imprisonment without parole. |
| | 6 | Q | That's from your perception, right? |
| | 7 | A | Yes. I have to think about these questions. |
| | 8 · | Q | If you had your choice, do you think the life. |
| | 9 | | imprisonment without parole is more severe? |
| | 10 | | Believe me, you can change your mind. |
| * | 11 | A | Yes. I don't know. |
| . . | 12 | Q | This is just an example of the difficulty of the |
| <u> </u> | 13 | | question. Let me ask you this, do you think that it |
| | 14 | | is a serious matter to think about imposing the death |
| | 15 | | sentence on another human being? |
| | 16 | A | Yes. |
| | 17 | Q | Certainly nothing that should be taken lightly? |
| | 18 | A | No. |
| • | 19 | Q | In your life, if you are selected as a juror, can you |
| • | 20 | | think of a more difficult decision that you've ever |
| | 21 | | had? |
| | 22 | A | No. |
| | 23 | Q | Do you know of any reason, Mrs. Woodson, why you |
| | 24 | | wouldn't try to be fair and impartial? |
| | 25 | A | No. |
| | | | |

| 1 | Q | Follow the Court's instructions? |
|-----|------------|---|
| 2 | A | Yes. |
| 3 | Õ | And do what you felt in your mind was right? |
| 4 | A | Yes. |
| 5 | Ω | Now, you understand that if you are selected as a |
| 6 | | juror in this case you are one of 12? |
| 7 | . A | Yes. |
| 8 | õ | After you are deliberating there will be only 12 |
| 9 . | | deliberating, and with respect to the imposition of |
| 10 | | the death penalty. It will take a unanimous verdict. |
| 11 | A | Yes. |
| 12 | Q | Now, you will, in your deliberations, consider the |
| 13 | | views and arguments of your fellow jurors, I'm sure? |
| 14 | A | Yes. |
| 15 | Ω : | But after you have done all that and you arrive at |
| 16 | : | your opinion as to what you think the appropriate |
| 17 | | sentence is, will you stick by that, even though you |
| 18 | ; | are not in the majority? |
| 19 | A | Yes, I have to go with the way I feel and it's |
| 20 | , | something I have to live with. |
| 21 | Q F | Because you understand that it takes a unanimous vote |
| 22 | ٥ | of every juror for that death penalty? |
| 23 | A 3 | Yes. |
| 24 | Q s | so, in effect, each vote is one vote? |
| 25 | A Y | es. |

1 And you'd give it your best shot, wouldn't you? 2 Yes. 3 MR. BUTLER: Pass for cause. 4 THE COURT: State may inquire. . 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF: 6 Mrs. Woodson, I'm Dennis Groff and I will be presenting the State's case to you. I'm your State's 8 Attorney. What I need to talk to you about briefly 9 today are some of these same death penalty questions, 10 would that be okay? 11 Yes. 12 And we want to just make sure where you are at on 13 this. Can you tell me, you were telling Mr. Bulter 14 that it would depend on the facts and circumstances 15 of the case and how you were saying, when the death 16 penalty might be appropriate, is that what you were telling us? 17 18 A Yes. 19 I'm a little curious and you are not bound by any of 20 this by any means, but can you think of any facts or 21 circumstances from your life as you have gone through 22 it and read the paper, any facts or circumstances 23 where you thought the death penalty might be 24 appropriate?

25

A

Yes.

- What kind of cases come to mind as you think about that?
- A I would say child killings.
- Q Why do child killings come to mind?
- A Defenseless, innocent persons.
- Q I won't pry anymore, because I want to ask you a little bit about the instructions. You served on a jury before so you know you don't make up your mind until you have heard the Court's instructions?
- A True.

Q

- In the instructions that you are going to get, if you get to that second phase, the Judge will instruct you about some of the aggravating circumstances that might be applicable to this case, and my job in the second phase is to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that at least one of those aggravating circumstances exists. If I can't do that, then you don't have to consider the death penalty. If I can do that and you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, then you can consider the death penalty and you don't have to impose it, but you can consider it, do you understand?
- A Yes.
- Q What I am trying to get to briefly is when you look at those aggravating circumstances that the Court

1 instructs you about, do you think you can follow that 2 law, even if you don't agree with it; do you think · 3 you can do that? 4 Yes. 5 Because you know you are bound to follow the б instructions? 7 Yes. 8 If I could just take a moment with you and the first 9 thing I need to go into, your recollections of when 10 you got the questionnaire that you filled out. 11 Yes. 12 When you got that and sent it back within the time Q 13 frame you had to send it back, did you visualize that 14 you might actually be here in the courtroom answering 15 these kind of questions? .0 16 No. 17 And you are here now. 1.8 Yes. Α 19 And we've gotten through part of it and what I want 20 you to do, without holding anything against me, I want you to, through a visualization process and this 21 22 is the last time either side will have a chance to look at you and decide from your responses whether or 23 24 not you can be on the jury? 25 Okay.

. 19

20

21

22

24

25

I think this may help. I wanted you to assume for a moment, because there is a real possibility you'll be chosen. Assume for a moment that you are actually on this jury and I want you to think about this courtroom and that jury box and I want you to assume now, and I'm not asking for any guarantees or promises, I just want you to assume for our purposes that you have gone through that first phase and I know you haven't heard any evidence, and assume you went through that first phase and you have decided the guilty verdict was appropriate on first degree murder, just an assumption, and assume you got to the second phase and assume you have heard the evidence and the arguments in the second phase and you have gone back and decided that there is an aggravating circumstance.

A Okay.

Q

And let's assume that you, along with your other jurors decided, just decided the death penalty was appropriate. All I'm trying to get to now is, can you visualize yourself coming back in here with those other 11 people and facing the Judge and the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, and actually being part of that jury who would have their verdict read imposing death on this Defendant? Can you visualize yourself

doing that if the facts and circumstances indicated that that was the appropriate thing to do?

A Yes.

- Secondly, I don't know if this happened up in Alabama or not, but what happens here in South Dakota is the jury is polled because it has to be their individual verdict and they had to vote for it individually, unanimously, plus they are asked one by one, is this your verdict in this case, putting someone to death. Can you actually visualize yourself, if that were your verdict, saying, yes, that is my verdict putting you to death? Can you visualize yourself going through that, if you were convinced that was the right thing to do?
- A Yes, if I felt that way, yeah.
- Q Let's back out of that and none of that has happened, but I want to talk to you a little bit about your friends, do you have any friends or relatives who are adamantly opposed to the death penalty?
- A Not that I know of.

- Q Can you think of anyone, if you were to render that type of verdict, who, when you left the courthouse and went home would give you a hard time because you did something like that?
- No, I can't think of one, no.

1 The last thing I want to ask you about is reasonable Q 2 doubt. In our law, whether it's a D.W.I. case or a 3 speeding case, right down the line, all the way to a murder case, our standard of proof that we have to 5 prove the case by is always proof by beyond a 6 reasonable doubt. It's always the same standard no 7 matter what it doesn't go up like this. Just because 8 this is a murder case and it might involve the 9 consideration of the death penalty, do you think you would make us prove our case, not to a standard of 10 11 proof beyond a reasonable doubt, but to an absolute certainty? 12 13 Yes.

- Q You'd want to be pretty certain?
- A Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q But if the Judge told you that absolute certainty
 wasn't required of the State, that the State had to
 prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, could you
 follow the Court's instructions?
- A Yes.
- Q Can you think of of any reason at all now that you have been here about 25 minutes, why you couldn't be on this jury?
 - A To be honest with you, no.
- MR. GROFF: That's what I was thinking you'd tell me.

