


18-1216

In The

SUPREME COURT OF THE

UNITED STATES



R.C. "RICK" LUSSY,
Petitioner

Versus

FLORIDA ELECTIONS COMMISSION ("FEC") &
GAYLORD A. WOOD, JR. BAR #89465
Respondent(s)

*From FLORIDA STATE SUPREME COURT Refused To
File/Docket Appeal: November 14, 2018
After Florida Second District Court of Appeal 2DCA18-55
DOAH No. 17-001594F FEC: 16-357 (WOOD JR ESQ) &
FEC 16-245 (SKINNER) preceded then to 2DCA-18-55*

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI:
AFFIDAVIT

Counsel of Record
R.C. "Rick" Lussy MAI, SRA
RICHARD LUSSY & ASSOCIATES
(Property Appraisers)
860 Sixth Avenue South, P.O. Box 152
Naples, FL. 34106
Phone (239) 263-5413

QUESTIONS-(6): [1] Retaliating pro se respondents' emolument manipulate-falsify fact-free *stare decisis*: 2016 Election: *unjust enrichment impunity* no hearing/due process: "...enclosed pleading is being returned to you herewith as it is not signed by a member of Florida Bar" (10-63-SC) "writ of certiorari" conspicuous in free open door: public courthouses', voided 2-Constitutions: Federal-Florida. Lawless public "servants" only remedy is Missing (1819) US 13th Amendment/Title of Nobility Amendment; [2] 100% Jury Trial with 4-video cameras to impeach emolument; [3] no-standing cover-up chain conspiracy ...; [4] tyrant-racketeer ABA-oath-Mafia is anti-American, trade union lawyer patronage for tribute; [5]; no-good behavior, target-stalk-attack-branded me: (R)1988-92-96-00-04-(D)08-(R)12-16 Property Appraiser Election(s). [6] Remedy respondents forfeit: all real-personal property to petitioner pro se.

LIST OF RESPONDENTS' That:
"ROUTINELY LIE FOR A LIVING"

Pursuant to United States Supreme Court Rule 14.1(b), the following list identify known parties: Writ of Certiorari to enforce existing law against carry-over pro se defendants' Florida State Supreme Court¹ controlling pro se defendant

¹ Naples-Collier County Florida 10-63-SC (Small Claim Florida "vexatious" Litigant Statute 68.093 Jurisdiction liability only): RICHARD C. LUSSY, HONORABLE R.C. "RICK" LUSSY, MAI, SRA, ESQUIRE, Candidate 2016, For Property Appraiser (R) a/s/o RICK LUSSY ("LUSSY") Individually, Serious Little Person, Plaintiff Versus Charles Talley WELLS #86265 JUSTICE(1); Harry Lee ANSTEAD #2060 JUSTICE(2); Barbara Joan PARIENTE #164266 JUSTICE(3); Richard Fred LEWIS #151771 JUSTICE(4); Peggy Ann QUINCE #261041 JUSTICE(5); Leander J. SHAW, JR. #72960 JUSTICE(6); Major Best HARDING #33657 JUSTICE(7); Thomas Dale HALL JR. #310751; CLERK(8) of Florida State Supreme Court... defendants in 10-63-SC.

Florida Elections Commission & Wood Jr.
Bar #89465 each are third-party-
government lawyer-“owners”-officers-of-
the court-by-self-tribal-gift² emolument³
in self-control: self-administer/immunize
100% monopoly government job security.
All with antipathy⁴ to pro-se-petitioner
autonomy⁵ follows-blessed-three-saints:

²Class-(Tribal) Gift (1949) ... *A class gift is one in which the donor intends to benefit a group or a class of persons, ... to be 'group minded.'* ... *depending on circumstances ...* Black's Law Dictionary 10th Edition. (2014) page-803.

³Emolument n. (15c) Any advantage, profit, or gain received as a result of one's employment or one's holding of office. Black's Law Dictionary 10th Edition (2014) page 638.

⁴Antipathy noun 1: strong feeling of dislike. 2: object of aversion Synonyms animosity, animus, bad blood, Merriam Webster Dictionary Internet.

⁵Personal autonomy capacity to decide for oneself ... one's decisions respected, honored, & heeded politically. Syn.: self-determination, self-governance, sovereignty. Antonyms dependence,

grandmother-father-mother to Richard
Charles Lussy⁶ aka R.C. "Rick" Lussy

subjection, (1623) Merriam Webster Dictionary.

§ 8/30/2016, Election Flyer: R.C."Rick" Lussy, Age 66 (7/29/1950), Appraising 43-years since U of MT, May 1973 all varied field experience in 13-States: Arizona, Alaska, Connecticut, Florida, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Vermont & Washington States. Florida 15-Counties: Brevard, Broward, Collier, Glades, Lake, Lee, Manatee, Martin, Monroe, Miami-Dade, Orange, Palm Beach, Polk, Sarasota, Seminole Counties. Non-gov't designation: MAI, SRA. Higher Education U of Mont. (Missoula) Bachelor of Science in Real Estate, Finance, Personal Management, General Business. Opposing political appointee incumbent represented by Florida Election Commission & Gaylord A. Wood Esq. Bar #89465 as Respondents Incumbent Skinner: 86-years old (9/25/1930, Incumbent Employee 1962-1991 = 33-years. Office Holder 1991-Present 26-years total 56-years, 30-years not assessing. Assessed only in Collier County, FL. No non-government designations; no higher education: none completed with 1-year college. As noted in *United States v. Testan* 424

MAI, SRA Candidate 2016 (R) Collier County (Florida) property (Assessor) appraiser. Background bias is by Miami IRS Judge Jacob's transcript. [7] Evidenced

U.S. 392 (1976) in Writ of Certiorari Cause #.

[7] WITNESS LUSSY "No, and so I, and that of course, I understand a 100% conflict with the (Mafia) American Bar Association ("ABA") as lawyers are under oath to help each other and"—IRS Miami TAX COURT JUDGE Julian I. Jacobs "No, I'm not. okay, okay. I'll take some of your insults with a grain of salt." WITNESS LUSSY. "No the ABA admission and goals. It's an exhibit." US Tax Court Docket 20898-13, 3:01 pm, October 27, 2014, Lines 1-8; Page 39. IRS TAX COURT JUDGE Julian I. Jacobs "So, It's hurt your actual business?" Source: *Ibid* Lines 1-2; Page 34. WITNESS LUSSY "It's stopped my business. And of course, then you get into repetition of libel per se, which means, here, you say it, then you prove it. In this case the, you know, I can't impeach the Court because the Court refuses to be impeached because they have no oversight." *Ibid* Lines 3-8. PROOF: CONFLICTED-OUT lawyer respondents deny-refuse 100% Jury Trial Verdict Due Process

100% lawyer-market-share-monopoly⁸
collusion against We The serious little pro se
People aka registered voter taxpayers
("RVT") the fee simple 100% estate
owners⁹ opposing 100% market share of
public servant lawyer cartel cabal
behemoth ("PSLCCB") that 95% control

Redress or it would have occurred already. In
United States vs. Testan 424 U.S. 392 (1976).
Lawyers self-tribe-gifted[FN#2] to themselves.

⁸ Monopoly n. (16c) 1. Control "*[Ninety per cent/*
is enough to constitute a monopoly; it is doubtful
neither sixty or sixty-four percent is enough; and
certainly thirty-three percent is not." *United*
States v. Aluminum Co. of Am. 148 F.2d 416, 424
(2nd Cr. 1945) (Hand, J.) *Black's Law Dictionary*
10th Edition (2014) page 1160.

⁹ "Real Estate Appraisal" - The Fee Simple
Interest is ... "absolute ownership unencumbered
by any other interest or estate, subject only to
limitations imposed by governmental powers of
taxation, eminent domain, police power, &
escheat." Source: *The Appraisal of Real Estate*,
Appraisal Institute.

all monopoly American government
infrastructure ("MAGI"). These
Respondent-*Unitary-Executive(s)*¹⁰
follow:

(1) *Ms. Donna Ann Malphurs* Florida
Elections Commission ("FEC") Clerk; (2)
FEC Investigator *Byron David Flagg* Esq.
Bar #14311 the *Florida American Bar*
Association ("ABA") aka bar association
lawyer cartel cabal behemoth
("BALCCB") serving with public servant
lawyer judge, justice and all agents
("PSLJJAAA"). (3-1) *Ms. Amy McKeever*
Toman, Bar #686344 FEC Executive

¹⁰ Unitary Executive, is emolument use of public
office employment claim: to be separate from all
three branches of monopoly government:
Judiciary-Executive-Legislative. Congress refuses
to publically publish Court/Rules of Procedure for
all US/Federal and 50-State Agencies that exempt
themselves, refuse to enforce all rules-existing law
against themselves, fully 100% above existing law.
Source Movie: "Vice" 12/25/2018.

Director; (4-2) Scott Thomas Chairman Esq. Bar #10410; (5-3) Kymberlee Curry Smith Bar #72463; (6-4) Sean S. Hall Esq.; (7-5) Patricia Hollarn Esq.; (8-6)-J. Alex Kelly Esq.; (9-7) Joni Alexis Poitier Bar 22861; (10-8) Barbara A. Stern Esq. Bar #526576; (11-9) Edward Tellechea Esq. Bar #856101 Counsel; (12-10) Eric M. Lipman Esq. #958247 FEC General Counsel on 8/24/18; (13) Judge John D. Campbell Newton II Bar #244538 Dept of Administrative Hearings ("DOAH"). (14) Defendant Gaylord A. Wood Jr. Esq. Bar #89465 (Lawyer for Abraham Skinner "successful" 1991-thru-2016 candidate infamous letter 6/9/2016); (15) John Christopher Woolsey Bar #537438 is #14- Wood Jr. Bar #89465. Lawyer super majority @73-percent (11-lawyers/15-total) respondents.

SOLICITOR GENERAL & FLORIDA
ATTY GEN. CONSTITUTIONALITY

Pursuant to Rule 14.1(e)(v), 29(4)(b) with 28 U.S.C. §2403(a)(c) re: constitutionality of (1819) Missing 13th Amendment aka Titles of Nobility Amendment. With this one copy notice is given to Solicitor General of US, Room 5614, Dept. of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington DC 20530-001. Phone (202) 514-2203 & one copy notice to Florida State Attorney General: Pam Bondi, The Capitol PL-01, Tallahassee, FL. 32399-1050 Phone (850) 922-4539.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

STATEMENT RULE 29.6

Pursuant United States Supreme Court Rule 29.6: sole petitioner, pro se has no corporate parent & owns no publicly held company stock of ten percent or more.

