

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1.** If a state refuses to come down when nothing but unconstitutionality is going on shouldn't the city all the way to the state be held accountable?
- 2.** Should not the state be as much responsible for my civil rights when the state was notified that my civil rights were being abused?
- 3.** Is not the Constitution there not only to protect the citizens of the nation's rights but to also impede change?
- 4.** There is an old saying you can delegate your authority but not your responsibility. Why shouldn't all levels of government be just as accountable for citizens civil rights?
- 5.** Should 8th Amendment be as strong on a case as 4th and 6th Amendment
- 6.** Isn't the denial of medical treatment the same as torture?
- 7.** Is a restraining order justified when a person is told to stop and doesn't?
- 8.** If the FBI and the Court System doesn't stop the denial of civil rights then wouldn't it be reasonable to say that local government wouldn't follow the Constitution.
- 9.** If man is asked to stop what he is doing and refuses to, shouldn't all levels of government be held accountable if restraining orders are requested and denied?
- 10.** Should the state be able to keep a judgment when everything that they charged me with is apart of the traumatic brain injury. By title 2 & 3 of the us code isn't this forbidden?
- 11.** Since District Court and Appeals Courts didn't let me submit evidence and I could say lost my legal briefs the first time should I have to suffer?

LIST OF PARTIES

VERIFICATION

I SSG. Stacy m. Meaux (RET) hereby verifies that the memorandum on the ongoing applications and certifies that a copy has been faxed, mailed, or hand delivered to the following:

LOUISIANA OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

Represented By

JEREMIAH SAMS
556 Jefferson St #4
Lafayette, La. 70501
Phone (337) 262-1700

Thus done and signed this 30 day of May 2018


SSG. Stacy M. Meaux (RET)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISTDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	6
CONCLUSION	7

INDEX TO APPENDIXES

A. ORDER FROM FEDERAL APPEALS COURT DENYING	A
B. ORDER FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	B
C. ORDER FROM STATE SUPREME COURT DENYING	C
D. EVIDENCE FROM THE VA SHOWING I HAVE TBI	D
E. EVIDENCE FROM VERMILION CHYROPRACTIC CARE SHOWING I HAVE BACK PROBLEMS	E

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

1. Estelle vs. Gamble
2. Mapp vs Ohio
3. Gideon vs. Wainwright
4. In 1983 the U.S. Supreme Court set down groups to where 14th amendment equal protection of laws

STATUTES AND RULES

1. 1ST Amendment right to petition the government for a redress of grievances
2. 4th Amendment right to protection against illegal search and seizures
3. 6th amendment right to a speedy trial and right to a public trial by an impartial jury of the state also, the defendant shall be prosecuted within the state and the district in which the crime **was committed**.
4. 8th amendment Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.
5. 14th amendment
6. Title 35 patent law
7. 42 USC 1983 42 USC 1983 Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia.
8. 42 USC 1997 No action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted.
9. 2 & 3 USC Certain specific conditions that are widely considered anti-social or tend to result in illegal activity, such as kleptomania, pedophilia, exhibitionism, voyeurism etc. are excluded under the definition of "disability" in order to prevent abuse of statute's purpose. Additionally, other specific conditions, such as gender identity disorders, are also excluded under the definition of "disability".

ATTORNEY ETHICS

1. 3.1 A lawyer shall not bring or defend a proceeding, or assert or controvert an issue therein, unless there is a basis in law and fact for doing so that is not frivolous, which includes a good

faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law. A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the respondent in a proceeding that could result in incarceration, may nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every element of the case be established.

CASE LAW

1. Estelle Vs Gamble in this case law the inmate kept having problems with his back after a few times of being denied medical treatment he came up with damage to his back in this case this honorable court ruled that it is against 8th amendment cruel and unusual punishment to deny medical treatment.
2. Mapp vs Ohio the defendant Mapp argued on appeal that there was an illegal search and seizure which is against 4th Amendment
3. Gideon vs Wainwright 18 March 1963 the Sixth Amendment right to counsel is a fundamental right applied to the states via Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution's due process clause, and requires that indigent criminal defendants be provided counsels at trial.
4. In 1983 the United States Supreme Court 1983 set down groups for the argument of 14th Amendments equal protection of laws, like poor, sex, ethnic background etc. about the only group they didn't set down was the rich.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below

(1) For the cases from federal courts

The opinion of the United States court of Appeals Appears at Appendix ___A___ to the petition and is

- () reported at _____www.pacer.com_____ ; or
- () has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or
- () is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix ___B___ to

- () reported at _____www.pacer.com_____ ; or
- () has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or
- () is unpublished

() For cases from the state courts

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ___D___ to the petition and is

- (1) reported at _____www.lasc.org_____ ; or
- () has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or
- () is unpublished

The opinion of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals
Appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- () reported at _____ ; or
- () has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or
- (1) is unpublished