1 Pass for cause. 2 THE COURT: Miss Woodson, you remain a prospective juror з. on this case and we will let you know this week whether you have made it onto the final jury panel. 4 5 It is very important now that you not discuss this 6 case with anyone or let anyone discuss it with you 7 and also that you not listen to or watch or read any news media accounts of this case. Can you promise me 9 you'll not do these things? 10 WILMA WOODSON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: If you haven't heard from us by tomorrow 11 12 afternoon, I'd ask that you call the Clerk's Office 13 to check in and make sure that we haven't been trying 14 to reach you. Thank you, very much. State may 15 exercise. 16 MR. GROFF: State has exercised, your Honor. 1.1 17 THE COURT: State has exercised its 18th peremptory. 18 Clerk will summon in another prospective juror. Good 19 morining, sir. We previously swore you in last week 20 so you remain under oath for questioning today. GARY CHASTAIN: All right. 21 22 THE COURT: Defense may inquire. 23 (Prospective Juror GARY CHASTAIN, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:) 24

EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD:

| | | II. | | • | |
|----|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 1 | | STATE OF SOUTH DA | AKOTA) | IN CIRCU | UIT COURT |
| 2 | | COUNTY OF PENNING | TON) | SEVENTH JUDI | CIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | STATE OF SOUTH DA | KOTA, | | • |
| 5 | | | Plaintiff, | en de la companya de La companya de la co | |
| 6 | | ٧. | · · · | JURY TR | TAT |
| 7 | , | CHARLES RUSSELL R | UTNES | • | |
| | | CHARLES RUSSEUL R | · | 93-8 | |
| 8 | | | Defendant. | AOLUME | VII |
| 9 | j | PROCEEDINGS: Th | e following matt | are ware had | hofore the |
| 10 | | HONORABLE | JOHN K. KONENKAM | P, Circuit J | udge at |
| 11 | | kapid City days of Ja | , South Dakota, nuary, 1993. | on the 11th | and 12th |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | . DENNIS GROFF, : | MR. JAY MILL | ER, and. |
| 14 | ļ | | ate's Attorney's nnington County | | |
| | ı | | pid City, South | | |
| 15 | | | • | | |
| 16 | | FO | R THE STATE | A T | - |
| 17 | l | | . JOSEPH BUTLER | | |
| 18 | - | Ati | torney at Law Box 2670 | • | |
| 19 | STAT | | pid City, South | Dakota | and |
| | . | CLICA | . WAYNE GILBERT | | |
| 20 | J | | torney at Law D2 West Main Stre | | • |
| 21 | | Rat | pid City, South | | and |
| 22 | | load longel MR. | . MICHAEL STONEF; | TELD | |
| 23 | | | olic Defender | | |
| | | | nnington County pid City, South I | Dakota | • |
| 24 | . | FOI | PHE DEFENDANT | 1.0 | |
| 25 | | Penningto | THE DEFENDANT COUNTY, SD. | | |
| | | IN THE C | LED RCUIT COURT | erita. Pita | • |
| | | MAY | 05 1993 | ORIG | INAL |
| | | N. A. | nal Ateletic | | • |
| | | Bonfrile | rageraid, Cleak414 | | , |

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

3 I'm Joe Butler. I'm pointing at Mr. Rhines and next to him is Mr. Wayne Gilbert who is associated with me 5 as well as Mr. Mike Stonefield and Mr. Rhines is 6 right there and that is Dennis Groff, the State's 7 Attorney. Mr. Dean we had a chance to look at the 8 questionnaire you returned to the Court and you were 9 asked to list any other known reason why you do not 10 feel you could serve as a juror in this case; do you 11 remember that?

I'm one of the attorneys representing Mr. Rhines and

A Uhm-uhm.

Q And you stated I have been going through a lot of stress because of my divorce and money problems?

A Uhm-uhm.

Q Do you feel that that would serve as a reason why you couldn't sit on this case?

A I guess not actually.

Q I don't like to pry into your personal life, but at least you indicated there might be some impact and I would like to visit with you a little bit about it.

Apparently you are in the process of a divorce or has it been completed?

A No, it's completed.

Q And when was it completed?

What kind of work do you do?

1 A I work up on Crazy Horse Monument. Q Before that? 3 I was in mining most of the time and I had a business for six years and I did taxidermy for six years at 5 one point. 6 In Hill City? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Your parents still live around here? 9 No, my mother lives in Rapid and my father is dead. 10 Do you have any brothers? 11 A Yes, one brother and two sisters. 12 Q Do they live around here? 13 My brother lives in Hill City and both my sisters 14 live here in Rapid City. 15 Q What does your brother do in Hill City? 16 He runs Dakota Stone Company, it's a company my 17 father started quite a few years ago. 18 Q His name is Jim Dean, right? Yes. 19 20 He's your older brother? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Have you worked at Dakota Stone at all? 23 A I did up until six years ago.

Worked with your brother?

24

25

Q

Α

Yeah.

| 1 | Ω | Still get along with your brother? |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | A | Yeah. |
| 3 | Q | Mr. Dean, would you take a look at the list of names |
| 4 | | in front of you and see if you recognize any of those |
| 5 | | names? |
| 6 | A | No. |
| 7 | Q · | Do you know recognize any? |
| .8 | A | No, I don't. |
| 9 | Q | You indicated in your questionnaire in answer to a |
| 10 | | question that you heard about the case on the news or |
| 11 | | read about it and saw it on TV? |
| 12 | A | Yeah. |
| 13 | Ω | Do you remember what you heard or what you had seen? |
| 14 | A | Well, that that gentleman had been killed in that |
| 15 | | donut shop and I guess I really haven't seen much of |
| 16 | | it. I don't know. |
| 17 | Q | Anything stick in your mind? |
| 18 | A | I don't know nothing I guess in particular. |
| 19 | Q · | Have you or any member of your immediate family ever |
| 20 | | been charged with a crime? |
| 21 | Α. | I have at one time. |
| 22 | Ω | When was that? |
| 23 | A | Ten years ago I got a D.W.I. |
| 24 | Q | Did you plead guilty or was the case tried? |
| 25 | A | I pled guilty. |

And with the exception of that, nothing else? 1 2 Α No. Now, are you aware that the Defendant Mr. Rhines is 3. Q here charged with first degree murder? 5 Uhm-uhm. 6 And the evidence is going to show, Mr. Dean, that Mr. Q 7 Rhines is a homosexual. Does that's have affect how you look at Mr. Rhines? 8 I guess not. 9 A You said you guess not? 10 Q A Well, no. 11 Have you ever known any homosexuals? 12 Q 13 Α Yeah. Were they friends? Q 14 Yeah. 15 Α And even though they have a different lifestyle than 16 yourself, you still regard them as your friends? 17 Ä 18 Yeah. Now, Mr. Dean, let me try and explain to you and if 19 Q you have any questions understanding what I'm saying, 20 don't be embarrassed about asking me to explain them 21 further. This case is a little bit different than 22 most crimes. This case is divided into two phases 23

you understand?

24

25

because the State has requested the death penalty, do

Yeah

1

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q The first phase consists of a trial determining whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder. If the jury determines that the State has 5 proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second 7 phase and at the second phase the issue before the 8 jury is whether or not or what the proper or appropriate sentence is and there are only two 10 options at the sentencing phase. If you find him guilty of first degree murder, the two options are life imprisonment without parole or death. You should understand that the death penalty at the sentencing phase is only to be considered as an alternative, if the State proves what we call an aggravating circumstances. That's kind of a thumb nail sketch of whether you can consider the death penalty and what happens at the second phase. I should also emphasize that at no time is the jury required by the Court to impose the death penalty, that's strictly up to the jury, do you understand? Uhm-uhm. Now, before I ask you another question you should

understand that in South Dakota life without parole means what it says. If the jury were to impose that

you are concerned?

I guess to a point I guess, yeah.

