

No. 18-6883

FILED  
OCT 05 2018  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_

STAN J. CATERBONE — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STAN J. CATERBONE  
(Your Name)

1250 FREMONT STREET  
(Address)

LANCASTER, PA 17603  
(City, State, Zip Code)

717-327-1566  
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED  
NOV 14 2018  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

## **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

**QUESTION NUMBER ONE:** Did the United States of America err in not granting a juris prudential exception. See 28 U.S.C §§ 1291, 1292 in order to avoid having to consider the following, which would necessarily obligate another set of Congressional Hearings akin to the Church Hearings in 1973 whereby the CIA had to omit the existence of MK-Ultra? Which it declared abandoned.

### **ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER ONE:**

With regards to Estaban Santiago (Mass-Shooter at the Ft. Lauderdale Airport), for which this AMICUS BRIEF was filed; and other Mass-Shooters that have made similar claims of being victims of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control with a history of Military Training – Are their CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS BEING COMPROMISED and Should the following not be GRANTED?

1. **VICTIMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES OPERATED BY OPERATIVES, AGENCIES, AND OR IN DIRECT PARTNERSHIP WITH UNITED STATES MILITARY, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND OR INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES OR INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATIVE ARE ENSURED THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS**
2. **ARE AFFORDED THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF LAW IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIER ACTIONS, AND IF SUCH ACTIONS ARE DEEMED PROXY TO THEIR HANDLERS, OR CONTROLERS, AND THEY ARE GRANTED THE PROPER IMMUNITIVE DEFENSES DURING CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS BY UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS, STATE ATTORNEYS, AND OR LOCAL MAGISTRATES.**
3. **THAT ANY AND ALL SUCH DIAGNOSIS OF MENTAL ILLNESSES ARE FIRST DEEMED TO BE SYMPTOMATIC OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL FIRST, AND TREATMENTS ARE TO ENSURE THAT VICTIMS ARE PREPARED FOR A SAFE LIFE AND ASSURED THEY ARE NOT A THREAT TO SOCIETY.**
4. **THAT LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND LOCAL POLICE ARE TO BE ADVISED OF SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES AND ARE PROHIBITED FROM TARGETING AND OR SURVEILLING THE VICTIMS IN ANY WAY.**
5. **THAT HEARING VOICES AND SUCH RELATED SYMPTOMS ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR MENTAL HEALTH WARRANTS AND OR HOSPITALIZATIONS ALONE WHITHOUT A THORUGH ANALYSIS OF THIER CLAIS OF SUFFERING SYMPTOMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL.**
6. **IN THE 1990'S THEN PRESIDENT WILLIAM JEFFERSON CLINTON MADE PUBLIC STATEMENTS AND APPOLOGIES FOR MILITARY/INTELLEGEANCE PROGRAMS USING AMERICAN CITIZENS AS NON-CONSENSUAL EXPERIMENTEES. SUCH PUBLIC DISCLOSURES, AT SOME POINT IN TIME, SHOULD BE ADDRESSED BY THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATION OF VICTIMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL.**

**QUESTION NUMBER TWO. Is PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE, his father Samuel P. Caterbone, Jr., and his brother Samuel A. Caterbone VICTIMS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIIES who Suffered Incidents and Violations of Civil, Constitutional Rights of Non-Consensual Experimentation paramount to TORTURE?**

**1. ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER TWO: YES.**

**QUESTION NUMBER THREE – Did PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE fall victim to a CRIMINAL/CIVIL Conspiracy while engaging in Whistle-blowing Activities in the ISC/CIA International Arms Dealer Scandal in 1987 which continues to today that now has manifested into a cover-up and obstruction of justice case of mass proportions.**

**2. ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER THREE: YES.**

**LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

**LIST OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLANTS**

1. STAN J. CATERBONE

**LIST OF RESPONDENTS**

1. Noel J. Francisco

Counsel of Record

Solicitor General

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20530-0001

SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov

202-514-2217

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PAGE No.**

**MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LENGTH ..... 2**

**PROOF OF SERVICE ..... 3**

**(3) QUESTIONS OF WRIT ..... 4**

**LIST OF PARTIES ..... 6**

**OPINIONS BELOW ..... 18**

**JURISDICTION ..... 19**

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... 20**

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE ..... 21**

**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT ..... 29**

**CONCLUSION ..... 77**

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A – OPINIONS BELOW**

**APPENDIX B – CATERBONE FAMILY AFFIDAVITS.**

**APPENDIX C - ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Case No. 18-10134 - REPLY BRIEF TO JURISDICTIONAL ORDER OF JANUARY 29, 2018 re FT. LAUDERDAL SHOOTER AMICUS APPEAL - February 3, 2018**

**APPLICATION FOR IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### Page No.

351 F.3d 1348 (2003)

Robert S. WOLFF, Edward Turner, Edward E. Waller, Grey Wolf Holdings, John G. Coughlin, Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

CASH 4 TITLES, d.b.a. Charles Richard Homa, et al., Defendants, Phillip S. Stenger, G. James Cleaver, Cayman Islands Liquidations Creditors' Committee, Appellants.

No. 01-16973.

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Filed December 5, 2003.

### STATUTES AND RULES

#### 1. AMICUS CASE LAW

A person with strong interest reviews on the subject matter of an action may petition the court for permission to file brief, ostensibly on behalf of a party but actually to suggest a rational consistent with its own views. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 75 (5th ed. 1979) *News & Sun-Sentinel Co. v. Cox*, 700 F. Supp. 30, 31 (S.D.Fla. 1988)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure lack of parallel provision for regulating amicus appearances at the district level. District courts have inherent authority to appoint or deny amici which is derived from Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. See *Mobile Cnty. Water, Sewer & Fire Prot. Auth, Inc. v. Mobile Area Water & Sewer Sys., Inc.* 576 F. Supp. 2D 1342, 1344 (s.D. Ala. 2008). "Inasmuch as an amicus is not a party 'and does not represent the parties but participates only for the benefit of the court is solely within the discretion of court to determine the fact, extent, and manner of participation by the amicus.'" *Cox*, 700 F Supp. At 31 (citation omitted). The decision whether to allow non-party to participate as an amicus is solely within the broad discretion of the Court. *Resort Timeshare Resales Inc. v. Stuart* 764 F.Supp 1495, 1500 (S.D.Fla.1991); *Ellsworth Associates Inc. v. United States* 917 F. Supp. 841, 846 (D.D.C.1996).

This case is a pending criminal trial. As such it is noteworthy that there are specific constitutional protections that exist in criminal cases that do not otherwise apply to parties and civil actions. See *United States v. Ward* 448 U.S. 242 248 (1980).

## **2. PRO SE & IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

**Commonwealth v. Haggentstaller, 699 A. 2d 767 (Pa Superior, 1997), Pro Se Appellant sought review of Conviction for violation of County for violation of County ordinance with Rule of Appellant Procedure, court conducted a "thorough, independent review of the record", and found sufficient evidence to sustain the conviction.**

**Hempfield Township v. Hapchuck 153 Pa. Comwlth. 173620 A. 2d. 668 (1993) Pro Se Brief failed to comply with Pa. Rules of Appellate Procedure, but the failure to comply did not substantially impede the Courts ability to review the issues presented and therefore considered the merits of the case.**

**Pa. R. App. P. Rule 552, 561 Indigent § 16.2 In Forma Pauperis, Griffen v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12, 76 S. Ct. 585, 100 L. Ed. 891 (1956) states Indigent has right to free Trial Transcript for Appeal of Right.**

**In Pederson v. South Williamsport Area School District, the courts interpreted due process, as "Essentially fundamental fairness is exactly what due process means". Furthermore, the United States District Courts in Perry v. Coyer (1978, 524 F 2d. 644) have concluded the following: "Even the probability of unfairness can result in a defendant being deprived of his due process rights..."**

**The first issue to address is that of the Plaintiff's right to due process, as prescribed by law. In Pederson v. South Williamsport Area School District, the courts interpreted due process, as "Essentially fundamental fairness is exactly what due process means". Furthermore, the United States District Courts in Perry v. Coyer (1978, 524 F 2d. 644) have concluded the following: "Even the probability of unfairness can result in a defendant being deprived of his due process rights..."**

## **3. CIVIL RIGHTS**

**§1983 Civil Rights Acts and 18 U.S.C.A. Acts state the following: "The underlying purpose of the scheme of protecting constitutional rights are to permit victims of constitutional violations to obtain redress, to provide for federal prosecution of serious constitutional violations when state criminal proceedings are ineffective for purpose of deterring violations and to strike a balance between protection of individual rights from state infringement and protection from state and local government from federal interference", 18 U.S.C.A. §§ 241, 242; U.S.C.A. – Const. Art. 2, 53; Amend. 13, 14, 5, 15, § 2: 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 1981-1982, 1985, 1988, Fed. Rules Civil Proc. Rule 28, U.S.C.A.**

**In Ascolese v. Southeastern Turnpike Authority, C 925 F. supp. 351, the case supports the notion that "One of the principal purposes of § 1983 was to give remedy to parties deprived**

of Constitutional Rights, privileges, and immunities by Official's abuse of his or her position, that is to provide remedy against individual officials who violate Constitutional Rights, 42 U.S.C.A. § 1983.

#### **4. CIVIL CONSPIRACY**

##### **Rico §263 42 § 1985 (2) Persons Involved In Litigation To Be Free From Conspiracy**

In the case of *United States v. Holck*, 389 F. Supp. 2d. 338, criminal responsibility defines single or multiple conspiracies by the following: "Governments, without committing variance between single conspiracy charges in an indictment and it's proof at trial may establish existence at continuing core conspiracy which attracts different members at different times and which involves different subgroups committing acts in furtherance of an overall plan". This illustrates the legal analysis of the 1987 conspiracy to cover-up my International Signal & Control, Plc., whistle blowing activities.

Under Pennsylvania Law, conspiracy may be proved by circumstantial evidence that is by acts and circumstances sufficient to warrant an inference that the unlawful combination has been in front of facts formed for the purpose charged. See *Walcker v. North Wales Boro*, 395 F. Supp. 2d. 219. In the same case the following was supported: "Arrestee's allegations that the township (Conestoga) and it's police officers were acting in concert and conspiracy and with the purpose of violating arrestee's constitutional rights by subjecting him to unreasonable force, arrest, search, and malicious prosecution and the two (2) or more officers acted together in throwing arrestee to the ground (April 5<sup>th</sup>, 2006 and August 4<sup>th</sup>, 2006) and forcing him to take two (2) blood tests and holding him in custody". The preceding pleaded civil conspiracy claims under Pennsylvania Law.

In order to state a claim for civil conspiracy and a cause of action under Pennsylvania Law, a plaintiff must allege that two (2) or more persons agree or combine with lawful intent to do an unlawful act or to do an otherwise lawful act by unlawful means, with proof of malice with intent to injure the person, his/her property and or business. In the case of *United States v. Holck*, 389 F. Supp. 2d. 338, criminal responsibility defines single or multiple conspiracies by the following: "Governments, without committing variance between single conspiracy charges in an indictment and it's proof at trial may establish existence at continuing core conspiracy which attracts different members at different times and which involves different subgroups committing acts in furtherance of an overall plan".

§1983 Civil Rights Acts and 18 U.S.C.A. Acts state the following: "The underlying purpose of the scheme of protecting constitutional rights are to permit victims of constitutional violations to obtain redress, to provide for federal prosecution of serious constitutional violations when state criminal proceedings are ineffective for purpose of deterring violations

and to strike a balance between protection of individual rights from state infringement and protection from state and local government from federal interference", 18 U.S.C.A. §§ 241, 242; U.S.C.A. – Const. Art. 2, 53; Amend. 13, 14, 5, 15, § 2: 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 1981-1982, 1985, 1988, Fed. Rules Civil Proc. Rule 28, U.S.C.A.

## 5. ANIT-TRUST

The Following violations constitute a legitimate Anti-Trust violation under Title 15 of the Federal Statutes. In private Anti-Trust actions, Plaintiff, in addition to proving violations and an injury, must also show that a violation and an injury must also prove that the violation was direct and material to the cause of injury suffered; however, the Plaintiff's burden in causations issues is not as heavy as the Plaintiff only needs to show a casual relation with reasonable probability to a fair degree of certainty (*Anderson Foreign Motors, Inc. v. New England Toyota Distributors, Inc.*, D.C. Mass 1979, 475. Supp.).

## 6. RICO

- The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (commonly referred to as RICO) is a United States federal law which provides for extended penalties for criminal acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal organization. RICO was enacted by section 901(a) of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, Pub. L. No. 91-452, 84 Stat. 922 (Oct. 15, 1970). RICO is codified as Chapter 96 of Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 through 18 U.S.C. § 1968.
- It has been speculated that the name and acronym were selected in a sly reference to the movie *Little Caesar*, which featured a notorious gangster named "Rico." The original drafter of the bill, G. Robert Blakey, has refused to confirm or deny this.[1]

### Summary

Under RICO, a person or group who commits any two of 35 crimes—27 federal crimes and 8 state crimes—within a 10-year period and, in the opinion of the US Attorney bringing the case, has committed those crimes with similar purpose or results can be charged with racketeering. Those found guilty of racketeering can be fined up to \$25,000 and/or sentenced to 20 years in prison. In addition, the racketeer must forfeit all ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of "racketeering activity." The act also contains a civil component that allows plaintiffs to sue for triple damages. When the U.S. Attorney decides to indict someone under RICO, he has the option of seeking a pre-trial restraining order or injunction to prevent the transfer of potentially forfeitable property, as well as require the defendant to put up a performance bond. This provision is intended to force a defendant to plead guilty before indictment. There is also a provision for private parties to sue. A "person damaged in his business or property" can sue one or more "racketeers." There must also be an "enterprise." The defendant(s) are not the enterprise, in other words, the defendant(s) and the enterprise are not one and the same. There must be one of four specified relationships between the defendant(s) and the enterprise. This lawsuit, like all Federal civil lawsuits, can take place in

either Federal or State court. <http://www.dealer-magazine.com/index.asp?article=481>

## 7. § 3729. False claims

### FEDERAL FALSE CLAIMS ACT

The Federal False Claims Act

TITLE 31. MONEY AND FINANCE

SUBTITLE III. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

CHAPTER 37. CLAIMS

SUBCHAPTER III. CLAIMS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

31 USCS § 3729-33

§ 3729. False claims

§ 3730. Civil actions for false claims

§ 3731. False claims procedure

§ 3732. False claims jurisdiction

§ 3733. Civil investigative demands

§ 3729. False claims

## 8. CASE LAW FOR TORTURE AND JURISDICTION FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AND REMEDIES

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION CASE NO. 07-21783-CIV-JORDAN

TEÓFILA OCHOA LIZARBE, in her individual capacity, and in her capacity as the personal representative of the estates of Silvestra Lizarbe Solis, Gerardo Ochoa Lizarbe, Victor Ochoa Lizarbe, Ernestina Ochoa Lizarbe, Celestino Ochoa Lizarbe, and Edwin Ochoa Lizarbe,

and

CIRILA PULIDO BALDEÓN, in her individual capacity, and in her capacity as the personal representative of the estates of Fortunata Baldeón Gutiérrez and Edgar Pulido Baldeón,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

TELMO RICARDO HURTADO HURTADO, Defendant.

### THE RIGHT TO SUE FOR TORTURE

Case 1:07-cv-21783-AJ Document 32 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/29/2008 Page 6 of 31  
7need "to conduct and adhere to a strict choice of law analysis." *Id.* at 422-23. In sum, the *Tachiona* court held that both federal law and international law apply to ATS and TVPA claims. The Ninth Circuit also conducted an examination of the applicable choice-of-law for damages in ATS cases, in *Alvarez-Machain v. United States* 331 F.3d 604, (9th Cir. 2003) *rev'd on other grounds, Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain*, 542 U.S. 692 (2004). After finding that federal common law applies to the choice-of-law determination, the court held that it should first look to the Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws, which states that choice of law principles in tort law are governed by the "most significant relationship" test. *Id.* at 633-34. (*citing Section 145 Restatement §6*). In order to determine what law has the most significant relationship to the tort, the Restatement looks to the following factors:

(a) the place where the injury occurred; (b) the place where the conduct causing the injury occurred; (c) the domicile, residence, nationali the relationship, if any, between the parties is centered. *Id.* At 634. The court then articulated competing policy factors that should be considered in ATS cases.

These factors included:

"(a) the needs of the interstate and international systems, (b) the relevant policies of the forum, (c) the relevant policies of other interested states and the relative interests of those states in the determination of

the particular issue, (d) the protection of justified expectations, (e) the basic policies underlying the particular field of law, (f) certainty, predictability and uniformity of result, and (g) ease in the determination and application of the law to be applied." *Id.* at 634 (citing Section 145 Restatement §6(2)).

The *Alvarez-Machain* court held that the totality of the factors, including the "policy of the United States, as expressed in the ATCA, to provide a remedy for violations of the law of nations," weighed in favor of applying United States law. *Id.* Case 1:07-cv-21783-AJ Document 32 Entered on FLSD Docket 02/29/2008 Page 7 of 31 8 The federal common law analysis articulated in these precedents favors the underlying trumped by federal law where the applicable law is inconsistent with federal common law. As a practical matter, this means that federal courts typically apply federal common law to damages under the ATS. Here, under Eleventh Circuit precedent, federal common law would apply to the determination of damages under the ATS. Under the analysis articulated by the *Tachiona* court, the law of nations and federal common law would apply to the damages inquiry under the ATS. *Tachiona* at 419-20. Under the *Alvarez-Machain* standard, the "most significant relationship" test favors the application of Peruvian law, but the relevant public considerations articulated in the decision favor the application of federal common law. The totality of the case law, thus, weighs in favor of applying federal common law to the determination of damages under the ATS. This Court should award Plaintiffs damages under federal common law for their ATS and TVPA claims.

## 9. JURISDICTION FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AND REMEDIES

### Federal Common Law on Damages

Once it has been determined that federal common law applies to the question of damages, it becomes necessary to determine how to ascertain what the federal common law of damages is, as it relates to damages under the ATS and the TVPA. In order to determine or to fashion federal common law remedies, "courts may be guided by appropriate statutes without adopting any in their entirety." See *Park v. Korean Air Lines Co.*, 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16841, 20 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (citing *Moragne v. State Marine Lines, Inc.*, 398 U.S. 375, 406-408 (1970)); 3 This is true under both the ATS, which is simply a jurisdictional grant of that enables plaintiffs to bring claims for violations of established international law, and under the TVPA, which creates a specific cause of action for claims of torture and extrajudicial killing. In each case, absent the federal statute, plaintiffs would have no ability to sue in federal court. 4 The application of federal common law to damages under ATS and TVPA cases is also supported by legal commentators. *International Human Rights Litig. in U.S. Courts* states that in ATS litigation "[t]he remedy however, is a 'purely domestic tort remedy' governed by 'traditional, well-established concepts of federal common law.'" Beth Stephens, *International Human Rights Litigation in U.S. Courts* (Brill Publishers 2008), citing William R. Casto, *The New Federal Common Law of Tort Remedies for Violations of International Law*, 37 Rutgers L.J. 635, 641 (2006). Wright & Miller states that courts should look to a wide variety of sources, including "considerations of what rule is best designed to implement the underlying federal policy or statute involved [and] general considerations of equity jurisprudence." Wright & Miller, *Federal Practice & Procedure* § 4518. Although some courts conceptualize this broad inquiry as a choice of law analysis, they only follow choice of law principles to the extent those principles are consistent with the federal common law policy objective – enforcing the intent of the ATS. Most federal court decisions that perform any choice of law analysis do so in the context of an inquiry over other aspects of ATS law, rather than damages. See e.g. *In re Estate of Ferdinand Marcos Human Rights Litigation (Hilao v. Marcos)* 25 F.3d 1467, 1475 (9th Cir. 1994), cert. denied 513 U.S. 1126 (1995) (abatement); *Estate of Cabello v. Fernandez-Larios*, 157 F.Supp.2d 1345 (S.D. Fl. 2001 (standing)). Many of these courts, although notably not the Eleventh Circuit, cite to the Restatement 2nd of Conflicts or refer to more traditional choice of law principles drawn from United States Supreme Court holdings such as *Lauritzen v. Larsen* 345 U.S. 571 (1953). See e.g. *Tachiona* at 420 (reviewing pre-2002 case law on choice of law issues). One outlier court based the choice of law analysis on the law of the U.S. state in which the federal court sits. *Presbyterian Church of Sudan v. Talisman Energy Inc.*, 453 F.Supp.2d 633 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (appeal pending). But federal courts have consistently refused to be shackled by any conventional choice of law principles in ATS cases and if they conduct a choice of law analysis at all, they do so only within the larger context of the federal common law

inquiry, which itself allows reference to a broad range of legal principles. See *e.g. Filartiga II*. Many courts simply collapse the choice of law analysis into the federal common law analysis of the appropriate source of law, as the District Court did in *Filartiga II*. Most courts facing the issue in this case – assessment of ATS damages after a default – skip a choice of law analysis altogether and instead rely on previously decided ATS cases that awarded compensatory and punitive damages. See *e.g. Paul v. Avril*, 901 F.Supp. 330 (S.D. Fla. 1994).