I declare under penalty of perjury that foregoing is true & correct. Executed on 30 January, 2019 8/27 Petitioner, Attorney-In-Fact for Atty Fees. Richard C. Lussy aka RC "Rick" Lussy, 2020

National Candidate.

x

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ONE QUESTION.....I

LIST OF ALL PARTIES TO THE
PROCEEDING..... II

SOLICITOR GENERAL
CONSTITUTIONALITY.....VII

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT RULE 29.6 VIII

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES,
CITATIONS OF OFFICIAL &
UNOFFICIAL REPORTS OPINIONS
& ORDERS ENTERED IN THE CASE
RULE 14.1(D)-(1)(E)(II).....XIX

STATEMENT OF THE BASIS FOR
JURISDICTION: PRO SE U.S.-IRS
CONSUBSTANTIAL WITH
RACKETEER IN ORGANIZED

CRIME: DOMINICK PETRONZIMO..	1
CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS..	10
TREATIES	17
STATEMENT OF THE CASE: “AMERICA IS A GOOD COUNTRY, LET’S MAKE IT BETTER”	18
TWENTY-REASONS TO GRANT WRIT’S ONE-QUESTION	30
APPENDIX FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI:	97

TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES:

CITATIONS: Guide Rule 14(1)(c)

US Constitution:

Article I, § 1	15 & 29
Article I, § 8	15, 37
Article I, § 9.....	15, 33
& Article I, § 10	15
Article II, §	
2.....	17
Article III § 1.....	33 & 48
Article III § 2.....	37
5 th Amendment	35,
54	
7 th Amendment	38
XIII Amendment Existing	31
13 th Missing Amendment	30, 52, 55
14 th Amendment § 1	36, 54

TREATIES: United Nations

Declaration Human Rights 3,4,7,8.....39

FLORIDA STATE CONSTITUTION:

<u>Article V § 2B.....</u>	55-56
<u>Article V § 3B(1).....</u>	56

<u>Article V § 3B(2)</u>	56
<u>FLA CONSTITUTION (continued):</u>	
<u>Article V § 8</u>	56
<u>Article V § 12A</u>	56
<u>Article V § 2B § 3B(1) § 3B(2)</u>	57
<u>Article V § 8</u>	57

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
RULE

Rule 10 & (c).....	1, 2, 4, 17, 28, 29
Rule 14.1(g)(i).....	40
Rule 14.1(d)	xxi
Rule 14.1(e).....	xxi, 4, 54
Rule 14.1(g).....	62
Rule 29(4)(b).....	54

FEDERAL CASE LAW:

Adams v United States ex rel. McCann, 317, U.S. 269 (1942) , No. 79, Argued Nov 17-18, 1942, 317 U.S. 269, Certiorari to Circuit Court of Appeals For 2 nd Circuit (Cannot force lawyer on client)	25 & 26
--	---------

FEDERAL CASE LAW (continued)

Dahood, Wade J. v. Henry F. & Richard C. Lussy DV-80-12773.....	xxiv
FCC v. League of Women Voters, 468 .S. 364 (1984).....	61
Johnson v Zerbst, 304 U.S. 458, 304 U.S. 468, 304 U.S. 469	27

UNITED STATES LAW

18 U.S. Code § 1622 Subornation of perjury	43, 44
28 U.S. Code § 1254(1)	4

UNITED STATES LAW (continued):

FLORIDA CASE LAW:

Brown v Commission on Ethics 969 So. 2d 553 (Fla. 1 st DCA 2007).....	45
DePrince v. Starboard Cruise Services Inc. 3D16-1149 (Fla 3DCA 2018)	40-41

Lewis v Evans 406 So. 2d 489, (Fla. App Ct 1981)	5
---	---

NEW YORK CASE LAW:

Dan Rather v. CBS-Viacom NY Supreme Court Appellate Division, First Dep. (2009) 475-475A-475B-475C Index 603121/67.....	42
--	----

FLA. RULE CIVIL PROCEDURE

1.010.....	18
------------	----

FLORIDA STATUTES

68.093(4)(5) Vexatious Litigant.....	9
104.011 false swearing.....	16, 18, 47
104.051 corrupt acts.....	16, 18, 47
104.011 aid, abet advising.....	16, 18, 47
106.25 Jurisdiction	43, 47
112.322.....	55

FLA. ELECTION COMMISSION

FEC-16-245 (SKINNER)	46, 49
FEC-16-357 (WOOD JR)	46, 49

FEC-357/DOAH17-1594/2D18-55...49,50	
Transcript May 12, 2017.....	50

MONTANA CASE LAW

Lussy v. Bennett, Knight Dahood Esq. etc. et al, 692 P. 2d 1232, 1234 (Mont. 1984) by US Judge Murray CV-78-67-BU/DV-80-41	xxiv
Lussy v. 4 th District Court of Appeal 828 So. 2d 1026 (Fla. 2002).... & Lussy v. Fenniman.....	45

OTHER AUTHORITIES:

10-63-SC	9, 41
13 Material fact frauds.....	9, 46
70-Exception-Frauds.....	19
86-Exemptions to Vexatious	8-9
312-Violations.....	15
Antipathy.....	iii
Badge of (Black) Slavery.....	xxxi
Black's Dictionary: Monopoly.....	vi
Badge of (Black) Slavery	xxxi
Conflict Out.....	60

Extortion.....	57
Fraud on the Court.....	48
<u>OTHER AUTHORITIES: (continued)</u>	
Chain Conspiracy.....	62
Class (Tribal) Gift	iii
Corrupt persuader defined.....	7
Compelling state interest test	40
Compelling reason clear & Specific....	48
Conflict Out.....	60
Corruption.....	60
Coup d'etat.....	30
Cover up.....	62
CV-78-67-BU.....	xxv, 3
Deferred Maintenance (A-8351)....	10-14
Destroyed Records CV-78-67-BU	
..... & Appendix	3
Election Flyer 8/30/16.....	iv
Emolument.....	iii, 30
Equity.....	17
Expert Witness R.C. "Rick" Lussy.....	xxv
Extortion.....	57
Exclusionary Rule.....	58
Failure to property execute	58

Fee Simple Interest/Estate.....vi, 42	
Fraud on the Court by officers.....42, 48	
<u>OTHER AUTHORITIES (continued):</u>	

Fla. Supreme Ct. Fraud Def.....xxix, 17	
Internal Law.....17	
IRS Trans. Lussy v Judge Jacobs.....xxv	
IRS U.S. Tax Court transcriptv-vi	
Judicial Canon 3D3.....xxviii, 17	
Judge Candidate Statement.....17	
Judgitis5	
Juris Doctor.....30	
Lack of Capacity.....60	
Moot Court & Mock Trial.....19	
Monopoly.....vi	
<u>Most Dangerous Branch</u> (2018).....23	
Nation of Laws Nation Of lawyers.....55	
Organized Crime.....1	
Personal Antipathyiii	
<u>Rebooting Justice</u> (2017)21, 22	
Repetition of Libel per se.....5, 8, 60	
Rule of Law (no one above).....28	
SCO1-849/SC01-933.....6,7,41,45,54,64	

Sovereign Immunity F.S. 768.28(9)(a)..1
Statement of Judge Candidate.....xxviii
OTHER AUTHORITIES (continued):

Standing.....4
Supremacy Clause US Const.58
Swamp, The (2006).....29
Unilateral
mistake.....40
Unitary Executivevii, 3, 45
Unjust Compensation.....52
U.S. Judge Anthony Scalia52, 53
U.S. Justice Murray W. Danielxxiv
Warehoused gov't lawyers42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES,
CITATIONS OF OFFICIAL &
UNOFFICIAL REPORTS OPINIONS &
ORDERS ENTERED IN THE CASE
APPENDIX: Rule 14.1(e)(i), 14.1(d)

o November 27, 2018; RE: Notice of Appeal received November 26, 2018... You may petition for a writ of certiorari... (signed) John A. Tomasino Clerk Supreme Court of Fla. Exhibit A-8564

o November 16, 2018; RE: Return of Filing Fee received November 14, 2018... as it had not been signed by a member of the Florida Br... directive in case number SC01-933. ... We are returning that check to you herewith. (signed) John A. Tomasino Clerk Supreme Court of Fla. Exhibit A-8563

o November 14, 2018, RE: Pleading received November 8, 2018 ... In accord and with the Directive from the Court in SC01-849, Rick C. Lussy vs. Fourth District Court of Appeal, etc., et al. and

SC01-933, Richard C. Lussy, etc. v. John Fenniman, etc., et al....returned to you herewith as it is not signed by a member of the Florida Bar. (signed) John A. Tomasino Clerk Supreme Court of Florida. Exhibit A-8562

o November 1, 2018, BY ORDER OF THE COURT: Appellant's motion for rehearing, clarification, and issuance of a written opinion is denied. I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order. Served: ... (signed) Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel Clerk. Exhibit A-8561

o Opinion filed September 12, 2018. Appeal from the Florida Elections Commission. PER CURIAM Affirmed. LaROSE, C.J., & SILBERMAN & LUCAS, JJ., Concur. Ex. A-8560 (1 OF 2)

o SECOND DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF FLORIDA RE: R.C. "Rick" Lussy v. Florida Elections Commission, et al. Appeal No: 2D18-55, Trial Court No.:

17-357W Trial Court Judge: ... X The
filing fee prescribed by Section 25.241(3),
Florida Statutes, was received by this
court and is attached. ... any
questions...do not hesitate to contact this
office. Sincerely, Mary Elizabeth Kuenzel
Clerk by Joshua Dannelley ... Exhibit
A-8560, (2 OF 2).

o Dec. 20, 2001, ORDER; Supreme
Court of Florida CASE NO SCO1-849
LOWER TRIBUNAL CASE NO. 4D00-
2813 CONSOLIDATED WITH CASE NO.
SCO1-933. LOWER TRIBUNAL 4D99-
2921, Appendix. Exhibit A-2888 (5 OF 5).

o September 26, 2002, PER
CURIAM; Supreme Court of Florida NO
SCO1-849; NO. SCO1-933. Appendix-
Exhibit A-2849 (6 OF 6).

→ Lawyer-patronage for lawyer-tribute
fund: lawyers-"need" automatically
constitute a hearsay-lawyer-enforceable-
claim by fact-free-*stare decisis* against

Petitioner-pro se-LUSSY'S life-property-goods-in 1988-92-96-00-08-12-16 Florida Elections. →→Pile'on: fact-free-*stare-decisis* refused 100% jury trial verdict due process redress: October 22, 1981: then destroyed court records. →→→U.S. District Judge Murray^[11] sullied R.C. "Rick" Lussy aka HON RICK ESQ as "disgruntled" litigant (what-litigant-is-not-disgruntled) by *issuing a restraining order against him: "enjoining him from proceeding pro se in any Montana court without requesting a leave to file or*

^[11] William Daniel Murray⁽¹⁴²⁾ US Federal Judge Butte Montana; (11/20/1908 to 10/3/1994) use of 99.999% fact-free-*stare-decisis* by U.S. Judge Murray insisted corruptly Francis R. Bennett of CV-78-67-BU to make work for his lawyer-son: Charles A. MURRAY⁽¹⁴³⁾ & lawyer-first-cousin William MURRAY Jr. ⁽¹⁴⁴⁾ in 1981; law office: 54 West Galena Street (Yvonne Paumie Lussy Homestead after lawyer-judge theft of 3-story Paumie Block, Butte, MT, 59701 etc. et al.

proceed, and staying all pending actions bought by him pro se". After which CV-78-67-BU[FN#12] case filed records were destroyed to promote petitioner pro se's Badge-As-White-Slave[FN#17]. The lawyers no compete oath is to churn pro se Dahood's^[12] DV-80-12773 excluded Bennett the Banker party in CV-78-67-BU[FN#12] (securities-fraud) with similar Montana State DV-80-40 (negligence-to-collect lawyer-Errors & Omissions insurance). →→→→ Lawyer fact-free *stare decisis* 1988-92-96-00-04-08-12-2016 Florida property (Assessor) appraiser^[13] Elections: U.S. Constitution

^[12] Caption CV-78-67-BU & DV-80-40: Henry F. Lussy, Richard C. Lussy versus Francis R. Bennett (President of 1st Security Bank), Knight, Dahood; McLean, McKay Law-firm & somebody else. As separate from this 10/30/2017 case. A U.S. Supreme Court leadership case is coming-to-you.