24

| 7 | ll Z | can you tell me what point: |
|-----|------|---|
| . 2 | A | Well, I don't know. I guess I have seen some |
| 3 | | situations where I guess I thought it was |
| 4 | | appropriate, just things that I have seen on |
| 5 | | television and stuff. |
| 6 | Q | What kind of situations have you seen? |
| 7 | A | Well, for one thing to me when there is children |
| 8 | | involved, when someone does something to children and |
| 9 . | | it's proven they did it, you know, to me that |
| 10 | | warrants it, I guess. |
| 11 | Ω | What other circumstance, aside from children? |
| 12 | A | I don't know. |
| 13 | Ω | Let's assume, take the circumstances that you |
| 14 | | describe that there were children involved, why would |
| 15 | | you think the death penalty is appropriate in that |
| 16 | | circumstance as opposed to life imprisonment without |
| 17 | | parole? |
| 18 | A | I don't know. I don't know if it is appropriate. I |
| 19 | | don't know, really. I don't really know what would |
| 20 | | be, I guess, for sure. |
| 21 | Q | Would you feel life imprisonment without parole is a |
| 22 | | very severe sentence in itself? |
| 23 | A | Yeah. |
| 24 | Q | Have you ever thought about which, in your mind, |
| 25 | | would be worse? |

| 1 | A | Yeah, I guess so. |
|-------------|----|---|
| 2 | Q | Which do you think would be the worse? |
| 3 | A | I suppose I'd rather be alive than dead. |
| 4 | Ω | So you would think that the death sentence would be |
| 5 | | more severe? |
| 6 | A | Yeah |
| 7 | Q | As you sit there right now Mr. Dean, have you any |
| 8 | | thoughts about whether or not the Defendant is |
| 9 | | guilty? |
| 10 | A | I don't know. I guess not. I don't know enough |
| 11 | | about it. |
| 12 | Ω. | Well, you understand that you have the presumption of |
| 13 | | innocence and that the State has to prove the |
| 14 | | Defendant guilty? |
| 15 · | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| 16 | Ω | So, is it fair to say that you really don't have any |
| 17 | | feeling about the Defendant being guilty at this |
| 18 | | point in time on the basis of what you might have |
| 19 | | read or heard? |
| 20 | A | I couldn't say I don't know. |
| 21 | Q | Have you reached any conclusion at this point with |
| 22 | | the sketchy information that you have as to whether |
| 23 | | or not life imprisonment should be the sentence or |
| 24 | | death? |

I don't know what would be right.

| | 1 | Q | Would you give the matter a lot of thought before you |
|----------|------|-----|---|
| | 2 | | ever imposed the death sentence? |
| | 3 | A | Yeah. |
| 1 | 4 | Q | Is your child living with you or your wife at the |
| | 5 | | present time? |
| | 6 | A | My wife. We have joint custody. |
| | 7 | Q | She lives in Hill City as well? |
| | 8 | A | They live in Rapid. |
| | 9 | Ω | Does she work? |
| | . 10 | A | Yes, for the Department of Revenue. |
| | 11 | MR. | GILBERT: Pass for cause. |
| I . | 12 | THE | COURT: State may inquire. |
| | 13 | EXA | MINATION BY MR. GROFF: |
| | 14 | Ω | Mr. Dean, first thing I need to ask you, is there |
| | 15 | | anything about that experience ten years ago that |
| | 16 | | would cause you to think you couldn't be fair to the |
| | 17 | | State? |
| | 18 | A | No. |
| | 19 | Q | In terms of the way you were treated by the |
| | 20 | | prosecution or the Court? |
| = | 21 | A | No. |
| 5 | 22 | Q | I want to ask you a little bit about mainly the death |
| • | 23 | | penalty today. Did you understand that we have got |
| | 24 | | these two separate phases we might be going through? |
| ; | 25 | A | Yeah. |
| | | I | |

1 The first phase, of course, if you found Mr. Rhines 2 not guilty, you'd never get to the second phase and it would be all over. Assuming you found him guilty 3 then you'd move on to that second phase and as Mr. 5 Bulter told you, what I'd be trying to prove to you 6 in the second phase is that there was at least one 7 aggravating circumstance that made this case special. 8 In other words, it's not enough to find somebody 9 guilty of murder or premeditated murder, there has to be something aggravating or special about it. 10 Uhm-uhm. 11 12 Those are circumstances that our legislature has 13 drafted for us and the Court will instruct you what might be relevant to this case and if the Court 14 instructs you what aggravating circumstances you 15 16 could consider or what would need to be proven before you'd consider the death penalty, do you think you 17

A I think I could as far as I know.

disagreed with them?

In other words, the law tells us what they are and the Judge is going to tell you what the law is and I'm asking you whether or not you think you can follow the law?

could follow those circumstances, even if you

A Yeah, as far as I know.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You understand, before you could ever consider imposing the death penalty, I'd have to prove one of those aggravating circumstances beyond a reasonable doubt?

- A Uhm-uhm.
- Q Mr. Bulter was asking you about circumstances and you mentioned children was something you thought came to mind as a circumstance that might be appropriate for the death penalty?
- A Uhm-uhm.
- Why is it that you feel that way with children? I know some of these questions are being asked twice, but this is the only time we have to talk to you.
- A Well, I guess children are pretty helpless, what happens to them in most circumstances, I don't know.
- Q Can you think of, if you don't mind, I think it would be helpful to us and if you can't think of any others, that's all right. This isn't a test, but can you think of any other situations at all that you thought about personally or you seen on TV where you thought the death penalty might be appropriate?
- A One that comes to mind to me is just recently, I can't remember who it was where they hung the gentleman and he admitted to killing those kids and said he would do it again if he got out, and to me

- In that case was it a concern to you that he killed the kids or a concern that he kind of chose that form of punishment in terms of choosing hanging over some other form of execution?
 - Doesn't matter to me how they did it, just the fact that -- I don't know, he pretty much said he would do it again and what he did do was pretty bad, I thought, and in that situation I could agree with that. I don't know.
- Are you telling me that as you analyze these kind of cases, one of the things you look at is the how and the why, how it happened and why it happened, is that what you are saying?
- A Yeah, I guess so.
- Q I need to ask you some questions a little bit about actually being on the jury, if that would be okay.

 Do you remember when you got your questionnaire?
- 19 | A Yes.
 - Q When you got your questionnaire and you filled it out, did you ever think, I'm actually going to be there in Court answering these questions?
 - A I thought it was possible, yeah.
 - Q Well, you are here and we are going through it and I need to take you one step further, if I might, and

there is a very real possibility now that you are going to be on the jury and if I may, what I am going to try to attempt to do is, take you there to see what it might be like on the jury. As I do that, I'm not trying to get any commitments or promises from you, I just want you to visualize yourself doing some things on the jury?

A Okay.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

If you are picked for this jury, and of course, you would deliberate on the first part of the case and I want you to assume that you did so and that you found Mr. Rhines guilty, okay, just an assumption, and I want you to assume that after you had done so you went back and you considered the additional evidence, if any, and you decided whether or not there was an aggravating circumstance. Assume you found one and let's assume then that you, along with your other jurors decided the death penalty was appropriate, just an assumption, you are not committed to that, and also assuming all that, Mr. Dean, if you look over in those chairs over there, if you reach that verdict, sentencing verdict, then at some point in time you would be called into the courtroom after you told the Judge you had a verdict and in front of the Judge and in front of the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, that

б

22 23 24

verdict, that unanimous verdict would be read, a verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death. What I'm trying to find out from you, Mr. Dean is, assuming the facts were there and assuming you were convinced that that was the right thing to do, can you visualize yourself being part of a jury imposing the death penalty upon someone, in this case, Mr. Rhines?

- I guess whatever the circumstances ended up being, I don't know, I would do what I felt was right that's all I can say really.
- If I can just take it one step further for you. Same thing, after the jury would return its verdict, as you may know, maybe not, in criminal cases everybody has to agree, in other words, an individual's vote has to count and you couldn't have that individual vote. One of the things that happens after a verdict is returned is that the jury may be polled, that is asked, each one individually, whether or not that is their verdict. In other words, during that polling process your name could be called out and they'd ask, Mr. Dean, whether or not that was your verdict and you'd have to respond before the Court and the Defendant whether or not, yes, that is my verdict imposing death upon someone right in front of you and in that case, Mr. Rhines. Can you visualize yourself

| 1 | | being able to do that, if that was your verdict? |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | A | If that ended up being a verdict, yes, I guess so. |
| 3 . | Ω | Are you uncomfortable at all as you think about it? |
| 4 | A | A little bit, yeah. |
| 5 | Q | And can you tell me a little more about it. Is it |
| 6 | | because us don't sit in judgment on someone else? |
| 7 | A | It's pretty serious issue to me. |
| . 8 | Ω | When you say it is a pretty serious issue to you, I |
| 9 | | know it's important, but what is the thing that |
| 10 | | really strikes you about it that makes it so sorry |
| 11 | | serious? |
| 12 | A | Well, you are basically making a decision about |
| 13 | | somebody else's future in life. |
| 14 | 2 | Yeah, whether they're going to live. |
| 15 | A | Right. |
| 16 | Q | What I'm trying to determine is whether or not you |
| 17 | | think you can make that kind of life or death |
| 18 | | decision? |
| 19 | A | As far as I know I think I could. |
| 20 | Ω | Do you have some reservations about it? |
| 21 | A | I don't know. It's just pretty serious to me. It's |
| 22 | | nothing I would take real lightly. |
| 23 | Q | Do you think, Mr. Dean, that assuming you found the |
| 24 | | Defendant guilty of first degree murder and you went |
| 25 | | to that second stage, do you think as you went into |

Well, beyond a reasonable doubt, it's got to be

24

25

Konenkamp?

something that pushes it one way or the other, I guess.

