


JEFFREY L. CLEMENS
5210 W. Waterberry Drive
Huron, OH 44839
Tel: 419-433-4438



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JEFFREY L. CLEMENS,	)	No. 17A936
	)	
Plaintiff-Petitioner,	)	First Circuit Case No. 17-1124-WGY
	)	
v.	)	PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER
	)	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION
JOHN DOE 1, JOHN DOE 2,	)	OF TIME TO FILE PETITION
JANE DOE,	)	FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
	)	
Defendant-Respondents.	)	Justice Stephen Breyer
	)	

The plaintiff-petitioner in the above-referred action, Jeffrey L. Clemens ("Clemens"), does hereby request, per Rule 13, that the Court extend the time for filing his petition for writ of certiorari by thirty (30) days, for good cause shown, as follows:

- A) Clemens, living under prospect of retaliation by parties associated with the named defendant-respondents, mainly, active members of the U.S. Marshals Service, had initially decided *not* to petition the Supreme Court during the greater course of the 90-day period for which filing is allowed by Rule 13, but has now reconsidered;¹
- B) Clemens has indeed been recently victimized by U.S. Marshals, namely, one certain Don Freeman, of Boston, who, in August 2017, initiated and facilitated an arrest of Clemens for a charge of Intimidation [verifiably baseless] brought by persons associated with a *state* court [Hingham, MA] and with prior longtime civil defendants [Scituate, MA police officers and their attorney, Steve Pfaff] of Clemens, persons who have long prosecuted Clemens [since 2005] without lasting success [two prior convictions have been overturned, including the one for which Clemens was sentenced in January 2012, events at which are at root of the current claims at issue in the above-referred case];²
- C) This case has opportunity to not only build upon and seek to clarify, among the circuit courts, Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971) but, as well, and especially, Graham v. Connor, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), an important case that is entirely disregarded by the lower courts in their rulings [See Attachments A and B] despite the petitioner's pleadings arguing Graham;³

D) Clemens has indeed, in recent weeks, been working on a petition [his third one to this Court over the years]³, despite his fears of further retaliation by the U.S. Marshals Service. Given its hasty preparation to-date, the petition is not as yet of the quality that the Court rightly expects and deserves to receive. Again, the hesitancy of Clemens is born of an extraordinary circumstance that few have ever had to endure while they prepare their cases before this great court.⁴

Given the above – from what is both explicitly as well as implicitly stated – the Court is kindly asked to grant a 30-day extension of time for Clemens to prepare and file his petition for writ of certiorari so that he may give his intended issues the time and regard they deserve.⁵

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Jeffrey L. Clemens". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Jeffrey L. Clemens

Endnotes

¹ Frankly, Clemens gave it his all in a prior related petition filed with this court in Case No. 15-0790, Clemens v. United States. Such petition was before the Court in February 2016 and was scheduled for a hearing the very week Justice Scalia died. His passing at such a time has had a profound impact on Clemens. That the U.S. Marshal Service, according to certain newspapers in Texas, did not allow local sheriff deputies to investigate Scalia's death is irksome. But not nearly as bothersome as the coincidence that Scalia had traveled to Texas with a 1967 Harvard Law graduate, Allen Foster. The judge under review in said petition [and again in this review] was William G. Young, as well a 1967 Harvard Law graduate.

² Refer to Case No. 10-10124-DPW, USDC/Boston. On or about October 4, 2017, Judge Woodlock, on habeas corpus motion by Clemens and his counsel, set aside the May 11, 2011 verdict for which Clemens was sentenced in January 2012. The basis? Elonis.

³ By making reckless [and verifiably wrong] conclusions of "untimeliness", without facts or references to back them up, both the district court and court of appeals are entirely and flagrantly ignoring their responsibility to examine elements i.e. factors that this Court has long deemed, in Graham, as vitally important to a claims analysis for Excessive Force, which is the primary claim brought by Clemens. Also, in their brevity, particularly the First Circuit, they have made it very difficult to frame arguments to the Supreme Court but, nonetheless, Clemens, in his future intended petition, would welcome the opportunity to do so for it is Graham, and not Bivens so much, that is offended. This Court should and must be given the chance to address this insult.