JURISDICTION

() For the cases from federal courts

The date on which the United States Courts of Appeals decided my case
was 16 May 2018

[1] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of
Appeals on the following date. _____ and a copy of the
Order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted
to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date)
in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 USC 1254

() For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 13 January 2017
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix D.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date
N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing
appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted
to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in
Application No. N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 USC 1257(a)

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

1. 1ST Amendment right to petition the government for a redress of grievances
2. 4th Amendment right to protection against illegal search and seizures
3. 6th amendment right to a speedy trial and right to a public trial by an impartial jury of the state also, the defendant shall be prosecuted within the state and the district in which the crime **was committed**.
4. 8th amendment Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.
5. 14TH Amendment All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.
6. 42 USC 1983 Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia.
7. 42 USC 1997 No action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted.
8. 2 & 3 USC Certain specific conditions that are widely considered anti-social or tend to result in illegal activity, such as kleptomania, pedophilia, exhibitionism, voyeurism etc. are excluded under the definition of "disability" in order to prevent abuse of statute's purpose. Additionally, other specific conditions, such as gender identity disorders, are also excluded under the definition of "disability".

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I must first have to start that this case didn't start in Tangipahoe and St Mary Parish. It was started here in Vermilion Parish, because Larry Moore has wanted my house since his daughter mover next door in 22 May 2008. The city when he used to work for them used to let him basically do what he wanted to do. He was also the electrical supervisor for the city. To give some history on this so the court I have never been able to really go into it fully, when the Wakefields bought 1408 Maude Ave wanted to start a property dispute from the beginning the city wouldn't tell them to stay on there side on the property line at all. And you know there has been a fence on the back of that property for over 30 years. I might add that there is a state law that when a fence has been there over 30 years so is the property line. Well when the Wakefields moved there they asked me for what they called for the right of use and I said no. After they bought the property the Wakefields were constantly trying to gain use of my side of the property. The police were constantly coming out for the Wakefields coming across the property line after they were the one that said that we meaning me and them wouldn't cross the property line. Then I finally got a survey done and for a while they didn't want to go by it. Finally, Lt Thibideaux and Lt. Hebert came out to run a string between the two survey markers to show the Wakefields how wrong they were. At that point when the Lts told the Wakefields that there was the property line and if they pushed it anymore they would get arrested. Mrs. Wakefield sat down right there and started crying and soon after they put out a for sale sign. When they were moving out the house the Wakefields told Larry Moore that I had kiddy porn in the house to which I have never. Well Larry Moore with his criminal mind started telling the cops that I had kiddy porn inside the house. I might add kiddy porn was never found inside the house. Larry Moore since his daughter moved next door was constantly saying that he would take my house. Many people have told me that he has bragged about he would take it. Well soon after I was getting a lot of so called false alarms on the house. I have started noticing that things were coming up missing when those alarms would go off. I finally got mad with it and put a Medeco lock on the house the alarm system went quiet for about 3 months. I was in the middle of a law suit against Smith International at the time and was told by a couple that I needed to stop it. I was offered 240k for a machinist and if the accident wouldn't have happened four days later I would have gone to a much better job. Well getting back to Larry Moore I was complaining all the way to U.S. Department of Justice none would come down to investigate. It is my position that my sisters gave Larry Moore and Law-enforcement rights on my house that they didn't have to give. Especially with the locks I put on the house. Not long before I got arrested though I did talk to a 39-year-old woman and I did send the still shots only to her. I know I didn't send those pictures to any 15 years old girl. I was also complaining about an illegal wiretap. I still couldn't get any higher law-enforcement to come down to investigate. I was called and told to turn myself in on Sept of 2012. I had no idea of what I was turning myself in for. Prior I filed a complain with the ACLU for illegal warrant. With no help I made many requests for help incarcerated no help. I was kept from the pain meds that I need to keep the traumatic brain injury down and my arthritis was easily manageable. Incarcerated I was passing out from the pain at times and the public defenders really didn't want to hear that I was innocent. As soon as I asked for the computer I was given straight probation and only two years with everyone else. They also were made aware of my bad medical I bought a couple points to show that I had problems with a head injury. Each parish didn't want to get my file from the va. Well after I was release I found a lot of things inside the house that were