When we deal with the burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt, the Judge will instruct you at the end of the case what that means and you'll be able to read the instructions and deliberate. You know that's the same standards we have in a speeding ticket case or a D.W.I. case or a theft case, right down the line, it never changes, it's always proof beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, it doesn't go up just because the charge is more serious, it's always proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you think that you would keep that burden where it is, that proof beyond a reasonable doubt or just because this is a pretty serious case involving possible capital punishment that you'd raise it to an absolute certainty, which has never been required by our law? ;

I guess I would just try to follow the way it's supposed to go the way we will be instructed to do it.

Same thing will come up in the second stage when you consider the aggravating circumstances, if any. Once again they'll have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt, but not to an absolute certainty, are you with

24 25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

1 4

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

Year and a half.

work?

morining, Miss Woodson?

WILMA WOODSON: Good morning, Judge.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| - | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) | IN CIRCUIT COURT |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) | SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA, | |
| 5 | Plaintiff, | |
| 6 | v . | JURY TRIAL |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, | 93-81 |
| 8 | Defendant. | VOLUME VIII |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The following matt HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAM | ers were had before the |
| 11 | Rapid City, South Dakota, days of January, 1993. | on the 12th and 13th |
| 12 | | |
| | APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. MARK VARGO | MR. JAY MILLER, and. |
| | State's Attorney's | Office |
| 14 | Pennington County | Ollice |
| . [| Rapid City, South | Dakota |
| 15 | Kapia otty, goden | Darota |
| 16 | FOR THE STATE | |
| 17 | MR. JOSEPH BUTLER | |
| 18 | Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 | · · |
| | Rapid City, South | Dakota and |
| 19 | | • |
| 20 | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Atterney at Law | |
| | 3202 West Main Stre | eet |
| 21 | Rapid City, South I | |
| 22 | MR. MICHAEL STONEF | TELD |
| 23 | Public Defender Pennington County | |
| 24 | Rapid City, South I | Dakota |
| | FOR THE DEFENDANT | ing the second of the second o |

THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir. You timed it just 2 right. We appreciate your patience in waiting all afternoon for us We previously swore you in, so you remain under oath 5 The defense may inquire. now. Prespective vuror William Brown, having previously been AND A PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY OF A PARTY OF 8 EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: You are William Brown? 10 Ÿes. 11 I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys Q 12 representing Charlie Rhines who's the man seated 13 right here in front of me and this is Joe Bulter 14 another attorney and Wayne Gilbert another attorney 15 . on his case. You sat through the orientation process 16 last week and you understand generally what it is we 17 are here for today? 18 Uhm-uhm. 19 You understand that Mr. Rhines is charged with murder 20 in first degree murder? 21 Yes. I'd like to ask you, first of all, and we will be 22 23 having a number of questions for you, but first of all, if you could tell us what, if anything, you know 24

about this case?

| 1 | A | Only thing I know is what I heard on the television. |
|-----|----|---|
| 2 | Q | I'll ask you to be specific about what you heard on |
| 3 | | the television as best as you can remember? |
| ā | À | That the boy was killed and that he worked in a donut |
| 5 | | shop, I think and that's about it. |
| 6 | Q | Anything more than that? |
| . 7 | A | At that time it took them awhile to arrest somebody. |
| 8 | Q | Have you heard or read anything about the case |
| 9 | | recently? |
| 10 | A | Just what is on television, that they're selecting a |
| 11 | | jury and I knew that from being here. |
| 12 | δ | Would you say that over the months, over the past few |
| 13 | | months that your knowledge about this case has come |
| 14 | | from discussing it with other people or hearing about |
| 15 | | it on television or reading about it in the newspaper |
| 16 | | or has it been from all those things? |
| 17 | Ā | Just basically television. |
| 18 | Ω | Do you recall ever reading anything in the newspaper |
| 19 | | about it at all? |
| 20 | Ą | I don't get the paper. |
| 21. | Q | Do you read it at all regularly or at all? |
| 22 | A | No. |
| 23 | Q. | Have you ever heard anybody express an opinion about |
| 24 | | Mr. Rhines? |
| 25 | A | No. |

| . 1 | Q | Have you ever heard anybody express an opinion about |
|-----|----|---|
| 2 | | Mr. Rhines as to whether he's guilty or not guilty of |
| · 3 | | these charges? |
| · 4 | A | No. |
| 5 | Q | Have you yourself ever formed any kind of an opinion |
| 6 | | about that? |
| 7 . | A | No. |
| 8 | Q | One of the things that was discussed the other day |
| 9 | | during the orientation process and this is something |
| 10 | | maybe you are familiar with, was the legal concept of |
| 11 | | the presumption of innocence; do you remember hearing |
| 12 | | about that? |
| 13 | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| 14 | Ω | Do you feel that you understand what that means? |
| 15 | A | Yes, I think so. |
| 16 | õ | Any person charged with a crime is presumed to be |
| 17 | | innocent and that presumption follows him throughout |
| 18 | | the entire case unless it's overcome by evidence |
| 1.9 | | beyond a reasonable doubt? |
| 20 | A | Yes. |
| 21 | Q | And do you believe you understand that? |
| 22 | A | Yeah. |
| 23 | Q | And you feel that that's a good presumption to have? |
| 24 | A | Yes. |
| 35 | ·Q | As you sit there right now, do you have any problem |
| | | |

| 1 | - [] | applying that presumption of innocence to Charles |
|-----|-------------|---|
| 2 | 1 | Rhines? |
| 3 | A | No. |
| · 4 | Q | None whatsoever? Okay. You understand why we have |
| 5 | | to ask about this? |
| 6 | A | Oh, yeah. |
| 7 | Q | I don't mean to seem like I'm prying or anything, I |
| 8 | | just want to be as clear on a number of things as I |
| 9 | | can and you understand why we are doing this? |
| 10 | A | Uhm-uhm. |
| 11 | Ω | You have never served on a jury before? |
| 12 | A | No. |
| 13 | Q | You did mention one thing, Mr. Brown, you had a |
| 14 | | custody case apparently parental custody of your |
| 15 | . | daughter a few years ago? |
| 16 | A | I have custody of my daughter and we've been in Court |
| 17 | | ten times and it's been up to the Supreme Court and |
| 18 | | there is another hearing coming up. It's been in |
| 19 | | Court since 1986 and it's still in Court. |
| 20 | Õ | And it's still unresolved? |
| 21 | A | It's been resolved several times. |
| 22 | Q | But it keeps being opened up again? |
| 23 | A | Right. |
| 24 | Q | You have custody now? |
| | | • |

```
That's the daughter you had gone to pick up from
   1
   2
             school?
             Yes.
             She's eight years old?
         Q
   5
             Uhm-uhm.
   6
             Your employment -- apparently you do a couple of
  7
             different things and right now you are a carpenter?
         A
             Yes.
  9
         Q
            And you are also going to school?
 10
            Yes.
 11
        Q
            At Black Hills?
 12
            At the air base.
13
       lΙQ
            Are you going full-time?
1.4
        A
            Six hours.
            You spend six hours a week in class?
15
            This semester would be, Thursday, from four to ten.
16
17
          Just one day a week.
        Q
18
          Right.
19
       Q
          But it's six hours?
20
       Α
           Yes.
21
           When does that semester start?
22
           In two weeks.
23
           Have you registered for the class already?
      Q
24
      A
           Uhm-uhm.
25
           Have you paid any kind of money or anything?
      ĮΩ
```