## **10. What is the Remedy for American Torture?**

By **Fionnuala Ní Aoláin**

Asst. Sec. of State for Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor, Tom Malinowski stated to the UN Committee on Torture two weeks ago that:

A little more than ten years ago, our government was employing interrogation methods that, as President Obama has said, any fair-minded person would believe were torture. At the same time, the test for any nation committed to this Convention and to the rule of law is not whether it ever makes mistakes, but whether and how it corrects them.

But what does correction look like? What obligations of repair follow from the acknowledgement that torture was routinely and consistently practiced by the United States? It is very clear that the Convention Against Torture (Article 14) as well as the collective jurisprudence of regional and international courts require that reparations follow from harm committed in breach of human rights treaty obligations. At the same hearings, Acting Legal Advisor to the State Department, Mary McLeod claimed that the United States “has taken important steps to ensure adherence to its legal obligations.” These include the creation and enforcement of laws and processes “to strengthen the safeguards against torture and cruel treatment” including Executive Order 13491. We are told that Army Field Manual Rules on Interrogation are now being fully enforced, and that there is great transparency in interrogation procedures, though with some ambiguity whether these procedures apply outside the territory of the United States. There is one resounding silence. In the context of torture committed at Guantanamo Bay and in other detention sites across the world not one word emerged from the delegation on what direct and specific obligations of reparation were owed to those persons who experienced torture at the hands of agents of the United States. This gap was directly addressed by Jens Modvig, the Country Rapporteur who asked the delegation to clarify:

... how many victims of torture have legally pursued and successfully obtained effective remedy for torture during U.S. custody within and outside U.S. territory?

In parallel, the US position on prosecution maintains a curious silence on the salience of accountability for torture post 9/11, though prosecutions in other contexts against international recognized torturers is touted as evidence of a commitment to broadly based accountability. In the midst of this resounding silence, my comments focus on what the Committee can and should expect of the United States with respect to reparations for Guantanamo Bay detainees and others ill-treated in black sites.

A starting point to addressing why the United States has an obligation of reparations is to recall why remedies exist for human rights violations under international treaty law. Reparations exist because they provide a concrete means to show a desire for non-repetition, to give redress to persons who have been harmed and to individually confirm meaningful condemnation in the aftermath of grievous harm to a human being. Recall that the ICJ has held that “the power to afford reparations is implicit in jurisdiction to hear a case, as a necessary concomitant to deciding disputes.” Simply put, reparations are necessary to repair the legal injury.

The practice of regional human rights bodies gives us useful insights into what might be expected of the United States for violation of the CAT. It is fair to say that the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) has historically taken a more conservative approach to the provision of remedies. The form of remedies for torture has generally followed the model of direct financial

compensation to the individual who has been harmed, the payment of lawyers' fees and the admonition not to breach again. More recently however, the Court is adopting a broader approach to remedies and now proactively indicates the measure a violating state should take to prevent torture recurring. So for example, in early cases like Soering v. United Kingdom (1989)—involving breach of the torture prohibition in a decision to extradite a German national facing the death penalty to the United States—neither pecuniary nor non-pecuniary damages were awarded to the victim. However costs and expenses of £26,782.80 were sustained. In later cases such as Ribitsch v. Austria (1991)—involving ill-treatment in Federal Police Authority custody in Vienna—the ECtHR specifically requested that similar violations do not occur in the future. In the past two decades a robust application of non-pecuniary damages both to applicants and their families can be observed in torture cases such as Kurt v. Turkey (1998). Here non-pecuniary damages of £15,000 were awarded to the applicant's son and £10,000 to the applicant; in Selmouni v. France (1999) 500,000 FRF were awarded in non-pecuniary damages for torture in police custody; and in Taz v. Turkey (2000) £20,000 were awarded in non-pecuniary damages following the enforced disappearance of the applicant's son and £10,000 in respect of the applicant for torture in the context of disappearance. Recently, in the context of rendition cases from Poland ([here](#)), the Court has confirmed that Poland not only had to pay direct compensation to those rendered but the government was required to take proactive institutional action to protect them.

The emerging scope of an expansive remedies approach for systematic violations of non-derogable rights is trenchantly illustrated by a series of cases in which the United Kingdom was found in violation of another non-derogable Convention right—the right to life (Article 2). Spanning almost two decades, these cases included deaths resulting from the exercise of force against members of paramilitary organizations (e.g. McCann v. United Kingdom (1995), Jordan v. United Kingdom (2001), McKerr and Others v United Kingdom (2001), and McCaughy and Grew v. United Kingdom (2013) and the Court determined that a range of institutional measures had to be taken in order to provide "Just Satisfaction" to those killed and their families. These measures include positive and procedural obligations for the state and its agents that addressed planning, training, oversight, investigation, prosecution and civil remedies. The obvious precursor to these institutional remedies was individual compensation to all those whose families members were killed by the use of force violating the right to life provisions of the Convention. The UK precedent and specifically the broad "package of measures" agreed by the Council of Europe to firmly address and remedy violations of the right to life occurring during the conflict in Northern Ireland provides one useful model to conceptualize what US reparations for torture practices post 9/11 might look like in practice.

The Inter-American Court (IACHR) has been exceedingly robust in its provision of reparations for torture, both with respect to the ability to order compensation and force other remedial measures. In Aloeboetoe et al. v. Suriname (1990) where multiple violations including violation of the right to humane treatment were sustained, the Court ordered collective reparations for a wide range of family and village members including individual payments to multiple families. The Court ordered the creation of two trust funds and the establishment of a foundation for the entire harmed community. Justices stipulated that this financial instrument should not be subject to national taxation rules. The Court ordered the state, as an act of reparation, to reopen the school located in the area where the harms took place with an obligation to staff it with teaching and administrative personnel.

It is important to be aware that the IACHR has adjudicated cases in which the individuals tortured have been alleged (by the state) to be members of paramilitary/non-state organizations. In these torture cases the Court has been reliably clear that the imputed political status of those subject to exceptional detention regimes is not a basis to justify torture. Remedies in these cases show that the state does not get deferential treatment simply because of the (alleged) context in which the harms occurred whether a situation of armed conflict or terrorism. Thus, for example, in Loayza Tamayo v. Peru (1998) the victim was an alleged collaborator of the subversive group "Shining Path." She was detained for ten days and subjected to torture, cruel and degrading treatment. The reparations required by the Court included:

- A sum equivalent to the salaries that the victim ceased to receive between the time she

was detained and the date of the decision by the Court.

- A lump sum for the victim's medical expenses during her incarceration.
- A sum corresponding to the travel expenses incurred by the next of kin to visit the victim while she was held in detention.
- An amount corresponding to the likely future medical expenses of the victim and her children.

As for compensatory damages Peru was ordered to pay a total of US\$167,190.30 for the victim: \$20,000 for the victim's parents; \$30,000 for the victim's children; \$18,000 for the victim's brothers. Importantly, and relevant as comparison practice in relation to "correction" for torture practices were the institutional reform and measures required to prevent recurrence of violence by the IACHR. Peru was required to adopt all internal legal measures necessary to adapt Decree Laws 25,475 (Crime of Terrorism) and 25,659 (Crime of Treason) to conform to the ACHR. Peru was mandated to investigate the facts in the applicants' case, and "identify and punish those responsible for those acts, and adopt all the necessary domestic legal measures to ensure that this obligation is discharged." The Justices also required the State to take all measures necessary to re-instate Ms. Loayza-Tamayo in the teaching service of the University from which she had been fired. This reinstatement was also based on the understanding that the amount of her salaries and other benefits would be equal to the pay she was receiving for the teaching at the time she was detained, with appreciation to the date of the date of the IACHR's decision. Down to the specifics, Peru was required to guarantee Loayza-Tamayo her full retirement benefits, including those owed for the period that followed her detention.

Another relevant case as we survey the international jurisprudence for torture is that of Cantoral Benavides Case v. Peru (2001). This case is valuable because the remedies given reflect the Court's understanding of the long term medical needs of the Luis Alberto Cantoral Benavides following torture experienced in custody. Cantoral Benavides was a 20 year-old University biology student when he was arrested. He was detained for four years and after release he experienced ongoing physical and psychiatric complaints. Reparations to Cantoral Benavides included US \$24,000 which was calculated to compensate the salary he would have received if not imprisoned. For medical treatment received in custody he was awarded US \$1,000 and for future medical treatment the Court allocated US \$10,000. In a distinctly egalitarian move, appreciating the parallel harms that are experienced by the families of those tortured, the Court found that Cantoral Benavides' mother suffered and still evidenced symptoms physical and psychological pain originating from the imprisonment and situation of her son. In this instance of extended reparation the Court ordered Peru to pay US \$1,500 for medical treatment. A parallel sum was also awarded to Cantoral Benavides' brother for medical and psychological aid. Moral damages were paid to the primary victim, his mother, and his three brothers (\$125,000).

In terms of institutional reparation the state was ordered to annul any domestic criminal, administrative or judicial records against the victim. Notably the Court ordered that the merits judgment of the Court be published in a national legal journal. The state was required to make public amends to the victim including providing a scholarship for graduate studies with a monthly fee for living expenses. All medical costs for the victim's mother were to be paid by the state.

These IACHR cases are illustrative of a broader trend to "transformative" reparations where serious human rights have been engaged by the state. Increasingly, it is simply insufficient to compensate the victim financially when non-derogable human rights are violated (though that is an essential first step of repair). Rather, regional human courts have recognized that the imprint of the harm of torture is life-long, life-changing, and effects the lives of families as well as of the persons whose bodies and integrity have been violated. In this context, the response of the United States to the probing of the UN Committee on remedies seems woefully inadequate. While having provided the Committee with the names of 33 individuals who had filed claims against the Department of Defense, no substantive information was provided on the status of those cases. Despite questions asked by the Committee, the US delegation neatly avoided giving information on whether any of those individual had been provided non-judicial remedies, and on the numbers of lawsuits involving torture or serious ill-treatment that had failed to proceed on the basis of non-exhaustion of the internal grievance system. Despite the

apparent formal assurances of Robin Jacobson, Associate Deputy Attorney General, there is no evidence that the United States has taken responsibility to implement remedies for acknowledged torture. He stated:

U.S. law provides a wide range of civil remedies for seeking redress in cases of torture. These include injunctions, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and declaratory relief. In addition, the U.S. Congress has authorized the federal government to bring civil actions to enjoin acts or patterns of conduct that violate constitutional rights, including those that would amount to torture. At the federal level, the principal avenues of individual redress are administrative tort claims and civil litigation. Common law tort actions for assault, battery, wrongful death, civil actions for violations of federally protected civil rights, suits based on federal constitutional rights. On the state level, all of our states provide for civil tort suits to be brought against state officials and many permit damages for violations of state constitutional rights.

This formal litany of effectively unavailable rights to tortured Guantanamo Bay detainees and others operates as a secondary layer of Convention violation. Namely, the violation of the right to an effective remedy for torture. Words in fact, may be cheap. As Gabor Rona has noted here, there is little new about the acknowledgement of extraterritoriality official by this US delegation, despite the hype. Harold Koh among other commentators here, has applauded the honesty and increased transparency of the acknowledgments made before the Committee (a cheer for the "unequivocal yes"). On that matter, I am less persuaded. There is a grave danger that acknowledgment may blur the ongoing, daily violations of detainees' human rights. It may also cloud and allow us to forget that there are a host of other obligations that follow from naming the fact that torture has taken place. Lest we forget, the United States has a direct obligation to each individual tortured and subject to cruel treatment at Guantanamo Bay, and that obligation is one of repair, remedy and restitution.

## **11. JUSTICE FOR VICTIMS OF TORTURE AND TERRORISM ACT**

**[House Report 110-844] [From the U.S. Government Publishing Office]**

**110th Congress Report HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 2d Session 110-844**

**September 15, 2008.--Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on State of the Union and ordered to be printed Mr. Conyers, from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted the following R E P O R T [To accompany H.R. 5167] [Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office] The Committee on the Judiciary, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 5167) to amend the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 to remove the authority of the President to waive certain provisions, having considered the same, reports favorably thereon with amendments and recommends that the bill as amended do pass.**

## **OTHER**

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is  
 reported at ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is  
 reported at U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR SOUTHERN FLORIDA; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
 reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
 reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

**JURISDICTION**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was September 21, 2018.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: September 21, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

### **Amendment V (5): Rights in criminal cases**

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb, nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.

### **Amendment VI (6): Rights to a fair trial**

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed; which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence.

### **Amendment VII (7): Rights in civil cases**

In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

### **Amendment VIII (8): Bails, fines, and punishments**

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

As of today there have been 307 mass shootings in 313 days in the United States of America of 4 or more persons; **ALMOST ONE PER DAY**. I have filed AMICUS Briefs for two (2) of the mass shooters and have an AMICUS pending for the Washington State Bomber that sent bombs in packages to military installations in and around Washington, D.C.. I have had a scheduled conference call with the legal firm representing families of the Las Vegas Mass Shooting after they reviewed a few of my documents. There are at least 3 Mass Shooters that have died, where AMICUS briefs would have been mute. The AMICUS briefs that I do file are done after research and analysis reveals the common denominators of persons like myself that make claims of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Technologies. I have witnessed these traits since I was about five or six years old in my father, then with my brother until I became a victim. **ARE YOU PEOPLE CERTAIN YOU ARE MAKING THE RIGHT DECISIONS TO KEEP THIS COUNTRY SAFE? ARE YOU ABLE TO LOOK INTO THE EYES OF THE CRYING FATHERS, MOTHERS, FRIENDS AND RELATIVES OF THOSE MURDERED IN THESE MASS SHOOTINGS WITH THE UTMOST CONFIDENCE IN YOUR DECISIONS REGARDING MY CASES?**

On December 4, 2017 in the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida before the Honorable Judge Beth Bloom, Case No. 17-60022-Crim-BLOOM PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE'S APPLICATION FOR AMICI CURIAE BRIEF WAS DENIED. Judge Bloom wrote:

"THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon a *sua sponte review* of the record.' On December 1, 2017, Stanley J. Caterbone and Advanced Media Group ("Amici Curiae") filed documents pro se with the Court styled as an "Application for Amici Curiae Brief." ("Applications"). ECF Nos. [76] and [77]. The stated purpose of the Applications are that it was filed "in support of Estaban [sic] Santiago Ruiz [sic] Defense." *Id.* As part of the Application submission, Amici Curiae submitted a DVD because "[t]he printing of the DVD materials are of the utmost importance to this Amicus Brief and it would be cost prohibitive to print in paper format." *Id.*

The Court has carefully reviewed all the information submitted by Amici Curiae, including the electronic information contained on the DVD. and finds as follows:

"Amicus' curiae "means "friend of the court." A person with strong interest or views on the subject matter of an action may actually petition the court for permission to file a brief, ostensibly on behalf of a party but to suggest a rationale consistent with its own views. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY 75 (5th ed. 1979). *News & Sun-Sentinel Co. v. Cox*, 700 F. Supp, 30, 31 (S.D. Fla; 1988).

<sup>1</sup> The 'rule is well established that a corporation is an artificial entity that can act only through agents, cannot appear pro se, and must be represented by counsel. *Commercial and Railroad Bank of Vicksburg v. Slocomb*, 39 U.S. (14 Pet.) 60, 10 L.Ed. 3'54 (1840). As the Court is denying the Application on the merits, it declines to consider the implications of this prohibition here.

While Federal "Rule of Appellate Procedure 29 provides for the filing of amicus curiae briefs, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure lack a parallel provision regulating amicus appearances at the district court level. "District courts have inherent authority to appoint or deny amici which is derived from Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure." See *Mobile Cnty. Water, Sewer & Fire Prot. Auth., Inc. v. Mobile Area Water & Sewer Sys., Inc.*, 567 F. Supp. 2d 1342, 1344 (S.D. Ala. 2008). "Inasmuch as an amicus is not a party and 'does not represent the parties but participates only for the benefit of the court, it is solely within the discretion of the court to determine the fact, extent, and manner of participation by the amicus.'" *Cox*, 700 F. Supp. at 31. (citation omitted). The decision whether to allow a non-party to participate as an amicus curiae is solely within the broad discretion of the Court. *Resort Timeshare Resales, Inc. v. Stuart*, 764 F.Supp. 149\$, 1500 (S.D.Fla.1991); *Ellsworth Assocs., Inc. v. United States*. 917 F.Supp. 841, 846 (D.D.C.1996).

To be sure, the instant case is of public importance. However, this case-is a pending criminal trial.<sup>2</sup> As such, it is noteworthy that there are specific constitutional protections that exist in criminal cases that do not otherwise apply to parties in civil actions. See *United States v. Ward*, 448 U.S. 242, 248 (1980). Moreover, Mr. Santiago-Ruiz is represented by "learned counsel" as required by 18 U.S.C. §3005; as well as three other qualified and experienced attorneys from the Federal Defender's Office. There has been no suggestion or reason to believe that appointed counsel cannot or will not adequately represent Mr. Santiago-Ruiz. There is no indication that counsel requested or encouraged assistance from the Amici Curiae.

<sup>2</sup> It is rare for amicus curiae briefs to be filed in criminal cases even at the appellate level, "Over the five years from 2003-2007, only 12 amicus curiae briefs were filed in criminal cases." P. Stephen Gidiere III, *The Facts and Fictions of Amicus Curiae Practice in the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals*, 5 SETON HALL CIRCUIT rev. 1, 18 (4008)."

Further, the Court does not find the participation of Amici Curiae would be desirable or beneficial to these proceedings. Courts typically grant amicus status where the party "contribute[s] to the court's understanding of the matter in question" by proffering timely and useful information. *Conservancy of Southwest Florida v. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv.*, No.2: 1 0- cv-106, 2010 WL 3603276 at \*1 (M.D.Fla. Sept. 9,2010). There is nothing in the Applications to indicate that the Amici Curiae offers "timely or useful information" relevant to the instant case.

It is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

Stanley J. Caterbone and Advanced Media Group's Applications for Amici Curiae Brief, ECF Nos. [76] and [77], are DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, on December 4th, 2017. "

On January 8, 2018 a NOTICE OF AMENDED APPEAL was recorded in the UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Case No. 18-10134-C re UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Stan J. Caterbone.

On January 29, 2018 the UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Case No. 18-10134-C re UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Stan J. Caterbone Coming from the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida issued a JURISDICTIONAL QUESTION TO ALL PARTIES:

**JURISDICTIONAL QUESTION**

Please address under which theory is the district court's interlocutory order denying interested party Stan Caterbone's motion to file an amicus brief immediately appealable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291. In addition, please address whether Mr. Caterbone has standing to appeal from the district court's order. See *Wolff v. Cash* 4 Titles, 351 F.3d 1348, 1353-58 (11th Cir. 2003).

On February 3, 2018 PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE FILED A BRIEF ANSWERING THE JURISDICTIONAL QUESTION.

On June 4, 2018 Before: WILLIAM PRYOR, JORDAN and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges the APPEAL TO THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS WAS DISMISSED.

**BY THE COURT:**

"In light of the responses to the jurisdictional question. we DISMISS this appeal for lack of jurisdiction. Stan J. Caterbone, proceeding *pro se*, has appealed from an interlocutory order denying his motion for leave to file an amicus brief in a pending criminal case brought by the government against Esteban Santiago-Ruiz, We lack jurisdiction because Caterbone does not appeal from a final order or an order that is immediately appealable under a statute or jurisprudential exception. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291, 1292; *CSXTransp., Inc. v. City of Garden City*, 235 F.3d 1325, 1327 (11th Cir. 2000) (noting the general rule that this Court's jurisdiction is limited to appeals from final orders under § 1291 or interlocutory orders appealable under statute or jurisprudential exception).

In addition, only a litigant who is aggrieved by a judgment or order may appeal it. *Wolff v. Cash* 4 Titles, 351 F.3d 1348, 1353 (11th Cir. 2003). A non-party ordinarily lacks standing to appeal an order from that case, and even parties may lack standing to appeal trial court rulings that do not affect their interests. *Id.* at 1354. Standing requires a person to have suffered an injury-in-fact that is concrete and particularized, actual or imminent, and not conjectural or hypothetical. *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560 (1992). Here, the order denying Caterbone's request does not affect his interests in a way sufficient to confer standing to appeal. See *Lujan*, 504 U.S. at 560; *Wolff*, 351 F.3d at 1353.

