

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

_____*_____

In re SCHNEIDER

_____*_____

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

PETITIONER-Plaintiff

vs.

BANK OF AMERICA N.A, FHLMC LBAC 173 a.k.a. FEDERAL HOME LOAN
MORTGAGE CORPORATION (FREDDIE MAC), BANK OF AMERICA HOME
LOANS SERVICING LP, BALBOA INSURANCE CO, BANK OF AMERICA
MORTGAGE, QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP., HOME RETENTION GROUP,
DOES 2-40

RESPONDENTS- Defendants

_____*_____


ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND/OR PROHIBITION to the
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS; IN RE: NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEALS CASE NOS. 16-16261 (AND related 18-15106).

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF'S APPENDIX VOL. 1 OF 1
IN PDF SEARCHABLE ELECTRONIC FORMAT ONLY

Petitioner/Plaintiff in pro per:

**This document was: Prepared and Printed
using 100 % local portable SOLAR ENERGY**

Christopher D. Schneider
16291 Stone Jug Rd.
Sutter Creek CA 95685
Email: Horsefun69@yahoo.com
(Currently remains unavailable)
Phone: —none—



APPENDIX

Ninth Circuit Court's Opinion of March 1, 2018 (unpublished).....	App. 1
Eastern District Court of California December 19, 2017 Order.....	App. 2
Tenth Circuit Court's January 9, 2018 Letter re venue jurisdiction.....	App. 3
Tenth Circuit Court's January 3, 2018 Letter re vs. CIR venue jurisdiction.....	App. 4
Ninth Circuit's January 26, 2018 Order re Amended Notice of Appeal.....	App. 6
Schneider's January 15, 2018 second Amended Notice of Appeal.....	App. 8
Schneider's November 12, 2017 first Amended Notice of Appeal.....	App. 10
District Court's order of October 12, 2017.....	App. 13
Ninth Circuit's order of August 30, 2016.....	App. 15
Schneider's Original District Court Notice of Appeal from July 18, 2016.....	App. 17
Schneider's March 21, 2014 Ledger Dispatch Editorial.....	App. 19
National Driver's Register letter of April 18, 2017 verified nationwide blacklisting of Schneider in all 50 states	App. 20
USDC Southern District of Florida <i>Hall v. Bank of America</i> class action 1:12-cv-227700-FAM; ECF Docket No. 376-1 Settlement Agreement.....	App. 22
Schneider's May 5, 2015 Letter to BAC defendants' re Discovery	App. 25
Schneider's April 3, 2017 letter to Ninth Circuit re: Service by USPS Mail....	App. 26
Schneider's July 28, 2016 BAC select Deposition pages 14-17.....	App. 27
President Trump/White House/Executive Department's February 9, 2018 letter in reply to Mr. Schneider's December 16, 2017 letter re U.S. Courts.....	App. 31

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 1 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 16-16261

D.C. No.

2:11-cv-02953-JAM-EFB

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: CANBY and TROTT, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's motion for injunctive relief (Docket Entry No. 9) is denied.

Appellant's motion to transfer this appeal to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals (Docket Entry No. 11) is denied.

The court sua sponte grants appellant an extension of time to file the opening brief. The opening brief is now due on April 9, 2018. The answering brief is due on May 9, 2018. The optional reply brief is due within 21 days after service of the answering brief.

Because appellant is proceeding without counsel, the excerpts of record requirement is waived. *See* 9th Cir. R. 30-1.2. Appellees' supplemental excerpts of record are limited to the district court docket sheet, the notice of appeal, the judgment or order appealed from, and any specific portions of the record cited in appellees' brief. *See* 9th Cir. R. 30-1.7.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3

4 CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

No. 2:11-cv-2953-JAM-EFB PS

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

ORDER

7 BANK OF AMERICA N.A.; BANK OF
8 AMERICA MORTGAGE; BANK OF
9 AMERICA HOME LOANS SERVICING
10 LP; BALBOA INSURANCE COMPANY;
11 HOME RETENTION GROUP; QUALITY
12 LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION;
13 CLIFF COLER; and DOES 1-100,
14 inclusive,

15 Defendant.
16

17 On June 1, 2016, the court entered judgment against plaintiff and in favor of defendants
18 on all claims. ECF No. 295. Thereafter, plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial (ECF No. 297),
19 which was denied (ECF Nos. 349, 352). Plaintiff now moves for injunctive relief, requesting that
20 defendants be enjoined from selling his home at a foreclose auction. ECF No. 354.

21 As plaintiff failed to succeed on his claims, he is not entitled to any relief. Accordingly,
22 plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (ECF No. 354) is denied.

23 DATED: 12/19/2017

24 /s/ John A. Mendez

25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
26
27
28

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 26 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 18-15106

D.C. No. 2:11-cv-02953-JAM-EFB
U.S. District Court for Eastern
California, Sacramento

ORDER

A review of this court's docket reflects that the filing and docketing fees for this appeal remain due. Within 21 days after the date of this order, appellant shall pay to the district court the \$505.00 filing and docketing fees for this appeal and file in this court proof of such payment or file in this court a motion to proceed in forma pauperis.