| | -* | t have a student toan a PEL Grant. |
|-----|----|--|
| 2 | Q | If you were to be selected on this case and the case |
| .3 | | were to take several weeks and you were required to |
| . 4 | | be in here every day, would that mean you couldn't |
| 5 | | take the class? |
| 6 | A | No, I could make arrangements. |
| 7 | δ | You understand on Thursday you might not necessarily |
| 8 | | be able to leave in time to get out there? |
| 9 | A | Yes. |
| 10 | Q | Do you understand that we really can't tell you what |
| 11 | | kind of a time schedule you'd be on or anything like |
| 12 | | that? |
| 13 | A | With what? |
| 14 | Q | With having to be in Court. We can't tell you that |
| 15 | | we'd start at a set hour or quit at a set hour every |
| 16 | | day, that kind of thing? |
| 17 | A | I have made arrangements. I have someone that will |
| 18 | | watch my daughter if I need to stay late a few days. |
| 19 | Q | As far as school, do you see any problem with it? |
| 20 | A | No. |
| 21 | Q | Even if perhaps you had to miss a Thursday or more |
| 22 | | than one Thursday altogether? |
| 23 | A | They're history classes and I can read the book. |
| 24 | Q | You don't see a problem with that? |
| 25 | A | No. |

| 1 | Q | You did mention another thing about your daughter. |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | | You indicated in an answer to the questionnaire here |
| 3 | | that there might be a problem, what you said is, if I |
| 4 | | was unable to see and spend time with my daughter |
| 5 | | beyond two to three days, I am a single parent and |
| 6 | | you indicated that as a possible problem? |
| 7 | A | Well, I didn't know if during this if you had to stay |
| 8 . | | here overnight the entire time or how you guys run |
| 9 | | the Court process. |
| 10 | Ω | I can't tell you with any kind of certainty now how |
| 11 | | you would work it, but I can tell you that there is |
| 12 | | at least a possibility that you might be required to |
| 13 | - | be sequestered for a time to where you couldn't go |
| 1.4 | | home for a time, whether it would be beyond two or |
| 15 | | three days, that I can't say. Can you see that as a |
| 16 | | problem of being able to sit on the case if it came |
| 17 | | to that? |
| 18 | A | I guess I can make arrangements, if I have to. |
| 19 | Q | So you are saying that you wouldn't see it as a |
| 20 | | problem? |
| 21 . | A | No. Well, if I am to sit and it wasn't a month or |
| 22 | | something. |
| 23 | Q | I wouldn't anticipate that it would be a month but |
| 24 | | you understand that I can't tell you that and nobody |
| | | |

can tell you right now whether it would happen or if

| 1 | | it did how long it would be? |
|------|---|---|
| 2 | A | Yes. |
| 3 | Q | So you are telling us that you don't see it as a |
| 4 | | problem? |
| 5 | A | I can make arrangements. |
| 6 | Q | Is it the kind of thing that might cause you to be |
| 7 | | concerned to an extent that your attention would be |
| 8 | | diverted away from the case here? |
| 9 | A | All I can say is if it was for a very long time. |
| 10 | Q | What do you mean by a very long time? |
| 11 | A | A week to me, that would be a little long. She goes |
| 12 | | to Alaska in the summer, so I don't see her for ten |
| 13 | | weeks at a time. |
| 14 ; | Ω | So it has a possibility of being a problem, at least? |
| 15 | A | Yes. |
| 16 | ō | Mr. Brown, one of the things that was discussed with |
| 17 | | you the other day when you went through this |
| 18 | | orientation process was the fact that the death |
| 19 | | penalty is potentially involved in this case. Do you |
| 20 | | remember hearing about this? |
| 21 | A | Yes. |
| 22 | Q | Were you aware of that before last Monday? |
| 23 | A | No. |
| 24 | Q | I'll take a little time and explain how things would |
| 25 | | go in a case like this, but as a general question, do |

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you have, as you come into the courtroom here, do you have any opinions one way or another just in general about the appropriateness of the death penalty? I believe there are times when it's appropriate.

Q That's just a general opinion you have?

Yeah.

A

Q

·A

Q·

Can you give us any kind of idea as to what things in your mind you think it might be appropriate? On treason, crimes against the government, spying, and so forth, crimes against children.

Q Anything else in particular?

A Exceptionally brutal maybe.

> Let me take a minute and go through with you the procedure about how this case would work, what would be presented to you if you were to sit. part of the case would be just like the first part of any criminal case or the trial of any criminal case where evidence is presented and the jury makes a decision as to whether or not the person charged is guilty and if the person is not proven guilty or the jury doesn't feel that he's been proven guilty that would be the end of the case. There wouldn't be anything further and we wouldn't get into punishment. or anything like that if there was an acquittal. If, however, there was a conviction and the jury found

The death penalty would come into play if the jury found Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder and then we would move to a second trial where there could be new evidence and arguments made and then the jury in this case would be the same jury that heard the evidence in the first trial and they would then have to make a decision as to whether or not, first of all, whether or not there were certain circumstances surrounding the case, what the law calls aggravating circumstances, whether one or more of those things surrounded the case, do you understand that so far?

A Yes.

19.

If the jury found there were these circumstances and these circumstances did exist in this case, then the jury would have a second determinations to make and that second determination would be whether the death penalty was the appropriate penalty. So you understand that this could be a two-trial process basically?

A Uhm-uhm.

| First part of it would be determining guilt or |
|---|
| innocence and if the determination was innocence that |
| would be the end of the case. And if the |
| determination was guilty of first degree murder, we |
| would get into the second part and I explained that a |
| little bit. Do you feel you have understood so far |
| what I have gone through? |

- Given your opinions about the death penalty, what you feel about the death penalty and what you bring in here, the ideas about it that you bring in here today, I'll ask you to just make some assumptions here, to assume some things. Assuming that you sat on the jury and the jury found Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder, assuming that, do you feel then at that point that in your mind the death penalty should follow, automatically?
- Not necessarily.
- Do you think you would want to hear other things?
- A Uhm-uhm.
- You'd be willing to consider other circumstances?
- 22 Α Yeah.

21

23

24

25

What kind of other things do you think you'd be interested in hearing about if you have any idea in your mind?

education, that you were a sociology student?

| 1 | A | Major. |
|-----|----|---|
| 2 | Q | Your degree is in sociology? |
| 3 | A | Yes. |
| 4 | Ω | Did your studies in sociology ever involve any |
| 5 | | discussion study of the death penalty? |
| . 6 | A | Not any class spent on it. |
| 7 | Q. | Do you recall the subject even coming up in a text or |
| 8 | . | in a discussion or anything like that? |
| 9 . | A | It has, yes. I don't recall the specifics. |
| 10 | Q | You heard about the death penalty being involved in |
| 11 | | this case since last Monday and have you thought at |
| 12 | | all about your feelings or re-examined them or |
| 13 | | anything like that? |
| 14 | A | I would have to be shown that it was extremely |
| 15 | | appropriate. |
| 16 | Q | And I believe we talked before about my question |
| 17 | | about the automatic nature of it and you said in your |
| 18 | | opinion it would never be automatic. |
| 19 | A | Right. |
| 20 | Q | Is that right? |
| 21 | A | No. |
| 22 | Q | Am I right in assuming that? |
| 23 | A | Yes. |
| 24 | Ö | As you said you'd want to hear other things? |
| 25 | A | Yeah. |
| | | |

| 1 | Q | You indicated on the questionnaire that you are a |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | | member of St. Andrews Episcopal Church here in town. |
| 3 | | Do you attend regularly? |
| 4 | A | On a monthly basis. |
| 5 | Q | Does your daughter go with you or more often? |
| 6 | A | Nope, that's the only time she goes to church. |
| 7 | Q | Again, a question I want to ask, and I don't mean to |
| 8 | | seem like I'm prying, but I'll ask, do you consider |
| 9 | | yourself to be a religious person? |
| 10 | A | I would call it more spiritual than religious. |
| 11 | Q | You indicated on the questionnaire here that you |
| 12 | | lived in South Dakota for most of your life. Where |
| 1.3 | | were you born at? |
| 1.4 | A | Columbus, Ohio. |
| 15 | Q | Did you live in Ohio all |
| 16 | A | We moved here when I was in second grade. |
| 17 | δ | Are your parents still living here? |
| L 8 | A | They're both dead. |
| 19 | Q | What did your father do? |
| 0 0 | A | He owned Chris Supply and he serviced music theaters |
| 1 | | and fixed the sound systems. |
| 12 | Q | Do you have brothers and sisters? |
| 3 | A | I have a brother and two sisters. My brother runs |
| 4 | , | Chris Supply now and my sisters live in California |

and Washington State.