On September 21, 2018 in the UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT Case No. 18-10134-C re UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Stan J. Caterbone Coming from the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Before: WILLIAM PRYOR, JORDAN and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges the MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION WAS DENIED -

**BY THE COURT:**

TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT From THE ELVENTH CIRCUIT Case No. 18-10134, by Stan J. Caterbone, Pro Se

"Stan J. Caterbone's motion for reconsideration of our June 4, 2018 order dismissing this appeal for lack of jurisdiction is DENIED. All other pending motions are DENIED as moot."

**BACKGROUND OF PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE - PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J.**

**CATERBONE** is a private citizen and the majority shareholder of the United States incorporated business Advanced Media Group, Ltd., **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** was a whistle-blower and shareholder in 1987 involving the United States Defense Contractor International Signal & Control, Plc., known as ISC. In 1992, International Signal & Control was indicted and found guilty of among other things a Billion Dollar Fraud and export violations concerning illegally shipping cluster bomb technologies, missile defense systems, and other defense systems to foreign interests including South Africa, Iraq and Saddam Hussein. Cluster bombs and related technologies are known to have been exported to Iraq by the Chilean Arms Dealer Carlos Cardoen, a joint venture partner of International Signal & Control. The Central Intelligence Agency is confirmed to have been involved in a covert program to arm Iraq during the 1980's with close ties to International Signal & Control, which allegedly included the help of the National Security Agency, a former end user of International Signal & Control technologies under the early 1980's program Project X. A Presidential Finding in 1984 by the Bush Administration was executed to implement the program of arming Saddam Hussein and Iraq with the cluster bomb technologies. Serious allegations of these programs were the focus of investigations that included the knowledge and supervision of then appointed nominee for the Director of Central Intelligence Agency, Robert M. Gates.

Since 1987, **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** has been the victim of vast civil conspiracy that started in 1987 to cover-up allegations of fraud within International Signal & Control during the negotiations and merger of International Signal & Control and Ferranti International of England. Stanley J. Caterbone alleges that warrantless surveillance was used to obstruct justice and moot his constitutional rights in an effort to divert attention away from his allegations of fraud within International Signal & Control back in 1987, and afterwards to the present as a means to deny his access to the courts for remedy and relief, and Federal False Claims Act violations. The business of Advanced Media Group has been greatly compromised and intellectual property stolen during the late 1980's and early 1990's that included information technology contracts with the United States Government.

Organized stalking and harassment began in 1987 following the public allegations of fraud within ISC. This organized stalking and harassment was enough to drive an ordinary person to suicide. As far back as the late 1980's **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** knew that his mind was being read, or "remotely viewed". This was verified and confirmed when information only known to him, and never written, spoken, or typed, was repeated by others. In 1998, while soliciting the counsel of Philadelphia attorney Christina Rainville, (Rainville represented Lisa Michelle Lambert in the Laurie Show murder case), someone introduced the term remote viewing through an email. That was the last time it was an issue until 2005. The term was researched, but that was the extent of the topic. Remote Viewers may have attempted to connect in a more direct and continuous way without success.

In 2005 the U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL turned into an all-out assault of mental telepathy; synthetic telepathy; and pain and torture through the use of directed energy devices and weapons that usually fire a low frequency electromagnetic energy at the targeted victim. This assault was no coincidence in that it began simultaneously with the filing of the federal action in U.S. District Court, or **CATERBONE v. Lancaster County Prison, et. al.,** or 05-cv-2288. This assault began after the handlers remotely trained Stan J. Caterbone with mental telepathy. The main difference opposed to most other victims of this technology is that Stan J. Caterbone after being connected to some 20 or so individuals ranging from CIA Operatives to current day national newscasters and celebrities, Stan J. Caterbone remains connected 24/7 with a person who declares that she is Interscope recording artist Sheryl Crow of Kennett Missouri. Stan J. Caterbone has spent 3 years trying to validate and confirm this person without success. Most U.S. intelligence agencies refuse to cooperate, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the U.S. Attorney's Office refuse to comment. See attached documents for more information.

In 2006 or the beginning of 2007 **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** began his extensive research into mental telepathy; mind control technologies; remote viewing; and the CIA mind control program labeled MK ULTRA and it's subprograms.

In January of 2006, **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** was detained at every airport security check point, which was during a policy of random checks, and taken out of line during travel from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to Houston, Texas, and on to Puerto Vallarta, Mexico. At the Houston Airport, Stanley J. Caterbone was falsely accused of carrying plastics explosives and taken to an interview room by

Homeland Security officials. Stanley J. Caterbone was also detained for three days in Mexico, and was not provided with an opportunity to gain access to a flight out of the country by Mexican Officials.

Today, **PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE** is a pro se litigant in several state and local courts, in an effort to be restored to whole since the WHISTLEBLOWING of 1987. Most notable is CATERBONE v. The National Security Agency, NSA, et. al. In the UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT CASE NO. 17-1904. That case is a PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF FILED TO IMMEDIATELY HALT THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT IS BEING ADMINISTERED THROUGH THE ILLEGAL COINTELPRO PROGRAM COUPELD WITH THE TORTURE PROGRAM.

**The following is a memo of a meeting with ISC executive Mr. Lawrence Resch and Mr. PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE at his office at Financial Management Group, Ltd., which took place on June 23, 1987.**

"Mr. Lawrence Resch, of San Clemente, California, was a long time associate of Mr. James Guerin who worked as a marketing consultant, and was an ISC executive prior to the company going public in 1982. He served as Director of Marketing and head of Lancaster operations for then defunct United Chem Con, an affiliate of ISC. He was sued by Ferranti International in 1990 for \$189 million dollars and indicted and found guilty by prosecutors for his role with ISC and served a jail term.

Upon the arrival of Mr. Larry Resch, Stan Caterbone met him in the lobby of Financial Management Group, Ltd, at which time Larry Resch said "Carl Jacobson could not attend, we had to suddenly fly him out of the country early this morning (flew to Chile)" The meeting was started with the subject of the financial difficulties of United Chem Con and possible alternatives. Larry Resch specifically addressed the possibility of moving the operations of United Chem Con to another facility, with specific regards to the Renovo Plant. Larry Resch specifically addressed the financing capabilities of Stan Caterbone, along with possible management opportunities. Larry Resch also gave financial statements and documents to Stan Caterbone for the latest fiscal year for United Chem Con. Stan Caterbone went on to allege that United Chem Con had embezzled some **\$15,000,000** from the United States Government for contracts that contained improprieties. Stan Caterbone also alleged improprieties of International Signal & Control and James Guerin, with specific regards to its role in the United Chem Con, and its business activities as related to government contracts. Stan Caterbone noted that he, as a legal shareholder of International Signal & Control was concerned about improper business activities.

Larry Resch was taken by surprise by all of the above. Stan Caterbone became quite upset by the evasiveness and the lack of specifics with regards to Larry Resch's conversation. In efforts to thwart any further communication from James Guerin, United Chem Con, or International Signal & Control, Stan Caterbone demanded a retainer fee of **\$10,000** before anyone contacted him again."

**Today, the TRUMP ADMINISTRATION is using the old J. Edgar Hoover COINTELPRO Program while at the same time expanding the powers of local law enforcement through 3 Executive Orders in order to Militarize Local Police Departments. The following are the effects of the ILLEGAL AND LANDMARK COINTELPRO PROGRAM that is used against me:**

As Contained In The Lancaster County Court Of Common Pleas Case No. 08-13373 Where President Donald Trump Was Added To The Defendant's List On January 23, 2017 And Other State And Federal Court Cases; The Trump Administration Is Utilizing An Illegal COINTELPRO Program To Harass The Appellant, Stan J. Caterbone And Obstruct Justice By Directing Causing It Almost Impossible For The Continuation Of Those Same Civil Actions.

**The Trump Administration Signed (3) Executive Orders That Broadened The Powers Of The City Of Lancaster Police Department To Coincide With The Above.**

**The Fact That Complainant Stan J. Caterbone's History With The Lancaster City Police Department Traces Back To The 1960'S With The Targeting Of Complainant Stan J. Caterbone's Father, Samuel Caterbone, Jr. In The Very Same Manner As The Current Targeting Of Complainant Stan J. Caterbone Today Is Reason Enough To Have Summary Judgments In All Civil Actions In Federal And State Courts Immediately Ordered.**

**THE TARGETING CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING:**

- An Unprecedented Harassment Program Carried Out By Residents, Neighbors, Stalking Groups, Law Enforcement, And Others.
- An Unprecedented Hacking Program Of All Electronic Equipment.
- Unprecedented Torture Program Utilizing Electromagnetic And Other Exotic Weapons Developed By The Department Of Defense And Intelligence Community.
- An Unprecedented Campaign Designed To Drain The Appellant Stan J. Caterbone Of All Cash Resources, Which Has Resulted In A Cash Position Of Some \$60,000.00 In June Of 2015 To Nothing Today.
- The Unprecedented Campaign Of False Statements By The Residents Of 1252 Fremont Stree And The Perjured Statements Of Lancaster City Police In Recent Criminal Summary Offenses Filed In District Magistrate Adam Witkonis Court.
- An Unprecedented Campaign Of Daily Harassment's And Threats By The Residents Of 1252 Fremont Street, Which Has Been Ongoing Since 2006. Un Unprecedented Campaign Of Threats Of Physical Harm In Public Spaces.
- The Unprecedented Campaign Of The Breaking And Entering Into The Residence Of The Complainant Stan J. Caterbone Causing Vandalism, Thefts, Poisoning Of Food, And The Strategic Placement Of Cock Roaches On A Daily Basis. This Also Involves The Theft And Manipulation Of Court Filings And Evidence.
- The Above Are All Facilitated And Supported With Violations Of Due Process In The Complaints To Law Enforcement.
- Complainant Stan J. Caterbone, Pro Seam Receiving Retaliatory Adverse And Harassing Treatment Due To The Fact That 1. , I, Complainant Stan J. Caterbone, Pro Se, Am The Amicus For Former Pennsylvania Attorney General Kathleen Kane In Case No. 3575 EDA 2016 In The Eastern District Of Superior Court, Currently In Litigation.

**THE CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF THE ABOVE LAYS THE FOUNDATION FOR AN UNPRECEDENTED LANDMARK CASE OF HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND ANTI-TRUST VIOLATIONS.**

It is too easy for present and future administrations to abuse their power and utilize warrantless surveillance as a means of subverting and obstructing justice for those that are engaged in Whistle-Blowing cases that concern National Security. Without the proper oversight and judicial review, a Whistle Blower can be placed on terrorist lists for malicious reasons without the knowledge or just cause. This is in direct conflict with keeping our democracy free of corruption while adhering to the spirit of the constitution in the manner our founding fathers envisioned.

Activists, Citizens, and Voters must ensure that constitutional rights of private citizens are not compromised and justice subverted through information obtained from warrantless surveillance upon which there is no just cause for any allegations or association with terrorism. Whistle-Blowers are inherently supportive of a system of checks and balances within our government that go beyond our constitutional doctrines regarding the same. Whistle-Blowers ensure that the rule of law is universally applied to all government officials in all branches of government. The Federal False Claims Act and its provisions protect individuals from abuse of power, while providing relief and remedies for those that were wronged and those that had the courage to cite a wrong.

**U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Systems are also used to compliment these illegal programs to silence WHISTLEBLOWERS and others that our government recognizes as a threat to their illegal strategies and those that are seeking the TRUTH. Synthetic Telepathy Coupled with Electromagnetic Weapons used for pain have been the ELECTRONIC WEAPONS OF CHOICE by the PERPETRATORS committing these heinous crimes against, STAN J. CATERBONE since at least 2005. My father, U.S. Navy 1943 to 1946) was a victim of MK-ULTRA and experienced the same effects since at least the early 1960's and my brother, Sammy, (U.S. Air Force 1969-19710 received the same victimization through the use of the LSD experiments of the same program.**

**PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE stated and declared that the initial time of connection with the SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY consisted of months of NON-STOP INTERROGATIONS BY MALE SUBJECTS WHO IDENTIFIED THEMSELVES AS CIA OPERATIVES. The interrogations lasted hours upon hours at a time and covered just about every aspect of AMICUS STAN J. CATERBONE'S life. The "HANDLERS", for lack of a better term, not only focused on the WHISTLEBLOWING ACTIVITIES OF ISC IN 1987, but also covered mundane everyday experiences, as a form to harass and torture.**

**In late spring of 2005, the "HANDLERS" introduce females to the sessions. To this day, the torture consists of the same, interrogations mixed in with harassment, sex, and humor. It is the opinion of PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE, that the only way to keep from desensitizing and numbing to the harassment and pain is to experience pleasure and laughter so as to keep the magnitude of the pain at it's highest level.**

**THIS CAN BE SUBSANTIATED AND VALIDATED BY THE FACT THAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION UNDER HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES GRANTED PRO SE APPELLANT DEBTOR STAN J. CATERBONE E DISABILITY BENEFITS IN AUGUST OF 2009 FOR SYMPTOMS AND ILLNESSES RELATED TO U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL, AND IN FACT STATED IN THE AWARD LETTER THAT DISABILITY WAS DETERMINED TO BEGIN IN DECEMBER OF 2005; THE DATE A PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE DECLARED THAT THE SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY HAD GONE FULL-TIME 24/7, WITHOUT INTERRUPTION, TO THIS DAY.**

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT**

### **ARGUMENT ONE**

**Craig Stedman, Lancaster County District Attorney WAS/IS a Former Military Intelligence Officer – COINCIDENCE that PRO SE PETITIONER STAN J. CATERBONE HAS HAD OVER 30 ARRESTS DISMISSED, MOST PRIOR TO ANY HEARINGS OR TRIALS SINCE 1987 – LEADING TO FALSE ARRESTS AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTIONS?**

**From the Pennsylvania Coalition for Civil Justice Reform Judicial Candidate Questionnaire**

- **Do you believe our judicial system adequately deters and penalizes frivolous litigation? If not, what reforms would you like to see?**

**Please see my previous response. I would add that I have been subject to numerous frivolous lawsuits from people I have prosecuted. My office, and the county, has had to spend considerable resources fighting what were clearly vindictive and retaliatory lawsuits from criminal defendants so I certainly can identify with concern in this area.**

- **Law School**

**Office of the Secretary of Defense Honors Legal Internship  
Washington, DC Todd, Gemmel, Nugent and Fitzgerald,  
Linwood, NJ**

- **Military**

**United States Army Intelligence Center and School  
Officer Basic Course, Ft. Huachuca, Arizona, 1987  
Graduated-first in class in academics**

**WRONGFUL PROSECUTIONS AND FALSE ARRESTS :**

1. September 1, 1987 Cc2706 Terroristic Threats – M1 Quashed/Dismis/Demur Sus
2. September 3, 1987 Cc2902-1 Unlawful Restraint – M1 Quashed/Dismis/Demur Sus
3. September 3, 1987 Cc3304a2 Criminal Mischief – F3 Nolle Prossed/Withdrawn
4. September 3, 1987 Cc33502 Burglary – F1 Nolle Prossed/Withdrawn
5. September 3, 1987 Cc3701a1 Robbery – F1 Nolle Prossed/Withdrawn
6. September 3, 1987 Cc3921a Theft by Unlaw Tak F3 Nolle Prossed/Withdrawn
7. September 3, 1987 Cc3933a1 Unlaw Use Comp F3 Nolle Prossed/Withdrawn
8. December 5, 2006 1 18 §5503 §§ A2 Disorderly Conduct – Unreasonable Noise/ Withdrawn
9. December 5, 2006 1 18 §3926 §§ A4 Theft of Services-Aquisition / Withdrawn
10. December 5, 2006 1 18 §2709 §§ A7 Harassment Repeat In Manner/ Withdrawn
11. January 18, 2007 1 75 § 1543 §§ A Driving While Oper Priv Susp Or Revoked / Withdrawn
12. January 18, 2007 1 75 § 1786 §§ F Driving Without Reqd Insur / Withdrawn
13. January 23, 2007 1 285-21d No Parking or Stopping Permitted / Withdrawn
14. January 23, 2007 1 285-30a Meter Violation / Withdrawn
15. January 23, 2007 1 18 § 6501 §§ A1 Scatter Rubish Upon Land / Withdrawn
16. January 23, 2007 1 285-21d No Parking or Stopping Permitted / Withdrawn
17. January 23, 2007 1 285-30a Meter Violation / Withdrawn
18. April 30, 2007 1 18 § 5503 §§A4 Disorderly Conduct Hazardous/Phys Off Not Guilty
19. April 30, 2007 2 18 § 5507 §§A Obstruction of Hwy / Not Guilty
20. April 30, 2007 1 18 §2709 §§ A7 Harassment Repeat In Manner/ Not Guilty
21. April 30, 2007 1 75 § 3111 §§A Disregard Traffic Control Device / Not Guilty
22. May 10, 2007 M2 18 § 5104 Resist Arrest/Other Law Enforcement / Withdrawn
23. May 10, 2007 3M1 18 § 1543 §§ Make Rep/Sell/Etc Off Weap / Nolle Pros
24. May 29, 2007 1 75 § 1543 §§ A Driving While Oper Priv Susp Or Revoked / Not Guilty
25. November 1, 2007 S 75 § 3714 §§ A Careless Driving / Nolle Pros
26. November 1, 2007 S 75 § 3802 §§ A1\* DUI: Gen Imp/ Inc of Driv Safely / Nolle Pros
27. July 3, 2008 TR000185-08 Driving Under Suspension By PA State Police / Erased –  
Records Wrong
28. July 3, 2008 TR000185-08 DUI Charge By PA State Police / Erased – Records  
Wrong - CHARGES WERE FROM A COUNTY OUTSIDE PITTSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA, AND THE  
REAL DEFENDANT HAD THE SAME JULY 15 BIRTHDAY AND LAST NAME STARTING WITH CAT
29. February 2018 – harassment charge before MDJ Sponaugle

## **ARGUMENT TWO**

For the first time, a youtube video appeared on a website of a 2014 interview of Edward Snowden by Brian Williams and NBC NEWS outlining an NSA PROGRAM of REMOTE NEURAL MONITORING. The following is the link to that interview -

**Remote Neural Monitoring: How They Spy on Your Thoughts – Anonymous -**

[http://www.anonews.co/spy-on-your-thoughts/#disqus\\_thread](http://www.anonews.co/spy-on-your-thoughts/#disqus_thread)

How many times did you have thoughts that you never wanted to share with anyone, and have been constantly worried at the thought of someone ever finding out about these thoughts?

All of us have been through this process, and the new and improved technologies being developed around the world, supposedly to deal with crime and terrorism, and inadvertently intrude on one's privacy, should probably bring us all to the brink of paranoia.

These technologies are funded by governments at the highest level and some of the countries involved include USA, RUSSIA, CHINA, UK, Spain, Germany and France.

R.N.M. works remotely (ever wondered why have we all been driven relentlessly towards wireless systems?) to control the brain under the objective to detect any criminal thought taking place inside the mind of a possible culprit. Inevitable question: How can you isolate a criminal thought if you do not have a comparative measure of non-criminal thoughts?

This undertaking is based on two principles:

- The research studies have shown that the humanoid intellect thinks at a speed of about 5 kilobits per second and, therefore, does not have the capability to contest with supercomputers acting via satellites, implants and biotelemetry.
- The human brain has a characteristic set of bioelectric resonance structure. By using supercomputers, the R.N.M. system can home in on it, and send messages through an embedded individual's nervous system in order to affect their performance in a preferred way.

The entire system has been developed after about 50 years (!) of electromagnetic human experimentation, claimed to be involuntary. According to many scientists involved in this program (their names are not revealed for obvious reasons), within a few years it is expected that DNA microchips, under the guise of medical breakthroughs that will be presented to launch the disease cure processes on speed and efficiency, will be implanted in the humanoid cereberum, which would make it inherently controllable. R.N.M. will then have the ability to read and govern a person's emotional mental procedures along with the involuntary and visions.