^[13] Expert-Fact Witness Property Appraiser R.C. "Rick" Lussy *"And I agree ... property appraising,*

Article I, §8 no uniform (property/income) tax application(s) enforcement within this USA benefit lawyer trade organization;

- June 9, 2016 Infamous pro se party Gaylord Wood Jr. for Skinner incumbent candidate-opponent property appraiser. *No obligation to answer questions pre-election.* [Exhibit A-8396]

- February 18, 2015, Office of Clerk U.S. District Court District of Montana, destruction of all files in CV 78-67-BU[FN#12] Butte Montana. [Ex. A-8478]

- Oct. 2013, Form-DS-DE 39, Florida

be it elected or non-elected, it's my profession."US Tax Court, Miami, 3:01 p.m. October 27, 2014, 3:01 pm Transcript P33, L19-22.

Tax Court Judge Jacobs "So, it's hurt your actual business?" Ibid Page 34, Lines 1-2.

Witness Lussy "It's stopped my business. And of course, then you get into repetition of libel per se... I can't impeach the Court because the Court refuses to be impeached because they have no oversight." Ibid Page 34, Lines 3-8.

Voter Registration Application Oath to
protect & defend the U.S. Constitution;

Exhibit A-3885

- February 15, 2014, Christine Greider County Admin. Judge: requires bar association number lawyer signature: Appendix. Exhibit A-4097

- April 4, 2013, Christine Greider Administrative Judge Collier County/ envelope: Appendix. Exhibit A-8346

- May 4, 2015, Christine Greider Administrative Judge Collier County with/envelope, Request to File 2-complaints: F.S.68.093; Exhibit A-8202

- May 4, 2015, (6 of 6), Christine Greider Administrative Judge Collier County 28-Motion/Affidavits-of petitioner pro se; Exhibit A-8202

- ☐ Undated Crystal Rodriguez "letter" unlike LUSY pleading-affidavits also to Christine Greider Exhibit A-8488

- August 25, 2015, Naples Daily

News @ 8/30/16 Election, Exhibit A-8339

- May 29, 1997, Esquire entitlement: Exhibit A-1386, Clerk Fla. State Supreme Court emolument-require 100% hearsay bar Association lawyer # signature;

- March 2008, (Florida) *Statement Candidate Judicial Office*¹⁴ Form DS-DE includes Judicial Canon 3D3¹⁵ self-

¹⁴ Statement of Candidate For Judicial Office (Section 105.031(5) , F.S. "I ____ a judicial candidate, have received, read, and understand the requirements of the *Florida Code of Judicial Conduct* (Signature (Date. Each candidate for judicial office, including an incumbent judge, shall file a statement with the qualifying officer, within 10-days after filing the appointment of Campaign Treasurer and Designation of Campaign Depository. Exhibit A-8341. (*emphasis*)

¹⁵ Judicial Canon 3D3 Acts of a judge, in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities, required or permitted by Sections 3D(1) and 3D(2) *are part of a judge's judicial duties and shall be absolutely privileged.* and no civil action predicated thereon may be instituted against the

immunity (Appendix). Exhibit A-8341
That includes Florida State Courts Fraud
Policy defined¹⁶ is a fraud in & of itself.
Government lawyers refuse the old rule,
'no lickin, no larnin'," The Swamp by

judge. (*emphasis added*)

¹⁶Florida State Courts System Fraud Policy
Approved by Florida Supreme Court on
September 25, 2012 I. Applicability This policy
applies to all officers and employees of the State
Courts System (SCS), and to all consultants,
vendors and contractors who enter into a business
relationship with a State Courts System entity. II.
Policy Statement The SCS is committed to
establishing and maintaining an organizational
culture that will ensure fraud prevention and
detection are integral parts of all activities,
consistent with Standards of Conduct contained
in the Code of Judicial Conduct and the Code of
Ethics for Public Officers and Employees ... III.
Definition of Fraud, Fraud is a willful or
deliberate act or omission by which an individual
intends to obtain an unauthorized benefit, service,
property or something of value by deception,
misrepresentation or other

Michael Grunwald (2006) page 183.

o December 7, 2012, FDLE verified
criminal conssubstantial-civil F.S. 839.13
(2)(d) *any-person can correct manipulated*
& falsified public records. Exhibit A-8565

o The U.S. Constitution (1819)

Missing 13th Amendment Title of Nobility
Amdendment" ("TONA") is the required
remedy by/for petitioner pro se with Due
Process Clause-physical-hearing-denied
to extort lawyer fees from petitioner pro
se: denied 14th Amendment protect-free-
speech: First Amendment that
incorporates VI Amendment for
counterclaimed-attorney(s)-in-fact-fees to
be sue-prosecute & impeach in 100% jury
trial verdict with four video cameras: U.S.
Constitution Article III §2 & Amendment
VII for due process redress Article I, §9 &
§10 pre-to-post 1776 foreign British
Accredited Registry ("BAR") emolument
[FN#3]-lawyer-letter-marquee-monopoly-
gov't-lawyers-reprisal-demand Florida

xxx

State Supreme Court against R.C. "Rick" Lussy: SC01-849/SC01-933. Add to that stigmatized-"vexatious" (F.S.68.093 small-claim-court-jurisdiction) litigant-to-stop-non-lawyer-competition-thereby-retaining lawyer-tribe-class-gift[FN#2]. Lawyer self-administered Badge of (White) Slave^[17] that induces further racketeering-organized crime accomplishments' by/for lawyers: 100% market share, no competition, no consumer freedom of choice by express omissions, 100% concealment & insider trading. Exhibit A-8480.

By express omission non-lawyers can be employed judges: US Article III §1-§2-§3.

^[17] Badge of (Black) Slavery. (17C) 1. Strictly ...
2. Broadly, any act of racial discrimination – public or private – Congress can prohibit under 13th Amendment. Black's Law Dictionary, 10th Ed. (2014) p-166. [Lawyer use to destroy LUSSY].

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT
REVIEW: ORGANIZED-CRIME:¹⁸
APPLY PUBLIC SERVANT: NO
IMMUNITY F.S. 768.28 (9)(a)

In this 28 USC §1331 Federal Question all civil actions have original jurisdiction arising under United States Constitution 1st Amendment (1791) with no discretion to deny this right, not by discretion as stated in Rule 10, page 5:

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."
(emphasis)

U.S. Rule 10. "Considerations Governing

¹⁸ Pro-se Respondents Florida Elections Commission ("FEC") & Gaylord Wood Jr. #89465 are racketeers in organized crime empowered with emolument/status not to confuse with competence requires this Writ of Certiorari Affidavit.

Review on Certiorari” (in appendix) is instantly impeached (in appendix). Non-lawyer-party-petitioner LUSY pro se against U.S. Supreme Court Justices (where no lawyer-judge/justice ever ruled 100% in my favor these 68-years of life) RE: “*Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari*” U.S. Rule 10 (page 5): preface (in Appendix) includes ending paragraph (page 6): Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States Adopted September 27, 2017, Effective November 13, 2017.

The LUSY competent-substantial-evidence offered is the United States First Amendment to Constitution asserts right to petition the Government for a redress of grievances, reference below:

“Congress shall make no law ... & to petition the Government for redress of grievances. (emphasis)”

With no book-public-published Court-Civil rules of the Common Law, 100-percent-void-vitiate-vacates forever

LUSSY petitioned 100% jury trial verdict due process redress, (in appendix) forty years, since: CV-78-67-BU to-be-reopened, as conveniently destroyed following: fraud on court by officers-lawyers of court ad nauseam.

Again, US-Federal & 50-State Magistrates-Judges 100-percent refuse US Constitutional authorities in their trial courts as not codified: therefore US-State Constitutions are 99.999% irrelevant, especially when LUSSY has no Florida Bar Association Number to sue-prosecute-impeach ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA (please see page vii) lawyer trade union anti-trust policies have 100-percent failed America (1)i.e. 2008 economic decline all had lawyers (2)i.e. 3-small-timers went to jail & (3)i.e. lawyer trade union August 21, 1878 *coup d'etat* against America, make all lawyers-government lawyers: fraudster Unitary Executives.[FN#10]

U.S.C. 28 §1254(1),¹⁹ reasons'
compel Rule 10(c)²⁰ Fla. court standing²¹
all demonstrate damages-not errors.

US Rule 14(1)(e): Concise
Statement Issue: (A) Pro se respondents'
lawyer super-majority(11/15-is-93%)
ABA, BALCCB, PSLJJAAA control 95-
percent MAGI & 100% American
elections (please-see-page: vii): unjust
enrichment by not-good behavior:

¹⁹ U.S.-Code 28 §1254 (1)Writ of certiorari...

²⁰ U.S. Supreme Judicial Discretionary grant
compels approval of this Writ of Certiorari. Rule
10(c): "a state court...has decided an important
question of federal law ... should be, settled by
this Court that conflicts with relevant decisions of
this existing U.S. Supreme Court's Constitution."

²¹ Standing n. (1924) a party's right to make a
legal claim (1) ...challenged conduct ... injury, (2)
... interest protected in zone of interests
...constitutional guarantee in question. Black's
Dictionary 10th Ed. (2014) p 1625.

judgitis²² (sour grapes) refused for forty-years pro se LUSSY physical-hearing/no due-process, thru unconstitutional-incorrect rules as with no Florida Bar Association number threw 8/30/16 Election pursuant preceding 1988-92-96-00-04-08-12 Florida property appraiser elections for suing-prosecuting-impeaching pro se respondents' in Florida State Supreme Court 10-63-SC(small claim) as a distraction to repeat libel per se.²³ LUSSY is not-in-the-lawyer-tribe,

²² Judgitis (1956) An emotional disequilibrium that results when a judge confuses the trappings of judicial office with his or her own personal grandeur; self important condescension to which certain emotionally insecure judges are susceptible. See Judicial Diva(2) *Black's Law Dictionary 10th Edition (2014) page 970.*

²³ Repetition of Libel per se, judge made law, is actionable, even though the libeler explicitly refuses to vouch personally for its verity. *Lewis v Evans* 406 So. 2d 489, (Fla. App Ct.1981). Connotative: You Say It You Prove It.

with-no-Florida-Bar-Association-Number:
FEC 16-357 (Wood-Jr.-Esq.) &
FEC 16-245 (Skinner), 17-
357W/17-1594F, 2D18-55, with pro
se defendant Fla. State Supreme
Court 11/27/18; 11/16/18; 11/14/18
manipulated-falsified public
records: thru denial-of-docket-
number *terra incognita*: claim
SC01-849/SC01-933.²⁴

²⁴ SC01-849/SC01-933 is #4: Case "C" 18-
exemptions of 86-Total Exemptions to respectable
"vexatious" litigant Florida Statute 68.093. RE:
96-year-old widow-estate Mrs. Margaret Alpha
Buob's court appointed lawyers stole (\$150,000+/-
over the \$300 felony Florida limit) all-was-
intended-for-heirs-&-they-got-nothing.

