

January 3, 2019

Sarah J. Crooks
SCrooks@perkinscoie.com
D. +1.503.727.2252
F. +1.503.346.2252

The Honorable Scott S. Harris
Clerk of Court
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Dahne v. Richey*, No. 18-761

Dear Mr. Harris:

The petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-referenced case was filed on December 12, 2018 and placed on the docket on December 14, 2018. I represent respondent Thomas Richey, who intends to file a brief in opposition to the petition. Currently, that brief is due on January 14, 2019. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, respondent respectfully requests that the time to respond to the petition be extended by 45 days to Thursday, February 28, 2019.

The requested extension is necessary for two reasons. First, we understand that the State of Arizona intends to file an amicus curiae brief in support of petitioner. That brief is due on January 14, 2019, the same day as the current deadline for respondent's brief in opposition. Absent an extension, respondent will be unable to respond in his brief in opposition to the State of Arizona's arguments.

Second, counsel for respondent have been heavily engaged in several other legal matters. Attorney Edward A. Piper also represents respondent in this matter. Mr. Piper is applying for admission to this Court and, pending his admission, will appear as counsel of record for respondent. In addition to his pro bono appellate practice, Mr. Piper serves as General Counsel to a technology company based in Portland, Oregon. In that role, he is responsible for several ongoing matters which will require the majority of his attention between now and the end of January. Similarly, I will need to devote the majority of my working time between now and the end of January to other matters, most of which involve active litigation.

Counsel for petitioner has no objection to the requested extension.

Respectfully submitted,



Sarah J. Crooks

SJC:krs

cc: Counsel of Record
Edward A. Piper, Esq.