**U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Systems are also used to compliment these illegal programs to silence WHISTLEBLOWERS and others that our government recognizes as a threat to their illegal strategies and those that are seeking the TRUTH. Synthetic Telepathy Coupled with Electromagnetic Weapons used for pain have been the ELECTRONIC WEAPONS OF CHOICE by the PERPETRATORS committing these haneus crimes against, PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE since at least 2005. Samuel P. Caterbone, Jr., the father of PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE, U.S. Navy 1943 to 1947 was a victim of MK-ULTRA and experienced the same effects since at least the early 1960's. Brainwashing techniques via electro-shock therapy, synthetic telepathy, vandalism to property, extortion of property and businesses were first used against him – a prototype for later use against PRO PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE. Ironically the Lancaster City Police Department were the AGENTS OF CHOICE in both occurrences.**

**PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE'S brother, Samuel A. Caterbone, (Sammy) (U.S. Air Force 1969-1971) received the same victimization through the use of the LSD experimnts of the same program while in the United States Air Force and after being discharged.**

**PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE declared that after an explosive harassment campaign, connection with the SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY occurred in 2004-2005. The synthetic telepathy first consisted of months of NON-STOP INTERROGATIONS BY MALE SUBJECTS WHO IDENTIFIED THEMSELVES AS CIA OPERATIVES. The interrogations lasted hours upon hours at a time and covered just about every aspect of Pro Se PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE'S life. The "HANDLERS", for lack of a better term, not only focused on the WHISTLEBLOWING ACTIVITIES OF ISC IN 1987, but also covered mundane everyday experiences, as a form to harass and torture.**

**In late spring of 2005, the "HANDLERS" introduce females to the sessions. To this day, the torture consists of the same, interrogations mixed in with harassment, sex, and humor. It is the opinion of Pro Se PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE, that the only way to keep from desensitizing and numbing the harassment and pain is to experience pleasure and laughter so as to keep the magnitude of the pain at it's highest level.**

**THIS CAN BE SUBSANTIATED AND VALIDATED BY THE FACT THAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION UNDER HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES GRANTED Pro Se PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE DISABILITY BENEFITS IN AUGUST OF 2009 FOR SYMPTOMS AND ILLNESSES RELATED TO U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL, AND IN FACT STATED IN THE AWARD LETTER THAT DISABILITY WAS DETERMINED TO BEGIN IN DECEMBER OF 2005; THE DATE PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE DECLARED THAT THE SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY HAD GONE FULL-TIME 24/7, WITHOUT INTERUPTION, TO THIS DAY.**

**The NEXUS to International Signal and Control, Plc., or ISC; the CIA; the NSA; Lancaster, Pennsylvania; and U.S. Sponsored Mind Control comes through ISC Board of Director, Admiral Bobby Ray Inman. Bobby Ray Inman was the former Director of the NSA, and The Director of U.S. Naval Intelligence. Like today, when foreign police is politicized for partisan reasons, patriots and traitors are often confused as being one in the same. The operations by ISC and the respective intelligence agencies were conspired for tactical and logistical reasons that the Department of Defense and others could not find a way to communicate it's objectives to Congress for approval without compromising it's missions. ISC founder James Guerin and others were indicted in 1991 and sentenced to prison terms in 1992.**

**One must remember that the U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Programs were the direct result of the Soviet Union's accomplishments using Microwave Technologies to bombard the U.S. Embassy in Moscow as early the 1950's and the use of German Psychiatrists by Adolf Hitler in the 1940's developing psychological warfare programs. Both the German and Soviet Mind Control Programs predate that of the United States. Thus, the beginning of the Mind Control Arms Race. Just this year, the Trump Administration introduced the NEW MILITARY SPACE AGENCY, in an effort to formalize the weaponization of Space and Microwave Weapons under one agency. This will convert the Department of Defense programs and that of the U. S. Intelligence Agencies to this new Military Space Agency.**

**PRO SE PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE** has pending **AMICUS BRIEFS** in the following courts related to illegal surveillance programs and U.S. Sponsored Mind Control:

- **UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT – Case No. 18-5803; CATERBONE v. Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, et. al,; a Landmark Habeus Corpus Case trying to set precedent that due to the illegal surveillance, torture, and control of a victims mind, HABEUS CORPUS should no longer be limited to a prison being defined as a physical facility.**
- **UNITED STATES THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS - Case No. 18-3326 CATERBONE v. The National Security Agency, or NSA**
- **UNITED STATES ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (Atlanta, GA) – Case No. 18-10134; AMICUS pending for Estaban Santiago, The Ft. Lauderdale Shooter Case**
- **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR WESTERN WASHINGTON (Seattle, WA) – Case No. CR18-0086-JCC; AMICUS for THANH CONG PHAN (Alleged Victim of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control who earlier this year mailed several packages containing explosives to Military Facilities in and around Washington, D.C. Who wrote letters complaining of common symptoms, including voices or synthetic telepathy and control of body parts, ie hands, arms, etc.,)**
- **Broward County Court (Broward County, Florida) – Case No. 18001958CF10A; AMICUS recorded on May 2, 2018 for Nikolas Cruz re THE PARKLAND HIGH SCHOOL MASSACRE(Nikolas Cruz was another alleged victim of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control, like Estaban Santiago was had some training by the U.S. Military in Firearms and Shooting, and also made specific claims of synthetic telepathy, or voices in the head. Both victims, like Steven Paddock in the Las Vegas Shooting Massacre, had been suspiciously allowed to slip through the hands of several law enforcement agencies prior to the shootings.)**

The following is a segment of a transcript from THE CONFERENCE ON HARASSMENT AND COVERT SURVEILLANCE of October 1 and October 2, 2016 in Germany. Dr. Nick Begich describes the Electronic Weapons, their history, and their exploitation by the U.S. Government. **IN ORDER TO DISCREDIT THE USE OF ELECTRONIC WEAPONS ON UNSUSPECTING U.S. CITIZENS ONE MUST BE ABLE TO LEGITIMATELY DISCREDIT DR. NICK BEGICH AND THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPPT.**

Dr. Nick Begich is the eldest son of the late United States Congressman from Alaska, Nick Begich Sr., and political activist Peggie Begich. He is well known in Alaska for his own political activities. He was twice elected President of both the Alaska Federation of Teachers and the Anchorage Council of Education. He has been pursuing independent research in the sciences and politics for most of his adult life. Begich received Doctor of Medicine (Medicina Alternativa), honoris causa, for independent work in health and political science, from The Open International University for Complementary Medicines, Colombo, Sri Lanka, in November 1994. He co-authored with Jeane Manning the book Angels Don't Play This HAARP; Advances in Tesla Technology. Begich has also authored Earth Rising - The Revolution: Toward a Thousand Years of Peace and his latest book Earth Rising II- The Betrayal of Science, Society and the Soul both with the late James Roderick. His latest work is Controlling the Human Mind – The Technologies of Political Control or Tools for Peak Performance. Begich has published articles in science, politics and education and is a well known lecturer, having presented throughout the United States and in nineteen countries. He has been featured as a guest on thousands of radio broadcasts reporting on his research activities including new technologies, health and earth science related issues. He has also appeared on dozens of television documentaries and other programs throughout the world including BBC-TV, CBC-TV, TeleMundo, and others. Begich has served as an expert witness and speaker before the European Parliament. He has spoken on various issues for groups representing citizen concerns, statesmen and elected officials, scientists and others. He is the publisher and co-owner of Earthpulse Press. He served as Tribal Administrator/Village Planner for the Chickaloon Village Council, a federally recognized American Indian Tribe of the Athabascan Indian Nation for five years and served four years as the Executive Director of The Lay Institute of Technology, Inc. a Texas non-profit corporation. Currently Begich consults for tribal organizations, private corporations and others in a number of research areas. Dr. Begich is credited with persuading the EUROPEAN UNION TO BAN SPACE BASED WEAPONS. Dr. Begich is a single person with five adult children, and four grandchildren.

#### **DR. NICK BEGICH 2015 LECTURE TRANSCRIPT**

“And so I decided I would go in and look into this issue independently. And I did. I picked up maybe 30 articles and papers, design specifications, some things, and with friends encouraging me to publish, I published the first article that I had written on HAARP back in 1994. So it's been a very long time ago, extremely low frequency signals or ELF's. These are signals that can be biologically active, can affect us as human beings and in very specific ways. And in particular, certain applications of the technology -- and this gives you sort of a graphic illustration of the focus. These view graphs, actually, were given to me by the inventor, Bernard Eastlund, prior to his passing. And we utilized them in our publications and in some of our work. So this gives you kind of a graphic showing the radio frequency from the array, moving up into the ionosphere and focusing that energy.

His initial concept was to create a global shield, to be able to utilize the natural magnetic field lines that surround the earth, be able to punch energy into this and then

create this kind of global shielding effect. And the idea was that any electronic device piercing that energy would be disrupted, like satellites, intercontinental ballistic missiles, virtually any electronic device that ran into this field of energy that was being amplified would be destroyed.

Now, one of the other interesting parts of this -- and this kind of shows that, again, utilizing one of Eastlund's graphics. So you can see like a field line and then you see this cork-screwing energy going around it. That energy naturally is occurring from the southern polar regions into the northern polar regions. And, in this case, they actually couple -- actually utilize the energy on the ground to couple with the natural energy, and then coming from the north to the south, create this cork-screwing effect, which accelerates the electrons and then creates this global shield.

Now, that was his initial concept. And as a concept, it caught the attention of a number of people and eventually got funding from the Congress, initially at 30 million, and over the last few decades now over almost 300 million, which in dollars is not a lot of money, but when you consider, this is sort of the pinnacle of billions of dollars spent in ionosphere research over many decades.

What caught my attention in terms of the technology of Eastlund -- I'm going to skip some of these that are not so relevant -- was the idea that you could manipulate the ionosphere -- and I'll use this as a better example. So one of the thoughts was that if you could punch or pulse energy into the ionosphere -- so think about this energy going up and, like, a hammer ringing a bell. Every time it hits that ionosphere, the ionosphere itself vibrates and then it begins to send a signal in the ELF range. So you have a high frequency signal going up, punching the ionosphere, the ionosphere which is energized then acts as a broadcast antenna in the sky bringing back an ELF signal to the earth, and in this case covering an entire hemisphere.

Now, ELF signals, extremely low frequency signals, are very long wavelengths. They penetrate the earth and sea. They're utilized for communication with submarines, as an example, the depth, because short wavelengths won't penetrate the earth and sea. They're also used for what's called earth-penetrating tomography, which in simple language or by analogy would be like x-raying the earth or looking into the earth for underground structures. But one of the side effects of ELF is the entrainment effect on the human mind. Because ELF signals will lock onto in what's called a frequency following response. And you can use a lot of different techniques to create a frequency following response. You can use flickering light, you can use binaural beat, which I'll explain in a few minutes. You can use electromagnetic fields such as this, or even the power grid itself can be modulated in such a way to create a signal that the human body will join with, will couple with, and begin to follow. It doesn't take a great deal of energy to accomplish this. This is the one that triggered my real interest in HAARP, because this is the one that everybody kind of ignored and said, oh. In fact, the HAARP planners said, well, if ELF has a biological effect, it's a side effect. It's an unintended consequence. We heard that phrase earlier today. But I don't believe that. I believe that it's an intended consequence. It's intended to grade populations in very specific ways.

Now, this book was mentioned by my friend earlier today, "Between Two Ages", by Zbigniew Brzezinski. (FATHER OF MSNBC HOST MIKA BRZEZINSKI OF MORNING JOE (Joe Scarborough whom both got married this Spring)).

And why this is an important book, it was written National Security Adviser to

President Carter. This is around the time that Kissinger and think tank, so to speak. Now, find this book. I really encourage you to find this book and read it, because it is not a prediction of what will come with technology. It's the blueprint, in fact. You can read this. When I first read it -- he writes in a pretty convoluted style. It's a little difficult even in English to understand. But I really encourage you to read it because he predicted the economic changes that took place, the political changes that took place in the world over the last 40 years with a great deal of accuracy. And some will say it really wasn't the prediction. It was the plan.

If you look within this text between Pages and 56, you'll see a section that's dedicated to the kind of technologies we're talking about today, a mind control technology. And what Zbigniew referenced was a guy named J. F. Gordon MacDonald. And he was a geophysicist at UCL. He wrote a book -- or, actually, a chapter in a book and the chapter was called, "How to Wreck Your Environment", which this is before Earth Day, okay, so he could get away with that. It was 1969 when that book was actually published. But what he said that caught Zbigniew Brzezinski's attention was, he said, if we could ever figure out how to electronically stroke the ionosphere in just the right way, we could return a signal to the earth that would influence the behavior of people over huge geographic areas.

Now, that's a pretty profound thing. But we didn't have a way to stroke the ionosphere in 1969. But we did by the 1990s. And that was, in fact, and is, in fact, the HAARP system that can accomplish this. So the idea was -- and it was kind of, in a gross sort of way, primitive, if you will, but what the intention was, was that if you could pulse the ionosphere, return this ELF signal to the earth, you could agitate the population in very specific ways. You could make them passive, less aggressive, or the opposite by just amplifying that signal. And I'll explain a little bit of that as we go on.

The other person that comes out in the last presentations, Jose Delgado, and this book he wrote, "Physical Control of the Mind: Toward a Psychocivilized Society". And this is, again, a 1960s book. Those images that you saw in just this short video clip of Delgado's work, there is a good section in this text as well showing that. But in those days they used implants. They had to physically put something in the brain. And what Jose Delgado originally did -- he was actually educated in electrophysiology at the University of Madrid. He graduated in 1950. Electrophysiology, as a degree field, thinking about it in 1950, now 65 years ago, most people don't even realize that's an area of higher education today, much less that far back. One of my mentors, Raul Makayla (phonetic), he actually graduated University of Madrid in 1958, and his area of interest was, essentially, the same, biomagnetic and electric fields, effects on human physiology, and he spent his career studying that.

Delgado, at Yale University, he initially was mapping the brain of primates and humans by stimulating various portions of the brain to figure out what was responsible for what kind of activity. And then he began to utilize the implants in those dramatic ways in those film clips with the charging bull and he throws the switch and the bull stops, to demonstrate that you could take a creature from passive to highly aggressive to passive to highly aggressive, just like flipping on and off the lights in your living room. What Delgado discovered by the mid-'80s is that you didn't need any implants. You just needed to manipulate the energy itself. And you didn't need a great deal of energy. Now, we've heard -- I forget the amount of energy surrounding us now. Was it a quintillion? I believe it was 18 zeros after the one that we heard earlier. Back when I wrote the HAARP book in '94, just radio frequency energy alone, it was 200 million times more around us every day than nature created in 1994. Now, when you think

about it, what did Delgado discover? He discovered that one-fiftieth of the amount of energy in the natural background noise of the earth was sufficient to manipulate the behavior of human beings if you could hit the right frequencies, if you could hit those window frequencies that stimulated that kind of activity.

Now, if you think about this -- again, by analogy, think about dialing through the radio stations on a radio. In between the stations you get the white noise, the static, you get no clear signal. But when you have resonance between the transmitter and the receiver, then you get a nice, clear signal. The same is true in our physiology. Whether you're looking at stimulating or affecting a specific element in the body, molecules in the body, cell structures or organ structures or even the human mind, it's about manipulating the underlying energy.

When you think about medical science in terms of how the fork in the road is and how this kind of applies to why don't we know more about this today, well, there's lots of literature now. 25,000 sources, I think we heard quoted earlier today. 25,000 sources talking about the energy interactions with the human body. That is a lot of information. But what happened in medical science? A lot of people that went into life sciences, they were really good in the chemistry, a little weak in the math, so they went to life sciences. People who were a little better in the math, they went to physics. And then once upon a time, these two came together and we got biophysics, which is really the root of real health science. If you really want to get to the meat of it, you've got to get to the energetic interactions that create chemical reactions that then manifest in the body.

And that's what we kind of miss in so much of our medicine. We followed a pharmaceutical model as opposed to an electromagnetic model. In the '30s, prior to World War II, that fork in the road was being explored pretty aggressively, the energetic models. But they were somehow lost in that shuffle and we ended up with the pharmaceutical industry, and the results of that, I think we can all not be quite so proud of. In terms of where the technology is going and where a lot of the science is going, it will be electromedicine that cures most of what we call incurable. It will also be that which enhances or debilitates human consciousness itself.

Now, Jose Delgado, when he figured out that you didn't need implants, you just need to manipulate the energy itself, this became kind of the essence of sort of where everything went from there. But I want to roll back a little bit, a little bit back in time, and talk more about the evolution of mind control as technology starting with the work at Harvard University of a gentleman, Estabrook, who was working in the Harvard hypnotherapy labs in the 1920s. You can look Estabrook up. Look him up at the Library of Congress and you'll see his list of publications, and I recommend that you do that. What he decided was that you could create what we would call today a Manchurian candidate. You could take certain individuals, put them in a very, very deep state of hypnosis and then over a period of time train them so they would be like this super spy that you could send into another country and they'd hang out for a year or two, but if they got captured, they wouldn't really remember any of their former self, and then when they came back, in this case, into the United States, that we would then give them the appropriate suggestions and then extract the intelligence from them.

And this is what Estabrook was working on. By the 1930s, a lot of his work was being classified and he continued to work in this field up through the 1960s. His last book -- and I always like to read the last thing someone writes, you know, because it tells you a lot about, sort of, the conclusions and there are things that you might discard bits

and pieces as science enlightens you and your experience enlightens you. And what he talked about in that last book were the experiments he was involved in using LSD and other 11 hallucinogenics in mind control, which he actually talked about in a favorable sense.

And for those of you that remember, the CIA was heavily involved in this in the 1960s and, in fact, the whole area pre-1960s going back even to the Korean War, which is sort of my next mark on the timeline. The Korean War, we had prisoners, patriotic young Americans come back from war and they're handing out Communist leaflets on street corners, and the term or the phrase brainwashing came into being. That's where it came from. It came from that series of events after the Korean War.

The idea of being able to manipulate people's behavior and change them so profoundly became of interest to the predecessor of the Central Intelligence Agency and then later the Central Intelligence Agency. And what they looked at were lots of different ways to

3 manipulate human behavior. Now, when I was researching my first book with Jeane Manning, "Angels Don't Play This HAARP", I was looking for a good source document that would speak to this, because you always read about this in secondary, tertiary sources. And so I'm in a book room, a big surplus book room, and I'm telling this guy that I know, I really need this source. And as I'm having this conversation, I reach unconsciously behind me into a box and I pull out this book. This is a really interesting one. This is actually a presidential report. This is a report that was commissioned at the time, 1975, to look at the abuses of the CIA. Now, this came out of the Church Committee reports, which were Congressional hearings that took place in the early '70s to investigate the abuses of the Central Intelligence Agency, the kind of abuses that we read about today, because nothing really changed. Supposedly, this was to change things.

Now, what's in this report? The LSD experiments were in this report. The idea the Central 24 Intelligence Agency was domestically infiltrating civil rights groups, antiwar groups, people that, essentially, opposed the government within the United States, which was not part of their mandate, in fact, was illegal. The fact that they were reading people's mail, utilizing unwitting victims and experiments for mind control. All of this came out in this report in 1975. And yet, the CIA continues to do it even to this day.

Think about the kinds of activities that have been reported pretty widely over the last few years, whether it's digging through garbage to blackmail other diplomats, which is something our Intelligence community does, whether it's to send pallet loads of money into countries like we did in Afghanistan to bribe officials as a way of doing business, or whether it's to assassinate people with drones, kidnap people and torture them.

Now, most of us don't know people like this, but this is the government that I unfortunately have guiding my country right now. It's a government of criminals. Now, people want to talk about, oh, this couldn't happen here. It has happened here. It's happened for decades in the United States. This report by the President's Commission touches the very tip of that iceberg.

This is another document. This is -- this guy, Captain Tyler, he later became a colonel and retired. He was involved in pretty much the sort of esoteric side of some of the government research. This book -- this chapter out of this book is called, "Low-Intensity 5 Conflict and Modern Technology". It was prepared by Maxwell Air Force

Base in 1984. And it was talking about a large -- a variety of technologies. But in this particular one, if you look at, certainly, the subject lines, you have stimulation of, bones\* generation, healing of fractures, treatment of disease, healing of wounds. You look at behavior modification in animals. You know, some of these things that are listed here were kind of under the mysterious category. They couldn't really explain what was happening in 1984. But the idea was to stimulate research in these fields. So a number of things happened. A lot of money started to flow into these areas. One of the reports that came out in the 1980s as a result of some of this was the Radiofrequency Dosimetry Handbook. It was a 21 big, thick handbook. It was produced by the University of Utah under contract to the United States Air Force to determine the radiofrequency dosages that were required to override every vital organ of the human body, whether it be the heart, the liver, the lungs, the kidneys, just sort of preempt their natural function to be able to do it remotely. And the idea was to take that leap of technology and begin to apply it into weapon systems.

