

John P. Schroeder, SID 5434004
2605 State Street
Salem, Oregon 97310

February 7, 2018

Supreme Court of the United States
Scott S. Harris, c/o Michael Duggan, Clerks
Office of the Clerk
Washington, D. C. 20543-0001

Re: Motion for extension of time to submit Certiorari for Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decisions(s) effective on October 26, 2017 and File Nov 17 2017 in Case Nos. 15-35-35965; 15-35713; and 15-35966.

Dear Clerk

Today, I received your letter dated January 30, 2018 stating the lower court opinions must be appended (the order(s) from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit) pursuant to Rule 13.5 because it was impossible to determine the timeliness of my application for an extension of time without the lower court opinions (the orders).

I was only provided with one Order in Case No. 15-35965, attached hereto stating the effective date entered was October 26, 2017 and Filed on Nov 17, 2017. I did not receive Orders for Case No. 15-35966 and Case No. 15-35713 (Please see the enclosed Order I did receive).

This date I have written the Clerk of Court for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals requesting the two missing Orders (Please see the enclosed letter to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Clerk).

The only proof that all three cases were effective on October 26, 2017 is a letter from Michelle Ryan, court-appointed attorney (Please see Michelle Ryan's enclosed letter).


Unfortunately, I only have snail-mail in which to process my Writ of Certiorari within a timely manner. My access to the Oregon State Penitentiary Legal Library is very restrictive; and every few months the Legal Library changes its formats on the computers; and has now taken away all tool bars to slow my ability to prepare and submit my legal work in a timely manner.


Therefore, I fear because of what has taken place since the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals made its decision(s) not to address my subject-matter-jurisdiction issues; and how the lower courts and Michelle Ryan handled my three cases, I will be unable to submit my Writ of Certiorari within the time provided unless the court grants my motion(s) for time due to issues out of my control.

I understand that the U.S. Supreme Court only hears about 1% of the cases filed each term. And that the primary concern of the Supreme Court is to decide cases presenting issues of importance beyond the particular facts and parties involved and is an important public issue involving constitutional violations.

Even though the lower federal courts granted leave for me to proceed *in forma pauperis* it will cost money I barely have to be considered for acceptance within the 1% of cases allowed. If you feel I will be unable to submit my Writ due to time limitations out of my control within the time limitations provided - please advise me that my Writ of Certiorari will be dismissed on that issue alone.

Sincerely,


John P. Schroeder



P.S. I've enclosed a copy of my Motion for Leave to proceed in
Forma Pauperis.