SC01-933 Richard C. Lussy v John Fenniman Esq.
Chartered etc. et al. And SC01-849 Rick C. Lussy
v Florida 4th DCA/Judge Harper/Makemson SC01-
849 (Fla. Stat. 316.650(9) Traffic citation). SC01-
933 Exploitation Elderly/100% theft from 96-year-
old widow Mrs. Margaret Alpha Buob estate for
which helper-LUSSY: *Circuit Judge Hershey*

As monopoly-government-control is super majority: lawyer-patronage-tribute.^[25] LUSSEY'S SC01-849/SC01-933 *ad nauseam* include legally-sufficient-competent-substantive-evidence against respondent-corrupt-persuaders^[26] pile on: "disgruntled

declared a non-interested person after 2-notorized limited power of attorneys' with durable provision. Lawyer-patronage for lawyer-tribute indicated a 100% loss to Mrs. Buob's heirs: Kari w/Brother in Norway and Mr. Barney Hungerford in Wayne Pennsylvania: \$50,000+/- cash/stocks & 30+/- Oil paintings, 2929 SE Ocean Boulevard, Cedar Pointe Condominium Unite "I-9" Stuart Florida (fee simple estate) and 16 SE Sixth Avenue SE, Town of Ocean Breeze Park, Jensen Beach, Florida (vertical improvements owned in fee on leased land). (F.S. 825.103).

^[25] SC01-849/SC01-933 represents lawyer-patronage for lawyer-tribute-in-your-face-action. With 18-exemptions of 86 (need only one-to-win) to "vexatious" litigant Florida Statute 68.093 jurisdiction in small claim court/jurisdiction for which no public or private lawyer would assist.

^[26] *Lawyer Nancy Temple: "Corruptly persuades"*

vexatious” litigant repetition of libel per se[FN#22] with 86-exemptions^[27] to the

means having an improper purpose, which she defined as "an intent to ... impede the fact-finding ability of an official proceeding." ... destroyed documents to investigation of Enron Corp. U.S. v. Arthur Andersen, LLP, No. H-02-121 (S.D. TRx. May 13, 2002), Trial Transcript 1663-1665. Too Big To Jail “How Prosecutors Compromise With Corporations” by Branadon L. Garrett 2012 p 41.

^[27]86-Exemptions to Impeach “vexatious” litigant F.S. 68.093 small claim (liability only) jurisdiction.

#1: Case “H” Lussy v City of Naples (Fla. Statute 316.650 Traffic citations (9)) etal 9-exemptions

#2: Case “A” Lussy v Schmock (Fla. Stat. 316.650 Traffic citations (9) etal 14-exemptions

#3: Case “B” Lussy v Damsel Esq. from Lussy v Schmock F.S. 316.650(9) Traffic citation: 7-exemp.

#4: Case “C” Lussy v incompetent 4th DCA/Harper/Makemson & Fenniman SC01-849

(F. S. 316.650(9) Traffic citation SC01-933 Exploitation Elderly/theft \$50,000+/- & 30+/- Oil paintings (F.S. 825.103) 18-exemptions

#5: Case “E” Lussy v Hanley etal (2000 Election pre-paid advertising ROBY⁽²⁹⁾ written

vexatious litigant Fla. Stat 68.093.^[28] All is within small claims court jurisdiction. The 13-material fact fraud transcript notations re: deferred maintenance.^[29] The 8/30/16 Election 13-material fact frauds

contract said no privity, while SCHACK⁽²³⁾ granted >\$10,000 atty fee unwritten contract atty fee/expert witness) 10-exemptions

#6: Case "K" Lussy v Florida Bar Ass'n et al/Lussy v Pariente/Ollinger Esq 19-exemptions

#7: Case "I" Lussy v Roby Esq. & Fox Esq. (F.S. 316.650(9) Traffic citation f/Lussy v police gorny) 9-exemptions. Total 86-exemptions. Need 1-win.

^[28] Pro se defendant Florida State Supreme Court jurisdiction Small Claim 10-63-SC Fla.Stat. 68.093 "Vexatious" Litigant Law. (1) (a) "Action" means ... by Fla. Rules of Civil Procedure ...or any action which Fla. Small Claims Rules apply....

^[29] Material 13-fact fraud deferred maintenance summary reference *DOAM Transcript May 12, 2017*: (1) Opening Statement Page 25, Line 1-2; (2) page 51 Line 14-15; (3) page 52 Line 24-25; (4) page 63 Line 3-4; (5) page 63 Line 8-9; (6) page 63 Line 17-18; (7) page 97 Line 7-8.

itemized-as-deferred maintenance.^[30]

^[30] Deferred maintenance *referenced Exhibit A-8351 (15-pages)* SCOPE Uniform Standard Professional Appraisal Practices against racketeering & cover-up & to conceal from voters 26-years of deferred maintenance: took public salary & did not do the required work for 26-years (day one+): [H-i] i.e. 2016 Election: 13-material fact frauds, 43-impeachments, 312-violations with 70-exception-frauds source 2D18-55 Initial Brief Affidavit page 6-of-52-pages 3/11/2018 after-no-hearing-no-due process: *FEC: 16-357 (WOOD JR ESQ) & FEC 16-245 (SKINNER)* Florida: DOAH #17-1594F (attorney fees-only with no hearing/no due process: no fact-evidence-proof Judge incorrectly cited (Brown with a hearing-LUSSY no hearing). Commission on Ethics 969 So. 2d 553 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007) with-hearing fact-free *stare decisis*: [H-ii] 1st 24-years of annual tax rolls (no review 5-year cycle maintenance) violate Fla. Statute 193.023(2) no physical checks on vertical building improvements in all-of-Collier County. These 312-violations (5/30/1991 to 5/30/2017 = 26-years X 12, represent 1-(category-multiple-parcel) violation per month within monopoly government Collier County property appraiser. Skinner paid

*suppression taxes likened to lawyer fees is pizzo/refined. Since Skinner's first-day-political-appointment in office never did maintain the public-web-page: tax roll vertical improvement to-review-5-year cycle. [H-iii] 2nd) Of 24-years incumbent to pay & hold harmless Higginbotham Estate back taxes per Fla. Stat. 768.28(9)(a) with Form DR0462 for missing from tax roll 1-house & 2-barns 700 Big Cypress Rd ID#00370600004. [H-iv] 3rd) For 8.7-years retroactive to January 1, 2008 SKINNER refused vested homestead exemption of: Dennis Henderson Form DR-501T "Transfer of Homestead Assessment Difference" F. S. 193.155(8) is 27th Florida Constitutional Amendment ongoing. [H-v] 4th) Of 24-year old computer assist valuation system is antiquated & ossified, Collier-Sheriff just upgraded theirs after 30-years. [H-vi] 5th) inadequate staff instruction by Skinner not read Naples Newspaper: Portability Homestead Exemption 27th Amendment to the Florida State Constitution. [H-vii] 6th) 24-year old web page "market value 100% willing seller" is not just value (85% unwilling seller) nomenclature is *not helpful without reference to existing* Florida State Constitution Article VII §4 & §4(2) §8(a)(2.) §8(2). Respondent*

WOOD JR ESQ for SKINNER willful error against Florida Constitution. It is incongruent naming (correct) just value: (incorrect) market value (100% willing seller) to get to (correct) assessed value for each of multi-million parcels, each year @ www.collierappraiser.com since political appointment: 1991 to 8/30/16 election. THE ONLY PURPOSE OF THIS PUBLIC OFFICE IS TO ESTIMATE JUST VALUE (85%) FOR ASSESSED VALUE (adjusted by law-class-deductions) ONCE A YEAR! It is reflected in categorical 312 violations multiplied by multi-million parcel count. Florida State Constitution is corrupted act-false swearing-aided, abetted & advised by WOOD JR. ESQ. sponsored by Florida Elections Commission ("FEC") "for" fair-equal elections. In Property Appraisal Elective Constitutional Office. [H-viii] 7th) To clarify ossified language web page (assessed (85% unwilling seller) value is not market (100% willing seller) value) SKINNER's continuous false and misleading corrupt acts KNEW THIS as he is required to certify each year's property value tax roll that assessed values are at 85% of market value on Form DR-493 via Jeep Quinby Chief Appraiser. DOAH Administrative Judge Newton

*II voided all Collier County Property Appraiser Subpoena Duces Tecum for a rigged against LUSSY lawyer fee hearing favoring respondent Gaylord A. Wood Jr. Esq's highly stylized besmirchment of LUSSY-petitioner pro se. 8th) Of over-assessed, over taxed properties in Collier i.e. Marco Island contributed to (2008) World Wide Housing Bubble & Foreclosure Debacle are not attached: **SUMMARY EXHIBIT "A" Requires the Next year Assessed Values from/Property Appraiser Office Archives (257-pages)** for final conclusion. [H-ix] 9th) Of Abraham Skinner's *accountability* for sex abuse as the superior employer's sex demands (others sealed in Collier County Circuit court records) upon subordinate female employee mother via fact witness Gary Michael Siciliano (son of mother), phone (239) 598-2391 a notary public & owner of Green Spire & Associates, Suite #105, 5850 Shirley St., Naples, Collier County, Fla. Verified by Rick Lussy MAI, SRA, Aug. 11, 2016 at 3:30 pm & subpoena witness DOAH 5/12/17 & Judge refused son-for-mother (Mr. Siciliano) to testify at May 12, 2017 as it did not pertain to attorney fees. [H-x] 10th) Misuse/abuse of public position violating his sworn oath of office Fla. Article II Constitution &*

These manipulated & falsified public records pursuant Florida Statute 839.13(2)(d)-Appendix are categorical

Voter Registration Application Oath-APPENDIX, a ministerial & office holders duty to equalize, uniform, methodology, requires 100-percent, 5-year maintenance of all parcels to benefit all registered voter taxpayers ("RVT"): fee simple estate owners of monopoly government. RVT's are to be held harmless from public servant lawyers already paid salaries with tax monies. [H-xi] 11th As boring a peer public official (husband to Jennifer Edwards current Supervisor of Elections Collier County Florida) with Abraham Skinners unrelenting dirty jokes. [H-xii] 12th Inference of Candidate Rick Lussy MAI, SRA in retrospective to time of Skinner's 1991 political appointment by (D) Gov. Lawton Chiles. Then: 30+ year office holder property appraiser Sam Colding quit & refused to recommend Skinner (after Skinner's 30-years working for him) as a replacement officer-holder. [H-xiii] 13th Over 9-years refused to assist Marco Island constituent RVT make portability of homestead exemption a Florida law with amending the Florida State Constitution. Lee County property appraiser did.