Mind control. "The Economist". Cover story. Some of you will remember this one. This is 2002, I believe. Yeah, 2002. And what this cover story was about was about the ethics of mind control. Not saying, hey, does it exist or doesn't it exist? It's just saying it's here right now. We really need to be debating whether we should advance this technology, whether we should limit this technology. "The Economist" is certainly a credible publication. Not too much happened from this story. This is -- unfortunately, it's not showing up.

November -- you can get this one on my website. I'll give you my website, because this is a very important document. The Navy set up a new set of regulations for human experiments. It was approved in 2006. You know, in history, that's like tomorrow and yesterday, right? It's, like, now. And in this they specifically call out mind control experiments and who has the authority to approve them. And the persons with the authority to approve them is under secretaries of the Navy in the case of Navy Intelligence or Naval research.

Now, it's not just CIA. Naval Intelligence does this work. The Marines have a section on non-lethal weapons that this falls under. The Air Force has the electromagnetic directorate, which is working in an area called controlled effects, which deal, again, with mind control and physiological effects on human beings. In fact, they publish a publication called "Technology Horizons". I believe it's the June 2004 issue. You can look it up. The cover story is on controlled effects.

Now, what are controlled effects? The first effect is attacking hardware; you know, like equipment, like machines, to be able to interfere with the flow of electrons through circuits to disrupt those machines to operate. Not using bombs and bullets and the things of history, but using energy itself to manipulate hardware.

The second sort of level of controlled effects talked about in that article is the idea of manipulating the software, the systems that run those systems, so that you can disrupt the software, then you disrupt the hardware, and things collapse. And the third leg of controlled effects is the human operator. And what they say in this particular article produced by the electromagnetic director of the Air Force is that we can target or create the illusion of all of the senses in the human body; sight, sound, touch, taste, to give people complete memory sets, complete experiential sets that you wouldn't be able to distinguish the synthetic from the real.

Now, think about that for a moment. What does that do to court testimony in 20 years if this becomes the norm? They're now talking about using this for post-traumatic stress syndrome folks, people coming back from warfare. This just sort of cleans up the garbage of the mind and gets rid of that stress. Some people think that's a great idea.

Personally, I think that's the biggest mistake we could ever make. Because whatever those servicemen and women were engaged in – what used to happen in warfare -- what happened in World War II when everybody came back, they said, I don't ever want to see my children in these things, I don't want to see my grandchildren in these things. Wars need to be put down, not amplified. When you take the human factor out of warfare and it becomes like a video game, then our willingness to withdraw from direct conflict no longer happens. And think about where our military science has gone. In fact, I want to mention another very important publication. It was produced by the US Army War College (C ARLISLE, PENNSYLVANIA) in the early 1980s. It's called the "Revolution of Military Affairs and Conflict Short of War". And this particular paper was talking about revolution of military affairs. What is that exactly?

This is a leap as important as the introduction of gunpowder in the middle ages in Europe, as important as atomic weapons in the last century. And that's how they characterize it. And what this is is the leap where we move from ordinance, from bullets, bombs, things that rip tissue and tear things up, to electromagnetic weapon systems that keep sort of the hardware intact, but debilitate the human operator to the point of being combat ineffective. Or conversely, enhance the possibilities within our own combatants while degrading the abilities of others.

There's simple ways that you can achieve this. You can introduce to the battlefield certain elemental compounds that in small background amounts would not be considered dangerous. Let me give you iodine as an example. We all need a certain amount of iodine in the body to maintain thyroid function. But if you have too much iodine, you'll get poisoned. You'll die. You'll get sick. So what can you do? You can send a signal in that resonates the very same signal strength and frequency as iodine, you can send that signal in and the body will begin to react as if its gotten this massive load of iodine and show all the symptoms of iodine poisoning. You check the thyroid; check the blood, it's not there. Mystery illness. Something as simple as that for manipulating large populations, not necessarily with their consent and not necessarily with clear knowledge, and nothing shows up in the background that would say why this poison actually exists that would account for this. A simple way.

One of the other ways that this technology can be exploited is really quite simple. There was an article produced by "Parameters", which is a military publication. "Parameters". You can look it up. It's the -- I believe it was the Fall 1998, but you can look up the article name called, "The Mind has no Firewall".

It's a very important article. This article talked about all the various ways in which you could introduce mind effects or mind control technologies using modern technology today. And the original article was actually written in a military journal called "Orienteer" published in what is now Russia. What's interesting about this is it said you could use any electromagnetic carrier, whether it be radio, TV, the Internet, now cell phones; but, essentially, any of these carriers, you can modulate a signal on them that will manipulate behavior of segments of the population. And the Russians demonstrated this in a couple of different ways. There was a program. It was called "Undercurrents". It aired in the CBC, which is the Canadian Public Broadcasting System. "Undercurrents" did two really interesting stories that I got to participate in.

One was on HAARP and the other was on mind control. And on the mind control story that they did -- this was a very popular program in Canada at the time and this particular segment was their highest rated that they had ever run. And they had folks that came in who were involved in the "Star Wars" initiative during the Reagan Administration that couldn't talk about what they did in the White House, but they could talk about what they observed in Russia.

And one of the things that they talked about was the idea that you could -- you could create, sort of, this white noise and on this white noise carry a signal. And so they put out this message, bring us cake. And Russians at tea time, you know, they eat these little cakes? I guess you do that in other parts of Europe as well.

And so at the appointed time they began to broadcast this. And workers from within that building and on the street were bringing cake into the meeting room without really knowing why they were doing it. They just felt like doing it. Well, that was, in fact, what they were programmed to do. Now, this goes back. We're talking about 15-year-old technology. And when you think about sort of where did it go from there, in 2006, there were a couple contracts left by DARPA, which does research for the defense industry in the United States. And DARPA used to be run by a guy named Tony Tether. Tony Tether was a good friend of Ben Eastlund's. Now, what they were doing then was, they had left two contracts to the University of California for what's called electronic telepathy. Okay. Electronic telepathy. The idea of reading another person's mind at a distance by analyzing the emanations coming from this area, being able to analyze that and determine and interpret what it is. And then the other half of the contract was to create complex signals to see if you could transfer that array, so to speak, of signals into another person's consciousness and whether they would perceive the same images.

Now, there was work done by Elizabeth Rauscher and her late husband, Bill Van Bise, in this very same area. In fact, I have a copy of their unpublished paper where they actually built an electronic circuit where they could take a person in one room and a person in another room, attach this person to that circuit and his person to that circuit, and then, you know, the psychic card where they show the triangles, the squares and the circles and the little squiggly lines, a hundred percent accurate with nine test subjects who had never experienced consciously in any way any sense of extrasensory perceptions or psychic perceptions. They did it with hardware, transferring thoughts from one to the other.

Now -- which tells me it's probably a little more simple than what DARPA's doing. But when Ben Eastlund was doing work on HAARP and he was doing other work for DARPA at the time and we had talked about him in our first publication, and then actually after publication became friends, and Ben Eastlund's attitude was, you know, some things just shouldn't be done. And one of the things that he had been working on was whether modification technologies, which he was at that time and when we first met, making that technology available to the military. And after some dialogue with us and others, he decided there's certain technologies that are not safe in the hands of military.

At one point along the course I began doing quite a bit of work on this whole mind effects issue. And I caught the attention of a woman, Dorothy Lay. Now, Dorothy is one of the heirs to the Lay, as in Frito-Lay and PepsiCo Corporation. So a very wealthy family. Dorothy was very interested in this technology specifically as it applied to victims. And so she approached me at one point and asked if I would become a

member of her board of directors for a non-profit that she was setting up to deal with these kinds of technologies. And I've got to think about whether I should tell you the rest of this story, and I think I will, because why not?

So I have this thing, intuition. All of us have it. I used to not pay as much attention to it as I do today. When my intuition tells me something, I listen, because when I really think about it, it's never been wrong. Neither has yours, if you really think about it. Or maybe you don't think about it and just start acting on it. So my intuition said -- and this was a very difficult time for me in 2002. In 2002, I had been betrayed by a very good friend, economically was bankrupt in the middle of this work, because I started this work in '94. Economically was being crushed. And at that point I was deciding whether I was going to stay in this work at all.

And I'm going through a bunch of my files and I see this file and it's marked Lay. And I remember this person had contacted us needing some information and we provided it. And we never charge for that. I mean, the way we operated is, I sold books. And people like you bought my books. And they allowed me to do this work. I didn't write for grants where somebody could manipulate me and control me and tell me what to say. I didn't go out and find some publisher that would edit out my work. I risked my own money. I published my book."

in 28 §2242 as prescribed by law, The PRO SE PLAINTIFF alleges violations according to the 1867 interpretation of the federal Habeus CORPUS statutes which states: "where any person may be restrained of his or her liberty in violation of the constitution, or of any treaty or law of the United States."

## **REMOTE NEURAL MONITORING: HOW THEY SPY ON YOUR THOUGHTS**

**Remote Neural Monitoring: How They Spy on Your Thoughts – Anonymous - CLICK ON THIS LINKS**

How many times did you have thoughts that you never wanted to share with anyone, and have been constantly worried at the thought of someone ever finding out about these thoughts?

All of us have been through this process, and the new and improved technologies being developed around the world, supposedly to deal with crime and terrorism, and inadvertently intrude on one's privacy, should probably bring us all to the brink of paranoia.

These technologies are funded by governments at the highest level and some of the countries involved include USA, UK, Spain, Germany and France.

Recently, the infamous National Security Agency (NSA) of the U.S.A. has developed a very efficient method of controlling the human brain.

<https://youtu.be/ZBsIsLRHCEw>

**EDWARD SNOWDEN IN 2014 INTERVIEW WITH NBC NEWS BRIAN WILLIAMS  
DISCLOSING NSA'S REMOTE NEURAL MONITORING PROGRAM LIVE ON THE AIR**

<https://youtu.be/ZBsIsLRHCEw>

This technology is called Remote Neural Monitoring (R.N.M.) and is expected to revolutionize crime detection and investigation.

R.N.M. works remotely (ever wondered why have we all been driven relentlessly towards wireless systems?) to control the brain under the objective to detect any criminal thought taking place inside the mind of a possible culprit. Inevitable question: How can you isolate a criminal thought if you do not have a comparative measure of non-criminal thoughts?

This undertaking is based on two principles:

- The research studies have shown that the humanoid intellect thinks at a speed of about 5 kilobits per second and, therefore, does not have the capability to contest with supercomputers acting via satellites, implants and biotelemetry.
- The human brain has a characteristic set of bioelectric resonance structure. By using supercomputers, the R.N.M. system can home in on it, and send messages through an embedded individual's nervous system in order to affect their performance in a preferred way.

The entire system has been developed after about 50 years (!) of neuro-electromagnetic human experimentations, claimed to be involuntary, but there is no evidence to support this claim. According to many scientists involved in this program (their names are not revealed for obvious reasons), within a few years it is expected that DNA microchips, under the guise of medical breakthroughs that will be presented to launch the disease cure processes on speed and efficiency, will be implanted in the humanoid cereberum, which would make it inherently controllable. R.N.M. will then have the ability to read and govern a person's emotional mental procedures along with the involuntary and visions.

At present, around the world, supercomputers are watching millions of people at the same time, with the speed of 20 terabits per second, particularly in countries like USA, Japan, Israel and a number of European countries. A similar program is supposedly under way in Russia.

How does R.N.M. work? It employs a set of programs functioning at different levels, like:

7. The signals intelligence system which applies electromagnetic frequencies (EMF), to excite the brain for the system and the electronic brain link (EBL).
8. The Brain Stimulation system that has been planned as particle emission intelligence, which means receiving information from unintentionally created electromagnetic waves in the environment. However, it is not related to radioactivity or nuclear detonation.
9. The recording machines that have electronic equipment to examine electrical action in human beings from afar. This computer-generated brain charting can always record all electrical events in the cerebrum.
10. The recording aid system deciphers individual brain maps for security purposes.

The underlining technology of this system takes under consideration that the electrical activity in the speech center of the brain, can be translated into the subject's verbal thoughts. R.N.M. can send encrypted signals to the audio cortex of the brain directly circumventing the ear. This encoding assists in detecting audio communication. It can also perform electrical mapping of the cerebrum's activity from the visual center, which is achieved by avoiding the eyes and optic nerves, consequently projecting imageries from the subject's mind onto a video display. With this visual and audio memory, both can be visualized and analyzed.

The machinery involved can, remotely and non-evasively, detect information by digitally decoding the evoked potentials in 30-50Hz, 5 mW electromagnetic emissions from the cerebrum. Evoked potentials are called the spikes and patterns created by the nerves, as they produce a shifting electrical pattern with an ever-changing magnetic instability, which then puts on a constant amount of electromagnetic waves. The interesting part about this is that the entire exercise is carried out without any physical contact with the subject.

The EMF emissions can be decoded into current thoughts and audiovisual perception, in the subject's gumption. It sends complicated cyphers and electromagnetic pulse signals to activate evoked potentials inside the mind, consequently generating sound and visual input in the neural circuits. With its speech, auditory and visual communication arrays, R.N.M. allows for a comprehensive audio-visual mind-to-mind connection or a mind-to-computer association.

The mechanism needs to decrypt the resonance frequency of each specific site to modulate the input of information in that specific location of the cerebrum.

Furthermore, R.N.M. can detect audio via microwaves, and features the broadcast of precise directives into the subconscious, producing visual disorders, illusions and instillation of words and numbers into the brain through radiation waves.

With all the given paybacks for tracing the unlawful and traitorous activities, there are many alarms and dangers being pointed out by human rights advocates and scientists. The agencies of human rights, worldwide, have criticized the system as an affront to

the basic human rights because it violates privacy and the dignity of considerations and events of life.

Several countries have opposed it and refer to it as an offence on their human and civil rights. Along with other biological concerns voiced by scientists, R.N.M. remains a controversial technology, which is being used in many countries for security maintenance and surveillance.

---

**References:**

**Robert C. Gunn, PhD, Arbor, Michigan, NSA clinical psychologist currently indicted for human and Constitutional rights violations of Mind Control. Extracts from the passage of the affidavit of the indictment. Declassified documents by NSA of the MKULTRA project R.G. Malech Patent #3951134 "Apparatus and method for remotely monitoring and altering brain waves" USPTO granted 4/20/76**

---

**FOIA MILITARY and INTELLIGENCE REPORTS OF U.S. SPONSORED MIND  
CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES**

1. **SCAN NIGHTLINE MAY 23, 1991**
2. **Legal Implications of the 1959 Soviet Microwave Bombardment of the U.S Embassy January 17, 2017**
3. **Air Force Instruction 90-401, DIRECTED ENERGY WEAPONS SAFETY September 22, 2017**
4. **Defense Intelligence Manual & ABC News Nightline on Remote Viewing May 6, 2010**
5. **DefenseNews Story by Joe Pitts ELECTROMAGNETIC Weapons and Founder of the Electronic Warfare Working Group for Securing Weapons of the Future**
6. **Electromagnetic pulse (Weapons) threat to be analyzed By Navy Sea Systems Command April 3, 2010**
7. **Electromagnetic Weapons Transcript by CNN in 1985**
8. **Electronic Warfare Report by GAO to Armed Service Committee With ELECTROMAGNETIC WEAPONS CENTER of 2012**
9. **Report - Nonlethal Weapons Could Target Brain; Mimic Pschizophrenia Febraury 18, 2008**
10. **REPORT by NATIONAL DEFENSE INTELLEGENCE AGENCY re INTERROGATION - U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL from WIKILEAKS by Advanced Media Group October 27, 2016**
11. **US Electromagnetic Weapons and Human Rights**

## Patents

~~Try the new Google Patents, with machine-classified Google Scholar results, and Japanese and South Korean patents.~~

**Publication number** US3951134 A  
**Publication type** Grant  
**Application number** US 05/494,518  
**Publication date** Apr 20, 1976  
**Filing date** Aug 5, 1974  
**Priority date** Aug 5, 1974  
**Inventors** Robert G. Malech  
**Original Assignee** Dorne & Margolin Inc.  
**Export Citation** BiBTeX, EndNote, RefMan  
**Patent Citations** (8), **Referenced by** (23),  
**Classifications** (10)

**External Links:** USPTO, USPTO Assignment,  
Espacenet

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to apparatus and a method for monitoring brain waves wherein all components of the apparatus employed are remote from the test subject. More specifically, high frequency transmitters are operated to radiate electromagnetic energy of different frequencies through antennas which are capable of scanning the entire brain of the test subject or any desired region thereof. The signals of different frequencies penetrate the skull of the subject and impinge upon the brain where they mix to yield an interference wave modulated by radiations from the brain's natural electrical activity. The modulated interference wave is re-transmitted by the brain and received by an antenna at a remote station where it is demodulated, and processed to provide a profile of the subject's brain waves. In addition to passively monitoring his brain waves, the subject's neurological processes may be affected by transmitting to his brain, through a transmitter, compensating signals. The latter signals can be derived from the received and processed brain waves.

### **OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION**

It is therefore an object of the invention to remotely monitor electrical activity in the entire brain or selected local regions thereof with a single measurement.

Another object is the monitoring of a subject's brain wave activity through transmission and reception of electromagnetic waves.

Still another object is to monitor brain wave activity from a position remote from the subject.

A further object is to provide a method and apparatus for affecting brain wave activity by transmitting electromagnetic signals thereto.

### **DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Other and further objects of the invention will appear from the following description and the accompanying drawings, which form part of the instant specification and which are to be read in conjunction therewith, and in which like reference numerals are used to indicate like parts in the various views;

FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing the interconnection of the components of the apparatus of the invention;

## ARGUMENT THREE


### THE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY

STAN J. CATERBONE AND ADVANCED MEDIA GROUP HAVE JUST COMPLETED A MORE THOUGHROUGH ANALYSIS OF THE EVENTS SURROUNDING THE CRIMINAL EXTORTION AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY OF 1987. This analysis centers around the extortion of Financial Management Group, Ltd., and all other associated business interests. The recent announcement of the retirement of U.S. Federal Judge Lawrence Stengel and the subsequent announcement of the Lancaster-based law firm Saxton & Stump encouraged the new analysis. This is the type of firm that STAN J. CATERBONE and THE ADVANCED MEDIA GROUP would have retained under normal circumstances.



The announcement and related news article in the Lancaster Newspaper reads - *"Retired judge announces plan to lead projects, Stengel to spearhead mediation, investigations for Lancaster firm"*

"Four days after his retirement from the federal bench, Judge Lawrence F. Stengel announced Tuesday he will lead a new mediation center and internal investigations practice at the Lancaster-based law firm Saxton & Stump. Stengel stepped down Friday after 14 years as a U.S. District Court judge — and his final year as chief judge — in Pennsylvania's nine-county Eastern District. He previously served on the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas for 14 years before his federal appointment in 2004. "This is an exciting new direction. As much as I loved my career as a judge, — and I truly did love it — my work was in Philadelphia, and my home is here," said Stengel, 66. "I am very happy to re-engage in the Lancaster community."



The analysis begins with the Preliminary Hearing Transcript of October 2, 1987 for the criminal charges lodged by the Detective Larry Mathias, (a known member of the Masonic Lodge) and the Manheim Township Police Department and the Lancaster County District Attorney Office of September 2, 1987. On a side note; Detective Larry Mathias later joined the Lancaster County District Attorney's Office. The criminal charges were a blatant attempt to cover-up the fraud allegations of International Signal and Control, Plc., or ISC and as a means of extorting the business interests from Stan J. Caterbone, including but not limited to Financial Management Group, Ltd., After the merger of ISC and Ferranti of England were completed in late 1987, all of the criminal charges were dismissed prior to any proceedings since the preliminary hearing.



In 1989 the British Press reported - LONDON -- "Ferranti International Signal PLC, Britain's third-largest defense contractor, has been conned out of millions of dollars in false or inflated contracts when it purchased a Pennsylvania-based arms manufacturer two years ago", a company spokesman said Monday.

Analysts said the scandal could leave Ferranti insolvent. One analyst predicted a rescue bid, perhaps led by the British government, and the likely removal of top management who approved the acquisition.

The scandal has raised questions of whether Ferranti can survive as an independent company. Defense contractors Thomson-CSF of France and Daimler-Benz AG of West Germany are reported to be the front runners attempting to acquire Ferranti.

On Friday, Ferranti, whose share trading was suspended a week ago as its financial troubles became public, said it suspected 'significant irregularities' in overseas contracts of ISC Technologies and called in a new accounting firm, Coopers & Lybrand to investigate.

Ferranti believed it had been misled about the value of contracts by International Signal and Control Technologies, the Lancaster, Pa. company it acquired for \$654 million in November 1987.

Losses of \$233 million to \$311 million are being quoted in British newspapers, but Ferranti did not release any estimate."