The filing of a motion to proceed in forma pauperis will automatically stay the briefing schedule under Ninth Circuit Rule 27-11.

The Clerk shall serve a Form 4 financial affidavit on appellant.

If appellant fails to comply with this order, this appeal will be dismissed automatically by the Clerk for failure to prosecute. *See* 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

4 y

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Cyntharee K. Powells
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7

1 CHRISTOPHER D SCHNEIDER
In Propria Persona
2 16291 Stone Jug Rd.
Sutter Creek CA 95685
3 Phone—none—
4 Email: horsefun69@yahoo.com
5
6
7

8 IN AND FOR THE UNITED STATES
9 EASTERN DISTRICT COURT, SACRAMENTO CA
10

11 CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,)
12 Plaintiff)

Case No. 2:11-CV-2953-JAM-EFB

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

13 vs.)

14 BANK OF AMERICA N.A, BANK OF)
15 AMERICA MORTGAGE, BANK OF)
16 AMERICA HOME LOANS SERVICING)
LP, BALBOA INSURANCE CO, HOME)
17 RETENTION GROUP (a.k.a. HOME)
RETENTION SERVICES INC.),)
18 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP,)
FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE)
19 CORP., DOES 1-40,)

This document was: Prepared and Printed
using 100 % local portable SOLAR ENERGY


20)
21 Defendants.)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

Amended
PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF APPEAL¹

Plaintiff Christopher D. Schneider hereby gives NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES that pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Practice Rule 3 he appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit² all earlier orders, judgments, ruling, errors etc. from before this date including from the district court's ORDER dated December 19, 2017 (ECF No. 355) and served on December 22, 2017 and fully incorporates *all* of his earlier NOTICES OF APPEALS.

Date: January 15, 2018

Respectfully submitted,



CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER, Plaintiff in pro per³

¹ Due to plaintiff's unjustified *continuing* denial of his Driver's License (*verified* as of June 7, 2016) in all 50 states (*verified* "blacklisting" in National Driver's Registry as of June 27, 2016) for two and a half years, in retaliation for his published editorial speech, plaintiff also objects to the *fundamental* denial of his "access to the courts" and to necessary legal resources needed for *this* appeal, and for *all issues in this case*. *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977); *Sunal v. Large*, 332 U.S. 174, 192-193 ("[A] trial that forecloses the basic right to defend... becomes almost meaningless if the larger right to defend is itself cut off."); *Bradshaw v. Zoological Society of San Diego*, 662 F.2d 1301, 1310-1311 (9th Cir. 1981) ("Tenacity, however admirable, is no substitution for a knowledge of the law.").

² Plaintiff in so filing this does not waive any issues as to jurisdiction, and any pending motions.

³ Plaintiff does not waive any issues of fact or law as they are applied to all facts since March 30, 2014; *Hann v. Plumer*, 380 US 460, 14 L.Ed.2d 8, 85 S.Ct. 1136 (1965); *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 30 L.ed. 220 (1886).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,
Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA N.A.; BANK OF
AMERICA MORTGAGE; BANK OF
AMERICA HOME LOANS SERVICING
LP; BALBOA INSURANCE COMPANY;
HOME RETENTION GROUP; QUALITY
LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION;
CLIFF COLER; and DOES 1-100,
inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-2953-JAM-EFB PS

ORDER

On September 11, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections on September 25, 2017, defendant timely filed a response to plaintiff's objections on October 5, 2017, and those filings were considered by the undersigned.

This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). As

1 to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the court
2 assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. United
3 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
4 reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

5 The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
6 concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.

7 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 8 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed September 11, 2017, are adopted;
- 9 2. Plaintiff's motion for a new trial (ECF No. 297) is denied; and
- 10 3. Defendant's motion to extend the time to file a response to plaintiff's objections (ECF
11 No. 349) is denied as unnecessary.

12 DATED: 10/12/2017

13
14 /s/ John A. Mendez
15 JOHN A. MENDEZ
16 United States District Court Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 30 2016

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CHRISTOPHER D. SCHNEIDER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 16-16261

D.C. No.

2:11-cv-02953-JAM-EFB

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

The court's records reflect that the notice of appeal was filed during the pendency of a timely filed motion listed in Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4). The notice of appeal is therefore ineffective until entry of the order disposing of the last such motion outstanding. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4). Accordingly, proceedings in this court shall be held in abeyance pending the district court's resolution of the pending June 17, 2016 motion. *See Leader Nat'l Ins. Co. v. Indus. Indem. Ins. Co.*, 19 F.3d 444, 445 (9th Cir. 1994).

Within 14 days after the district court's ruling on the pending motion, appellant shall notify this court in writing of the ruling and shall advise whether appellant intends to prosecute this appeal.

JW/Pro Se

To appeal the district court's ruling on the post-judgment motion, appellant must file an amended notice of appeal within the time prescribed by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4.

The Clerk shall serve this order on the district court.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By:
Joseph Williams
Deputy Clerk
Local Rule 27-7