

JAN 30 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C. 20543

Samuel E. Hampton
(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

VS.

Steve Strasser

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Arizona Supreme Court

Phoenix, Arizona

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Samuel Esquire Hampton

(Your Name)

600 E. Gude^{dr.}

(Address)

Rockville, MD 20850

(City, State, Zip Code)

480-677-9047

(Phone Number)

PETITIONAL WRIT OF CERTIORARI SAMUEL E. HAMPTON

QUESTION PRESENT

PART 1. WHY HAVEN'T PLAINTIFF - VICTIM SAMUEL E. HAMPTON BEEN GIVEN ANY FAIRNESS FROM DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER INSURANCE COMPANY [R I S] RENTAL INSURANCE SERVICE P.O.BOX 350700 WESTMINSTER , COLORADO 80035 - 0700 ?

PART 2. THE GREY NISSIAN ALTIMA - VIN INA4AL2AP6CC18-4789 THAT REAR - ENDED PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON SUBARU WAGON AND CONTINUED ON SMASHING INTO THE INTERSECTION THAT DIVIDE INTERSTATE #40 EAST & WEST [3] FULL ROTATION, STOP , DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER LIABILITY POLICY WITH [R I S INSURANCE] RENTAL INSURANCE SERVICE INC. P.O.BOX 350700 WESTMINSTER , COLORADO 80035 - 0700 . DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER HAD A LIABILITY POLICY FOR [\$ 100,000] ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PER PERSON PLAINTIFF WAS THE ONLY OCCUPANT

IN THE PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON SUBARU WAGON ?

PART 3. OFFICER RESPONDED OF THE ARIZONA DEPT OF
PUBLIC SAFETY, HIGHWAY PATROL DISTRICT THREE - NARR-
ATIVE CRASH REPORT NO.# 2012-002292 OF DEFENDANT
STEVE STRAIGER, GARY NISSIAN ALTIMA VIN - 1N4AL2AP6-
CC184789 - WAS UNABLE TO DRIVE - RENTAL INSURANCE
SERVICE INC, P.O.BOX 350700 WESTMINSTER, COLORADO
80035-0700 HAD TO HAVE THE [TOTTALED-OUT] NISSIA-
N ALTIMA TOWED FROM ACCIDENT SCENE?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>inside petition</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>inside petition</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>inside petition</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>inside petition</i>
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Arizona Supreme Court Phoenix, Arizona court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was November 6, 2017.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Nov 6, 2017, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

PETITIONAL FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI SAMUEL E. HAMPTON

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PRIVISION INVOLVED

PART 1. IN ORIGINAL [COMPLAINT] FILED ON JULY 5, 2013

TO NAVAJO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK DEANNA M. ROMO

PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON - GENERAL ALLEGATIONS LINE 13

ALL OF PLAINITFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON LOSSES ARE AND WILL

BE DUE SOLEY TO AND BY REASON OF THE CARELESSNESS -

AND THE NEGLIGENCE OF DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER, WITH-

OUT ANY NEGLIGENCE OR WANT OF DUE CARE ON THE PLAINTIFF

SAMUEL E. HAMPTON PART CONTRIBUTION THERETO !

NAVAJO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE RALPH HATCH SIGNED

A JUDGEMENT AGAINST THE VICTIM SAMUEL E. HAMPTON FOR

\$ 7,523 AT 4.25% PER ANNUM - PRO-SE FEELS THIS IS ERRON-

EUOS ?

PART 2. IN COMPLAINT FILED AT NAVAJO COUTY SUPERIOR COU-

NTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK - DEANNA M. ROMO OFFICE WHAT

STAND - OUT IS [3] THREE COUNTS OF NEGLIGENCE FILED ?

