

NO. 17-8926

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DARRELL J. HARPER-PETITIONER


V.

STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL-RESPONDENTS

APPEAL TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

REHEARING

DARRELL J. HARPER
7923 DOCKAL RD.
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77028
346-204-2180



TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE:

Petitioner, Darrell J. Harper v. Respondents, State of Texas, et al., for Reverse Discrimination & Viewpoint Discrimination violation of Civil Rights, and Retaliation on the following grounds:

1. Civil Rights Violation carries no statute of limitations, and the petitioner was banned from exercising his First Amendment Right in this Court, because State cannot versus State, is guilty of violating petitioner's civil rights will go unpunished.
2. Petitioner is indigent who receive public assistance in the amount of \$192.00 in foodstamps. Therefore, this constitute that the petitioner do not have a positive income.
3. Petitioner was retaliated against for posing a threat to racial structural, which is receiving federal tax dollars funding to create jobs for civil rights violators who infringe right to work, endanger public health, safety, or decency etc.
4. State laws enacted or purposely interpreted to discriminate against petitioner based on race(Black), gender, age, color, religion, and disability, failed to yield to the United States Constitution.
5. Respondents' decision involves deliberate falseness acting "under color of law, authority, or office" is taking an action that looks official or appears to be backed by law, but which is not.
6. A bias crime is a hate crime based on a preconceived opinion by the judge about a person involved in the lawsuit, as opposed to an opinion about the subject matter.
7. The loss of a good reputation because of a conviction of a major crime, and the loss of certain legal rights that accompanies that loss.
8. Petitioner's civil rights are violable in a state civil and a state penal court of law, for exercising a guaranteed First Amendment Right, because of the backing of a Texas judge whom is sovereign protected from prosecution for neglecting to recuse himself or herself from hearing a lawsuit because of interest or prejudice, and according to U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 11, a federal court is prohibited from recusing itself from hearing an action against a state by a person who is a citizen of that state, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Liberties Act of 1988, the Patriot Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, the Whistleblower Protection Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Federal Tort Claims Act, the Deceptive Trade Practice Act, the Texas Commission on Human Rights Act, the Michael Morton Act, the Texas Tort Claims Act, and Canon Code of Judicial Conduct, as amended.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Darrell J. Harper, demands satisfaction against Respondents, State of Texas, et al., or petitioner hope that this Court's authorities gets everything they have coming to them.

Respectfully submitted,


Darrell J. Harper

7923 Dockal Rd.

Houston, Texas 77028

346-204-2180

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

Petitioner, Darrell J. Harper, do hereby certify that the Motion for Rehearing against Respondents, State of Texas, et al., is true and correct for processing on or around Thursday the 15th day of November 2018, in accordance with FRAP.


Darrell J. Harper