Uhm-uhm.

you think there is anything that we haven't discussed

That amount of time would be okay. I'm talking if it

| 1 | goes a month or something. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Q You understand that if the trial goes two or three |
| 3 | weeks or however long it might go, that you'd be |
| 4 | required to be here every day during the day to |
| 5 | listen to evidence? |
| 6 | A Right. |
| 7 | MR. STONEFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Brown. |
| 8 | THE COURT: State may inquire. |
| 9 | EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF: |
| 10 | Q I'm Dennis Groff and I'm the State's Attorney and if |
| 11 | you are picked on the jury I am going to have the |
| 12 | chance to present the State's evidence and so I need |
| 13 | to ask you some questions, is that all right? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q I wanted to mainly go through this procedure on the |
| 16 | death penalty and see if you understand it and see i |
| 17. | you can follow the law, is that all right? |
| 18. | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q From talking to Mr. Stonefield, did you understand |
| 20 | first of all that we might have two stages here? |
| 21 | A Right. |
| 22 | Ω The first stage is whether or not Mr. Rhines is |
| 23 | guilty of first degree murder. |
| 24 | A Right. |

If you find him guilty of first degree murder, you

5 б

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

move to the second stage, sentencing. Right.

What I am going to be attempting to prove at the second stage is that there is at least one aggravating circumstance that makes this first degree murder special; do you understand, something that aggravates it to the point where you can consider the death penalty. Did you understand that from Mr. Stonefield?

Uhm-uhm.

- Now, the first thing I want to ask you is, the Judge is going to instruct you as to what those aggravating circumstances are that you'll have to consider, whether or not they have been proven and what I need to know is, even if you disagree with those, do you think you can follow the Court's instructions? Uhm-uhm.
- Now, the next thing is, when you get to that second stage, I'm not sure if you will get more evidence from the State or not. In other words, you may just be asked to consider the evidence you heard in the first part of the trial, only now to consider it for sentencing purposes. If that were to occur, do you think you could follow the Court's instructions on that?

for a moment that you are on the jury and that you

22

23

24

Q

have gone through that first stage and just assume now that you have found enough evidence and you believe that Mr. Rhines is guilty and you returned that verdict finding him guilty of first degree murder. Are you with me?

Uhm-uhm.

Just an assumption, and I wanted you to assume that you get to the second stage and you hear all the evidence in that second stage and you go back and a later, assuming that the jury finds one aggravating circumstance, do you know what we are talking about there, and assume that the jury, after they hear that and consider all the evidence and the Court's instructions, let's assume that the jury, including you, decides unanimously that the death penalty is appropriate, just an assumption now, if that were the case, do you see those chairs over there, Mr. Brown? If we are in this courtroom those are probably the chairs you'd come back to and sit in when your verdict was read?

A Okay.

What I am trying to find out is, based upon our thoughts about the death penalty and knowing about what could be involved, do you think you can visualize yourself, if the facts warrant it, do you

A

think you can visualize yourself coming back with your fellow jurors and having your verdict read, your unanimous verdict read in front of the Court and the Defendant announcing that it was your decision to put him to death. Do you think you could do that if the facts were there?

Yeah, I could. I would have to be convinced.

I understand, sir. Let's assume after that verdict was read this is kind of the same question. After the verdict was read sometimes in these criminal cases because they have to be a unanimous verdict, each juror is asked individually, is that your verdict, and they'd come to you and say, Mr. Brown, is that your verdict and then once again, not with that unanimity anymore one by one you'd have to announce whether it was or not if you reached that verdict and you were convinced, can you visualize yourself facing the Judge and Defendant saying, yes, that is my individual verdict putting you to death?

Do you think you could do that if you were convinced?

If that is the conclusion I had come to.

- I hope I'm not offending you, I just want to see if you can face that moment if it happens?
- A It sounds frightening.
- Q Next thing I want to talk to you about a little bit

1 is about the concept of getting hassled after the 2 trial is over. Do you know anyone that is opposed to 3 the death penalty real strongly? 4 · No. 5 Q So you can't think of anyone that necessarily would 6 give you a hard time if you rendered that verdict and 7 left the courthouse; can you think of anybody that 8 would give you a hard time for rendering that 9 verdict? 10 I don't think so. 11 When we are dealing with the proof in the first part 12 on guilt or innocence or whether we are dealing with 13 the proof on the second part on aggravating 14 circumstances, it's always proof beyond a reasonable 15 doubt. Did you hear the Judge talk about that the 16 other day? 17 Uhm-uhm. 18 And to tell you about that again, you know if you get 19 on the case, but one thing it isn't proof to an 20 absolute certainty? 21 Yes. 22 And no matter what the cases are, whether it's a 23 speeding ticket or D.W.I. right down the line any case in our Court system that deals with the criminal 24

or traffic laws has the same standard of proof, in

| 1 | - 11 | other words, it always stays level, proof beyond a |
|-----|------|---|
| 2 | ∦` | reasonable doubt, it doesn't go up to an absolute |
| 3 | | certainty just because it gets to be a more serious |
| 4 | | case, do you understand? |
| 5 | A | Yes. |
| 6 | Q | Just because this is a very serious case, do you |
| 7 | | think you would make the State prove its case to an |
| 8 | | absolute certainty or could you keep the standard |
| 9 | | where the law has instructed, to proof beyond a |
| 10 | | reasonable doubt? |
| 11 | A | The reasonable doubt sounds to me that you would have |
| 12 | | convinced me. |
| 13 | Q | Before I sit down, you told Mr. Stonefield you |
| 14 | | thought about treason and |
| 15 | A | Crimes against children. |
| 16 | Õ | And extremely brutal deaths, is that what you said? |
| 17 | A | Yes. |
| 1.8 | Ω | Any other circumstances you can think of that |
| 19 | | wouldn't make it automatic or circumstances where you |
| 20 | | think the death penalty might be appropriate? |
| 21 | A | Not really. I would think of something. |
| 22 | õ | You would consider the aggravating circumstances |
| 3 | | instructed to you by the Court? |
| 14 | A | Oh, yeah. |

MR. GROFF: Thank you, sir. Pass for cause.

| 1 | THE COURT: Mr. Brown, you do now remain a prospective |
|-----|---|
| 2 | juror on this case and I should tell you that we |
| 3 | probably will know tomorrow whether you'll be on the |
| 4 | final jury panel. In the meantime, it's very |
| 5 | important that you not discuss this case with anyone |
| 6 | or let anyone discuss it with you and you should not |
| 7 | allow someone to discuss it in your presence even. |
| 8 | Also, you should not listen to or watch or read any |
| 9 | news media accounts concerning this case. Can you |
| 10 | promise me you'll not do these things? |
| 11 | WILLIAM BROWN: Yes. |
| 1.0 | |
| 12 | THE COURT: If you have not heard from us by tomorrow |
| 13 | afternoon, would you please call the Clerk's Office |
| 14 | to check in? |
| 15 | WILLIAM BROWN: Yes. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused for now. |
| 17 | Could I see counsel for a minute? Who was the |
| 18 | prospective juror who inverted the Golden Rule, do ${\cal V}$ |
| 19 | unto to others as they'll do |
| 20 | MR. BUTLER: Frazey. I don't know if he |
| 21 | THE COURT: I'm having some questions in my mind about |
| 22 | this gentleman. I know there was a challenge. |
| 23 | MR. BUTLER: We were going to make a record that |
| 24 | requested an additional peremptory. |