[IN VICTIM - PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON RESPECT] COUNT 1

LINE 15 DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER OWES PLAINTIFF SAMUEL

E. HAMPTON A DUTY TO MANAGE, DRIVE, AND OPERATE THE M-

OTOR VEHICAL OWED BY EAN HOLDINGs LLC IN A REASONABLE

AND PRUDENT MANNER, LINE 16 DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER

BREACHED HIS DUTY BY NEGLIGENLY, CARELESSLY, RECKLESSLY,

AND UNLAWFULLY MANAGING, DRIVING, AND OPERATING THE AB-

OVE-REFERENCE MOTOR VEHICAL! LINE 17 DEFENDANT STEVE

STRAIGER BREACH OF DUTY HAS CAUSED PHYSICAL HARM TO

PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON... COUNT 1 NEGLIGENCE --

COUNT 2 [NEGLIGENCE INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS]!

COUNT 3 [GROSS NEGLIGENCE]!

COUNT 4 [RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR AGAINST DEFENDANT STEVE

STRAIGER A B C PARTERSHIP, OR X Y Z CORPORATION]!

PETITIONAL WRIT OF CERTIORARI SAMUEL E. HAMPTON

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PART 1. IN PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON WORD,S BEING A
VICTIM OF DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER TORTOUS ACTION,S
THE MORNING OF JANURARY 15, 2012 HOLBROOK,ARIZONA WAS
A VERY TRAMATIC EXPERIENCE! PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON
SUFFERED WITH 3 YEARS OF PAIN MEDICINE AND 5 EPIDURAL
INJECTION,S PAID FOR BY CORPORATE PHARMACY SERVICE INC.
P.O.BOX 1950 GADEDEN, AL 35902 - AMOUNT OWED \$ 1,461.79
ALSO INJURY FINANCE 8101 EAST PRENTICE AVE STE # 1100 GR-
REENWOOD VILLAGE, COLORADO 80111 - AMOUNT OWED \$ 16,619.
79.

VICTIM SAMUEL E. HAMPTON AFTER THE MOTOR VEHICAL ACCI-
DENT ON JANURARY 15, 2012 VICTIM SAMUEL E. HAMPTON WAS
DIAGNOSED BY 6 OF VICTIM DOCTOR,S WHO TREATED VICTIM
IN THE 3 YEAR,S OF HAVING [PROTRUSION DISK & TORN NE-
RVE ROOT,S] IN VICTIM LUMBAR SPINE ! ALL ARE LISTED IN

VICTIM,S [CERTIFICATE OF FINALTY] FILED TO ARIZONA APPE-
AL COURT DATE AUGUST 3, 2017 ..

1. INTERMOUNTAIN REHABILITATION ASSOCIATION INC. 923 W.
COLORADO AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO - DR. JACK
ROOK M.D.

2. INTERMOUNTAIN REHABILITATION ASSOCIATION INC. 923 W.
COLORADO AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO - DR. SCOTT RO-
SENQUIST M.D.

3. AFFORDABLE PAIN RESOURCES 1495 GARDEN OF GODS RD.
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO - DR. FRANK POLANCO M.D.
VICTIM,S FIRST 3 EPIDURAL INJECTION,S IN PLAINTIFF LUMBAR
SPINE !

4. SPINAL DIAGNOSTIC & PAIN MANAGEMENT 5901 CORPORATE
DR. COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO DR. MARK MEYER M.D. 4th
EPIDURAL INJECTION IN PLAINTIFF LUMBAR SPINE !

5. THE SPINE CENTER 3010 N. CIRCLE DR. #200 COLORADO SPR-
INGS, COLORADO 5th EPIDURAL INJECTION IN PLAINTIFF LUMB-

AR SPINE

6. COLORADO SPRINGS ORTHOPAEDIC GROUP 3010 N. CIRCLE DR
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO - PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON
SURGERN AND EXPERT WITNESS DR. ROGER SUNG M.D. & P.A. -
ATC PHILLUP FALENDER..

THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATE

SUPREME COURT WASHINGTON D.C. 20543

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PART 1. AS STATED IN PETITIONAL SAMUEL E. HAMPTON STATEMENT,S. THE LIFE CHANGING MOTOR VEHICAL ACCIDENT ON JANURARY 15, 2012 HOLBROOK, ARIZONA. ORIGINALLY JUDGE RALPH HATCH OF NAVAJO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT HOLBROOK, ARIOZNA. HEARED ORAL ARGUMENT,S ON WHAT HAPPENED ON INTERSTATE # 40 THE MORNING OF JANURARY 15, 2012 HOLBROOK, ARIZONA. PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON THEN ATTORNEY P. LIEMPIS ESQ. OF HARALSON, MILLER, PITT, FELDMAN, McANALLY, P. L. C. LAW - TALKED FOR SEVEN MINUTES, IN DESCIBING DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER TORTOUS ACTION,S ON THE MORNING OF JANURARY 15, 2012 INTERSTATE #40 THEN DEFENCE ATTORNEY VANESSA BRAGG OF ELARDO, BRAGG, ROSSI P.C. TALKED FOR FIVTHY FIVE MINUTE,S IN DESCIBING DEFENDANT,S et al.,. ACTIONS ON THE MORNING OF JANURARY 15,

2012 AT 4:00 a.m. MILE MARKER #307 HOLBROOK, ARIZONA!

JUDGE RALPH HATCH RULED ON THE [MERIT] OF WHAT HAPPENED ON INTERSTATE #40 THE MORNING OF JANUARY 15, 2012 AFTER HEARING BOTH SIDES JUDGE RALPH HATCH RULED [RESOLUTION OF MERIT IN PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON FAVOR]!

PART 2. VICTIM - PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON WAS STILL UNDERGOING 3 YEARS OF PRESCRIBED MEDICAL TREATMENT, S FOR THE [PROTRUSION DISK & TORN NERVE ROOT, S] FROM SURGERY & EXPERT WITNESS DR. ROGER SUNG M.D. OF THE COLORADO SPRINGS ORTHOPAEDIC GROUP - COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO!

^* -#@ SOMEWHERE MY PERSONAL INJURY CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT STEVE STRAIGER BECAME - ERRONEOUS - ?

AFTER REPEATED TALKS WITH DEFENDANT et al., STEVE & AREN STRAIGER INSURANCE = RENTAL INSURANCE SERVICE Inc. P. O. BOX 350700 WESTMINSTER, COLORADO and EAN HOLDINGS LLC 600 CORPORATE PARK dr. ST. LOUIS, MO also ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE Co. 55 CHURCH st. PRICE FREDERICK, TOO PAY FOR VICTIM - PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INTER-

BODY FUSION COST \$ 314,626.25

PART 3. PLAINTIFF SAMUEL E. HAMPTON RETURNED TO NORTHERN ARIZONA ORTHOPAEDIC,S IN-PART WITH SUMMIT ORTHOPAEDIC,S FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA TO MEET DR. LEADINGTON WHO REVIEWED VICTIM,S MEDICAL COMPLAINT,S and MADE PROPER APPOINTMENT,S FOR ME TO MEET SURGERN DR. JAMES GLOVER & SURGERN DR. MARK DONNELLY AT SUMMIT ORTHOPAEDIC THEY REVIEWED SURGERN DR. ROGER SUNG M.D. MRI,S and TOLD VICTIM [YES] WE CAN DO [ANTERIOR INTERBODY FUSION]!

PRE -OP STARTED JULY 2016 - SERGERY WAS PREFORMED ON SEPTEMBER 19, 2016 A 3 DAY IN-PATIENT STAY AT FLAGSTAFF MEDICAL CENTER! ALL REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION!!

PRE - SURGERY PHOTO,S and POST - SURGEY PHOTO,S

PHOTO,S OF VICTOM WITH PASTOR and WIFE and MY

PSYICAL THEROPIST ANDRE'S..

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel E. Hampton

Date: 4-18-2018