damaged that I know were not that way when I left. I also can't sleep in the bed in the back bedroom my allergies get too bad just rest on it. I was also harassed by his daughter's family and the Boudreaux's across the street, for multiple false complaints and the city wouldn't do anything to them. I many also that my electrical was damaged on the house by the city which you see copies of the money orders for repairing that damage and the city never paid me back for that. Those copies were submitted into evidence in district court. Well I was always being harassed by Larry Moore saying too many lies to try and get people to run me out of the house until he found out that there is a judgment in Tangipahoe Parish that it would be unconstitutional to force me to sell this house. Well after he found that out and my mother died recently and he found out my sisters had no right to give him now he not so proud. It is my position that those computers that the state has doesn't exist. The state was quick to back down when I said I wanted them. It is also my position that with Larry Moore's strong ties with city and parish government that they knew what was going on all the way to state and wanted Larry Moore to get my house with all the complaints I made to higher government. And I still have things come up missing today, to include my medications from the va. I have had everything from the aloe Vera juice which helps with the bad arthritis in my neck he drinks that also. He has much more than me coming in. he could buy his own stuff. But no, he would rather me do without just because he wants me to leave and sell him the house. I might add I can smell his stink every time he comes in the house. And as far as Larry Moore he has done many things like going to the casino with a city vehicle and drinking on city time things like this Larry Moore did and didn't get fired. Until he was turning on women's utilities without the proper deposit. He is a piece of work but even if everything that the city parish and state was correct I have traumatic brain injury like title 2&3 shows any condition that is anti-social which tbi is and everything they charged me with is a part of the tbi. The constant enforcement of those convictions deprive me of my rights of title two and title three. Not to mention with the locks I have on the house any copies I don't sign for are illegal. And the use of those said keys are illegal entry. By 14th amendment no state shall pass or enforce any laws which abridge the privileges and the immunities of the citizens of the United States. That those keys are patented and when you buy those locks you buy into the patent by federal case law. State court has no right to grant use of those keys. Also, I might add that after the state has ran over my case with Stacy Michael Meaux vs Lucille Galtier ET AL and Stacy Meaux vs Texaco and Ensco these cases were deliberately messed with and it is my position that the state framed me up to stop me from getting a proper judgment against Smith international. Also, I might add that I have been having a lot of problems with the mail the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal received my excerpts but not the legal briefs. They were put into the same container. The state couldn't even leave me with an honest Appeal. But all they got were the excerpts. It is my position the state is still messing with my case even in Appeals court. I might add the Keith Scott is the son-in-law of Larry Moore and is constantly letting him stay at 1408 Maude ave. and also, he help stage an incident to mess up the case with Smith International. It is also my position that I was there that these parishes do not give extra care even if the inmate requires it. They will not take a deputy off the watch to take him to the doctor. Not to mention I couldn't get the jail to send the medical information.


REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

That the city parish and state did nothing that was constitutional the government shouldn't be allowed to keep these judgments. That especially after what the state did against me with the guard that I find this to be one more incident of wrong doing against me. That it shouldn't be allowed to fabricate evidence and to move a defendant all over the state when the alleged crimes was so committed in one parish. That also I didn't get any legal advice that was worth anything if I had known that title 2&3 had granted me immunity there would have been a much more different outcome. Also, I might add I was allowed to pass out from the pain from the denial of pain to which this is considered as cruel and unusual punishment. If nothing else I have a title 2&3 immunity on such that I have been denied of. The public defenders wouldn't even give me that. That all of this was started because a Larry Moore wants my house and can't have it. I have even made a will that he can never own this house. I also find that it would send a message to local government that this would not be allowed. After what the state did to me in Stacy Michael Meaux vs Lucille Galtier ET AL I find that this is too much. They should had at least left me with my dignity. I no longer have the desire to be a father especially after these two illegal criminal charges and I would add that its not the first time that some one that was close to Lucille Galtier was wrongfully charged with a crime in Grand Couteaux a Scott Hammond was done much worse to. Should the state be allowed to keep this illegal conviction on me. If nothing else if this court doesn't take any action on it I will say that even if they leave me alone which I very much doubt, I will say someone else will suffer my fate. Also, Louisiana Supreme Court Rule IX section 6 No reconsiderations so once the state made a decision it was done. I have to deal with what federal court rules. Also that if I cannot physical stand the incarceration for medical reasons I should be still granted the relief. I was always under duress during probation so I couldn't file right away.

CONCLUSION

With all the complaints long prior to the illegal arrest. I was constantly complaining of illegal entry. That the city has a long history of not doing what it was supposed to with my rights and there is much more than what was covered in this writ. That these charges were another illegal attempt to get into my family life that they have no rights in if no crime was done. With what I was charged with also I have immunity with title 2 & 3 off the U.S. Code. That Larry Moore has pushed for the illegal arrest so he could take my house. and I have requested in both state and federal court for a restraining order on him he got served in state court but never showed to court or gave a reason why he shouldn't have a restraining order on him. In federal court he never got served. And he still harasses me as soon as I leave someone comes in my house even now. It is my position that it is him he brags he has a key to the house and can do what he wants on the property. And it is also my position that if he would go to court in this case he would incriminate himself in multiple issues. That's why he refuses to go to court. He just wants my house.

Respectfully Submitted



SSG. Stacy M. Meaux (RET)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of May 2018 the forgoing brief has been served on all counsels of record and the Honorable Court by depositing same in the United States Post Office Properly addressed and postage prepaid or by hand delivery or by faxing same this 30th day May of 2018