disputes are part of these 312-violations³¹
 3-U.S. Constitution Article I, policy
 failures § 8(power to lay ... (property) taxes shall be
uniform (thru U.S.)) § 9(No title ... no person holding any
 office accept any present, emolument, of any kind whatever) &
 § 10 (No state shall grant letters of marquee and reprisal) to
 LUSSY without Florida Bar Association
 number, relies upon (1819) missing 13th
 Amendment all of appraisal-office-
 management: pre-2016 (R) primary
 election & 70-Exception-Frauds post-May
 12, 2017 DOAH Judge Newton's
 "Recommended Order" FEC adopted
 with a Florida Bar Association Number.
 (B-Concise Issue Continued) FEC-DOAM
 court order is to extort from LUSSY to
 bribe lawyer(s) for which more lawyers is
 not the solution. (C-Concise Issue
 Continued) Respondents' block-stop-&

³¹ The 312-violations (5/30/1991 to 5/30/2017=26-
 years X 12), represent 1-violation per month
 monopoly government pay check.

obstruct-voter-information (deferred maintenance-sex-abuse-for-84-year old political appointee-incumbent); (D Concise Issue Continued) to-stop-discrimination-policy-manipulate-falsify & obstruct-past-to-future elections; (E Concise Issue Continued) stop corrupt acts (F.S.104.051), false swearing (F.S.104.011), aiding-abetting-advising-conspiring (F.S. 104.091), (F Concise Issue Continued) to obstruct by US Unitary Executives[FN#10] (G Concise Issue Continued) now-voided Oath of Public Office-&-Pledge-of-Allegiance; (H Concise Issue Continued) lawyers-conflict-of-interest-these-constitutional-Writ-issues' pre: 2020 National Election. (I Concise Issue Continued) Concurrent: court ordered secret surveillance warrants ("SSW") hired sabotage surveillance agents ("SSA") 24/7 for-wet-blanket-stings/crowd sourced to preserve & protect the-not-constitutional Form DS-

DE: Judge Candidate Statement[FN#14];
Judicial Canon 3D3(immunity)[FN#15] &
Florida State Courts Fraud
Policy[FN#16](immunity). (J Concise
Issue Continued) *US Supreme Court
Rule 10: "Review on a writ of certiorari is
not a matter of right, but of judicial
discretion"* is constitutionally incorrect &
at issue. (K Concise Issue Continued) US
Supreme Court/pro-se-Respondents'
cannot self-legislate regulations as within
domain of US Congress, U.S. Constitution
Article II §2 vests Judicial power in
Law^[32] & Equity^[33] both as to "*Law & Fact*
(70-LUSSY) *Exceptions & under such
Regulations as the Congress shall make.*"

^[32] Internal Law. 1. Law that regulates the
domestic affairs of a country. Black's Law
Dictionary 8th Edition (2004), page 900.

^[33] Equity n. 1. Fairness, impartiality;
evenhanded dealing ... the concept of "inalienable
rights" reflects ... Declaration of Independence>.
... Black's Law Dictionary 8th Ed. (2004) page 279.

(L Concise Issue Continued) Florida Rule 1.010 of Civil Procedure^[34] incorrectly abolished the distinction between law-&-equity by self-legislating against existing US Congress issue(s) rule promulgation. (M Concise Issue Continued) Respondents' also voided LUSSY petitioned Florida Election Statutes: 104.051^[35] (corrupt acts); 104.011^[36] (false swearing) and 104.091^[37] (aiding-abetting-

^[34] FRCP p44. Scope Author's Comment-1967... .

^[35] F.S. 104.051 Violations; neglect of duty; corrupt practices.—(1) Any official who willfully violates any of the provisions of this election code shall be excluded from the polls.

^[36] F.S. 104.011 —(1) A person who willfully swears or affirms falsely to any oath or affirmation, or willfully procures another person ... arising ... voting or elections commits

^[37] F.S. 104.091 — (1)Any person who knowingly aids, abets, or advises the violation of this code (2) Any person who agrees, conspires, combines, or confederates with another person to commit a violation of this code shall be punished as if he or

advising). (N Concise Issue Continued)
Respondents ignored LUSSYS 70-US
Constitutional Exception-Frauds
jurisdiction in Florida's 2nd District Court
of Appeal & Supreme Courts' ABA-
BALCCB-MAGI (see page-vii). U.S. Rule
14.1(e)(iv) petitioner-pro-se-R.C. "Rick"
Lussy aka LUSSY is compliant with all-
essential-rules statutory-provisions. (Q
Concise Issue Continued) Respondents
make work is to "kick the can down the
road" and into 16-more elections. (P
Concise Issue Continued) Respondents'
sponsor ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA as a
top-down institution, is not adequately
trained-moot court,^[38] & Mock Trial^[39] not

she had committed the violation... .

^[38] Moot court (18c) 1. A fictitious court held usu.
In law schools to argue moot ... 2. Practice session
... *Black's Law Dictionary 10th Ed (2014) pge 1161.*

^[39] Mock Trial ((18c) `1. A fictitious trial organized
to allow law students, ... trial advocacy. ... *Black's
Law Dictionary 10th Edition (2014) page 1156.*

adequately qualified lawyers' in receipt of a 3-year law *juris doctor* diploma comes with no doctor experience what-so-ever. (Q Concise Issue Continued) The *juris doctor* diploma is over \$300 a felony, false advertising by tax-pre-paid-free-public-law-for everyone. (R Concise Issue Continued) Monopoly government (super-lawyer-majority) lawyer-Respondents are against the public-interest by editorializing: re-selling free public law. Reselling free public courtroom access with free public salaried lawyer judge-justices. *It is likened to re-reselling free public water to the fish, re-reselling free public ice to the polar bears and re-reselling free public air to the birds.* (S Concise Issue Continued) Respondents' instant case is to stop pro-se-LUSSY-non-lawyer to proceed sponsoring the Missing (1819) 13th Amendment aka Title of Nobility Amendment. The "solution" of more-lawyers-for-everyone is a dead

end.”⁴⁰ Judge Friendly⁴¹ some-what-agrees. “As a vice president of the Georgia Trial lawyers Association put it, “You-put-a-mirror-under-the-court-appointed-lawyer’s-nose, and-the-mirror-clouds-up, that’s-adequate-counsel.”⁴² The ABA and

⁴⁰“The more-lawyers-for-everyone solution is a dead end.” Rebooting Justice “More Technology, Fewer Lawyers, & Future of Law”, Benjamin HJ. Barton Esq. & Stepanos Bibas Esq. (2017) Pg 101.

⁴¹Judge Friendly put it well: “[w]ithin the limits of professional propriety, causing delay and sowing confusion not only are [lawyer’s] right but may be his duty.” Rebooting Justice “More Technology, Fewer Lawyers, And The Future of Law”, by Benjamin HJ. Barton Esq. & Stepanos Bibas Esq. (2017) Page 108.

⁴²“*You put a mirror under the court appointed lawyer’s nose, and the mirror clouds up, that’s adequate counsel.*” Ibid, page 30. “For examples of sleeping, drunk, drugged, alcoholic, and mentally impaired defense lawyers, see Bright, 103 Yale L.J. at 1835, 1843 & nn. 53-54, 1859; Jeffrey L. Kirchmeier, Drink, Drugs, and Drowsiness: The Constitutional Right to Effective Assistance of

AALS are acting as a giant cartel, restricting the supply of lawyers and thus driving up the price.”⁴³ (T Concise Issue Continued) This writ of Certiorari petition requires due process/physical hearing with a twelve jurors: 100% jury

Counsel and the Strickland Prejudice Requirement, 75 Neb. L. Rev. 425, 426-27, 455-63 (1966, Recent Cases-Sixth Amendment-Ineffective Assistance of Counsel- Sixth Circuit Holds that Defense Counsel’s Nap During the Defendant’s Cross-Examination Does Not Clearly Violate the Sixth Amendment, 125 Harv. L. Rve. 1498 (2012) (criticizing *Muniz v. Smith*, 647 F. 3d 619 (6th Cir. 2011)). The quotation is from Hal Strauss, Indigent Legal Defense Called “Terrible,” *Atlanta J. –Const.*, July 7, 1985, at 12A (quoted in Bright, *supra* at 1852). The facts of Judy Haney’s case come from Katya Lezin, *Finding Life on Death Row* 99-127 (1999); *Haney v. State*, 603, So. 2d 368 (Ala. Crim. App.1 1991; Ex parte Haney 603 So. 32d 412 (Ala. 1992) Rebooting Justice *ibid* p18. ⁴³ Rebooting Justice “More Technology, Fewer Lawyers, And The Future of Law”, by Benjamin HJ. Barton & Stepanos Bibas (2017) Pg 10-or-170.

trial verdict due process redress: as ideas are *“competitive rough-and-tumble & best represent the will of the people. That is better than so often leaving it up to nine unaccountable judges.”*^[44] (U Concise Issue Continued) LUSSY’S forty years from Butte Montana: US District Court 78-67-BU Clerk destroyed records: to 8/30/16 (R) Election in Naples Florida continue thru (1988-92-96-00-04-08-12) to-pre-candidate 2020 National Election. (V Concise Issue Continued) Four-term incumbent SKINNER thru WOOD ESQ/WOOLSEY ESQ/HERON ESQ (expert) respondents ignored four (4/19/17, 4/3/17, 3/31/17, 3/31/17) court notice-video-hearing-to-have-produced physical exhibits & did-not: lost the case. LUSSY’S unhappy ending is with this 100-percent-rigged-gift: \$10,862.50

^[44] Most Dangerous Branch (U.S. Supreme Court) (2018) by David A. Kaplan, page 8.

“order”. (W Concise Issue Continued)
Result: Respondents Woolsey Esq.
forfeited (\$36,802.50); expert Herron Esq.
forfeited (\$33,582.50) then awarded
\$10,862.50 “by-order” of Judge Newton II,
Fla. Bar No. 244538-with-no-physical-
evidence-exhibits.^[45] (X Concise Issue
Continued) The Florida court ignored 70-
Exception-Frauds after May 12, 2017
Final Hearing with-312-
violations,[FN#30] 13 material fact
frauds,[FN#28] inside-this-public-
property-tax-appraisal-office. (Y-i Concise
Issue Continued) Respondent lawyers by-
&-for the lawyers (super-majority) theory
that theirs-is-a-lawyers-right, it’s in
practice a “lawyer-right” self-
administered & self-immunized[FN#’s14-
15-16] by lawyers to self-legislate LUSSY

^[45] DOAM Judge Newton II, Fla. Bar No. 244538
Record 17-357W/17-1594F, Docket #88, Exhibit
“C”; page 65 & 66 of 167.

must-have-a-Florida-Bar-Association-
Number violates US Constitution by
precedent:⁴⁶ Adams versus United States
implement F.S. 768.28 (9)(a)-Appendix.

(Y-ii Concise Issue Continued)

Respondents' *lack of capacity* in U.S.
criminal-not-civil prosecution:

[A]n accused, in exercise of a free
and intelligent choice considered
approval of court, may waive trial
by jury, and so, likewise, may
waive his constitutional right to
assistance of counsel. P. 317 U. S.
275. 126 F.2d reversed. Certiorari,
316 U.S. 655, to review a judgment

⁴⁶ Adams versus United States judge-made-case-
law/*stare decisis* does not force a lawyer on a
defendant: U.S. Supreme Court text-on-U.S.
constitution. Re "Chicago Ten" Movie @ 1964
digital readout location 23:26 @ 1:39:4. Democratic
Presidential Election, National Chair of Black
Panther Party Bobby Seals was disallowed to
speak for himself, so said Chicago 10-counsel.

reversing a conviction and sentence in a prosecution for using the mails to defraud in violation of Criminal Code, § 215. (emphasis)

(Y-iii Concise Issue Continued)

Respondents Argument: Florida state Supreme Court cannot force lawyer on petitioner-R.C. "Rick" Lussy pro se.