Years later, in 1996 the book titled "THE SECRET HISTORY OF HOW THE WHITE HOUSE ILLEGALLY ARMED IRAQ - SPIDERS WEB" the full breath of the scandal is laid out and the complex web of what transpires when the interests of national security conflict with the politics of the day. James Geurin should never have been indicted in the first place. ISC was an instrument of foreign police. Consider the fact that the sales of weapons, no matter who they were sold to, being they were covert operations had to be omitted from the accounting books of the public ISC corporation, thus simultaneously defrauding shareholders, which Stan J. Caterbone was since 1983, and Ferranti. Remember, Great Britain was one of the United States closest allies, and the premise that MI5 was not part of the integrate scheme is not very credible. Excerpts from the book follow:

<sup>1</sup>Guerin filled the ranks of ISC with former military and intelligence officers, talking all the time of the multinational colossus he planned to create. In his relentless drive to make money and attach some importance to his life, he was willing to call in favors wherever he could. He was also prepared to break the law. In 1975, he began selling defense goods to South Africa, in violation of the longstanding UN arms embargo that had been imposed because of the country's regime of apartheid. The United States was observing the embargo at the time, but this did not matter to Guerin. One day in 1975, he called an aide to his office and told him he wanted to procure a variety of electronic components and arrange their shipment to South Africa. By hook or by crook, International Signal and Control was finally going to live up to its name by achieving sales outside the United States. The South Africans, Guerin told his aide, were "fed up" dealing with European distributors of defense electronics; ISC would now take the lead.

<sup>1</sup> "The Secret History of How The White House Illegally Armed Iraq - SPIDERS WEB" by Alan Friedman December 1993

What he did not tell his employee was the reason he felt able to go about breaking American export laws so cavalierly. That year, James Guerin struck a deal with the government of the United States; he had entered the world of espionage and found a way to ship goods to South Africa.

In 1975, working closely with Admiral Bobby Ray Inman, then the director of naval intelligence, Guerin became a trusted covert operator for the Ford administration. The United States wanted to spy on Soviet ships off South Africa's coast, and the plan was to have Guerin ship advanced electronic sensors, optics, and related goods to South Africa in an intelligence venture that would supply the listening posts at the Simontown naval station, off the Cape of Good Hope, with what it needed. Despite the official U.S. policy of seeking to isolate Pretoria, the leaders of both countries shared a fervent anti-Soviet sentiment. Business between the two could thus be rationalized in terms of the need to fight the cold war. In exchange for the electronics technology, Pretoria agreed to share the information it had on Soviet ships and submarines with Washington.

Admiral Inman was the most prominent intelligence official to work with Guerin on the clandestine operation, which was code-named Project X. "ISC had the South African connection," Inman later confirmed. But Guerin's institutional partner in the covert operation was the National Security Agency (NSA), the secretive American electronic surveillance agency.

In order to facilitate ISC's shipments to South Africa while conceal-ing the hand of the American government in the operation, the NSA helped Guerin set up a front company called Gamma Systems Associates. Gamma was not really a company at all; it was just the address of an office located in the Jamaica, Queens, section of New York City, near Kennedy International Airport, used mainly to generate shipping records. In the jargon of the intelligence world, Gamma was a mail and phone drop, a destination through which ISC could send equipment before the goods were shipped out from New York aboard airliners bound for South Africa.

Guerin set up a specially walled-off area at ISC's headquarters in Lancaster that did nothing but liaise with intelligence contacts in Washington, procure goods for Gamma, and arrange for their delivery to the address near Kennedy airport. No one was allowed to enter the restricted zone without authorization from Guerin, and those given such authorization had to tap a special code into a lock on the door. Former colleagues recalled that as Project X developed between 1975 and 1977, Guerin seemed to relish the intrigue almost as much as the profits derived from his sales to South Africa. While to his neighbors in Lancaster, Guerin . seemed the perfect family man, singing in his local church choir and always generous when it came to civic causes, he was actually becoming something of a Jekyll and Hyde figure. The select group of ISC executives who were party to Project X watched his ego grow by the day, along with his penchant for the twilight world of spies.

<sup>2</sup>On June 8, less than a week after the Brooks committee met, it emerged that back in April, Admiral Bobby Ray Inman, a top intelligence adviser to President Bush, had written a letter to a judge in Philadelphia trying to win a lighter prison sentence for James Guerin, the arms-maker from Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Guerin had already been convicted of a \$1 billion fraud and of illegally transferring military technology to

---


<sup>2</sup> "The Secret History of How The White House Illegally Armed Iraq – SPIDERS WEB" by Alan Friedman December 1993

South Africa and Iraq. But Inman, who had been CIA deputy director until 1982 and was now serving as the acting chairman of the president's foreign intelligence advisory board, called Guerin a patriot and revealed in the letter to the judge that he had worked secretly in the mid-1970s with intelligence agencies. That was the period when Bush had been CIA director. The fact that an intelligence official as prominent as Inman, albeit one who had once served on Guerin's proxy board, was willing to engage in special pleading for a convicted fraudster, raised further concern among Iraqgate investigators. (See Appendix B, page 354.)

The pressure was building on George Bush himself, especially after Brooks's Judiciary Committee sent a letter to the White House seeking the testimony of Boyden Gray, Nicholas Rostow, and Frank Lemay, the whistle-blower from the State Department who had tried to warn his superiors of the suspected use of u.S. government loan guarantees in Saddam's nuclear weapons arsenal in 1989. The General Accounting Office had already told Gonzalez's Banking Committee that its requests for the Iraq papers had been delayed and thwarted by the Rostow group.

By inviting Gray and Rostow, officials with personal knowledge of how the White House had coordinated its response to congressional investigations, the Judiciary Committee seemed to be sending a message: The same committee that had led the congressional charge in the Watergate affair was now focusing on the possibility that the Bush administration had moved to cover up actions in order to limit political damage.

Iraqgate was beginning to follow the president wherever he went.



Back to the CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, in 1992 Stengel presided over one of the biggest cases in Lancaster County history – The Lisa Michelle Lambert case. Stan J. Caterbone filed an amicus brief on the case in 2015 and took the case to the U.S. Supreme Court as the Movant on Lisa Michelle Lambert's 2014 Habeus Corpus case. Judge Stengel was a high school teacher at Lancaster Catholic High School during Stan J. Caterbone's high school years and is now married to the sister of Daniel Berger, an associate that Stan J. Caterbone recruited as the Real Estate professional for Financial Management Group, Ltd., in 1986.

The analysis illustrates the complex and the longstanding allegations by Stan J. Caterbone that the criminal conspiracy that began in earnest in 1987 has never ceased and continues to today. It is especially being executed in the current STALKING case brought by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the Lancaster County District Attorney Office, and the Lancaster City Police Department.

The following case law is evident of the fact that this analysis is accurate and fall within the legal boundaries of both federal and state statutes.

- In the case of *United States v. Holck*, 389 F. Supp. 2d. 338, criminal responsibility defines single or multiple conspiracies by the following: "Governments, without committing variance between single conspiracy charges in an indictment and it's proof at trial may establish existence at continuing core conspiracy which attracts different members at different times and which involves different

subgroups committing acts in furtherance of an overall plan". This illustrates the legal analysis of the 1987 conspiracy to cover-up the International Signal & Control, Plc., whistle blowing activities.

- Under Pennsylvania Law, conspiracy may be proved by circumstantial evidence that is by acts and circumstances sufficient to warrant an inference that the unlawful combination has been in front of facts formed for the purpose charged. See *Walcker v. North Wales Boro*, 395 F. Supp. 2d. 219. In the same case the following was supported: "Arrestee's allegations that the township (Conestoga) and its police officers were acting in concert and conspiracy and with the purpose of violating arrestee's constitutional rights by subjecting him to unreasonable force, arrest, search, and malicious prosecution and the two (2) or more officers acted together in throwing arrestee to the ground (April 5<sup>th</sup>, 2006 and August 4<sup>th</sup>, 2006) and forcing him to take two (2) blood tests and holding him in custody". The preceding pleaded civil conspiracy claims under Pennsylvania Law.
- The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (commonly referred to as RICO) is a United States federal law which provides for extended penalties for criminal acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal organization. RICO was enacted by section 901(a) of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, Pub. L. No. 91-452, 84 Stat. 922 (Oct. 15, 1970). RICO is codified as Chapter 96 of Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 through 18 U.S.C. § 1968.
- Under RICO, a person or group who commits any two of 35 crimes—27 federal crimes and 8 state crimes—within a 10-year period and, in the opinion of the US Attorney bringing the case, has committed those crimes with similar purpose or results can be charged with racketeering. Those found guilty of racketeering can be fined up to \$25,000 and/or sentenced to 20 years in prison. In addition, the racketeer must forfeit all ill-gotten gains and interest in any business gained through a pattern of "racketeering activity." The act also contains a civil component that allows plaintiffs to sue for triple damages. When the U.S. Attorney decides to indict someone under RICO, he has the option of seeking a pre-trial restraining order or injunction to prevent the transfer of potentially forfeitable property, as well as require the defendant to put up a performance bond. This provision is intended to force a defendant to plead guilty before indictment. There is also a provision for private parties to sue. A "person damaged in his business or property" can sue one or more "racketeers." There must also be an "enterprise." The defendant(s) are not the enterprise, in other words, the defendant(s) and the enterprise are not one and the same. There must be one of four specified relationships between the defendant(s) and the enterprise. This lawsuit, like all Federal civil lawsuits, can take place in either Federal or State court.

All of the above proves the underlying allegation that the Lancaster County District Attorney's Office is a bona-fide CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE that now could definitely face OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, ANTI-TRUST, AND FEDERAL RICO charges. The same would be true of the Manhiem Township and Lancaster City Police Departments.

In 18 U.S.C. § 1503 OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE is defined by the CORNELL LAW SCHOOL – [www.law.cornell.edu/wex/obstruction\\_of\\_justice](http://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/obstruction_of_justice) as follows:

**"whoever .....corruptly or by threats or force, or by any threatening letter or communication, influences, obstruct, or impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede, the due administration of justice, shall be (guilty of an offense)." Persons are charged under this statute based on allegations that a defendant intended to interfere with an official proceeding, by doing things such as destroying evidence, or interfering with duties of jurors or court officers.**

**A person obstruct justice when they have a specific intent to obstruct or interfere with a judicial proceeding. (ALL COURT CASES, BOTH CIVIL AND CRIMINAL OF PLAINTIFF STAN J. CATERBONE) For a person to be convicted of obstruction justice, they must not only have the specific intent to obstruct the proceeding, both the person must know (1) that a proceeding was actually pending at the time; and (2) there must be a nexus between the defendant's endeavor to obstruct justice and the proceeding, and the defendant must have knowledge of this nexus.**

**THE STAN J. CATERBONE AND ISC CONNECTION - Since 1987, Stanley J. Caterbone has been the victim of vast civil conspiracy that started in 1987 to cover-up allegations of fraud within International Signal & Control during the negotiations and merger of International Signal & Control and Ferranti International of England. Stanley J. Caterbone alleges that warrantless surveillance was used to obstruct justice and moot his constitutional rights in an effort to divert attention away from his allegations of fraud within International Signal & Control back in 1987, and afterwards to the present as a means to deny his access to the courts for remedy and relief, and Federal False Claims Act violations. The business of Advanced Media Group has been greatly compromised and intellectual property stolen during the late 1980's and early 1990's that included information technology contracts with the United States Government.**

---

**IS LANCASTER COUNTY GROUND ZERO FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC WEAPON ATTACKS, COVERT SURVEILLANCE, AND ORGANIZED STALKING GROUPS?**

The links to ISC and Lancaster, Pennsylvania and U.S. Sponsored Mind Control comes through ISC Board of Directors, Former Director of the NSA, and The Director of U.S. Naval Intelligence Admiral Bobby Ray Inman. One must remember that the U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Programs were the direct result of the Soviet Unions accomplishments of using Microwave Technologies to bombard the U.S. Embassy in Moscow as early the 1950's and the use of German Psychiatrists by Adolf Hitler in the 1940's. Both the German and Soviet Mind Control Programs predate that of the United States. Thus, the beginning of the Mind Control Arms Race. Just this year, the Trump Administration introduced the NEW MILITARY SPACE AGENCY, in an effort to formalize the weaponization of Space and Microwave Weapons under one agency. This will convert the Department of Defense programs and that of the U. S. Intelligence Agencies to this new Military Space Agency, in my opinion.

Lancaster County's U.S. Representative Joe Pitts was instrumental in these efforts in his work on the Electronic Warfare Working Group. This is documented in Congressman Pitt's article of the same.

---

**Brief History Of MK-Ultra  
CIA Program On Mind Control  
By Tom Porter ©1996  
All Rights Reserved**

S.A.I.C. involvement in 1993 American Parapsychological Association meeting arrangements, via their 'Cognitive Sciences Laboratory'. Science Applications International Corporation is a big time defense contractor, has held the largest number of research contracts of any defense contractor. Bobby Ray Inman is on its board of directors, among others.

---

Started during WWII with research on hypnosis for interrogation, secure courier duties, and reducing fatigue. Also research into effects of primitive drugs like barbiturates and cannabis as far as drugassisted interrogation goes.

George Estabrooks was the leading proponent of hypnosis as the be-all and end-all of manipulating peoples minds. His book, 'Hypnotism', published in the early forties, has been decried as too fantastic and improbable in terms of describing the capabilities of hypnosis with certain very suggestible subjects, but his arguments and examples remain valid to this day.

Start of Cold War and Korean War in particular gave a big boost to mind control research with the emergence of 'Brain Washing' as a common term. Supposedly a development of the dastardly Chi-Coms, the term was actually coined by a magazine writer later found to be on the CIA payroll as an agent of influence. Postulating a 'brainwashing gap' The CIA got the go-ahead for research into countering communist mind control efforts and developing their own to aid in the espionage wars.

Hypnosis, drugs, and psycho-surgery; separately and combined, were the tools of this quest for the ultimate truth serum on the one hand, and the capability to create an agent who could not have his or her mission tortured out of them, or even be aware that they were carrying secret information given to them in an altered state of consciousness. More and more sophisticated drugs were experimented with, such as LSD, Ketamine, and Psilocybine. Lobotomy and the implantation of electrodes were considered as methods for creating a compliant agent. Electro-Convulsive Shock, combined with LSD, sedation for days at a time, and constantly replaying the patient's own voice through helmet-mounted headphones was a notorious Canadian researcher's recipe for mind control.

One of the most remarkable cases of mind control involves a famous model of the late 40's and 50's named Candy Jones. In the book, "The Control of Candy Jones" the author reviewed hours of tapes made by Candy Jones and her husband which revealed a systematic program to create and manipulate alter personalities as the foundation for programmed couriers resistant to torture, where the primary personality would not even be aware of the secret information being carried. The information could be summoned forth via a post-hypnotic command or response to a pre-programmed cue.

Research continued into early 70's by CIA's own admission during the Church hearings. John Marks, author of the best study of CIA mind control experiments, makes the subtle differentiation that the CIA congressional witnesses might truthfully say that all research done by the TSS Directorate had ended, since the programs were moved into other areas once operational techniques had been developed. Many of the names mentioned in reference to mind control research turn up in the few references to supposed dead-end research in ESP.

**Brief History Of MK-Ultra**

There have been persistent rumors of Navy research involving attempts at telepathy from submarines under water, the Nautilus being the most famous of these. Detection of enemy submarines, and communicating with our own, has continued to be an important area of conventional research for the Navy, so it is no surprise that researching the use of ESP for these purposes would be of interest.

Communicating with a submerged submarine is the only kind of communications where the very act of receiving puts the receiver in danger, since submarines must normally stick an antenna out of the water for high speed radio traffic, or rely on trailing a long wire antenna under water relatively near the surface to receive very slow speed traffic using ELF radio waves. Newer techniques may involve the use of blue-green wavelength lasers, but evidently penetration to any depth is still a problem.

If you look at telepathy as a problem in the transfer of information in a very noisy environment, then certain existing solutions suggest themselves: There have already been experiments in the transmission of five distinct symbols via telepathy, namely Zener card symbols. I suggest that there is already a way of transmitting information using two symbols only, namely Morse Code. Current ELF or VLF radio transmission methods for communicating with fleet ballistic missile submarines to issue them their launch orders involve very low data transfer rates, on the order of 3 to 30 bits per minute, if I am not mistaken. Messages are very short, consisting of pre-formulated action or targeting codes. I suggest that telepathic 'Zener Morse' is an operational technique for information transfer under severe signal to noise conditions. In fact the use of five Zener symbols would increase data transfer rates, but at the expense of a higher error rate due to the problems in discriminating between five and just two symbols.

## **CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS AND REPORTS**

Reports of Project SCANNATE in 70's, most likely done by Swann, since his method for RV is very similar to that reportedly used in this project; namely providing latitude and longitude coordinates and telling what is there. Later changed to assigning random number for unique session number and Swann picked up location anyway.

"The New Age Army:" Over the past 10 - 15 years a number of military personnel, most in significant positions in Military Intelligence, have expressed interest in and directed programs or projects that have explored paranormal activities and capabilities. Several government studies on Enhancing Human Performance took place during this time. Most, if not all of them, were savaged in the NRC study on Enhancing Human Performance, but recent critiques and rebuttals of this study have indicated severe irregularities in the evaluation procedures for papers submitted to the NRC study, suppression of favorable results, and a definitely one-sided evaluation panel.

Much modern research in parapsychology tends to support the idea that Enhanced Perception is a survival-related trait from our distant past, not the tip of the iceberg as far as new emerging human powers go. This is supported by the observation that many psychic episodes center around catastrophic or traumatic events. Studies of Siberian and Eskimo shamans also show the development of ESP or similar shamanic powers due to injury, exposure to cold, and isolation. Dissociation with tendencies towards Multiple Personality Disorder also occurs in these Shamans due to their ordeals. This is in contrast to the experiences of most tropical shamans who tend to find their powers through exposure to mind-altering drugs.

The Vietnam war, with its exceedingly stressful combat environment of no clear-cut enemies combined with ambush being the normal way of making contact, produced a large body of individual reports stressing enhanced perception and awareness. This showed up as detecting ambushes and booby-traps before they were sprung; detecting the presence of enemy soldiers without any conscious sign of them; time dilation when bullets could be seen slowly traveling around the battlefield, and even reports of soldiers avoiding those bullets by jumping out of their paths as they were shot at.

Dr. Ian Wickramasekera of Eastern Virginia Medical School has studied the expansion of sensory input during trauma which would lead to time dilation, etc. This gentleman has also studied the effects of sensory deprivation on hypnotic susceptibility in young women. In a recent article in U.S. News & World Report, John Gittinger, a CIA psychologist associated with a

CIA front organization, the Human Ecology Society admitted to knowledge of experiments in developing ESP by giving electric shocks to subjects when they gave wrong answers.

Recently, a Russian researcher in psychotronics, Dr. Igor Smirnov, has helped to start up a new Virginia company, called Psycho-Technologies, I believe. It is supposed to conduct research on various kinds of LTL technology, with a concentration on Soviet-developed psychotronic warfare capabilities involving the use of electromagnetic and sonic waves to influence and disable individuals or crowds. Supposedly this ranges from simply falling to influencing peoples thoughts via audio or visual subliminal messages.

### **SOME OF THE PLAYERS**

The Monroe Institute, located near Charlottesville, Virginia. Bob Monroe, author of many books on Out of Body experiences, has long and close ties with the C.I.A. James Monroe, Bob's father, if I'm not Human Ecology Society, a C.I.A. front organization of the late 50's and 60's. The Monroe Institute has done research on accelerated learning and foreign language learning through the use of altered states of consciousness for the C.I.A. and other government organizations.

Government interest in the more radical research going on at the institute remains only tantalizing speculation. Official classified document storage boxes have been seen at their mail-order outlet located in Lovington, VA. Albert Stubblebine and John Alexander. Both retired Army officers, a General and Colonel respectively. (THE MOVIE MEN THAT STAR AT GOATS)

Both worked at U.S. Army Intelligence & Security Command, or INSCOM, Stubblebine as its head at one time. Stubblebine has publicly stated that an enlisted man under his command inadvertently 'mentally fried' an intelligence gathering computer located in Augsburg Germany. This was Lynn Buchanan, discussed later.

Alexander wrote the rebuttal to the NRC paper on Enhancing Human Performance. He used this rebuttal as the basis for a book he co-authored called "The Warrior's Edge" which describes various techniques for enhancing performance and perception utilizing the power of the mind. (Alexander headed up research on Less Than Lethal weapons at Los Alamos National Laboratories until 'retiring.')

Jack Houk, Aerospace Engineer, and his Spoon bending parties, done for last 12 - 15 years. Stubblebine, Alexander, and others have attended and hosted these parties. While even Houk admits that much of the bending activity that occurs is due to hysterical strength, people getting excited, etc. there are always a few really perplexing feats of bending at each party. Curled and twisted hacksaw blades comes to mind, since these normally snap if they are bent.

S.A.I.C. involvement in 1993 American Parapsychological Association meeting arrangements, via their 'Cognitive Sciences Laboratory'. Science Applications International Corporation is a big time defense contractor, has held the largest number of research contracts of any defense contractor. Bobby Ray Inman is on its board of directors, among others.

Startup of TREAT, Center for Treatment and Research into Experienced Anomalous Trauma, by Rima Leibow. Leibow is a psychiatrist who started studying trauma associated with alien abductions, noting its similarities to PTSD. She has hosted open and closed meetings for the past six years. Leibow is a close friend of/or married to Stubblebine, which certainly raises some interesting questions. Another abduction researcher and friend is Victoria Lacas, who happens to be married to Alexander.

One other TREAT hanger-on, and friend of Stubblebine's, is Lynn Buchanan. Buchanan offers services similar to PSI-TECH and has worked with Ed Dames on a contract basis. He taught a Remote Viewing workshop at the latest TREAT conference. Also offers continued training in RV skills to those whom he decides to work with. Veteran of INSCOM, and the famous 'computer frier' mentioned by Stubblebine.

**A real mystery man in all of this is C.B. Scott Jones: Ex-Navy Intelligence officer, one time aide to Senator Claiborne Pell, founder of the Human Potential Foundation; Jones appears to have his fingers in every 'weird' pie around. He has been involved with several UFO research organizations, dolphin-human communications research, served on the boards of several scholarly parapsychological research organizations. Jones strikes many people as a 'Cardinal Richelieu' figure, manipulating events behind the scenes, but rarely emerging into the spotlight.**

**There is literally no conference on parapsychology that he does not attend. He has traveled and done research with Alexander and Rima Leibow. He works at keeping very well informed about the 'pulse' of the New Age community and about UFO and parapsychological research in particular. He rarely writes anything for print, but keeps in contact with many of the players in the fields. He has presented papers to many conferences, most of the examples I have seen are complex, lengthy, and elaborate pieces of disinformation which leave the listeners more confused than when he started. This is an individual who bears watching.**

**A LANCASTER FIRM, THE CIA AND ILLEGAL ARMS DEALS**  
Philadelphia Inquirer, The (PA) September 13, 1991  
Author: Tim Weiner and Mark Faziollah, Inquirer Staff Writers

In the 1980s, James Guerin built a lavish corporate headquarters, became one of this city's largest employers and ultimately sold his company for a fortune.

Not bad for a firm whose first engineering shop was an old chicken coop that smelled to high heaven in the summer heat. But now a bigger stink is rising.

Guerin was an arms merchant whose deals went wrong. A federal judge in Philadelphia says they became part of "the largest fraud ever perpetrated in North America."

The fraud comes to more than \$350 million, says the British firm that bought Guerin's company and now says it was deceived by dishonest bookkeeping. The case is before a federal grand jury in Philadelphia, and Guerin secretly has agreed to plead guilty to fraud charges.

But the story of Guerin's arms company, International Signal & Control, is not simply one of a business deal gone sour.

It is a tale of secret deals to supply arms to South Africa, a tale revealed in 2,000 pages of court records, interviews with former CIA officials and with 10 former ISC executives.

The court records and the sources say ISC entered the arms trade by covertly shipping weaponry to South Africa from 1976 to 1987, years in which the Pretoria regime was barred from the world's arms markets for its policies of racial separation.

The sales broke U.S. export laws and U.N. embargoes. They began after Guerin helped U.S. intelligence cooperate with South Africa. They continued as Guerin and his executives spied on South Africa for the CIA. And they helped South Africa build a nuclear bomb.

When Robert M. Gates goes before the Senate intelligence committee next week to seek confirmation as director of central intelligence, committee sources say, he is likely to be asked whether, while he was deputy director, the CIA winked as ISC dealt with South Africa.

Guerin's former executives say he was a pillar of his church and a champion at liar's poker, capable of giving an uplifting speech to the Urban League while supplying arms for the forces of apartheid, a man driven, as one put it, by "faith in himself ... a faith that got out of control."

After managing nuclear-missile programs for Lockheed Corp. in the 1960s, Guerin set up ISC in 1971. He filled the company's ranks with former military and intelligence officers, and filled their heads with visions of "a billion-dollar technology empire" in the rich farmland outside Lancaster, one former ISC executive said.

ISC's business took off in 1975, after Guerin helped U.S. intelligence agencies plan the transfer of espionage equipment to South Africa, said former ISC executives and intelligence officials.

The South African regime saw itself as besieged in the 1970s, as white colonial rule crumbled in neighboring nations. Apartheid made it an international pariah. Banned from global markets, desperate for weaponry, South Africa sought help and found ISC.

#### **WORLD OF ESPIONAGE**

ISC began selling radios to South Africa's military. Then came fuses for artillery, mortars, bombs and mines, its former executives say. And then ISC entered the world of espionage.

In 1975, the United States wanted to spy on Soviet ships off South Africa's coast, said retired Adm. Bobby Ray Inman, who was then director of Navy intelligence. The United States began a secret program to set up "an ocean surveillance system for South Africa."

"In return for providing such a system, information would flow to the U.S.," said Inman, who later became the CIA's deputy director.

"ISC had the South African connection," Inman said. Under the plan, ISC would secretly ship U.S. spy gear to Pretoria.

Guerin's former executives say Guerin told them the project had a secret quid pro quo - ISC could sell weaponry to South Africa with the blessings of U.S. intelligence, if it helped the CIA spy on South Africa.

But the U.S.-South African ocean surveillance system was canceled in 1977 after President Jimmy Carter banned U.S. intelligence cooperation with South Africa, Inman said. In 1978, Congress tightened controls on U.S. trade with Pretoria.

ISC already was delivering arms technology to South Africa.

"There was never any authorization for them to ship hardware of any kind at any time to South Africa," Inman said.

ISC continued the shipments for a decade.

The shipments went through Gamma Systems Associates, a front company ISC set up at New York's John F. Kennedy International Airport.

"Military technology, missile technology, computer technology .... A truck went to JFK every Friday and loaded out onto a South African 747, and there was never a question," a former ISC executive said. "Guerin repeatedly said, 'Gamma is approved by Washington.' "

#### **UNCHALLENGED**

Though ISC's deliveries to other countries routinely were held up by U.S. Customs officials for minor errors in documentation, the Gamma Systems shipments flowed through! customs unchallenged, three ISC veterans said. Year after year, Guerin kept assuring them that "Washington" or "the government" had blessed the deliveries, they said. They say they believed their boss.

"Everything was sanctioned," said Terrence P. Faulds, who ran ISC's manufacturing support division.

Inman characterized those claims with a barnyard epithet. He said he is "absolutely certain" U.S. intelligence never approved illegal conduct by ISC. CIA spokesman Peter Earnest said policy is clear: Upon learning of violations of U.S. law, the CIA will "report promptly to the appropriate U.S. law enforcement agencies."

Guerin reported regularly to U.S. intelligence from 1977 onward, Inman said. "They were happy to hear from him," Inman added.

The CIA was especially interested in Pretoria's efforts to build a nuclear bomb.

ISC's shipments to South Africa included seismic detectors for underwater nuclear-weapons tests, former ISC executives said. Delivering the seismic detectors "put Guerin in a front-row seat" to spy on the regime's nuclear program, one said.

#### 'ON TRACK'

"Guerin did come in to volunteer information he obtained on the South African nuclear-weapons program," Inman said. "Guerin's information was on track."

So was South Africa's nuclear program, which depended on underwater testing. The Pretoria government now acknowledges it has the capacity to build the bomb.

Other ISC executives say they also met regularly with CIA officials.

"I told them how Gamma Systems worked," one said. "I told them exactly what we shipped" to South Africa. "They told me to maintain my contacts."

"The CIA was aware of every ripple that occurred with our business with South Africa," said another.

Though there is no independent confirmation that the CIA allowed ISC to violate U.S. sanctions laws, the Carter administration's top policy-maker for Africa says he became skeptical of the CIA's acts.

"I didn't trust our own agencies any more than I trusted the South Africans," said Richard M. Moose, assistant secretary of state for African Affairs under Carter. "As it turned out, that was not unjustified .... Responsible officials of the Agency sat in my office and lied to me about what they were doing" in Africa.

Moose wrote the 1977 presidential order banning intelligence cooperation with Pretoria. Despite the ban, the "CIA felt their interests were best served by a collaborative relationship" with South Africa, he said.

Moose suspected that CIA officers worked to ensure that "long-standing contacts that they had would continue, transactions and export licenses that had been facilitated would continue. People who believe they are above the law will make those kinds of arrangements."

The South Africans "were getting U.S. intelligence help" during that period despite the ban, said a former ISC executive. He said the aid he saw included U.S. spy-satellite photographs of anti-aircraft emplacements in neighboring Mozambique.

In 1983, flush with millions of dollars from its South Africa sales, ISC branched out. It

made deals with Chinese generals, Arab shieks and a Chilean weapons merchant who armed Saddam Hussein.

Guerin befriended Carlos Cardoen, a Chilean who supplied arms to Iraq throughout the 1980s. They had a common business interest - cluster bombs, air-to-ground munitions containing hundred of lethal little bomblets.

In 1984, ISC and Industrias Cardoen signed a contract to share the world's cluster-bomb markets, according to ISC and U.S. officials who say they saw the pact. Cardoen won rights to Iran and Iraq. ISC won Europe and Pakistan. They agreed to compete for China.

That year, ISC became the first U.S. arms company legally selling technology to China's communist regime: artillery equipment, munitions fuses, weapons-systems software. Former Secretary of State Alexander M. Haig Jr. helped seal the deal, court records show. ISC paid him \$600,000 for his work, a n aide to Haig said.

In 1986, ISC announced a \$460 million deal to sell missile systems to Pakistan, the biggest deal it ever had landed.

On paper, ISC looked like a lovely prospect for a proper business suitor.

In late 1987, Ferranti International PLC, Britain's third-largest defense firm, bought ISC for \$670 million. Ferranti now says the sale was a swindle.

In court records, Ferranti says that when its auditors took a closer look at ISC's books after the sale, they found:

The Pakistan deal did not exist. The books reflected millions in profits from the deal. They did not exist either.

- The books also showed millions flowing in from South Africa. They were nonexistent too.
- Though many ISC contracts were foursquare, such as a \$60 million cluster bomb deal with the United Arab Emirates, others were inflated to pump up ISC's price tag.
- Former ISC executives say Ferranti knew - or should have known - ! what it was buying.
- Ferranti nearly collapsed in 1990 after the acquis ition. More than 1,000 workers in Lancaster and Britain lost their jobs.

By then, the FBI was reading Guerin's books too.

Guerin left Lancaster under criminal investigation. He moved to a \$1.5- million oceanfront home in Naples, Fla., where he has been unavailable for comment. He has reached a sealed plea-bargain agreement with federal prosecutors, court records show.

Despite his confession, many in Lancaster do not believe he may have done wrong "Hogwash. You can put that in your newspaper," said Rev. Robert Bistline, Guerin's former minister at the Church of God of Landisville. He called Guerin "a good man and a friend of mine."

Guerin returns to the Church of God occasionally, sometimes to teach Sunday school,

sometimes just to visit. Parishoners say he's welcome.

"He was always fair and Christian in his dealings with the church," said church treasurer Beulah Dougherty, who in the mid-1980s booked \$75,000 a year in donations from Guerin.

"We all get in tangled webs at times," she said.

**ISC, THE CIA AND THE NSA OPERATING IN LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA - In 1991 the United States Attorney indicted James Guerin, founder of International Signal and Control, Plc., or as they were known ISC, and many of his colleagues in an attempt to politicize LEGITIMATE INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS THAT WERE USED TO, IN ACTUALITY AND HINDSIGHT, SUPPORT OUR NATIONAL SECURITY EFFORTS IN THE MIDDLE EAST. These efforts helped in earnest support Iraq defeat Iran, and helped drive the Soviets from Afganastan, among other Middle East conflicts. ISC was instrumental in the design and manufacture of everything from the cluster bomb to sophisticated telemetry systems.**

**James Guerin was a Christian man and PATRIOT that fell victim to Public Corruption and over-zealous prosecutors who lacked the knowledge and sophistication to ever be involved in such affairs in the first place. I personally met James Guerin and had the fortunate privilege to befriend his daughter and son. Today the United States Attorney General, Mr. Jeffrey Sessions, and other Trump Administration Officials engage in the same tactics. James Comey, former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI, is a prime example. His firing was a miscalculated political mistake. And President Trump can thank Comey for the Press Release 8 days before the election regarding Hillary Clinton and Weiner. That changed the course of the election no matter what the pundits say.**

**On February 1, 1991 Lynn Sherr of ABC News 20/20 reported the following:**

**"This is the story of how this deadly weapon, designed for the U.S. military made its way from this country to Iraq. And how American Soldiers may face the devastation of a cluster bomb if a ground war breaks out in the Persian Gulf. Federal Officials believe Saddam Hussein got his arsenal thru a lethal combination - bureaucratic foul ups in the U.S. Government and simple greed.**

**Here is how the cluster bomb works. An artillery shell, an airplane, or a rocket launcher sends the bombs toward their targets. Each bomb carries hundreds of smaller bomblets, something like hand grenades. Cluster bombs can be used against ground troops or tanks, and can even scatter mines to lie dormant for days. The bombs can spray thousands of pounds of sharp objects - pins or even razor blades. The shrapnel can rip through anyone or anything in its way, causing massive casualty among civilians or ground troops. You can see the destruction in these buildings in Lebanon after a cluster bomb attack.**

**How did Iraq obtain the cluster bombs and the ability to make their own? It was incredibly simple. Investigators believe it started with International Signal & Control, A government contractor with 5,000 employees based in Pennsylvania, which build key components of cluster bombs in a subsidiary in California. 20/20 has learned Federal Investigators believe ISC provided the technology, that is the plans, to this man, Carlos Cardoen, Chilean arms dealer. Authorities believe he used the plans to build the cluster bombs in Chile, then he shipped them to Iraq.**

**What's wrong with all this? If the cluster bomb technology actually left the county, that is illegal without U.S. Government permission, investigators say ISC never got. It is also illegal for a foreigner, like Cardoen, to take the plans out of the United States without a license, which sources tell us, he never obtained. The man who opened the door to Iraq for Cardoen, was this man Nasser Bedouin. He is a Lebanese born middleman for Cardoen who is based in the United States. Bedouin traveled often to**

Bagdad, and arranged for sale cluster bombs and other military hardware to Saddam Hussein's army. In his first television interview, he told us about the business of dealing in deadly weapons."

## **IS LANCASTER COUNTY GROUND ZERO FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC WEAPON ATTACKS, COVERT SURVEILLANCE, AND ORGANIZED STALKING GROUPS?**

The links to ISC and Lancaster, Pennsylvania and U.S. Sponsored Mind Control comes through ISC Board of Directors, Former Director of the NSA, and The Director of U.S. Naval Intelligence Admiral Bobby Ray Inman. One must remember that the U.S. Sponsored Mind Control Programs were the direct result of the Soviet Unions accomplishments of using Microwave Technologies to bombard the U.S. Embassy in Moscow as early the 1950's and the use of German Psychiatrists by Adolf Hitler in the 1940's. Both the German and Soviet Mind Control Programs predate that of the United States. Thus, the beginning of the Mind Control Arms Race. Just this year, the Trump Administration introduced the NEW MILITARY SPACE AGENCY, in an effort to formalize the weaponization of Space and Microwave Weapons under one agency. This will convert the Department of Defense programs and that of the U. S. Intelligence Agencies to this new Military Space Agency, in my opinion.

Lancaster County's U.S. Representative Joe Pitts was instrumental in these efforts in his work on the Electronic Warfare Working Group. This is documented in Congressman Pitt's article of the same:

### **Securing EW's Future**

"Create Single Leader To Direct Planning, Training As a veteran of the U.S. Air Force who served three tours of duty in Vietnam as a navigator and electronic warfare (EW) officer on board B-52s, I learned the absolute necessity of brandishing a dominant EW capability. However, I continue to watch as EW faces neglect in the doctrine, investment and leadership of our armed forces.

We cannot afford to wait until the fighting starts to think about EW. By consolidating leadership within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and the services, creating more effective investment strategies and better training our troops to utilize EW, I believe the future of EW will be strengthened to the benefit of our armed forces. In response to glaring shortfalls in EW realized during Operation Allied Force over Kosovo in 1999, I founded the congressional EW Working Group (EWWG), intended to be a resource to Congress, the Department of Defense and the defense community at large about the need to invest in EW technology and capabilities.

The current operations in Iraq and Afghanistan again have highlighted the need to strengthen U.S. superiority in the electromagnetic spectrum. EW continues to save hundreds of lives by defeating improvised explosive devices that use electronic triggering devices, such as cell phones and car key fobs. But our adversaries are becoming more adept at operating in the spectrum by identifying where we are vulnerable, and we must continually learn to counter rapidly advancing technology.

However, despite the success in Iraq and Afghanistan, history suggests that our EW capabilities will again face a period of neglect once current operations slow down or the United States enters a period of relative peace. The people from our armed forces' research labs, EW chiefs from each branch of the military and industry representatives to discuss the future of electronic warfare.

In addition, the Association of Old Crows (AOC), an organization of EW professionals, in a recent report, "Electronic Warfare: The Changing Face of Combat," offered several recommendations to improve EW capabilities.

On the whole, EW leadership within OSD and the services is fragmented, hurting EW budgets and creating inefficient planning and sometimes duplicative EW programs. Unlike many other mission areas, EW must be a truly joint capability. Yet, there is no single individual within the Pentagon who oversees or coordinates funding, acquisition, training and planning for EW.

Likewise, within each service, there is no flag or general officer with appropriate authority who can provide leadership for service EW programs. To remedy this, an office within OSD tasked to guide joint EW plans, policies and procurement should be established, along with the appointments of experienced EW flag or general officers within each service. Leaders understanding the value of EW is not the same as leadership, which is necessary for effective EW practices.

The streamlining of EW leadership will then lead to more efficient investment in present and future capabilities. Operations in Iraq and Afghanistan have taken a further toll on EW systems and platforms, and many need to not only be restored but modernized. To this end and prioritize modernization efforts and inject necessary funding for next generation EW capability.

The Defense Department should also authorize an EW Critical Technologies List, which would help coordinate EW investment strategy, maximize limited resources and stabilize funding streams for next-generation systems. This list should be submitted to Congress on a regular basis.

We have seen that our enemies are able to quickly adapt and field deadly assets; the United States must meet and exceed these challenges by implementing proper investment strategies. Our troops also need adequate knowledge and training. While each branch of the military must provide its own EW expertise and capabilities, current operations have highlighted the need for joint interaction and readiness. The AOC has recommended, and I agree, that a joint services coordination cell should be established to serve the combatant commands. The coordination cell would work directly with combatant commanders and be ready to deploy to help us control the spectrum from the first day of conflict.

Furthermore, just as there is high-level specialized training, such as Navy TOPGUN and Air Force Fighter Weapons School for our fighter pilots, there should be a similar joint EW training program so our war fighters know what they need to know about EW before being deployed. Effective training and projected career path will help sustain the EW community for many years to come.

EW saves lives, and we must not allow our EW capabilities to continue eroding. Now is the time for the United States to capitalize on numerous opportunities to improve and strengthen our edge in the electromagnetic spectrum. These recommendations can secure the future of EW, keeping the members of our armed forces safe and effective in conflict. Rep. Joe Pitts, R-Pa., is founder, Electronic Warfare Working Group."

In 1990 I was protesting a Department of Defense Contract the I was bidding on for the Defense Mapping Agency of the Department of Defense for my Company ADVANCED MEDIA GROUP and Joint Venture Partner American Helix. There were four

bidder, 2 being the designers and innovators of CD Technology, SONY and PHILLIPS DUPONT. The contract was a multi-million project to digitize the maps of the theater of war in the Middle East to CD-ROM technology. Early on in the formal protest I solicited the help of Lancaster County's U.S. Congressional Representative Robert Walker of East Petersburg, Lancaster County as my Liason. We won the U.S. Department of Defense Protest and the Bidding Process had to be started over. The Contracting Officer was Brigadier General Stanley O. Nelson. SONY went on to secure the contract. In October of 2016, while chairing the presidential campaign for John Kasic, Robert Walker also wrote an regarding the weaponizing of space.