THE COURT: Well, I'm reconsidering it, so if you want to

| | - 11 | | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | | |
|------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| - | STATE OF SOUTH | DAKOTA) | IN CIR | CUIT COURT | |
| 2 | COUNTY OF PENN | UINGTON) | SEVENTH JU | DICIAL CIRCUI | T |
| 3 | - | | | | |
| 4 | STATE OF SOUTH | DAKOTA, | | | |
| 5 | | Plaintiff, | | | |
| 6 | v. | | JURY | TRIAL | |
| 7 | CHARLES RUSSEL | L RHINES, | 93 | -81 | |
| 8 | · | Defendant. | VOLUME | VIII ' | |
| 9 | | | - | | |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: HONORAB | LE JOHN K. KONENK | AMP. Circuit | Judge at | |
| 1.2 | kapid C days of | ity, South Dakota January, 1993. | , on the 12t | h and 13th | |
| 12 | | | | • | |
| İ | APPEARANCES: | MR: DENNIS GROFF MR: MARK VARGO | | LLER, and. | |
| | | State's Attorney | 's Office | | |
| 1.4 | | Pennington County | | | |
| :5 | | Rapid City, Sout | h Dakota | , | |
| 16 | , | FOR THE STATE | | | |
| 17 | | MR. JOSEPH BUTLE | ₹ | | |
| 18 | | Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 | | | |
| 19 | | Rapid City, South | | and | |
| 20 | | MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law | . | | |
| 21 | , | 3202 West Main St Rapid City, South | | and | |
| 22 | | MR. MICHAEL STONE | • | ana . | |
| 23 | | Public Defender | | | |
| ا ده | | Pennington County Rapid City, South | | • | |
| 34 | | | | | |
| il | | FOR THE DEFENDANT | | | |

Α

Yes.

| 1 , | Ω | If you were seated as a juror in this case and had t |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | | sit and come into Rapid City every day for three or |
| 3 | | four weeks, would that present any hardships or |
| 4 | | problems for you as far as your family is concerned |
| 5 | | in taking care of your kids? |
| 6 | A | No. Their dad lives a block away. He has custody. |
| 7 | Q | How about as far as your work is concerned, would it |
| 8 | | be a problem? |
| 9 | A | Not this time of year. |
| 10 | Q | Before you came here a week ago yesterday for the |
| 11 | | first time had you heard anything about this case? |
| 12 | A | Very little to be honest with you. I knew that a |
| 13 | | young man was killed in a donut shop. I didn't know |
| 14 | | if he was stabbed or shot. The other day I seen it |
| 15 | | on the news, that the man that had done it was, I |
| 16 | | thought they said he was arrested in a nearby state |
| 17 | | like Colorado or something. Other than that I don't |
| 18 | | know too much about it. |
| 19 | Q | Based on what you have heard, do you come here today |
| 20 | | with any ideas that Mr. Rhines is probably guilty of |
| 21 | | this charge? |
| 22 | À | No. |
| 23 | Q | You were called as a juror approximately eight years |
| 24 | | ago, you were called in, but didn't actually serve? |
| 25 | A | That's right. |

| ٠ | ΠŽ | were you at the point where you were questioned or |
|-----|-----|--|
| 2 | | part of the group of people that were questioned? |
| 3 | A | No. |
| 4 | Ω | Other than that, you haven't served on a jury before |
| 5 | A | No. |
| 6 | Q | Have you or any member of your family or close |
| 7 | | friends ever been touched in some way by the criminal |
| 8 | | justice systems, maybe subpoenaed as a witness or |
| .9 | | been a victim of a crime or a Defendant? |
| 10 | . A | No. |
| 11 | Ω | Had you heard of the presumption of innocence before |
| 12 | | the Court's instructions that were read to you a |
| 13 | | little over a week ago yesterday? |
| 14 | A | Yes. |
| l 5 | Q | Generally, are you in agreement with that idea? |
| 16 | A | Yes. |
| .7 | Q | So, would you agree that the State has the burden of |
| .8 | | proof beyond a reasonable doubt and that the |
| .9 | | Defendant is not obligated or shouldn't be expected |
| 0 | | to put on any evidence at all? |
| 1 | A | Yes. |
| 2 | Q | If I were to ask you to assume right now that just |
| 3 | | assume that the defense in this case doesn't plan on . |
| 4 | ∦ . | putting on any evidence at all, would that change how |

you look at the case at all or would you still

| 1 | benefit Mr. Rhines with the presumption of innocence? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes, I would benefit him. |
| 3 | O There is going to be evidence in this case that Mr. |
| 4 | Rhines is a homosexual and one or two of the |
| 5 | witnesses in the case are also homosexpals who might |
| 6 | the called that beard that belong |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Q Enguing that does that change either your view of |
| 9 | Mr. Rhines as to how he ought to be created or what |
| 10 | kind of proof ought to be required in a case like |
| 11 | |
| 12 | A No. I have friends that are also |
| 13 | Q And knowing thet that doesn't change your friendship |
| 14 | or relationship with them? |
| 15 | A 3 |
| 16 | Q Had you heard or did you know before you came here to |
| 17 | Court a week ago yesterday that the State was |
| 18 | requesting the death penalty in this case? |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q Do you have any general views or opinions about the |
| 21 | death penalty? |
| 22 | A I don't know. I guess I feel if you intentionally |
| 23 | take a life you maybe should be prepared to give your |
| 24 | own. It would be real hard to do that, though, if |
| 25 | that was up to you |
| | |

- A Right.
- Well, before I get into some of the details about the process involved, you said it would be difficult to make a decision, but do you think, given your beliefs about the death penalty, that you could be part of a jury that would make a decision one way or the other on the death penalty?
- A Yes.
 - Q Knowing it would be difficult, but you could still do it if you were called on?
 - A Yes.
 - When the State requests and asks that the Defendant be executed if he's found guilty, there is a trial here and once the jury is seated where the State presents the proof that it has and asks the jury to find the Defendant guilty of first degree murder.

 Now, if the jury is convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the State has proved it and that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second phase, a second stage maybe even like a second trial and at that second trial the Judge would give you more instructions and would define for you what is called aggravating

25

circumstances. These are situations which make a bad thing worse, because if you get to the second phase that would mean that the jury has unanimously found the Defendant guilty of first degree premeditated murder. At the second stage then the Judge would define these things for you called aggravating circumstances and you would be asked to make another decision, another two decisions, actually. The first decision would be if you find that the aggravating circumstances, that one or more of them have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, if you are convinced of that unanimously, then you make a second decision and that second decision is, should the Defendant receive life imprisonment or should he be executed. I want to tell you right now that, in South Dakota life imprisonment means life without possibility of parole. If the jury's final decision in this case is that Mr. Rhines should receive a life imprisonment term, he'll never get out. It would be the rest of his life in prison. If the jury's final decision in this case is the death penalty, that will _be carried out. In South Dakota there won't be any stay of execution or something to happen to save his life. So, it is the jury's decision and that doesn't happen in any other kind of criminal case. In all

25

other kinds of criminal cases in South Dakota the judge makes the sentence, but because the death penalty is involved here the jury makes the sentence. It doesn't have to be the death penalty. There is no situation where the jury would ever have to. Even if you find an aggravating circumstance, even then you make that decision should it be death or life without parole.

When I asked you a few minutes ago if there were circumstances which you could think about that the death penalty would be appropriate, and you said something like, and I may get this wrong, where a person intentionally takes someone else's life then he should be prepared maybe to pay with his own. I think you said something like that. Now, knowing that there is this process you have to go through and there are two stages and that the aggravating circumstances have to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt and even then you are not required to impose the death penalty, do you think that if at the end of the first stage you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant has been proved guilty of first degree, premeditated murder, that you'd want right then and there to impose the death penalty or would you want to know more or think about it more?

1 I think I would probably want to think about it more. 2 So there wouldn't be any automatic decision on your 3 part as far as the death penalty is concerned? A No. Q Would you say that you are a religious person? 6 A Not a lot, no. Q Do you believe in God? À Yes. Q Is there anything about the beliefs that you have 10 about religion and God that affect how you view a 11 request for a death penalty in a case? 12 A No. 13 Q Would you say that you place a high value on human 14 life? 15 A Yes. 16 Is that why you said that it would be a difficult 17 decision to have to make? 18 A Yes. 19 Q Do you think that if you were on a jury that 20 unanimously found guilty of first degree, 21 premeditated murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, at 22 that point you'd be leaning one way or the other? 23 Would you be kind of leaning towards the death penalty or leaning away from the life imprisonment? 24

I don't know if I could say either way.

penalty in this case, and do you understand that now?