(Y-iv Concise Issue): *lack of capacity in* Adams v. United States ex rel. McCann - 317 U.S. 269 (1942):

U.S. Supreme Court, ... and with considered approval of court, ... and so, likewise, may waive his constitutional right to assistance of counsel. P. 317 U. S. 275. 126 F.2d reversed. Certiorari, 316 U.S. 655, to review judgment reversing conviction and sentence in a prosecution for using mails to defraud in violation of Criminal Code, § 215.

Y-v Concise Issue Right to

assistance of counsel & the correlative right to dispense with a lawyer's help are not legal formalisms.

"...that fairness ... does not force a lawyer upon a defendant. He may waive his Constitutional right to assist of counsel if he knows what he is doing & his choice is made with eyes open." Johnson v. Zerbst, 304 US 458, 304 U. S. 468, 304 U. S. 469.

(Z-i Concise Issue Continued)

America's free public law administration is by a government super-majority of lawyers, [Z-ii Concise Issue Continued] that incorrectly change-words-in-court/civil rules of procedure, with no public-publication-prior in the Rule Book that stop & block U.S. Constitution enforcement, as [Z-iii Concise Issue Continued] trial court judges and Writs-of-Certiorari do not allow *direct-appeals to correct mistakes*: as of right, but of judicial discretion (*for significant constitutional wrongs*) is U.S. Supreme

Court Rule 10.^[47] [Z-iv Concise Issue Continued] This Rule 10 *sua sponte* demonstrates our American Judiciary is 100% independent of existing rule of law to make work by themselves-lawyers-for-lawyers disallow LUSSY to access-free-public-law, free-public courtrooms, salaried free-public-judges. All lawyers "claim-to-be" above rule of law^[48] not publicly published in the rule book, no right for WE THE PEOPLE to enforce either, US Constitution or, Pledge of Allegiance to USA as without 100% jury trial verdict due process redress: addresses an old rule: "*no lickin, no*

^[47] Rule 10, Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States Adopted September 27, 2017, Effective November 13, 2017. Page 5.

^[48] What is the "rule of law"? Question #12 on U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services Test beginning Oct. 1, 2008. Answer: Everyone must follow the law. Leaders must obey the law. Government must obey the law. No one is above the law.

learnin”⁴⁹

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

1-a) Remedy: One Hundred Per Cent
U.S. Constitution (1789) & all 27-
Amendments (1791 to 1992) never codified.
No U.S. Code exists per U.S.
Constitution/Amendment or Fla. Statutes.

1-b) All, 100-percent US Trial Courts refuse
jurisdiction for 100% jury trial verdict due
process redress, by not-in-book published
Court-Rules of Civil Procedure, *ad nauseam*.

1-b-i.) Writ Remedy: U.S. Rule 10

Preface: *“Review on a writ of certiorari is not
a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. A
petition for a writ of certiorari will be
granted only for compelling reasons.”*

Rules...page 5.

○ 1-b-ii.) At issue is not adequate
lawyer training-qualifications with ABA

⁴⁹ The Swamp by Michael Grunwald (2006) pg 183.

oversight: 3-year law school "*juris doctor*" diploma with no doctor-experience what-so-ever is false-international-advertising.

○ 1-b-iii.) All 100-percent due process redress denied Aug. 21, 1878 Saratoga Springs NY lawyer trade union silent-secret *coup d'état*⁵⁰ captured the USA Judiciary with 100% market share, no competition & no consumer freedom of choice. Lawyers do not deliver law now; just as milkman now no longer deliver milk.

2-a-i) Remedy: U.S. Constitution "Missing 13th Amendment" (1819) aka Titles of Nobility Amendment-Appendix 24-parts. Re: emolument[FN#3] Florida Bar ("British Accredited Registry") Association Number, lawyer anti-trust policy failure post 1776:

⁵⁰ *coup d'état* a sudden violent overthrow of a government by a small group. New Merriam-Webster Dictionary (1989) Page 181.

"If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to be a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them."

(emphasis)

U.S. Rule 14(f) Existing (1865) XIII Amendment is differently provisioned from the above (1819) Missing 13th Amendment as set out in the appendix referred to in subparagraph 1(i).

U.S. Constitution (1865) XIII Amendment" Section 1. Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime

whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction. Section 2. Congress shall have power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation. (*Note: A portion of Article IV, Section 2, of the Constitution was superseded by the 13th amendment. 2. No Person held to Service or Labour in one State, under Laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in Consequence of any Law or Regulation therein, be discharged from such Service or Labour, but shall be delivered up on Claim of Party to whom such Service or Labour may be due.*) (*Clause in italics & parentheses is superseded by Amendment 13.*)

2-b.) U.S. Constitution (1789) is a consubstantial^[51] remedy to lawyer anti-

^[51]... I believe in one Lord Jesus Christ the only begotten son of God,... God from true God,

trust policy failure:

Article "I § 9" No title of nobility shall be granted by the United States: and no person holding any office of profit or trust under them, shall, without the consent of the Congress, accept of any present, emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state. (Emphasis)

3.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution (1789) No-Good Behavior unjust enrichment contract-oath lawyer anti-trust policy failure: ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA (please-see-page vii) secret surveillance warrant ("SSW") pay sabotage surveillance agents ("SSA") with crowd

begotten, not made, consubstantial through him all things were made. For us men and" Source: Nicene Creed, St. Ann's Roman Catholic Missal, Naples, Florida. (emphasis) And the Latin words: "*omnia ab uno*" which mean "*everything from one.*"

sourcing for government lawyer trade union control guarantees' thru lawyer patronage for lawyer tribute: with 100% market share, no competition and no consumer freedom of choice. Method: express omissions, 100% concealment & insider trading.

Article "III - § 1." "The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behaviour, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services, a Compensation, which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office. (*Emphasis*)

4-a.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution

Amendment "V" (1791) lawyer anti-trust
policy failure Due Process:

"No person shall be held to answer
for a capital, or otherwise infamous
crime, unless on a presentment or
indictment of a Grand Jury, except
in cases arising in land or naval
forces, or in Militia, when in actual
service in time of War or public
danger; nor shall any person be
subject for same offence to be twice
put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor
shall be compelled in any criminal
case to be witness against himself,
nor be deprived of life, liberty, or
property, without due process of
law; nor shall private property be
taken for public use, without just
compensation. (emphasis)

4-b.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution (1868)
Amendment "XIV § 1," a lawyer anti-
trust policy failure: Hearing-Due Process.

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

"Section 5" The Congress shall have power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, provisions of this article. (emphasis)

5.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution (1789) lawyer anti-trust policy failure Article "I § 8" uniform (property) tax methodology.

The Congress shall have power to
and collect taxes, duties, imposts
and excises, to pay the debts &
provide for the common defense &
general welfare of United States;
but all duties, imposts & excises
shall be uniform throughout
United States; (emphasis)

6-a.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution lawyer
anti-trust policy failure Article "III § 2".

The judicial Power shall extend to
all Cases, in Law and Equity,
arising under this Constitution,
the Laws of the United States, and
Treaties made, or which shall be
made, under their Authority. ...
trial of all Crimes, except in Cases
of Impeachment; shall be by Jury;
and such Trial shall be held in the
State where the said crimes shall
have been committed, but when
not committed within any State,

the Trial shall be at such Place or
Places as the Congress may by
Law have directed. (emphasis)

6-b.) Remedy: U.S. Constitution lawyer
anti-trust policy failure Amendment
"VII" (1791).

[Common Law Suits-Jury Trial] In
Suits at common law, where the
value in controversy shall exceed
twenty dollars, the right of trial by
jury shall be preserved, and no fact
tried by a jury, shall be otherwise
re-examined in any Court of
United States, than according to
rules of common law.

Published Book rules of common law
change pell mell, prove to obstruct justice.

TREATIES

Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt's plan to prevent
WWIII pursuant United States adopted
(1948) United Nations Universal-

Declaration of Human Rights 4-article-
select-of-30-articles-are-consistent with
this Writ-of-Certiorari Remedy to adopt
(1819) Missing Thirteenth Amendment
aka Titles of Nobility Amendment.

Article 3. "Everyone has the right to life,
liberty and security of person."

Article 4. "No one shall be held in
slavery or servitude; slavery & the slave
trade shall be prohibited in all their
forms."

Article 7. "All are equal before the law
and are entitled without any
discrimination to equal protection of the
law. All are entitled to equal protection
against any discrimination in violation of
this Declaration and against any
incitement to such discrimination."

Article 8. "Everyone has the right to an
effective remedy by the competent
national tribunals for acts violating the
fundamental rights granted him by the
constitution or by law."

U.S. RULE 14(1)(g)(i) STATEMENT OF
THE CASE: "America is a Good
Country, Let's Make it Better"

(1-a) Successful Writ of Certiorari
jurisdiction: LUSSY petitioner pro se
demonstrate: compelling-state-interest
test⁵² versus state-unilateral-mistake⁵³,⁵⁴

⁵² Compelling-state-interest-test Constitutional
law. A method for determining the constitutional
validity of a law, whereby the government's
interest in the law and its purpose is balanced
against an individual's constitutional right that is
affected by the law. *Only if the government's
interest is strong enough will the law be upheld.
The compelling-state-interest test is used, e.g., in
equal-protection analysis when the disputed law
requires strict scrutiny. Black's Law Dictionary 8th
Edition (2004) Page 300 & 301

⁵³ DePrince v. Starboard Cruise Services Inc.,
Cause No. 3D16-1149 (Fla. 3rd DCA, 2018).
"Diamonds are not forever if there is a unilateral
mistake" of material fact if: (1) The mistake was

not result of an inexcusable lack of due care; (2) denial of release from contract would be inequitable; and (3) other party to the contract has not so changed its position in reliance on the contract that recession would be unconscionable.

[54] Pro se Defendant Florida State Supreme Court 10-63-SC subsequent to SC01-849/SC01-933: unilateral mistake. The 3rd DCA sitting. En Banc looked to see if “inducement” is an element of a unilateral mistake as there had been conflicting cases – some saying yes and some saying no. The 3rd DCA finally said that inducement is not an element of unilateral mistake. The Court had that: “A contract consent of the governed within 100% jury trial verdict due process redress (aka Employment oath, loyalty oath, Fla. Voter Oath Application Registration &/or Pledge of Allegiance)-may be set aside on the basis of a unilateral mistake of material fact if: (1)-(2)-(3)[ibid FN#53] would be unconscionable. [2nd Source]: DePrince v. Starboard Cruise Services Inc., Cause No. 3D16-1149 (Fla. 3rd DCA, August 1, 2018). [1st Source]: “Diamonds are not forever if there is a unilateral mistake” *Legal Matters* by Rob Samouce “Naples, Daily News” Sunday, Oct. 7, 2018, page 1B.

test with warehoused⁵⁵ government lawyer contract employees' expert property appraiser attempts to correct manipulated-falsified public records.

