

No. 17-9560

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

HAMID MOHAMED AHMED ALI REHAIF,
Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES,
Respondent.

**On Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals
for the Eleventh Circuit**

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH
PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX**

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of the Rules of this Court, counsel on behalf of Mr. Rehaif respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. The question presented in this case is whether the “knowingly” provision of § 924(a)(2) applies to only the possession element of a § 922(g) crime, or whether it also applies to the status element of a § 922(g) crime. The opinions of the courts below are included in the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari. In our view, no other portion of the record merits special attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court’s consideration of the case. We are authorized to state that counsel for respondent agrees that a joint appendix is not necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

VIRGINIA A. SEITZ
JEFFREY T. GREEN
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 736-8000

DONNA LEE ELM
FEDERAL DEFENDER

ROBERT GODFREY*
ROSEMARY CAKMIS
ALLISON GUAGLIARDO
ADEEL BASHIR

SARAH O'ROURKE SCHRUP
NORTHWESTERN SUPREME
COURT PRACTICUM
375 East Chicago Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611
(312) 503-0063
robert_godfrey@fd.org

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
DEFENDER
201 South Orange Ave.,
Suite 300
Orlando, FL 32801
(407) 648-6338

February 19, 2019

* Counsel of Record