

Friday, October 20, 2017

To: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT REQUEST FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME

To: The Honorable Justice Neil Gorsuch

From: Douglas E. Haddy

Plaintiff-Appellant, Pro Se Litigant Case No. 16-2097

Re: Court Ordered Dismissal of Appeal. Dated July 06, 2017.

Issued by: The Supreme Court of Iowa

For: "The Appellant's Failure to Comply with the Appellate Rules".

**On July 12, 2017, I, the Appellant, did file with The Iowa Supreme Court, a Motion
to Reinstate the Appeal. This motion consisted of 7 pages in its entirety.**

(1) OF (3)

**On August 03, 2017, The Iowa Supreme Court issued a court order Denying my
"Motion to Reinstate the Appeal".**

So, with that said, it has been my understanding that I had a period of

90 days to File a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the

U S Supreme Court from that court order dated on August 03, 2017.

Unfortunately, I have been unable to do the formal filing of this petition

because of some very serious ongoing medical issues. These medical

issues are documented in my prior filings to The Iowa Supreme, and

were stated very clearly "In my Motion to Reinstate the Appeal".

Quite honestly, I almost didn't even make it to this point.

(2) of (3)

So, I am requesting the following, from you, the US Supreme Court.

"I am asking the court to Please grant me an extension of time of 45 days to
File for this Writ of Certiorari.

From this point on, this will all be handled by Professional Legal Counsel".

(3) of (3)

Justice Gorsuch,

I would like to make 1 personal statement If I may, before I expound with my final statements regarding my request.

I just want to say that I hope things are going well for you in your 1st year in the U S Supreme Court. (Which I'm sure that they are.) You're a young man who is going to have many, many, years there.

With regards to myself, I am 67 years old, and I have enclosed a copy of my driver's license. I have done this so that you will have some idea who this person is that is making this request. Because of the dismissal disposition from the Supreme Court of Iowa, and the fact that I have been proceeding as a pro se litigant, it may lead you to believe that The Supreme Court of Iowa, viewed my case as a being (Very frivolous in nature, and without Good Merit etc...) What I can tell you for a fact, is that my particular civil litigation action is the "furthest thing from being frivolous, or without good merit." Quite to the contrary. My civil litigation action is very, very, strong, overall, and especially when it comes to "the merits involved. One last thing that I feel you need to be aware of is the following.

My civil litigation action has been ongoing for over 2 years, and yes, I have put a lot of work into it over that period of time. With that said I would like to emphasize to you, the fact, that I electively chose to represent myself in this case, and the fact that I have never been turned down or rejected for any reason by any lawyer, or legal counsel, with regards to my case. There was 1 reason that I was turned down for representation, and that 1 reason was "For conflicts of Interest."

In my initial proof brief, (which has not been viewed as of it), I explain in detail what my reasons were for electively choosing to represent myself.

Quite simply, all I'm asking the U S Supreme Court for at this time, is just give me and my attorney the opportunity to just be heard. Nothing more, Nothing less.

SINCERELY,

Douglas E. Haddy

PS: I cannot reiterate enough to you Your Honor, the fact that this last minute filing request was not done on any type of an intentional basis what so ever.