⁴ In October 2017, it should be noted, the petitioner's brother, Jonathan Clemens, filed with the FBI a complaint of misconduct [more specifically, "kidnapping"] on part of Scituate Police Chief Mike Stewart and others, including the numerous U.S. Marshals who indeed participated in the physical arrest of Clemens at his home last August, with help of local law enforcement. In fact, it was U.S. Marshal Freeman [See Attachment C] who "alerted" the Erie County [Ohio] Sheriff Department of the Scituate [Massachusetts] charge in question. The result, for Clemens, was a near two-month odyssey fighting extradition and when indeed he was transported to Hingham he faced extraordinary conditions of release, including \$30,000 cash bail [where typically one faces a \$1000 bail] as well as having to wear a GPS monitoring device. It can be said that some, like U.S. Marshal Don Freeman, did not wish to see Clemens released. Why? Likely because of this very petition, one that would be extremely difficult to prepare from jail.

⁵ The issues almost entirely relate to Bivens and Graham. Despite the text of this written request there will be no mention of any conduct by U.S. marshals and their designees beyond the original complaint in this case.

United States Court of Appeals For the First Circuit

No. 17-1124

JEFFREY L. CLEMENS,

Plaintiff, Appellant,

v.

JOHN DOE 1; JOHN DOE 2; JANE DOE,

Defendants, Appellees.

Before

Lynch, Kayatta, and Barron,
Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

Entered: December 4, 2017

Pro se plaintiff-appellant Jeffrey Clemens appeals from the dismissal of his complaint alleging claims under Bivens v. Six Unknown Fed. Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). After careful consideration of the record and appellant's submissions, we find no error in the district court's conclusion that the complaint was untimely and subject to dismissal on that ground. Appellant's arguments to the contrary are unconvincing, and the judgment of the district court is affirmed.

By the Court:

/s/ Margaret Carter, Clerk

cc:
Jeffrey L. Clemens

ATTACHMENT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Jeffrey L. Clemens

Plaintiff

V.

John Doe 1 et al

Defendant

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 17cv10044-WGY

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

YOUNG D.J.,

In accordance with the Court's ORDER on January 11, 2017, it is hereby ORDERED that the above-entitled action be and hereby is dismissed sua sponte as untimely and, in the alternative, as violative of Judge Saylor's injunction.

By the Court,

January 11, 2017

Date

/s/Matthew A. Paine

Deputy Clerk

ATTACHMENT B

CJISWeb 3.0

Page 1 of 2

CJISWeb Printout Generated On: 08/15/2017 17:29:22
By User/Agency: FREEMAN-DON/US MARSHAL - BOSTON

-----CLEMENS, JEFFREY L (1758CR000198)-----
Commonwealth of Massachusetts - Criminal Justice Information System
Trial Court of Massachusetts - Warrant Management System
Pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws ch.276 s.23A this is a TRUE WARRANT on
the person named herein as contained in the Warrant Management System and
printed via Criminal Justice Information System.
This Warrant Printed as of 17:29 on 08/15/17

Defendant Information:

Name: CLEMENS, JEFFREY L
Address: 412 DUCKWAY DR

SS#: 270609380

Race: W Sex: M

Hair: GRY Eyes: BRO

City: HURON

OH 44839

Weight: 187 Height: 600

Complexion: LGT Marks:

Date of Birth: 07/15/1962 Place of Birth: OH Date of Emancipation: 00/00/0000

Father: CLEMENS, DONALD

Mother: CLEMENS, MARILYN

Known Alias:

Ref No: WR5292455TC

FBI No:

License No: S31505976

Misc No:

License State: MA

Obtn No:

CC No: 17-1899-AR

Warrant Information:

Docket: 1758CR000198

Issue Date: 02/07/2017 Court of Issue: 58 - HINGHAM DISTRICT

Type: S - STRAIGHT

10148339

Date of Complaint: 02/07/2017

Offense Date: 02/02/2017 Offense Location: SCITUATE

***** Charges *****

Count Offense Code
1 F 268/13B/A

Description
WITNESS/JUROR/POLICE/COURT OFFICIAL, INTIMIDATE

Court Information:

Assigned for Service To: SCI - SCITUATE PD

Warrant printed by: FREEMAN-DON - MAUSM01S8

Fine Amount: 0.00

Bail Amount: 0.00

Officer Name:

Judge's Name: QUIGLEY, A C-M ANDREW

Return Date/Time: 00/00/0000 00:00

Recall Date/Time: 00/00/0000 00:00

* * * Return of Service on Page 2 * * *

ATTACHMENT C

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Ohio. I am over the age of eighteen.
My current address is:

5210 W. Waterberry Drive, Huron, Ohio 44839

On March 1, 2018, I served the within document:

PLAINTIFF-PETITIONER REQUEST
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

on the respondent[s] in said action by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope posted First Class
in the United States mail, Huron, Ohio, addressed as follows:

Solicitor General of the United States
Department of Justice, Room 5614
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed: Jeffrey L. Clemens
Jeffrey Clemens

Date: 3/1/18