23

24

25

A

Yes.

| Ω | And do you know from what Mr. Gilbert told you that |
|---|---|
| | it's not the Judge that does that, 12 people that we |
| | select here? |
| A | Yes. |
| Ω | Can you understand how important this process is? |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | As Mr. Gilbert was telling you, assuming you find Mr. |
| | Rhines guilty of first degree murder, then there'd be |
| | this second stage and I think you told Mr. Gilbert |
| | that you have high regard for human life? |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | Just based upon that alone, do you think that somehow |
| | you can't consider the death penalty? |
| A | No. |
| Q | I need to let you take a moment here, because we are |
| | interested in your thoughts and views, everyone in |
| | this room, and can you think of not automatically at |
| | all, but any circumstances at all where you think the |
| | death penalty might be appropriate. Just take a |
| | moment? |
| A | Yes, I think there are. |
| Q | Now that you have thought about something that might |
| | be there, I realize it is not automatic and you know |
| | A Q A Q A Q |

appropriate, can you tell us what you just thought of

that too but some cases where it might be

24

- A I think if you intentionally go to take someone's life, then the death penalty could be appropriate.
- Q Not automatic, but it could be?
- 5 A Yes.
 - In this case when you get to that second stage, the Judge is going to tell you what aggravating circumstances you may consider, to see if the State's proven them beyond a reasonable doubt, and it's going to be my job, the State's job in that second case to convince you that at least one of these aggravating circumstances is present in this case and it takes it out of this general catagory of first degree murder and takes it up one more step to murder that you can consider the death penalty on; do you understand?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you believe that you can follow the Court's instructions as to what those aggravating circumstances are in South Dakota?
 - A Yes.
- 21 Q And even if you were to disagree with those
 22 instructions or never even thought about them, do you
 23 think you could still follow them?
 - A Yes.
- 25 Q Now, you said to Mr. Gilbert, and I made a note of it

1 , here, that you would be able to keep an open mind as 2 you went into that second stage as to whether or not 3 it would be life or death, and then you also told him that you thought in that second stage you might want 5 to know more, is that right? 6 Uhm-uhm. 7 What is the more that you were talking about, what Q 8 more would you want to know in the second stage? 9 Maybe explaining a little bit more about the extra 10 circumstances. 11 Let me ask you this then. I understand what you are 12 saying and I'll get back to it, but you realize that 13 just because you have heard this evidence in the first part of the case where you are going to decide 14 15 guilt or innocence, that same evidence would likely 16 be considered as to whether or not on the how and why 17 of it happening, whether or not circumstances were met that made it special, do you understand? 18 19 Yes. 20 You don't have to say we made that decision let's 21 leave that behind. You would take that into that 22 second stage with you, do you understand?

1690

You were just telling me that in the second stage you

would look at the evidence and you would do more

23

24

25

Yes.

| 1 | - | analysis as to the why and how? |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | A | Yes. |
| 3 | Ω | Based upon your review of that evidence, you would |
| 4 | | consider whether or not one of these aggravating |
| . 5 | | circumstances was present, is that what you are |
| 6 | | telling me? |
| 7 | A | Yes. |
| 8 | Q | I am going to have to go into this, because I need to |
| 9 | | know. You said that it would be difficult to go |
| 10 | | ahead and make that kind of a verdict? |
| 11 | A | I think it would be difficult for anyone. |
| 1.2 | Q | I'm not trying to give you a hard time about that, |
| 13 | | but what I need to do is get you to visualize what |
| 14 | | that might be like, so if you can bear with me and |
| 15 | | follow me for a minute. Do you remember when you got |
| 16 | | the questionnaire? |
| 17 | A | Yes. |
| 18 | Q | And when you got the questionnaire and you filled it |
| 19 | | out, did you think you might actually be in a |
| 20 | | courtroom like this deciding or we are deciding |
| 21 | | whether you can be a fair juror? Did you think you |
| 22 | | would come down here? |
| 23 | A | Yes. |
| 24 | Q | Could you visualize yourself, even though you had |

that piece of paper at home, being at home and

2

 that's what I want to take a step further. Without holding anything against me, could you make some assumptions? I'm not trying to get you to commit to any decision, I am just going to try to get you into that jury box, even though you are not there, and I want you to assume for a moment that you are picked on this jury, because there is a very real possibility you will be. Assume you are on that jury and you listened to the first part of the case and you weighed the evidence carefully and you considered the Court's instructions and you decided beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, okay?

Uhm-uhm.

The verdict has been brought back and then we can get to the second stage and let's assume we get to the second stage and you consider the evidence and you carefully consider those aggravating circumstances and you consider everything you hear in that second stage and you go back and deliberate and let's assume that you, as a jury, find that one of those aggravating circumstances is present. Now you don't have to at that point in time come to a conclusion that the death penalty is appropriate, but you may.

Do you see what I'm saying?

A Uhm-uhm.

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's assume for the moment that you come to that conclusion based on the facts you heard and instructions of the Court and you believe the death penalty is the appropriate verdict, then you would come back and you would sit in one of these chairs if we were in this courtroom, and the foreman of the jury would read or pass to the Judge the verdict and that verdict would be read in front of everybody in the courtroom, the Judge, the Defendant, with all of you sitting there facing the Defendant announcing your verdict, which in that case would be putting Mr. Rhines to death. As you think about your views, and I realize you don't have any facts to work with, but as you think about your views, if you were convinced that was the right thing to do, do you think you could visualize yourself being on a jury doing just that?

A Yes.

Now, something else I need to warn you about, and that is, if you are on that jury and it was a unanimous decision, after the verdict was read something else could happen. What could happen is that each individual juror could be polled right out there in front of everybody; is this your verdict

20 No.

16

17

18 19

21

22

23

24

25

The last thing I want to talk to you about is, just the burden of proof. Do you remember Judge Konenkamp explained the burden of proof a week ago Monday?

4- 6-31

- Uhm-uhm.
- That is the same burden that runs throughout our

with your regular life?

Court system, whether it is a traffic ticket, a 1 2 D.W.I. or theft case, all the way to a murder case, do you understand? Yes. 5 Even though the cases may seem to get more serious, the standards of proof always stay the same. It doesn't go up just because this is a serious case. Do you think you would some how make the State prove 9 its case beyond what the law requires, that is beyond 10 their level of beyond a reasonable doubt? Are you 11 with me so far? 12 Yes. 13 Up to a level of absolute certainty, which is not 14 required. Do you think you'd make the State proof 15 its case to an absolute certainty and not what the 16 law requires? 17 I'm not sure. I think I'd want to be real, real 18 sure. 19 But if the Court told you that didn't mean you had to 20 be sure to a perfection or an absolute certainty, do 21 you think you could follow that law? 22 Yes. 23 Same thing with the aggravating circumstance in the second part, if the Court told you that had to be 24

proven to a reasonable doubt, do you think you could

bit on the statement you made that an individual who

б

Q

MR. GILBERT:

intentionally takes someone's life should be prepared to give his own. Do you mean by that that you think and believe in an eye for an eye type of thing?

No, I believe that there is always a possibility that that could happen, and if they did there is always that possibility, not for sure, but the possibility. You didn't mean like a retribution type of thing?

No. No.

That's all.

THE COURT: As I was saying, you are a prospective juror in the case. We should know by tomorrow afternoon whether or not you are on the final jury panel. If you haven't heard from us by tomorrow afternoon at 3:00, call the Clerk's Office to check in with us.

Now, it's very important that you not talk to anyone about this case or let anyone talk to you about it or talk in your presence about it and you should not listen to or read or watch any news media accounts of this case. Can you promise me you'll not do those things?

JUDY SHAFER: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm going to adjourn for today.

I'd like to reconvene at a quarter to nine tomorrow morning so we can hear the motion for the additional peremptory challenges, and after that we will proceed

1.

then to either decide on the issue of the peremptory challenges and then the question of how many and under what method we will select our alternate jurors. I welcome your suggestions on that tomorrow morning as well. THE COURT: Hearing is adjourned for today. (At which time the proceedings ended for the day.)