(1-b): Fraud on the court by officers-lawyers-of-the-court, for impeachment of fact-free *stare decisis*, "as is" continuing & subordinate to fee simple[FN#9] government owners: registered voter taxpayers ("RVT").

o Title 18 U.S.C. § 1622 procure another respondent to commit perjury.⁵⁶

⁵⁵ Dan Rather v CBS-Viacom NY-Supreme Court Appellate Division, First Department, (9/29/2009), 475-475A-475B-475C, INDEX 603121/67. Rather had no standing to sue his employer in subordinated employment contract "pay or play" as warehoused. Contract included prefatory clause "*except as otherwise specified in this Agreement.*" Rather's employers do not owe employees a fiduciary duty, notwithstanding Rather's 4-decades: "the public face of CBS-60-Minute-News."

⁵⁶ 18 U.S. Code § 1622 - Subornation of perjury.

(1-c) LUSSY petitioner pro se
already holds correct jurisdiction inside
Fla. Election Jurisdiction 106.25 Law.⁵⁷

Whoever procures another to commit any perjury
is guilty of subornation of perjury, & shall be fined

⁵⁷ Florida Election Jurisdiction 106.25 Statute
Reports of alleged violations to Florida Elections
Commission; disposition of findings.— (1)
Jurisdiction to investigate & determine violations
of this chapter and chapter 104 is vested in the
Florida Elections Commission; however, ...
empowered by law to investigate, act upon, or
dispose of alleged violations of this code. (2) The
commission shall investigate all violations ... but
only after having received either a sworn
complaint or information reported ... by the
Division of Elections. Such sworn complaint must
be based upon personal information or
information other than hearsay. ...The
commission shall investigate only those alleged
violations specifically contained within the sworn
complaint. ...based upon such facts or allegations
that were raised or could have been raised in the
first complaint.

(2) Respondents' cannot offer any legal-constitutional-compelling-state-interest test success to nullify LUSSY petitioner pro se. Respondents' egregious-judge-statement[FN#14], contemptable-judicial-canon-3D3[FN#15] & fraudster-Florida-State-Courts-Fraud-Policy[FN#16] as super-majority (11/15, 73%) with ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA targeted-stalked-attacked-bullied-badgered-tormented LUSSY & now-are-charged'-for-subornation-of-perjury etc. et al: 18 U.S.C. §1622 as warehoused[FN#55], corrupt acts. [FN#35], false swearing[FN#36] & aiding, abetting, advising[FN#37] to forfeit all real-personal property to LUSSY as-not constitutional-behavior-by-Respondents'.

(3) Respondents' ossified judge-made-case-law/*stare decisis* fact free: [3-A] Errant DOAH Judge knew 17-357W was without a physical-hearing-due process-&-cited "property-appraiser-

Brown" case with a physical hearing-due process to justify \$10,862.50 extortion of LUSSY i.e. Brown Property Appraiser v. Commission On Ethics 969 So. 2d 553 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007 (with-hearing, no-hearing-to-LUSSY). [3-B] SC01-849, Rick C. Lussy v. Fourth District Court of Appeal, etc., et al. (with-no-LUSSY hearing) and [C] SC01-933, Richard C. Lussy, etc. v. John Fenniman, etc., et al. (with-no-hearing-to-LUSSY) FEC-WOOD JR. ESQ'S (are Unitary Executives) full-frontal-assault-on-two-Constitutions that include physical-hearing-due-process.

(4) The noted six Constitutional Provisions weaponize LUSSY to sue-prosecute-impeach these Respondents'. (4-a) Respondents'provided-no-physical-hearing-due-process (constitutional requirement) for either, FEC-16-245 (SKINNER) or, FEC-16-357 (WOOD JR. ESQ.) in rebutting 13-material fact

frauds[FN#28, FN#29] (Exhibit "C" pp109-144 of 167 DOAM Case 17-1594F, just before 2D18-55) in Law & Fact. To replace opponent SKINNER in office as for violating 3-Florida Election Laws:⁵⁸

⁵⁸ [A] Neglect of duty; corrupt practices violation of the code (F.S. 104.051)[FN#34] to replace office holder SKINNER in public office is Counterclaimed by Respondent lawyer WOOD JR. ESQ'S fraudster claim to false or malicious charges against, or false statements violating the code (Florida Statute 104.271), about opposing candidates, for which DOAM Judge applied judge-made-case-law/*stare decisis* THIS TIME WITH A Brown PHYSICAL-HEARING: Brown (property appraiser) v Commission On Ethics 969 So. 2d 53 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007). *"The opinion holds that the person seeking fees does not have to prove actual malice motivated the (LUSSY) complainant."* THERE-WAS-NO-LUSSY-TESTIMONY-FACT-TO-LAW-THIS-TIME-WITH-NO-PHYSICAL-HEARING. Physical-hearing did not occur with LUSSY as he was suing-prosecuting-impeaching the Fourth District Court of Appeal etc. et al, its Judges and other lawyers in SC01-849/SC01-933;

(4-b) F.S. 104.051)[FN#34]; [B] Aiding, abetting, advising, or conspiring in violation of the code. (F.S. 104. 091) [FN#36] and [C] False swearing in violation of the code, (F.S. 104.011) [FN#35]. Sworn in LUSSY Affidavits', ignored & dismissed as *legally insufficient* by pro se respondent FEC.

(4-c) Yet Florida Election Stat. 106.25(1)(2) required investigation: fact-to-law: plead. No investigation was had.

(4-d) Pro se FEC-FLAGG did not investigate.

(5-a) Compelling reason clear negative & specific: 312-Violations [FN#30] for his

is-not-allowed-in-Florida-as-superior-ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA (please see page vii) refuse personal-individual responsibility per applicable Florida Statute Torts Negligence 768.28(9)(a); [B] Aiding, abetting, advising, or conspiring in violation of the code. (Florida Statute 104. 091) [FN#36]; [C] False swearing in violation of the code, (Florida Statute 104.011) [FN#35].

70-Exception-Frauds in Law & Fact
("Exception" authority: U.S. Constitution
Article III §1). (Exhibit "C" pp106-144 of
167 DOAM Case 17-1594F (F-lawyer fee)
before 2D18-55: 100-percent ignored in
"Recommended Order" (Exhibit "A" Page
84-97 of 67). (5-b) All this is due to 100-
percent market share monopoly: lawyer-
patronage for lawyer-tribute: fraud on the
court by lawyer-officers of the court.⁵⁹

(5-c) Respondents' are not
constitutionally compliant with LUSSYS
Six-Constitutional Provisions allowing
him to live-argue before this honorable
US Supreme Court. Sworn in LUSSY
Affidavits': FEC Case No. 17-1594F, FEC

⁵⁹"Fraud On The Court By An Officer Of
The Court & Disqualification of Judges, State &
Federal" www.ballew.com/bob, Exhibit A-3751
AND (1810) In a judicial proceeding, ... that it
undermines ... integrity of the proceeding.
Examples are bribery ... Blacks Law Dictionary
9th Edition, (2009), page 732.

brass knuckles: bias. FEC-16-357 (WOOD JR. ESQ.) did not get written waiver to contact candidate LUSSY against WOOD JR'S client: FEC-16-245 (SKINNER). WOOD JR. ESQ. personally, incorrectly did contact LUSSY in this "confidential" non-public FEC complaint.

(5-d) WOOD JR. ESQ. had no facts & no exhibits to support his claim in order 5-orders⁶⁰ pre-exchange evidence exhibits in preparation to video-conference sworn hearing May 17, 2017: Tallahassee-Fort Myers-Fort Lauderdale.

(6-a) LUSSY in FEC-16-357/DOAH 17-1594F/2D18-55 are without any required physical exhibits. Noted-in-

⁶⁰ Judge John D.C. Newton II, 5-orders for physical exhibits to prove fact-to-law ignored by WOOD JR. ESQ/WOOLSEY ESQ. following DOAH Docket #25, April 27, 2017; Docket #18 April 19, 2017; Docket #14, April 3, 2017; Docket #13, March 31, 2017; Docket #12, March 31, 2017. Source DOAH Exhibit "C" Page 115 of 167.

5/12/17-DOAH transcript, none, what-so-ever.^[61] Judge Newton II's 7/21/17 "Recommended Order" is without WOOD JR. ESQ/WOOLSEY ESQ. support exhibits!?. Yes, no documentation. No support. An egregious "\$10,862.50 after LUSSY impeached (\$36,802.50) WOOLSEY & (\$33,582.50) expert HERRON ESQ. attorney fee claim. (Exhibit "C" Pp31-32 (Page 65-66 of 167 Record On Appeal).

(6-b) Other than FEC's self-serving-
"legally insufficient" finding. The

^[61] [The COURT] /we have a court reporter" [MR WOLSEY]: I understand Transcript P37, Lines 2 &3. THE COURT: "... you (LUSSY) did receive copies of these proposed exhibits, did you not" MR. LUSSY "I DID NOT" Transcript May 12, 2017, P35 Lines 11-13. CONCLUSION: Lussy Filed Docket #77, June 9, 2017 to crystallize WOOD JR'S willful default reliant on government lawyers mollycoddling private lawyers." Exhibit "C" P118 of 167. Record On Appeal from FEC.

methodology: express omissions, 100% concealment & insider trading.

(6-c) CONCLUSION: The 2016-election 3-law violations: FEC-16-245 (SKINNER), (a) corrupt acts, (b) aider, abettor, advisor, (c) false swearing to FEC-16-357 & DOAH 17-1594F are USA Constitutional issues no USA-Federal/Florida State Constitution has ever been codified for use Federal-Fla. trial courts.

(7) LUSSY'S FEC-16-357/DOAH 17-1594F/2D18-55 is the compound negligent fact: of self-established policies: SC01-849/SC01-933.

(8-a) LUSSY in FEC-16-357/DOAH 17-1594F/2D18-55 is Question #1 of #6:
"Retaliating pro se respondents' emolument manipulate-falsify fact-free *stare decisis*: 2016 Election: *unjust-enrichment impunity* no hearing/due process: "...enclosed"

*pleading is being returned to you
herewith as it is not signed by a
member of Florida Bar* (10-63-SC)
“writ of certiorari conspicuous in
free open door: public courthouses’,
voided 2-Constitutions: Federal-
Florida. Lawless public “servants”
only remedy is *Missing (1819) US
13th Amendment/Title of Nobility
Amendment:*

(8-b) FEC-WOOD JR. ESQ. malicious
“\$10,862.50 claim on LUSSY as *unjust
enrichment* “lawyer fee” failed
(\$36,802.50) WOOLSEY & (\$33,582.50)
expert HERRON on US Justice Scalia
textualism⁶² a constitutional remedy.

⁶² “My shtick, as you may know, is
textualism. I believe that judges should adhere to
the text of the law, and not amend or revise it to
accord with what they think the law ought to be.
Imagine my delight, then, when I find, in
Aquinas’s discussion of the question. “Whether we
should always judge according to the written

(8-c) C-Span interview⁶³ with U.S. Justice Anthony Scalia interview Writ-of-Certiorari is “by-the-decider” Clerks.