It is during these years that I also did work for DARPA, The Defense Advanced Research Project Agency, of the Department of Defense. DARPA IS CREDITED WITH BEING THE AGENCY THAT DEVELOPES THE MOST SOPHISTICATED MIND CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE MILITARY. DARPA was a joint venture partner of NIST, or the National Institute of Science and Technology of Washington, D.C. I was instrumental in helping NIST create CD-ROM's in the UNIX language for the development of Voice Recognition Systems.

**ADMIRAL BOBBY RAY INMAN, THE GO-TO GUY FOR BLACK OPS PROGRAMS AND DEFENSE CONTRACTS** - In the following article by Tom Porter in 1996 documents the Bobby Ray Inman Mind Control Connection through SAIC Corporation:

**Brief History Of MK-Ultra, CIA Program On Mind Control by Tom Porter ©1996 All Rights Reserved**

**"S.A.I.C. involvement in 1993 American Parapsychological Association meeting arrangements, via their 'Cognitive Sciences Laboratory'. Science Applications International Corporation is a big time defense contractor, has held the largest number of research contracts of any defense contractor. Bobby Ray Inman is on its board of directors, among others."**

***"In December 1993 President Clinton nominated Admiral Bobby Ray Inman to be Secretary of Defense. Inman served in a series of senior intelligence positions including Director of Naval Intelligence (1974-76), Vice Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency (1976-77), Director of the National Security Agency (1977-81) and Deputy Director of the Central Intelligence Agency (1981-1982). In the early 1980s Inman, then a private businessman, was named to the shadow board International Signal and Control. These boards are required for U.S. defense companies wholly or partly owned by foreigners and are supposed to guarantee that no U.S. secrets get into foreign hands.***

***In 1991 James Guerin, founder and chairman of International Signal and Control (ISC), pleaded guilty to selling arms to apartheid South Africa and agreed to testify against others. Ten American, seven South Africans and three South African companies were charged in the case. This case was one of the most significant U.S. violations of the of U.S. export laws and the mandatory U.N. arms embargo.***

***In April 1992, prior to Guerin's sentencing, Inman, wrote the judge that between 1975 and 1978 Guerin "voluntarily provided the U.S. government with information obtained during his foreign travels which was of substantial value, particular that related to the potential proliferation of nuclear weapons." Several defendants in the ISC case claimed the U.S. government knew of their sales to South Africa and that they provided information on South Africa's defense, including its nuclear weapons program. Guerin was sentenced to 15 years in jail. Guerin could have received up to 61 years.***

***In January 1994 Inman withdrew his nomination for Secretary of Defense. In response to his withdrawal I wrote this letter that appeared in the New York Times. - Richard Knight***

**THE NEW YORK TIMES EDITORIALS/LETTERS FRIDAY JANUARY 28, 1994**

**South Africa Link**

**To the Editor:**

**The withdrawal of Bobby Ray Inman's nomination for Secretary of Defense brought to public attention the case of International Signal and Control, a defense and technology company. James Guerin, the company's founder, was recently sentenced to jail for illegal arms sales to South Africa, as you report in "Inman Faced Scrutiny on Jailed Arms Dealer" (news article, Jan. 20).**

**As one who has followed International Signal and Control for years, I believe there are**

many unanswered questions in this case involving our own Government, its intelligence agencies and United States implementation of the United Nations arms embargo against South Africa.

Ties between International Signal and South Africa go back to the 1970's. In February 1976 the Department of State granted approval of a contract for the study of maritime command and control systems with Barlow Communications of South Africa. In January 1978, because of United States support for the 1977 United Nations arms embargo resolution, the State Department revoked the contract. Yet it appears International Signal continued its involvement in this project. According to the indictment of Mr. Guerin, International Signal sold South Africa inertial and land navigation systems and gyroscopes for aircraft, missiles and helicopters. International Signal also made millions of dollars in other illegal sales to South Africa including military-related technology and land mines. Did United States intelligence agencies allow International Signal to continue its illegal operations for intelligence on South Africa's nuclear and other military programs, or to support South Africa's military for other reasons?

Mr. Inman has acknowledged that as director of Naval Intelligence in the mid-70's, he knew of the first International Signal contract and was aware of later information supplied by the company on South Africa's nuclear program. Most likely, these ties had some bearing on Mr. Inman's appointment as a director on the International Signal shadow board. Such boards protect United States interests and secrets. Did Mr. Inman ask questions about large contracts going to small companies and countries like South Africa and Panama? The central question, as with the IRAN-CONTRA SCANDAL, is how to establish effective procedures to prevent United States intelligence agencies, or people working with them, from subverting laws established by Congress. If directors on shadow boards such as that of International Signal are just "window dressing," Congress should tighten the system and make directors accountable.

Congress should also examine the role of intelligence agencies in this case. Company officials say they continued providing information to the Central Intelligence Agency into the 80's, while illegal sales occurred.

Mr. Inman says the United States Government never gave Mr. Guerin permission to violate the arms embargo against South Africa. Did the C.I.A. know of these violations of the embargo? If the C.I.A. was aware and took no steps to stop the illegal sale, it was effectively a partner of International Signal in arming apartheid South Africa."

**RICHARD KNIGHT**

New York, Jan. 21, 1994

*The writer is a research associate for the Africa Fund, a nonprofit human rights organization.*

## **ARGUMENT FOUR**

### **NEW DEVELOPMENTS FOR CONSIDERATION -**

- **The ASSOCIATED PRESS reported on May 23, 2018 the several employees of the United States Department of State experienced the exact same symptoms as was experienced by the employees of the United States Embassy in Cuba, and employees of the Canadian Embassy, in 2016 and 2017; attacks by electromagnetic, or microwave weapons. See the attached.**
- **The fact that the recent newly discovered information regarding the history of the Military Career of Craig Stedman, DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY OF LANCASTER, PENNSYLVANIA; namely the fact that he was and/or is a Military Intelligence Officer, with the highest secret clearances with a stint in the legal affairs division of the Department of Defense Intelligence Division, at the Pentagon in Washington, D.C. Prior to being hired by the Lancaster County District Attorney Office in 1991 and the ISC/CIA Scandal was first discovered and publicized in 1991 is curious, to say the least. See Attached.**
- **An Amicus Brief was filed by PRO SE APPELLANT STAN J. CATERBONE in the Nikolas Cruz Parkland, Florida case and recorded on the court record on May 2, 2018 and to this day is still pending before the Broward County Court. See Attached.**
- **Both, Estaban Santiago-Ruiz and Nikolas Cruz were both trained by U.S. Military and both reported hearing voices in the heads, or possible synthetic telepathy, as would be the case in victimization of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control. See Attached.**
- **The Sirhan Sirhan Case. In August of 2017 PRO SE APPELLANT STAN J. CATERBONE filed an AMICUS BRIEF in the THE INTER-AMERICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS for William F. Pepper, Ed.D., J.D., who filed a PETITION to open up an investigation claiming among other things that SIRHAN SIRHAN, a Palestinian, was not the real killer in the assassination of Robert F. Kennedy and claimed that Sirhan Sirhan was a victim of the CIA Mind Control Program MKULTRA.**
- **On May 26, 2018 FOX NEWS reporter Katherine Lam published the following - "Robert F. Kennedy Jr. revealed he doesn't believe Sirhan B. Sirhan, the man convicted of killing his father Bobby Kennedy in 1968, had carried out the assassination and believes a second shooter was involved. In an interview with the Washington Post published Saturday, Kennedy said he met with Sirhan for three hours after spending months reviewing autopsy results, police reports and**

interviewing witnesses who was there when his father was killed. It's been nearly 50 years since Bobby Kennedy was killed in Los Angeles' Ambassador Hotel, but the 64-year-old said he reached a point where he had to talk to the man convicted of killing his father. Robert F. Kennedy Jr. said he doesn't think Sirhan Sirhan shot his father in 1968 and believes there was a second gunman that pulled the trigger. (Reuters) "I went there because I was curious and disturbed by what I had seen in the evidence," Kennedy said, not disclosing details of their conversation. "I was disturbed that the wrong person might have been convicted of killing my father. My father was the chief law enforcement officer in this country." He added, "I think it would have disturbed him if somebody was put in jail for a crime they didn't commit."

- The STAN J. CATERBONE - THE HATE CRIME REPORT re The City and County of LANCASTER, Pennsylvania Saturday June 16, 2018

"This report is the culmination of 31 years of research, documentation, trials and tribulations that grew from the founding of Financial Management Group, Ltd., and the meeting of June 23, 1987 with the International Signal & Control, or ISC executive Lawrence Resch. What started out as a cover-up of the Federal Whistleblowing activities regarding ISC in 1987; a harassment campaign; false arrests; etc., turned into a victimization of Gang Stalking and Electromagnetic Attacks in 2005 and now a bona fide federal hate crime. Through the research and inspection of family documents came the horrific realizations that the U.S. Sponsored Mind Control and the criminal acts of the Lancaster City Police Department were started back in the 1960's from the victimization of Samuel P. Caterbone, Jr., the father of Stan J. Caterbone. Through the declaration of Sammy Caterbone regarding the LSD administered in the U.S. Air Force in the late 1960's to Stan J. Caterbone in Santa Barbara, California before his death in 1984; the family victimization of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control was proven."

- STAN CATERBONE ONLINE EVIDENCE FOR REVIEW FOLDERS  
LINKS TO 4 ONLINE FOLDERS OF OVER 700 DOCUMENTS, AUDIO RECORDINGS, VIDEOS, AND COURT FILINGS SUPPORTING AS EVIDENCE OF THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS (CLICK HERE and/or COPY AND PASTE TO YOUR INTERNET BROWSER)

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=0BwT2QmkUTiXcQIZ6YWR3MTZJTtE>

## **ARGUMENT FIVE**

### **THE FOLLOWING ARE THE FACTS AND THE REAL RECORD OF THE FAMILY HISTORY:**

**SAMUEL P. CATERBONE, JR., (Father) served in the Navy from 1943 to 1947 and graduated with honors from Air Gunners School in Jacksonville, Florida, which by the MANUAL depicts a "Special Ops" type of service recruiting only the "most physically and mentally fit" of all of the branches of service. STAN J. CATERBONE has secured his ENTIRE NAVY RECORD WITH JOURNALS AND PHOTO ALBUMS in safety deposit boxes. He was an exceptional student/athlete while attending Lancaster Catholic High School, participating in the band as well as sports. He was also his senior class secretary/treasurer. After the Navy, he went on to build a successful dry cleaning business, which he is credited with inventing a filtration system for the solvents. He also developed a very good investment in real estate along the Manheim Pike, owning several properties.**

**By Samuel P. CATERBONE, Jr., (Father) own writings, A NOTARIZED AFFIDAVIT FROM 1996, and from his personal accounts to me, he was a victim of SYNTHETIC TELEPATHY and possibly a COVERT CARRIER (Delivering Messages While Under Hypnosis to U.S. Agents) as proven by his 3 PASSPORTS which depict him traveling the world visiting countries like Hong Kong, Lisbin, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, Canada, France, etc. THIS WAS VERIFIED AND CONFIRMED BY EMAILS TO AND FROM THE PERSONAL ASSISTANT TO DR. RICHARD GREER, DEBBIE FOCKS IN OR ABOUT 2006. DR. RICHARD GREER WAS A CONSULTANT TO SEVERAL U.S. PRESIDENTS. The PASSPORTS ARE SECURED IN STAN J. CATERBONE'S SAFETY DEPOSIT BOXES.**

**He also suffered from organized stalking, and was considered an enemy and prisoner of the state. Back in the 1960's, he was a world traveler, this is documented by his passports. Samuel P. CATERBONE, Jr., may have been a covert carrier for someone in intelligence. Samuel P. CATERBONE, Jr., had his mental health history laced with ELECTRO SHOCK THERAPY. ELECTRO SHOCK THERAPY EXPERIMENTS is another subprogram of MK ULTRA. In addition, and especially disturbing is his criminal record with the Lancaster City Police Department and the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas. In 1973 Samuel P. CATERBONE, Jr. was convicted of forging a 2 checks from the CATERBONE Cleaners, Inc., checking account. The one check to Joe the Motorists Store at the Manor Shopping Center was never entered into evidence, it was for a total of \$70.00. The other check was made out to Lancaster Attorney James Coho for \$200.00 with "divorce proceedings" written in the memo. This was his only criminal record. Samuel P. CATERBONE, Jr., was sentenced to one year probation by President Judge William Johnstone. However, on August 29, 1973 after nine months, Judge Johnstone wrote an ORDER releasing him from probation and ordering him to "leave the vicinity of the County of Lancaster, Pennsylvania". The President Judge of Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas literally threw my father out of Lancaster County for forging 2 checks from his own corporation.**

**Samuel CATERBONE, Jr., has left enough writings and documentation to know that his life fits the model for targeted individuals, complete with economic ruin, isolation, disenfranchised from family and friends, and of course a fabricated mental illness history. You can view most of his record online. On or about May 18, 2001 Samuel P. CATERBONE Jr., finally received an inheritance from his mother's (Mary CATERBONE) estate. The check was for some \$70,000.00. The estate was probated in November of 2000. Some two weeks later, on Memorial Day Weekend of 2001, he had**

called me to come to New York City to help care for him. He was in perfect health until this time. In a matter of six (6) weeks he had succumbed to lung cancer.

As per Julianne McKinney, former intelligence officer for the U.S. Army and victim activist of U.S. Sponsored Mind Control, the weapons are lethal enough to kill and "the one thing that I worry about is that of dying of cancer" (paraphrase). There is no doubt now that my father's death was a murder, not natural. TODAY I SUFFER FROM THE SAME SYMPTOM, AS MY WALKING HAS DEGRADED TO THE POINT WHERE I MUST NOW USE A WALKER IN MY BASEMENT AND MY TREATMENTS OF WHIRLPOOL SPAS IN MY BATHTUB AND THE TAKING OF HEMP OIL IS NOW COUNTERED BY OTHER WEAPONS TO CAUSE EVEN MORE PAIN.

SAMUEL A. CATERBONE, (BROTHER) served in the United States Air Force in 1968 to 1970. In 1991, STAN J. CATERBONE accused the United States Government of using his brother, Samuel A. CATERBONE for part of the LSD experiments on mind control, or MK ULTRA. A notarized letter of October 23, 1991 was sent certified mail to the California Attorney General on the subject matter, with a return letter from the California Attorney General on January 14, 1992. By his own admission before his death, Samuel A. CATERBONE disclosed to STAN J. CATERBONE of the "bad LSD" trips while in the Air Force. Since his death of December 25, 1984, STAN J. CATERBONE and others questioned the classification of suicide, and made allegations of foul play that was ultimately responsible for his death.

Finally in a meeting in Santa Barbara, California with the Santa Barbara Public Guardian's Office, an office admitted that the death was more likely due to foul plan than suicide. Samuel A. CATERBONE was also an exceptional student and athlete while attending Lancaster Catholic High School. After playing varsity football as a sophomore, he had an unfortunate accident while deer hunting the following November. While in the woods in Bellefonte, Pennsylvania, his hunting pants caught fire trying to stay warm. It left him in the Lancaster General Hospital for months, going through painful skin grafts and isolation. The hunting accident interrupted his athletic career and scared his legs for life. The Schizophrenia diagnosis was a combination of LSD flashbacks and organized stalking and harassment.

THOMAS P. CATERBONE, (BROTHER) had an unfortunate transaction at Fulton Bank that set a course of action that resulted in a suicide. Although diagnosed with Bipolar Disease and Manic Depression -- embezzled and extorted monies were most likely the reason for his suicide in 1996. THE PROBLEM WITH TOMMY'S DEATH IS THAT HE DIED ON KILL DEVIL ISLAND, NORTH CAROLINA AND MY BROTHER SAMMY WAS MURDERED ON CHRISTMAS DAY OF 1984, WHICH SUGGESTS SOME SORT OF ATHEIST THEME.

Fulton Bank was involved in a fraud that took \$72,000 from a real estate settlement closing and lead to his total financial ruin and collapse in June of 1995. The funds were never recovered and Fulton Bank is a defendant for a wrongful death claim in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in CATERBONE v. Lancaster County Prison, et. al., 05-cv-2288. FULTON BANK triggered a severe and lethal death blow to Thomas P. CATERBONE, and as of this day has refused to acknowledge any wrongdoing or remorse. Thomas P. CATERBONE was also an exceptional athlete. Playing for Lancaster Catholic High School, Franklin and Marshall College, the Harrisburg Patriots, and even the Philadelphia Eagles.

Tom also coached football at J.P. McCaskey and Franklin and Marshall College. Thomas P. CATERBONE had a very successful lawn and landscaping business before joining forces with John DePatto of United Financial Services and selling residential mortgages. John DePatto was the former head of Parent Bank, owned by James Guerin and ISC. Parent Bank, owned by ISC also foreclosed on 2323 New Danville Pike, Conestoga, Pennsylvania in 1988, which was owned by STAN J. CATERBONE . Thousands of dollars of equity was extorted in the process, despite still being short sold for a profit to Mr. Keith Kirchner, an executive of Lancaster Newspapers and former graduate of Lancaster Catholic High School.

STAN J. CATERBONE is A TARGETED INDIVIDUAL, OR TI, is a victim of U.S. SPONSORED MIND CONTROL, including torture by electronic weapons, including synthetic telepathy since 2005. STAN J. CATERBONE has been a federal whistleblower since 1987, with an exceptional entrepreneurial record in spite of all of his adversaries and their assaults. In spite of the U.S. Sponsored mind control and torture, he has endured and will prevail. Legally, STAN J. CATERBONE has been able to preserve his claims, and progress his legal challenges and claims through both the federal and state court system appearing pro se, without the aid or expense of additional legal counsel. Some of his claims and briefs will most likely be landmark decisions in years to come. STAN J. CATERBONE was a 2-Sport MVP at Lancaster Catholic High School, in both football and track. STAN J. CATERBONE never received less than a B grade in his four years of high school and had an 87+ average. STAN J. CATERBONE excelled in computer technologies, taking his first full term course in 1975, while in high school and continuing into college at Millersville University, graduating with a degree in business administration in 1980.

STAN J. CATERBONE excelled profoundly at building his companies, first beginning with Financial Management Group, Ltd., then working with Tony Bongiovi of Power Station Studios and the "Digital Movie"; then building Advanced Media Group, Ltd.. Over the years, despite the illegal seizures and foreclosures, STAN J. CATERBONE has amassed a portfolio of impressive real estate deals that have always paid off in profits, no matter how or when they were sold. The same was true of his businesses. Financial Management Group, Ltd., was a \$20,000 dollar investment in 1986 and was still sold for approximately \$100,000 two years later, despite the false arrests and the extortion of most of it's real value and equity.

**TO THIS DATE STAN J. CATERBONE HAS OVER 30 FALSE ARRESTS IN LANCASTER COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS THAT RESULTED IN CRIMINAL ARRESTS BEING DISMISSED BEFORE TRIALS OR BY LANCASTER COUNTY COURT JUDGES DURING SUMMARY APPEALS.**

The mental health history and the criminal records were completely fabricated, and a close review and investigation into the actual court records and hospital records can prove that in very short fashion. There are TWO (2) ways to quickly dispute the Mental Health History and Record:

- One - Review the word "Delusional; delusions; etc.,; every instance of the word used by mental health professionals, and the false reports by friends and family were associated with facts, and matters of the official record, the complete opposite of the meaning of the word "delusional". And they still exist to this very day.
- Two - Review the 3 Fabricated Suicide Allegations of the following dates:

**August 10(?), 1987 at Burdette Tomlin Hospital (Cape May County New Jersey); February 18th(?), 2005 by Kerry Egan and the Southern Regional Police Department; and July 19, 2009 for the 302 Commitment by the Lancaster City Police Department at Lancaster General Hospital.**

- **The Criminal Record is very similar, since 1987 Stanley J. CATERBONE has had 31 false arrests; formal charges and convictions dismissed prior to court proceedings or won on summary appeals in the County of Lancaster, Pennsylvania; most of which STAN J. CATERBONE appearing as pro se (representing himself). These have resulted in civil complaints filed in 2008 in CATERBONE v. The County of Lancaster, Pennsylvania in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.**

**CONCLUSION**

**The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.**

**Respectfully submitted,**

---

**Date: NOVEMBER 10, 2018**

**Date: NOVEMBER 10, 2018**



**Stan J. Caterbone, Pro Se Litigant  
ADVANCED MEDIA GROUP**

**Freedom From Covert Harassment & Surveillance,<sup>®</sup>  
Registered in Pennsylvania  
1250 Fremont Street  
Lancaster, PA 17603  
www.amgglobalentertainmentgroup.com  
stancaterbone@gmail.com  
717-327-1566**