(8-c) LUSSY against: Florida State Supreme Court contested SC01-849/SC01-933 that require a Florida Bar Association Numbered lawyer signature.

law?” the following seemingly categorical conclusion: “Hence it is necessary to judge according to the written law, else judgment would fall short either of the natural or of the positive right. Bravo! I knew I have been right.” Scalia Speaks, by Antonin Scalia (2017) US Supreme Ct Justice (Sept. 26, 1986– Feb. 13, 2016) (Life 1936-2016 (age 79)) p 244.

⁶³ U.S. Justice Anthony Scalia (1936-2016) C-Span (2009) interview, Need a significant case to qualify in Writ of Certiorari, as it is not to correct errors. Clerks pick cases they are interested in before the end of summer. All Clerks will be successful in later life, assured by Justice(s) tutelage. U.S. Justices are indebted to law clerks as brilliant young people. Justices work from home; they need contact with clerks in chambers.

Carry-forward discrimination policy: old England Westminster anti-constitution policy that USA replicated from Old England 1776 failure in War of "Liberty".

- Not kill the client: you don't eat.
- Just ask Seattle Superior Court Judge Norton Smith's Lawyer fee policy: "*no tickie' no laundry*".
- He new owner: LUSY'S: 5-level, water view from 6-rooms, 5400-sq+ home with 60' bridge over year round stream, 2565

Magnolia Blvd. W/4527 W Raye.

(8-f) U.S. Rule 29(4.)(b)/14.1(e)(v): LUSY: denied Procedural Due Process U.S. Constitution Fourteenth Amendment, (1868) declare, "[N]or shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law" (§ 1). And the Fifth Amendment, (1791) denial of Substantive Due Process of no trial court physical hearing as FEC refused to initiate investigations on its

own after initiation of LUSSY'S 2-complaints.

(8-g) LUSSY'S protection 112.322 Fla. Election Statute as FEC retaliatory-fraud for suing-prosecuting & impeaching Florida lawyers-judges & justices noted in SC01-849/SC)1-933.

(8-g-i) 10/27/14 Include ≤ 3 hour DVD *Nation of Laws, Nation of Lawyers: Mensa Forte Forum* presenter: Rick Lussy, 9/27/14.

"Constitutionality of an Act of Congress Drawn Into Question Re: Missing (1819) US Amendment aka Titles of Nobility Amendment." [This is a restoration of a treasure for our country."] One plus five questions herein.

(8-h) "So (Respondents') invalidate Florida State Constitution Article V §2(b) Administration, Practice & Procedure: ... *"for which the judge is qualified* (LUSSY claim American Bar Association taught

judges are inadequately trained & not/inadequately qualified; Article V §3(b)(1) Jurisdiction... *“shall hear appeals declaring invalid a state statute or a provision of the state constitution”*

(8-i) “So (Respondents) invalidate *Florida State Constitution* Article V §3(b)(2) Jurisdiction ... “shall review action of statewide agencies relating to ... service of (public) utilities (LUSSY) claim free public law-courts-internet is a utility like electric, gas, or telephone service. Article V §8 Eligibility ...a member of the bar of Florida...bar of Florida... bar of Florida...bar of Florida. (4-times) And

(8-j) “So (Respondents) invalidate *Florida State Constitution* Article V §12(a) Judicial Qualifications Commission ... (lawyer judges) present unfitness to hold office. As built on foundation: unfitness is due to: Article V §2(b), §3(b)(1), §3(b)(2) as not adequately trained-qualified by American-Bar-

Association criteria & Article V §8 are invalidated by competent substantial evidence by cross-examination in *100% jury-trial-verdict-due-process-redress-guaranteed*.

(8-k) “So (Respondents’) invalidate Florida State Constitution Florida Elections Commission (“FEC”) & Wood Jr. Esq. \$10,862.50 extortion^[64] attempt on pro-se-LUSSY was collusion^[65] by *lawyer-patronage* for *lawyer tribute* with DOAH-Judge J.D. Campbell Newton II Bar #244538 to-subordinate U.S. Constitution Supremacy Clause.^[66]

^[64] Extortion n 1 ... offense committed by an official engaging in such practice Synonyms fleecing, highway robbery, Merriam Webster.

^[65] Collusion n. (14c) 1. Agreement to defraud another or to do or obtain something forbidden by law. Black’s Law Dictionary 10th Ed. (2014) p321.

^[66] U.S. Constitution Supremacy Clause Art. I § 1, Art. III § 1, Art. I § 8, 9, 10 & U.S. Amendments #1, #7 & #13A-(missing)# 13-B-(existing). Failure

(8-1) As after Woolsey/Wood Jr.'s *failure to properly execute-exhibits in this-record*⁶⁷ (no exhibits by Woolsey/Wood Jr. for lawyer-fee with no further authority in Exclusion Rule/clause.⁶⁸ Respondents' further failure-favored-LUSSY.

to properly execute. Black's Law Dictionary 10th Ed. (2014) P 713.

⁶⁷ Failure to properly execute. *aka failure of record (1844) ... Black's Law Dictionary 10th Ed.* (2014) Page 713.

⁶⁸ Exclusionary Rule is a judicial implication. 338 U.S. 25 (1949 at 28. "*Designed to safeguard 4th Amendment Rights by its deterrent effect, rather than a personal constitutional right of the party aggrieved.*" United States v. Leon, 468 U.S. 897, 906 (1984) (Citing United States v. Calandra, 414 U.S. 338, 348 (1974)). See generally William J. Stuntz, *The Virtues & Vices of the Exclusionary Rule*, 20 Harvard J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 443 (1997). "*The Challenge of Prosecuting Organized Crime in United States: Procedural Issues*" by Paul Marcus Facility Publications 1998. Pages 1381-2.

(8-m) Fact-free *stare decisis* that triggered the Division of Administrative Hearings (“DOAH”) Decision Order⁶⁹ that ignored LUSY’S 70-Exceptions & prior FEC-DOAH 312-Violations & Collier County Property Appraisal public Constitutional Office 13-Material Fact Frauds.⁷⁰ Lawyer succession continues 100% control-of-Judiciary-as-their-private-resort-with-ATM(automated-teller-machine) as LUSY has no Florida Bar Association Number.

(8-n) Pro se FEC counsel changed August 24, 2018 with inside government FEC council Eric M. Lipman Esq. #958247 should-have-been-conflicted-

⁶⁹ DOAH 7/21/17 Recommended Order Exhibit “A” pp 11-25 of 167 FEC Record On Appeal Supple’t.

⁷⁰ LUSY DOAH 7/21/17 Recommended Order Exhibit “A” pp 11-25 of 167 Record On Appeal Supplement.

out.^[71] with repetition-of-libel-per-se^[72] including moral turpitude/100% Civil Corruption^[73] by Respondents' cover-up of fraud-collusion with BAR ("British Accredited Registry") in this Writ of Certiorari.

(9) Respondents' *lack of capacity* in contrast to Adams versus US is Regan, FCC v. League of Women Voters, 468

^[71]Conflict Out, vb. To disqualify (a lawyer or judge) on the basis of a conflict of interestBlack's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, 2004, page 319.

^[72]"...repetition of libel per se is actionable, even though the libeler explicitly refuses to vouch personally for its verity." Lewis v Evans, 406 So. 2d 489 (Fla. Dist. Ct App. 2 Dist 1981). 19 Fla. Jur 2d 409, Exhibit A-2557. Connotative: "You say it, you prove it. F.S. 90.501 require witness-for-proof.

^[73]Corruption 1. Depravity, perversion, or taint, an impairment of integrity, virtue, or moral principle; esp., the impairment of a public official's duties by bribery." Black's Law Dictionary 8th Ed. (2004) page 371.

U.S. 364 (1984):

The Court invalidated a federal law prohibiting noncommercial television-radio stations that received federal grants from editorializing but Court noted that if Congress permitted stations to “establish’ affiliate’ organizations which could then use stations facilities to editorialize with nonfederal funds, such a statutory mechanism would plainly be valid. Id at 400.

10) *Lack of capacity* for pro se FEC-WOOD JR. ESQ. with “Halo Effect” as lawyers for lawyers against the governed.

U.S. RULE 14(1.) (h) DIRECT & CONCISE
ARGUMENT WITH DISCUSSION
QUESTION 1] No-hearing FEC-16-357,
hearing-limit-to-lawyer-fee-only DOAH
17-1594F in prior section: U.S. RULE

14(1)(g)(i). QUESTION 2) 100-percent Jury Trial with 4-video cameras to impeach emolument and; QUESTION 3) no-standing cover-up chain conspiracy ... with QUESTION 4) tyrant-racketeer ABA-oath-Mafia is anti-American, trade union lawyer patronage for tribute; 4-a) The unrelenting retaliation of respondents' support with ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA (please-see-page vii) in-a-chain conspiracy;^[74] with cover-up^[75] 4-b) standard operating procedure of tyrant-racketeers with \$60,000+/- cash walking

^[74] Chain Conspiracy (1959) A single conspiracy ... *All participants are interested in the overall scheme and liable for all other participants/ acts in furtherance of that scheme. *Black's Law Dictionary 10th Edition (2004) page 375.*

^[75] Cover up n (1927) an attempt to prevent authorities or the public from discovering the truth about something; ... *A cover-up often involves obstruction of justice. Cover up. vb. *Black's Law Dictionary 10th Ed (2014) page 446.*

around money for bribes to salaried, unsuspecting shills. 4-c) The boast is to manipulate & falsify any public records anytime, anywhere in America with fraudsters \$60,000 cash walking around money.

Question 5-a) The no-good judicial behavior, target-stalk-attack-branded LUSY: (R)1988-92-96-00-04-(D)08-(R)12-16 Property Appraiser Election(s) 5-b) a “vexatious” litigant’s petitions to correct manipulated & falsified public records for Question 6-a) Remedy respondents forfeit: all real-personal property to LUSY petitioner pro se.

6-b) The not-good government lawyer behavior is a constitutional violation, not yet codified since 1776 it was a replica of Old-English Westminster barrister-solicitor institution.

6-c) Review of state-court Respondents' are corrupt, coercive monopolistic & extortionists, first oath-loyal only to ABA-BALCCB-PSLJJAAA (page vii) 6-d) Writ-Question #1:⁷⁶ by pro se defendant Florida State Supreme Court[FN#1] hearsay relied upon: SC01-849/SC01-933[FN#23-#24-#25] piled-on expert property appraiser.

LUSSY prays compelling reasons are clear & specific.

COMPLETE Writ of Certiorari-Appendix with separate 3-page Certificate Filing Affidavit by US Mail

⁷⁶ Writ-Question #1-of-#6: Begins with denial of non-lawyer LUSSY pro se right to sue-prosecute-impeach monopoly government lawyer's-public servants and all their sabotage surveillance agents that target-stalk-attack-bully badger-torment: LUSSY during 8-elections 1988-92-96-00-04-08-02-16.

from D/CB Richard C. Lussy
petitioner done this January 30, 2019.

APPENDIX FOR
WRIT OF
CERTIORARI: